Uterine Artery Doppler in Screening for Preeclampsia and Fetal Growth Restriction
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Abstract

Objective To perform a comprehensive review of the current evidence on the role of uterine artery Doppler, isolated or in combination with other markers, in screening for preeclampsia (PE) and fetal growth restriction (FGR) in the general population. The review included recently published large cohort studies and randomized trials.

Methods A search of the literature was conducted using Medline, PubMed, MeSH and ScienceDirect. Combinations of the search terms “preeclampsia,” “screening,” “prediction,” “Doppler,” “Doppler velocimetry,” “fetal growth restriction,” “small for gestational age” and “uterine artery” were used. Articles in English (excluding reviews) reporting the use of uterine artery Doppler in screening for PE and FGR were included.

Results Thirty articles were included. As a single predictor, uterine artery Doppler detects less than 50% of the cases of PE and no more than 40% of the pregnancies affected by FGR. Logistic regression-based models that allow calculation of individual risk based on the combination of multiple markers, in turn, is able to detect ~ 75% of the cases of preterm PE and 55% of the pregnancies resulting in small for gestational age infants.

Conclusion The use of uterine artery Doppler as a single predictive test for PE and FGR has poor accuracy. However, its combined use in predictive models is promising, being more accurate in detecting preterm PE than FGR.

Resumo

Objetivo Realizar revisão da literatura científica acerca do uso do Doppler das artérias uterinas, de forma isolada ou em combinação com outros marcadores, no rastreamento para pré-eclâmpsia (PE) e restrição do crescimento fetal (RCF) na população geral. A revisão incluiu estudos de coorte e ensaios clínicos randomizados recentemente publicados.

Métodos Realizou-se uma pesquisa da literatura nas bases de dados Medline, PubMed, MeSH e ScienceDirect. Diferentes combinações dos termos “preeclampsia,”
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Introduction

Preeclampsia (PE) constitutes an important cause of maternal and perinatal morbidity and mortality both in low- and high-income countries, accounting for one in five maternal deaths and \( \sim 15\% \) of all premature deliveries.\(^1\) It is estimated that one maternal death occurs every 12 minutes due to PE and its complications. Moreover, there is consistent evidence showing that women who had PE in their pregnancies have a significantly increased rate of cardiovascular disease in the future. Compared with women that had uncomplicated pregnancies, women affected by PE have a four-fold increased risk of heart failure and a twofold increased risk of stroke and death from cardiovascular causes. The severity and the gestational age of onset of PE appear to further influence the rate of long-term cardiovascular morbidity.\(^2\)

The financial burden of PE is also enormous, estimated at US$ 6.4 billion per year in the United States of America, with an average cost of more than US$ 40,000 per affected pregnancy.\(^3\)

Similarly, fetal growth restriction (FGR), often associated with PE and defined as an estimated fetal weight below the 10\(^{th}\) percentile as a consequence of impaired placentation (identified by ultrasound as abnormal uterine arteries or umbilical artery Doppler studies) or estimated fetal weight below the 3\(^{rd}\) percentile even with normal Doppler studies,\(^4,5\) is an important cause of perinatal morbidity and mortality. It is associated with higher rates of antepartum and intrapartum fetal distress, as well as neurodevelopmental, metabolic and cardiovascular diseases in late infancy and adulthood.\(^6,7\)

Approximately a quarter of all stillbirths are associated with FGR,\(^8\) with many often undetected as being FGR antenatally. Currently, differentiating the constitutionally small fetus from those affected by placental insufficiency remains a clinical challenge.

The use of maternal risk factors (such as maternal age, BMI, previous pregnancy affected by PE or FGR, smoking) and of the symphysis-fundal height measurement are clearly not accurate enough in the prediction of PE and FGR with detection rates of, at most, of 20 to 40%.\(^9\)

Although the exact mechanism of placental-related disorders is yet to be understood, it is believed that inadequate trophoblastic invasion is implicated in these cases.\(^10\)–\(^12\)

Impaired development of the placenta translates into persistently elevated resistance to blood flow in the uteroplacental circulation. This is reflected in abnormal waveform patterns of the uterine arteries on sonographic Doppler velocimetry evaluation, with increased pulsatility and resistance indices seen.\(^13,14\) Therefore, this method of hemodynamic evaluation could possibly be used as a screening test to identify pregnancies at increased risk of PE and FGR.\(^14\)

Additionally, alterations in the concentrations of a range of proteins within the maternal circulation, such as pregnancy-associated plasma protein-A (PAPP-A), placental growth factor (PLGF),\(^15,16\) and soluble fms-like tyrosine kinase-1 (sFlt-1),\(^17\)–\(^19\) are often seen in women who go on to develop PE and FGR. These biomarkers provide the potential for use as predictive markers, particularly in cases of early-onset disease.\(^16,18\)–\(^20\)

In general, the performance of these tests is poor when used as a standalone screening method, and the prediction of late-onset (> 34 weeks of gestation) or term PE and FGR is poor, highlighting the possibility that the mechanism of disease may be related to underlying maternal cardiovascular and endothelial dysfunction rather than primary placental insufficiency.\(^21\)

One of the biggest challenges of modern obstetrics is to identify high-risk women as early as possible. This requires effective screening tools to enable the implementation of preventive measures that could possibly reduce the risk of PE and FGR, as well as their consequences.\(^22,23\)

There is a clear trend in the literature over the past 30 years toward attempting to predict pregnancy complications in the first trimester, a concept known as “inversion of the pyramid of prenatal care.”\(^23\) Furthermore, apart from the fact that high-risk
women can be monitored closely looking for signs of PE or fetal growth deviations, recent randomized trials and meta-analyses have shown that prevention is now possible through the use of low-dose aspirin, which when initiated before 16 weeks of gestation significantly reduces the risk of severe PE that would otherwise require premature delivery. The effect on a possible reduction of the prevalence of FGR was demonstrated in a previous meta-analysis but could not be confirmed in a recent large randomized trial (the study was underpowered for this secondary outcome).

The aim of this article is to review the current literature regarding the role of uterine artery Doppler in screening for PE and FGR.

Methods

A literature search was conducted using Medline, PubMed, MeSH and ScienceDirect. Combinations of the search terms “preeclampsia,” “screening,” “prediction,” “Doppler,” “Doppler velocimetry,” “fetal growth restriction,” “small for gestational age” and “uterine artery” were used, and abstracts were reviewed to identify articles of interest. Peer-reviewed articles published in English and related to the use of uterine artery Doppler isolated or in association with other markers in screening for placental-related disorders (PE and FGR) were included. Reviews and articles in languages other than English were excluded.

Results

The primary search returned 97 articles. Of those, 30 met the inclusion criteria and were included in this review.

Uterine Artery Doppler Velocimetry

1. Physiologic changes of the uteroplacental circulation in pregnancy

In 1983, Campbell et al described a novel technique for evaluation of the vascular resistance in the uterine arteries using pulsed Doppler. In normal pregnancies, a decrease in the uterine artery vascular resistance in the second trimester has also been described. This denotes a significant pattern modification in relation to the one presented by women that are non-pregnant or in the first trimester of pregnancy, which possibly reflects the second wave of trophoblastic invasion of spiral arteries that is completed between 16 and 18 weeks of gestation.

In pregnancies complicated by PE and/or FGR, frequently a decrease in resistance does not happen, leading to significantly increased peak systolic velocity, resistance and pulsatility indices (RI and PI, respectively). It is hypothesized that the mechanism of disease in late-onset PE and FGR is different, with no clear evidence of defective placentation, suggesting that in these cases the maternal cardiovascular system may be involved, and that the clinical manifestations may be due to the placenta no longer being able to meet the oxygen and nutrients demands of the fetus at its greatest.

2. Technical aspects

The ultrasound evaluation of the uterine arteries with Doppler should be performed following a standardized technique to obtain consistent and accurate measurements. It can be done both by abdominal and transvaginal ultrasound. In the first trimester (11 to 13 weeks' gestation), a sagittal view of the cervix should be obtained with identification of the cervical canal and the internal cervical os. Subsequently, the ultrasound transducer is slightly angulated laterally with the color Doppler applied, enabling both uterine arteries to be identified at the level of the internal os.

In the second and third trimesters, a similar technique is adopted, but the uterine arteries should be identified at the level where they cross the external iliac artery, since this may be more difficult with advancing gestational age (Fig. 1B). Pulsed wave Doppler is then applied with a sampling volume of 2 mm and an insonation angle < 30°. The acquisition of at least three similar waves is recommended and, after correction of the insonation angle, the peak systolic velocity should be higher than 60 cm/s, ensuring that the insonated vessel is the uterine artery in its proximal portion. The mean uterine artery pulsatility index (PI) is then obtained using the average PI measurement of both right and left arteries. Measurements done in distal segments of the vessel tend to have a lower PI, whereas cervical branches overestimate the risk of pregnancy complications as they have higher PI values.

![Fig. 1](https://example.com/dopplerimage.png)

*Fig. 1* Doppler velocimetry of the uterine arteries showing a high resistance pattern with notching between the systolic and the diastolic components of the wave (A), characteristic of the first trimester, and a low resistance pattern (B), characteristic of the second trimester.
Transvaginal measurements are performed in a similar manner, positioning the transducer in the anterior vaginal fornix. This technique, however, leads to higher PI values and therefore transabdominal ultrasound is preferred.

3. Uterine artery Doppler as a single predictor of PE and FGR

In the 1990s and early 2000s, various studies reported a clear association between high resistance to blood flow in the uterine arteries and an increased risk of pregnancy complications, and reference ranges have been previously established. Nevertheless, the positive predictive value and the sensitivity of the test were poor in predicting adverse pregnancy outcomes.

Lees et al demonstrated that the mean uterine artery PI above the 95th percentile, measured at 23 weeks of gestation, has a strong association with poor fetal growth, placental abruption, PE and fetal death. Its use as a screening test would possibly allow for individual risk assessment and selection of patients who require close monitoring and an adequate delivery plan. In the same year, Papageorghiou et al reported that mean uterine artery PI above the 95th percentile (1.63) in the second trimester detected only 41% of the cases of PE and 16% of those complicated by FGR. Despite the low sensitivity, the same cutoff was able to detect 93% of the PE cases and 56% of the FGR cases that required delivery before 32 weeks of gestation. Yu et al evaluated more than 30,000 pregnancies in 2008, and, similarly, found that uterine artery Doppler was able to predict most cases of preterm PE, but not the ones that happened at term.

Using the 95th percentile as a unique cutoff value (2.35 at 11 to 13+6 weeks and 1.60 at 18 to 24 weeks) does not seem to be appropriate, as different maternal characteristics, such as ethnic origin, weight and height, independently influence the PI values. Hence, the utilization of a unique value might not accurately reflect the resistance levels, and the expression of the mean PI in multiples of the median (MoM) after adjustment for maternal characteristics is preferable.

In a recent meta-analysis including 18 studies and more than 55,000 pregnancies, the use of uterine arteries PI above the 90th percentile in the first trimester was only able to identify 47% of the cases of early-onset PE and 39.2% of the cases of early-onset FGR, with a false-positive rate of 7%. The identification of presence or absence of diastolic notchng as a marker of vascular resistance also has low sensitivity and specificity, mainly in the first trimester, when it is present in more than half of the cases.

In twin pregnancies, the uterine artery Doppler for prediction of pregnancy adverse outcomes has been less studied. The mean PI tends to be lower and even less predictive of complications secondary to placental dysfunction.

In summary, although the mean uterine artery PI evaluated by Doppler is significantly elevated in patients who will develop early and severe forms of PE or FGR, the accuracy of this test as a standalone screening tool is poor, with low sensitivity and positive predictive value. Its use as part of predictive algorithms that combine maternal characteristics, maternal history and other biophysical and biochemical markers seems more promising, with higher detection rates and acceptable false-positive rates.

Multimarker Screening and Predictive Algorithms

Traditional methods of stratification of risk for PE and FGR are based on a detailed evaluation of maternal characteristics and medical history. Two commonly used methods are in the guidelines from the National Institute for Health and Care Excellence (NICE), from the United Kingdom (UK), and the American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists (ACOG), in the United States of America (USA).

In the UK, the national policy is to apply the protocol recommended by the NICE guidelines in the first prenatal visit, with pregnant women considered high-risk for PE if they have one high risk factor (history of hypertensive disease in previous pregnancy, chronic kidney disease, autoimmune disease (not specified in the guidelines), diabetes mellitus or chronic hypertension) or two moderate-risk factors (first pregnancy, age > 40 years, interpregnancy interval > 10 years, body mass index [BMI] at first visit > 35 kg/m2 or family history of PE).

Likewise, the ACOG recommendations suggest that a pregnant woman is high-risk for PE if she has any of the following risk factors: nulliparity, age > 40 years, body mass index > 30 kg/m2, conception by in vitro fertilization (IVF), history of previous pregnancy with PE, family history of systemic lupus erythematosus or thrombophilia.

The main problems with these approaches is that they lack validation with prospective studies and they attribute similar weights to different factors that actually impact differently on one’s risk of developing PE. Recent studies suggest that the NICE guidelines identify only ~ 40% of the cases of PE that require delivery before 37 weeks of gestation. The ACOG recommendations, in turn, allow detection of 90% of these cases, but with a very high false-positive rate of 64.2%.

Several studies have been published proposing an alternative approach based on multiple regression predictive models. Such algorithms aim to estimate the individual’s pretest (a priori risk) and posttest (adjusted risk) probability of developing PE and FGR based not only on maternal risk factors, but also on a combination of biophysical and biochemical markers.

The most studied and used algorithm for PE risk calculation at the 11 to 13+6 weeks ultrasound, at the same time of the first trimester combined screening for chromosomal abnormalities, is the one developed by the Fetal Medicine Foundation (FMF). This method uses different combinations of maternal factors with biophysical markers (mean arterial pressure [MAP] and mean uterine artery PI, which are significantly elevated in women that will later develop severe and preterm forms of FGR and PE) and biochemical markers (PAPP-A and PI GF, which are significantly reduced in women that will later develop severe and early forms of PE and FGR) to estimate the risk of PE and FGR (– Table 1). When all markers are used, ~ 90% of the PE cases that require delivery before 32 weeks, 75% of the PE cases that require delivery before 37 weeks and 55% of the cases of FGR that require delivery before 34 weeks can be identified in the first trimester. Even in low-resource settings where biochemical tests may not be available, the combination of maternal factors with MAP and uterine artery Doppler still detects a large proportion of PE cases (– Table 1).
The risk calculation can be performed with the use of commercial ultrasound software that contains the equations or can be done freely online (www.fetalmedicine.org). The algorithm has been prospectively validated in different populations, with similar results obtained to those seen during the original development of the model. In the recently published Aspirin for Evidence-based Preeclampsia Prevention (ASPRE) trial, 26,941 women were screened for preterm PE in the first trimester with the FMF algorithm, and 76% of the cases were detected at a cutoff of 1:100. Early initiation of 150 mg of aspirin at 12 weeks of gestation reduced the incidence of preterm PE by 62% and of PE requiring delivery before 34 weeks by 82%. Moreover, the incidence of FGR in the randomized population was twice as high as would be expected in the general population, highlighting the similar placental origin of both conditions. Although the study was not powered for the reduction of FGR and this was not significant, there was a trend for reduction of stillbirths, neonatal deaths and FGR among those receiving aspirin.

Similar risk calculations can be performed in the second and third trimesters. No preventive interventions were proven to reduce the incidence of PE or FGR when started after 16 weeks of gestation, but in many countries, a significant number of women start prenatal care after 16 weeks and identifying high-risk patients could still be of value in offering them close monitoring of blood pressure and fetal growth surveillance.

Multimarker approaches could theoretically be applied in twin pregnancies, but the false-positive rate seems to be much higher (around 75%). Furthermore, there is insufficient data as to whether preventive measures that are proven to work in singleton pregnancies, such as low-dose aspirin started before 16 weeks of gestation, will also work in multiple pregnancies.

While first trimester predictive algorithms for PE appear to be promising, the same approach for FGR detects only half of the cases that will require premature delivery. The identification of women that should be offered third trimester serial fetal growth assessment by ultrasound remains based on the identification of maternal risk factors, such as maternal health conditions, obstetric history of a previous pregnancy affected by FGR and smoking status. For the prediction of late-onset PE and FGR (at term), none of the methods described has satisfactory accuracy. This highlights the possibility that these cases are more related to underlying maternal cardiovascular dysfunction or placental insufficiency at term rather than inadequate trophoblastic invasion, since most biomarkers predictive of inadequate placental development perform poorly for term PE and FGR. Equally, prevention with aspirin does not seem to reduce the incidence of PE and FGR at term.

**Conclusion**

Prediction of PE and FGR remains an important challenge in clinical practice. Screening by maternal characteristics and history has poor sensitivity, as well as the utilization of uterine artery Doppler or any other marker alone. There is a clear association of elevated mean uterine artery PI, in both first and second trimesters, with the occurrence of PE and FGR, but its application as an isolated screening test shows low positive predictive value and sensitivity. The combination of uterine artery Doppler, maternal risk factors and mean arterial pressure, with or without circulating biomarkers, increases the detection rate (sensitivity) and reduces false-positive results in identifying the high-risk group that benefits from aspirin use and those most at risk of a premature delivery, which are largely responsible for most of the

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Screening method</th>
<th>Detection rate (%)</th>
<th>Detection rate (%)</th>
<th>Detection rate (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>PE &gt; 32 weeks</td>
<td>PE &lt; 37 weeks</td>
<td>PE &gt; 37 weeks</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Detection rate (%)</td>
<td>Detection rate (%)</td>
<td>Detection rate (%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>FP 5%</td>
<td>FP 10%</td>
<td>FP 5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maternal factors</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MAP</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UtPI, MAP</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>94</td>
<td>53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UtPI, PAPP-A</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UtPI, PIGF</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UtPI, MAP, PAPP-A</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>94</td>
<td>61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UtPI, MAP, PIGF, PAPP-A</td>
<td>94</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UtPI, MAP, PIGF, PAPP-A</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UtPI, MAP, PAPP-A, PIGF</td>
<td>94</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>66</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviations: FP, false-positive; MAP, mean arterial pressure; PAPP-A, pregnancy associated plasma protein–A; PE, preeclampsia; PIGF, placental growth factor; UtPI, mean uterine artery pulsatility index.
morbidty, mortality and economic burden related to placental disease.
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