Initial and Long-Term Treatment of Pulmonary Embolism: Current Approach and Future Perspectives
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Abstract

Pulmonary embolism is associated with variable risk of early mortality, ranging from less than 1% to more than 15%. Risk stratification, based on clinical variables and signs of right ventricular dysfunction, is crucial to decide the best management and treatment strategy. Home therapy may be an option for low-risk patients, whereas patients at intermediate risk need to be hospitalized and some of them, at intermediate high risk, may require more intensive monitoring to early detect signs of haemodynamic decompensation. The initial treatment is based on anticoagulants with rapid onset of action, either parenteral (heparin/fondaparinux) or oral (direct oral anticoagulants, DOACs). Thereafter, DOACs (or, if contraindicated, vitamin K antagonists) needs to be continued for at least 3 months. Beyond this period, an individual re-evaluation of the risk-to-benefit ratio of anticoagulation should be performed, based on several factors, including the type of index event, age, sex, D-dimer and residual venous obstruction. Possibly safer strategies can be offered to higher risk patients requiring extended duration of treatment, including the DOACs apixaban and rivaroxaban at reduced dose.
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Zusammenfassung

Bei Lungenembolien besteht ein variables, zwischen unter 1 % und mehr als 15 % liegendes Risiko der Frühmortalität. Für die Entscheidung über das beste Management und die Behandlungsstrategie ist eine Risikostratifizierung auf Grundlage der klinischen Parameter und der rechtsventrikulären Dysfunktion unabdingbar. Für Patienten mit niedrigem Risiko kann eine Heimtherapie in Frage kommen, während Patienten mit mittlerem Risiko stationär behandelt werden müssen; bei einem höheren bis hohen Risiko ist eventuell eine intensivere Überwachung nötig, um Anzeichen für eine hämodynamische Dekompensation frühzeitig aufzudecken. Die Anfangsbehandlung besteht aus Antikoagulantien mit raschem Wirkungseintritt, entweder parenteral (Heparin/Fondaparinux) oder oral (direkte orale Antikoagulantien, DOAKs). Anschließend sind für mindestens 3 Monate DOAKs (oder, wenn kontraindiziert, Vitamin K- Antagonisten) zu verabreichen. Danach sollte, auf der Basis verschiedener Faktoren, wie Art des Index-Ereignisses, Alter, Geschlecht, D-Dimer und venöse thrombotische Residuen, eine individuelle Neubewertung des Nutzen-/Risikoverhältnisses der Antikoagulation erfolgen. Hochrisikopatienten, die eine längere Therapiedauer benötigen, kann man potenziell sicherere Alternativen anbieten, z. B. die DOAKs Apixaban und Rivaroxaban in geringerer Dosis.
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**Introduction**

Anticoagulant therapy is the mainstay of treatment for pulmonary embolism (PE). In recent years, several novel therapeutic options have become available as alternatives to the standard of treatment, both for the acute and long-term treatment. Meanwhile, the importance of prognostic stratification of PE in the acute phase has been widely recognized and is now recommended in clinical practice. Therefore, therapeutic strategies can now be tailored on individual patient characteristics. This also applies to the secondary prevention of venous thromboembolism (VTE), for which possibly safer strategies can be offered to higher risk patients requiring extended duration of treatment. On-going clinical trials are now assessing new agents that can further contribute to improve the management of PE patients.

**Initial Treatment**

**Prognostic Stratification**

PE may display many different clinical characteristics at presentation, including chest pain, dyspnoea, haemoptysis, cough, tachycardia, fever and syncope. Less commonly, the clinical presentation can be either very mild or even silent (unsuspected PE) or very severe, with haemodynamic collapse. This latter clinical scenario is associated with the highest mortality rates (early mortality > 15%) and warrants immediate reperfusion therapies, including pharmacological and/or mechanical thrombolysis. In all other cases (i.e., PE without shock or persistent hypotension), it is crucial to stratify the short-term risk of adverse outcomes, to choose the optimal management strategy. Indeed, not only the clinical presentation may inform physicians about the risk of early mortality, but several additional variables should be taken into consideration, including age and sex, personal history of reduced cardiovascular reserve, active cancer, right ventricular dysfunction (RVD) or injury and site and extension of thrombosis.

As suggested by current clinical guidelines,\(^1,2\) when PE patients are haemodynamically stable, a primary distinction should be done to identify those patients at very low risk of adverse outcome (30 days mortality < 1%). For this purpose, the European Society of Cardiology (ESC) guidelines suggest the use of the Pulmonary Embolism Severity Index (PESI) or its simplified version (sPESI),\(^3,4\) a clinical prediction model (CPM) that includes 11 (or 6 in the simplified version) easily available variables. Even if PESI has yet to be supported by the results of an impact analysis, it represents the most widely validated CPM in this setting.\(^5,6\) As demonstrated by several studies, PESI has a high negative predictive value, being able to adequately identify PE patients at low risk of short-term adverse outcome.\(^5\)

Other variables have been shown to be associated with PE prognosis, including right-to-left ventricle diameter ratio at computed tomography (CT) scan, RVD at echocardiography, brain natriuretic peptide (BNP) and troponin levels. These parameters have shown high negative predictive values, although none has the same performance of PESI to discriminate patients at low risk.\(^1\) On the other hand, all these variables are associated with the risk of early mortality with odds ratios that vary between ~2 and 6.\(^2\) Therefore, these markers have been proposed to identify haemodynamically stable patients at intermediate risk of early mortality.

**Therapeutic Approach**

The treatment of PE traditionally consists of three subsequent phases, initial (0–7 days), long-term (from 7 days to 3 months) and extended (from 3 months to indefinite).

The initial treatment requires anticoagulant drugs with a rapid onset of action, such as the parenteral heparins/fondaparinux or the direct oral anticoagulants (DOACs). Low-molecular weight heparin (LMWH), unfractionated heparin (UFH) or fondaparinux are administered for at least 5 days, either in association with the vitamin K antagonists (VKAs) or preceding the administration of the DOACs dabigatran or edoxaban. In contrast, the DOACs apixaban and rivaroxaban can be administered without lead-in parenteral treatment from the beginning, with a loading dose given for 7 or 21 days, respectively (\(\sim\) Table 1).

![Table 1](https://example.com/table1.png)

The choice of the treatment strategy depends on patient characteristics and on the clinical setting. DOACs were shown to be non-inferior to warfarin in terms of efficacy,\(^7–12\) and to significantly reduce the risk of major bleeding and intracranial bleeding, this latter by ~50%\.\(^13\) Thus, the efficacy and safety of the DOACs in patients with VTE has been confirmed by the results of post-marketing studies.\(^14–17\) Thus, evidence-based guidelines now suggest DOACs as the first treatment option for patients with PE.\(^18\)

VKAs remain a valid option in particular when contraindications to DOACs exist, such as severe renal or liver failure or concomitant use of interfering drugs such as strong inducers/inhibitors of CYP3A4 and competitors/inducers of P-glycoprotein (e.g., human immunodeficiency virus [HIV] protease inhibitors, azole antymycotics, carbamazepine, phenytoin, phenobarbital).\(^19\)

Patients with cancer-associated PE have the highest risk of recurrence (~20–25% per year)\(^20,21\) and benefit from LMWH, that is recommended for both the acute and the long-term phase of treatment for up to 3 to 6 months.\(^18,22–24\) LMWH was shown to be superior to warfarin at reducing the risk of VTE recurrence (hazard ratio [HR], 0.47; 95% confidence interval [CI], 0.32–0.71) with a similar risk of bleeding events\(^25\) (\(\sim\) Table 1).

Cancer patients in phase III trials of DOACs are underrepresented. Although sub-group analyses suggest DOACs are effective in reducing the risk of recurrent VTE as compared with VKAs,\(^26\) no head-to-head comparison with LMWH is currently available. Randomized controlled trials directly comparing DOACs with LMWH in the acute treatment of VTE have recently completed enrollment (Hokusai–Cancer trial)\(^27\) or are on-going (Caravaggio trial, NCT03045406).

**Setting of Care**

Patients identified to be at low risk of short-term adverse outcomes represent at least 40% of PE patients and may
benefit from a short hospital stay (48 hours) or home treatment.\(^5^,\(^2^8^)\) This option is currently suggested for patients who have also adequate home circumstances.\(^1^,\(^1^6^)\)

Several approaches have been proposed to identify and manage this group of PE patients. In a randomized controlled trial comparing discharge after PE diagnosis at the emergency department with hospitalization in low-risk patients defined by PESI class I–II or simplified PESI 0, no increase in adverse events was documented.\(^2^8^)\) In two prospective cohort management studies, patients suitable for outpatient treatment defined by the Hestia criteria (a list of exclusion criteria for home treatment), were safely managed with very low rates of adverse events.\(^2^9^,\(^3^0^)\)

This approach may be appealing in several countries, since the mean length of hospital stay (LOS) for PE remains high both in Europe and in the United States.\(^3^1^–^3^3^)\) It should be also mentioned that the use of the DOACs has already resulted in a reduction of LOS in patients with venous thrombosis.\(^1^4^)\) In addition, a trend towards a reduction of LOS was already observed in recent years, even before the availability of DOACs, probably due to the improved prognostic stratification.\(^3^2^,\(^3^3^)\)

For patients with PESI risk class III–IV-V or simplified PESI \(\geq 1\), the risk of early mortality is not negligible and hospitalization is recommended. Because this group defined at intermediate risk is heterogeneous, with mortality rates ranging from 2 to 10 to 15%, a further stratification into intermediate-low and intermediate-high risk was proposed.\(^2^)\) This latter group benefits from a more intensive monitoring to early detect signs of haemodynamic decompensation. The simultaneous presence of imaging signs of RVD and the positivity of cardiac laboratory biomarkers, as suggested by the ESC guidelines to identify intermediate-high risk patients, was adopted in the PEITHO trial, a randomized controlled trial comparing systemic fibrinolysis with placebo on top of anticoagulant therapy.\(^3^4^)\) This approach was effective to identify patients who could benefit from treatment with tenecteplase, with a significant reduction in the combined primary outcome of mortality and haemodynamic decompensation (but no significant reduction in mortality alone), although this benefit was offset by a significant increase in major bleeding rates.

The DOACs have not been tested in specifically designed trials on PE patients at intermediate-high risk of mortality. A sub-group analysis of the HOKUSAI VTE trial found a statistically significant reduction with LMWH/edoxaban, as compared with LMWH/warfarin in VTE recurrence (HR, 0.52; 95% CI, 0.28–0.98) in PE patients with RVD defined by increased N-terminal pro-BNP levels.\(^3^5^)\) In the EINSTEN-PE trial, patients with anatomically extensive PE treated with rivaroxaban showed a similar incidence of VTE recurrence as compared with patients treated with LMWH/warfarin (2.5% vs. 2.2%).\(^1^0^)\) However, the question of whether lead-in parenteral treatment in PE patients at intermediate-high risk is warranted remains open.

Finally, in case of shock or sustained hypotension at presentation, reperfusion therapy should be performed by using systemic fibrinolysis, unless contraindicated. Alternative strategies in case of high bleeding risk or fibrinolysis failure include the use of endovascular or surgical thromboembolectomy. This issue is specifically discussed in another article included in this issue by Kucher et al.

### Long-Term and Extended Treatment

#### Who Should Continue on Anticoagulation

After the initial acute phase, patients should continue on anticoagulant therapy for at least 3 months.\(^1^8^)\) Beyond this period, there is adequate evidence to suggest that continuing anticoagulation for a definite time only postpones the risk of

| Table 1 Therapeutic options for initial and early maintenance therapy |
|------------------|---------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|---------------|----------------|
|                  | Apixaban      | Edoxaban       | Rivaroxaban     | Dabigatran     | VKA           | LMWH (cancer patients) |
| Initial treatment| 10 mg bid for 7 d | Parenteral anticoagulation for at least 5 d, then 60 mg od\(^a\) | 15 mg bid for 21 d | Parenteral anticoagulation for at least 5 d, then 150 mg bid\(^b\) | Parenteral anticoagulation for at least 5 d associated with VKA AND until INR \(>2\), then VKA only (INR range, 2–3) | Full dose for 1 mo |
| Early maintenance therapy | 5 mg bid | 60 mg od\(^a\) | 20 mg od | 150 mg bid\(^b\) | INR, 2–3 | 50–75% of full dose after 1st mo |

Abbreviations: INR, international normalized ratio; LMWH, low-molecular weight heparin; VKA, vitamin K antagonists.

\(^a\)Doses should be reduced, as tested in the Hokusai trial and according the Summary of Product Characteristics, to 30 mg od for patients with one or more of the following factors: creatinine clearance 15–50 mL/min; body weight \(\leq 60\) kg; concomitant treatment with potent P-gp inhibitors (ciclosporin, dronedarone, erythromycin, ketoconazole).

\(^b\)Doses should be reduced, according the Summary of Product Characteristics (not directly tested in the RE-COVERY I and II trials), to 110 mg bid for patients aged \(\geq 80\) years and/or receiving concomitant verapamil and should be considered for the following groups, based on an individual assessment of the thromboembolic risk and the risk of bleeding:

- Patients between 75 and 80 years.
- Patients with moderate renal impairment.
- Patients with gastritis, oesophagitis or gastro-oesophageal reflux.
- Other patients at increased risk of bleeding.
VTE recurrence, without substantially reducing it (so-called ‘catch-up’ phenomenon). It should be noted, however, that clinicians tend to prolong the duration of treatment up to 6 to 12 months, in case of both provoked and unprovoked event.

When deciding the optimal duration of anticoagulation, the individual risk of recurrent VTE must be balanced against the risk of bleeding, taking into consideration several clinical variables and also patients values and preferences. The major determinant of the recurrence risk is represented by the presence and type of provoking factors identified at the time of the index event. In the presence of major transient risk factors, such as major surgery, pregnancy/puerperium, immobilization due to trauma or related to an acute medical illness, the risk of recurrence is low (< 5%/year) and continuation of anticoagulation beyond 3 months is not warranted. On the other end of the spectrum, permanent provoking factors such as active cancer or chronic inflammatory diseases are associated with a high risk of recurrence and, thus, indefinite anticoagulation is warranted.

However, in up to 50% of patients with PE a major provoking factor cannot be identified. In these patients, recurrence rates have been reported to be as high as 50% after 8 to 10 years, and indefinite duration of anticoagulation is suggested by evidence-based guidelines when bleeding risk is sufficiently low. However, this group of PE patients is quite heterogeneous and this approach may not be necessary for all patients. Some baseline characteristics have been consistently associated with a higher risk of recurrence, with a mild to moderate strength of association: male sex, age > 65 years and obesity. Moreover, thrombus location was also found to be associated with the risk of recurrent VTE, with an increasing risk going from distal deep vein thrombosis (DVT) to proximal DVT to PE. Also, it has been shown that patients presenting with PE are more likely to recur with PE. Finally, some variables measured at the end of anticoagulant therapy may also assist clinicians to determine the optimal duration of treatment, including the presence of residual venous occlusion (RVO) or residual perfusion defects, post-thrombotic syndrome (PTS) or elevated D-dimer.

RVO, that is measured by means of compression ultrasound of the veins involved in DVT, has been shown to be independently associated with recurrent VTE, with variable strength across several studies. An individual patient data meta-analysis found that RVO is meaningful when measured at 3 months after the index event, with a HR of 2.17 (95% CI, 1.11–4.25). Recently, also residual pulmonary obstruction was shown to be independently associated with recurrent VTE in two cohort studies conducted in Italy and in France, with HR of 2.26 (95% CI 1.23–4.16) and 1.94 (95% CI, 1.11–3.39), respectively.

In several studies enrolling patients with unprovoked or ‘weakly-provoked’ VTE who completed at least 3 months of anticoagulation, D-dimer was measured just before withholding anticoagulation or within the subsequent month to evaluate its ability to predict recurrent VTE. The results of these studies invariably show that D-dimer is significantly associated with the risk of recurrent VTE. Subsequently, D-dimer was used in combination with RVO in a management study that demonstrated that anticoagulation can be safely withdrawn in ~40% of patients with unprovoked VTE who have negative D-dimer associated with the absence of RVO (in case of DVT) or normal pulmonary artery pressure (in case of PE). Moreover, D-dimer is included in all the three available CPMs on the risk of recurrent VTE.

Even if the abovementioned variables are independent predictors of recurrent VTE, their association is not strong enough to rely on their value when deciding to prolong or discontinue anticoagulation. To improve the prediction of VTE recurrence, CPMs have been proposed, derived and validated to select a group of patients with unprovoked VTE who can safely discontinue anticoagulant treatment at the end of the initially planned period (at least 3 months) (-Table 2). The Canadian ‘men continue and HERDOO2’ rule was first derived and internally validated in 2008, showing that men are at high risk of recurrence, as well as women with at least two characteristics among ‘HER’ (hyperpigmentation, oedema, redness in either leg), positive ‘D’-dimer (≥ 250 µg/L, measured during anticoagulant treatment), older age (≥ 65 years) and obesity (body mass index ≥ 30). This rule was recently validated in a multinational prospective cohort management study, that showed that ~50% of women were classified as low risk and therefore discontinued anticoagulant treatment, with

### Table 2 Clinical prediction models for recurrent VTE after first unprovoked VTE

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>DASH</th>
<th>MEN CONTINUE and HER-DOO2</th>
<th>VIENNA model</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>D₂</td>
<td>D-dimer (qualitative or ≥ 500 ng/mL at 3–5 wk)</td>
<td>Men</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A₁</td>
<td>Age ≤ 50 y</td>
<td>HER₁</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S₁</td>
<td>Male sex</td>
<td>D₁</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H₂</td>
<td>Hormone use in women</td>
<td>O₁</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>O₁</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviations: DVT, deep vein thrombosis; VTE, venous thromboembolism.
an incidence of recurrent VTE of 3.0% per patient year (95% CI, 1.8–4.8%).

The DASH score was originally derived and internally validated in 2012 within an individual patient data meta-analysis and is based on post-anticoagulation D-dimer (2 points), age ≤ 50 years (1 point), male sex (1 point) and hormone use at time of initial VTE in women only (−2 points). The annual incidence of recurrent VTE was 3.1% (95% CI, 2.4–3.9) in patients with a DASH score of ≤ 1 and 9.3% (95% CI, 8.1–10.8) in patients with a DASH score of > 1. This model has been recently externally validated in a prospective cohort study.

Finally, the Vienna model was published in 2010 and includes sex, site of index event and D-dimer. A nomogram based on the model was designed to easily calculate patients’ cumulative recurrence rate at 12 and 60 months after cessation of therapy. More recently, the original model was updated, by recalculating the risk of recurrence using new measurements of D-dimer levels at 3, 9 and 15 months after cessation of therapy. The Vienna prediction model is currently being tested in a randomized trial, in comparison to usual care, to decide on treatment duration (the VISTA study).

All these CPMs can support clinicians when deciding on the duration of anticoagulant treatment. Indeed, they may represent a useful tool to identify some sub-groups of patients who are at low risk of recurrence. However, it should be noted that none of these CPM has been extensively validated and only the HERDOO2 model has been tested in a single management study. Moreover, for patients not identified at low risk of recurrence, the decision should still be taken on an individual basis, taking into account also the bleeding risk and patients’ values and preferences. Therefore, these CPMs can support clinicians when deciding on anticoagulant treatment continuation after a first episode of unprovoked VTE, but, at the moment, they should not be considered as single tools to rely on.

In addition to the abovementioned CPMs, several scores have also been proposed to stratify the risk of bleeding in patients receiving anticoagulant treatment for VTE. These scores may be of some help when balancing the recurrence and bleeding risks, even if the predictive value of most of them needs to be better confirmed. Ideally, some recently validated bleeding scores, which showed good predictive performances, should be tested in management studies before being implemented in clinical practice.

**Therapeutic Options for Extended Treatment**

Several treatment options have been assessed in the extended treatment phase of VTE. These include oral anticoagulants (DOACs or VKA), acetylsalicylic acid (ASA) and sulodexide.

Oral anticoagulants represent the first choice in terms of efficacy, because they are associated with a 90% reduction of the risk of recurrent VTE as compared with placebo.

The use VKAs is associated with a 1 to 2% yearly risk of major bleeding. To improve their safety, lower intensity strategies were tested (international normalized ratio [INR] range, 1.5–2), but failed to show a clinical benefit.

The DOACs have been extensively assessed in the extended treatment of VTE, but only in one study dabigatran was compared with warfarin, showing similar efficacy and safety (although a non-statistically significant 50% reduction of major bleeding events was observed with the use of dabigatran). In all other studies, the DOACs were compared with placebo or ASA (Table 3).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 3 Therapeutic options for extended treatment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Dosage</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.5 or 5 mg bid</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VTE recurrence risk reduction compared with placebo (95% CI)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviations: ASA, acetylsalicylic acid; CI, confidence interval; HR, hazard ratio; INR, international normalized ratio; RR, relative risk; VKA, vitamin K antagonists; VTE, venous thromboembolism.

Doses should be reduced, as tested in the Hokusai trial and according the Summary of Product Characteristics, to 30 mg od for patients with one or more of the following factors: creatinine clearance 15–50 mL/min; body weight ≤ 60 kg; concomitant treatment with potent P-gp inhibitors (ciclosporin, dronedarone, erythromycin, ketoconazole).

Doses should be reduced, according the Summary of Product Characteristics (not directly tested in the RE-MEDY and RE-SONATE trials), to 110 mg bid for patients aged ≥ 80 years and/or receiving concomitant verapamil and should be considered for the following groups, based on an individual assessment of the thromboembolic risk and the risk of bleeding:

- Patients between 75 and 80 years.
- Patients with moderate renal impairment.
- Patients with gastritis, oesophagitis or gastro-oesophageal reflux.
- Other patients at increased risk of bleeding.
In the EINSTEIN-Extension trial, rivaroxaban was also found to significantly reduce the risk of recurrent VTE, as compared with placebo (HR, 0.18, 95% CI, 0.09–0.39).9 Very recently, the EINSTEIN-Choice trial was published, comparing rivaroxaban 20 mg and rivaroxaban 10 mg to ASA 100 mg.70 The results demonstrated superiority of both doses of rivaroxaban compared with ASA (HR, 0.34, 95% CI, 0.20–0.59 and HR, 0.26, 95% CI, 0.14–0.47, respectively), with a non-statistically different risk of major bleeding.

The rationale for including ASA in the EINSTEIN-Choice trial relies on the results of two studies (WARFASA and ASPIRE)71,72 that demonstrated efficacy of low-dose ASA in reducing the risk of VTE recurrence (HR, 0.68, 95% CI, 0.51–0.90), as well as arterial thrombosis (HR, 0.66, 95% CI, 0.51–0.86), after an unprovoked VTE event, with a non-significant increased risk of major bleeding (HR, 1.47, 95% CI, 0.70–3.08).73 Based on these results, evidence-based guidelines suggest aspirin over no aspirin to prevent recurrent VTE (Grade 2B), in patients from an unprovoked proximal DVT or PE who are stopping anticoagulant therapy and do not have a contraindication to aspirin.18 However, this recommendation is now questionable after the results of the EINSTEIN-Choice study.

Finally, sulodexide, a natural glycosaminoglycan with antithrombotic and profibrinolytic activities, was recently compared with placebo in patients with a first unprovoked VTE after completion of VKA therapy for 3 to 12 months (SURVET).74 Sulodexide was effective in reducing the risk of recurrent VTE by 51% without increasing the risk of bleeding.

Table 4 On-going trials on VTE-related indications for direct oral anticoagulants

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study</th>
<th>Population</th>
<th>Intervention</th>
<th>Comparison</th>
<th>Primary Outcome</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Venous Thromboembolism in Renally Impaired Patients and Direct Oral Anticoagulants (VERDICT) NCT02664155</td>
<td>Acute VTE patients with moderate or severe renal insufficiency</td>
<td>Rivaroxaban (15 mg od after standard 3 wk loading dose), apixaban (2.5 bid after standard 1 wk loading dose)</td>
<td>LMWH/UFH Warfarin</td>
<td>Recurrent VTE and major bleeding</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Treatment of Splanchnic Vein Thrombosis With Rivaroxaban. A Pilot, Prospective Cohort Study (RivaSVT100) NCT02627053</td>
<td>Acute portal, mesenteric or splenic vein thrombosis</td>
<td>Rivaroxaban (standard dosing)</td>
<td>Not applicable</td>
<td>Major bleeding</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Xarelto Versus no Treatment for the Prevention of Recurrent Thrombosis in Patients With Chronic Portal Vein Thrombosis (RIPORT) NCT02555111</td>
<td>Chronic portal vein thrombosis</td>
<td>Rivaroxaban 15 mg od</td>
<td>No anticoagulation</td>
<td>Thromboembolic events (arterial or venous) or death</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Apixaban for the Secondary Prevention of Thromboembolism Among Patients With the Antiphospholipid Syndrome (ASTRO-APS) NCT02295475</td>
<td>Antiphospholipid syndrome</td>
<td>Apixaban 5 mg bid</td>
<td>Warfarin (INR, 2–3)</td>
<td>Arterial and/or venous thrombosis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rivaroxaban in Thrombotic Antiphospholipid Syndrome (TRAPS) NCT02157272</td>
<td>Triple-positive antiphospholipid syndrome</td>
<td>Rivaroxaban 20 mg od (15 mg if creatinine clearance between 30 and 49 mL/min)</td>
<td>Warfarin (INR, 2–3)</td>
<td>Arterial and/or venous thrombosis, major bleeding or death</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(Continued)
standard therapy for haemodynamically stable PE patients. This drug (DS1040) acts as an inhibitor of the activated form of thrombin-activatable fibrinolysis inhibitor (TAFIa), thus enhancing the endogenous fibrinolysis.
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