Management of Atypical Squamous Cell Cases: A Prospective Study of Women seen at a Private Health Service in Northeastern Brazil
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Abstract

Objective To assess the management chosen by gynecologists after atypical squamous cells (ASCs) cytology results, and to evaluate the outcomes of these cases in Brazilian women.

Methods A prospective observational study evaluated the initial management offered by the gynecologist in the case of 2,458 ASCs cytology results collected between January of 2010 and July of 2016. The outcomes of the cytology, high-risk human papilloma virus (HR-HPV) test and histology were compared in two subgroups: atypical squamous cells of undetermined significance (ASC-US) and atypical squamous cells-cannot exclude high-grade squamous intraepithelial lesion (ASC-H).

Results In many cases of ASC-US (36.97%) and ASC-H (40.50%), no clinical actions were taken. Cytology was the most frequent follow-up chosen, including for cases of ASC-H, which goes against the conduct recommended in the national guideline. In women over 30 years of age, the period of time elapsed between an ASC-US result and a new cytology was in 13.03 months, in disagreement with the national guideline recommendations ($p < 0.0001$). Negative for intraepithelial lesions or malignancy (NILM) cytologic ($p = 0.0026$) and histologic ($p = 0.0017$) results in the follow-up were associated with prior ASC-US, while negative results for ASC-H were cytologically ($p < 0.0001$) and histologically associated with high-grade squamous intraepithelial lesion (HSIL) ($p < 0.0001$). Two invasive cervical carcinomas (ICCs) were found in the follow-up for ASC-H, and there was a statistically significant association ($p = 0.0341$). A positive HR-HPV test was associated with ASC-H ($p = 0.0075$).

Conclusion The data suggest that even for a population of Brazilian women assisted at private clinics, the national guidelines recommendations for ASCs results are not followed.
Introduction

Despite being preventable, uterine cervical cancer persists as an important cause of women’s morbidity and mortality, especially in developing countries. Since it was adopted as a screening method in the middle of the last century, the Papanicolaou (PAP) test remains a preferred method of screening and has reduced the incidence and mortality of cervical cancer; however, this fundamental procedure presents a high variation of sensitivity and reproducibility for the detection of cancer precursor lesions. In addition to this limitation, the spectrum of cytological diagnoses also presents a group of atypical squamous cell findings, whose cytomorphological changes do not allow a definitive diagnosis. Therefore, there remains a gray area of persistent controversy among both gynecologists and cytopathologists.

The Bethesda System (TBS) recognizes two atypical diagnoses in squamous cells: atypical squamous cells of undetermined significance (ASC-US), which occurs when there is uncertainty between findings of reactive changes and low-grade squamous intraepithelial lesion (LSIL), and atypical squamous cells—cannot exclude high-grade squamous intraepithelial lesion (ASC-H), which is identified when the doubt is whether it is an immature and reactive metaplasia or a high-grade intraepithelial lesion (HSIL). In fact, these cytological abnormalities are not defined as a specific biological entity, but as result of an interpretive uncertainty; they do not even have a corresponding histopathological description. Thus, the cytologic diagnosis remains inconclusive, and this leads to a clinical dilemma regarding the best way of managing such cases.

The number of patients lost to cervical cancer screening follow-ups is greater in developing countries, and it has been reported that a delay in the diagnosis of this cancer is correlated with lower survival rates. Several factors such as socioeconomic status, access to healthcare facilities, prior partner permission and family history may affect a screening program success, but a previous health guidance is crucial to enable a patient’s return, especially in the case of dubious results, such as ASCs.

Referral to colposcopy/biopsy may burden the health system too much, since many low-grade lesions clear up spontaneously. On the other hand, many patients with atypical findings may correspond to high-grade lesions or even invasive cervical cancer (ICC). In Brazil, the Ministry of Health (MS) and the National Cancer Institute (INCA) recommend that a cytology with an ASC-US result should be repeated in a variable time depending on the patient’s age, and, in the case of ASC-H, the recommendation is for a colposcopy to be performed, regardless of the age of the patient.
This study aims to determine what methods are chosen after an ASCs cytology and to evaluate the outcomes of cases diagnosed with ASC-US and ASC-H over a 6-year period from a private health service in a large city in northeastern Brazil.

**Methods**

This is an observational and prospective study exclusively performed on laboratory database records of cases diagnosed as ASCs between January of 2010 and July of 2016 in the city of Fortaleza (Brazil) and, therefore, informed consent was not necessary. It was approved by the Ethics and Research Committee of the Federal University of Ceará (protocol number: 55957716.3.0000.5054).

The case records were scrutinized form a database to determine, for each ASCs cytology, the respective clinical management and results. Samples from ~163 gynecologists were assessed. Patients of any age who were not currently pregnant or immunocompromised were included. Altogether, 2,458 cervical samples with ASCs results were analyzed at the laboratory, and only one was excluded due Cushing syndrome.

All smears were collected using Liquid-Based Cytology by SurePath (BD, Franklin Lakes, NJ, USA) or Pap Smear, and the interpretations followed the TBS nomenclature. The HPV tests from the database were performed by Hybrid Capture 2 (HC2) (Qiagen AG, Hombrechtikon, Switzerland) or real-time polymerase chain reaction (PCR). The HC2 test was performed for high-risk (HR) HPV (genotypes 16, 18, 31, 33, 35, 39, 45, 51, 52, 56, 58, 59, 68). Expression was measured as the reactive light unit (RLU). The Cobas 4800 system (Roche Diagnostics, Pleasanton, CA, USA) with three channels (HPV16, HPV18, or other HR HPV types) was used according to the manufacturer's instructions.

The analysis of the management was based (until July 2011) on the consensus of the Brazilian Nomenclature for Cervical Reports and Recommended Practices of 2006; after July 2011, the 2011 Brazilian guidelines for the cervical cancer screening of INCA were used because they were applicable at the time of data collection.

To verify if the clinical management for ASC-US followed the current protocols, the data was separated into two groups: repeat cytology at 12 months for those under 30 years of age from August 2011 onwards and repeat cytology at 6 months for the remaining patients.

To evaluate the absence of evolution data in the sample, the patient records for those aged 30 years or over whose ASC-US results were collected up to 6 months before the end date of the study were excluded. Similarly, those patients aged less than 30 years whose ASC-US results were collected up to 12 months before the end date of the study were also excluded, and patients aged less than 30 years with ASC-H cytology whose data were collected within 6 months before the end date of the study were also excluded. These exclusions were performed because the absence of data in these cases would be expected.

Statistical analyses were performed using the GraphPad Prism software, version 6.0 (Graph-Pad Software Inc., San Diego, CA, USA). The mean and standard deviations were used for continuous variables. The Fisher exact test was applied for binomial variables, and a one-sample Student t-test was performed for continuous variables; the 95% confidence intervals (CIs) were used, and results were considered as statistically significant when $p < 0.05$.

**Results**

For the ASC-US group, the ages of the patients ranged from 14 to 85 years, with a mean of 33.12 years ($\pm$11.28); for the ASC-H group, the mean age was 37.10 years ($\pm$12.86), and they ranged from 17 to 82 years.

After applying the exclusion criteria to verify absence of evolution, the ASC-US results were 1,998. Among these specific cases, 739 (36.97%) did not present any evolution and 1,259 (63.03%) had evolution. The Fisher exact test was used to check for significant differences between the groups. The isolated biopsy was the only method that showed statistical significance between the groups, with 17 (11.81%) ASC-H records ($p = 0.0112$) (Table 1).

**Table 1** Atypical squamous cells subgroups and performed management ($n = 1,403$)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Evolution</th>
<th>ASC-US $n$ (%)</th>
<th>ASC-H $n$ (%)</th>
<th>$p$-value</th>
<th>Relative Risk (CI 95%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cytology</td>
<td>840 (66.72)</td>
<td>85 (59.02)</td>
<td>0.0775</td>
<td>1.036 (0.9960–1.078)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cytology + DNA-HPV</td>
<td>133 (10.56)</td>
<td>13 (9.02)</td>
<td>0.6662</td>
<td>1.017 (0.9634–1.073)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DNA-HPV</td>
<td>202 (16.04)</td>
<td>28 (19.44)</td>
<td>0.2868</td>
<td>1.231 (0.8353–1.814)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Biopsy</td>
<td>74 (5.88)</td>
<td>17 (11.81)</td>
<td>0.0112</td>
<td>1.930 (1.219–3.056)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Biopsy + DNA-HPV</td>
<td>10 (0.79)</td>
<td>1 (0.69)</td>
<td>1.0000</td>
<td>1.018 (0.8397–1.222)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>1,259 (100.00)</td>
<td>144 (100.00)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviations: ASC-US, atypical squamous cells of undetermined significance; ASC-H, atypical squamous cells cannot exclude high grade squamous intraepithelial lesion; CI, confidence interval. Fisher exact test. Statistical significance - $p < 0.05$. 
from 2 to 52 months. There is no statistically significant difference in cytological follow-up for ASC-US is significant. The NILM (76.23%) were NILM, and 9 (9.18%) were HSILs. The NILM for ASC-US cases, out of the 973 patients followed-up with a repeat cytology, 856 (87.98%) were negative for intraepithelial lesion or malignancy; 57 (5.86%) presented a cytological diagnosis of LSIL and 5 (0.51%) presented HSIL in the follow-up cytology. The ASC-H group, there were 98 cases out of which 75 (76.23%) were NILM, and 9 (9.18%) were HSILs. The NILM result in cytological follow-up for ASC-US is significantly more frequent than for ASC-H. It was significantly more frequent for ASC-H that the cytology remained ASC-H or progressed to HSIL (Table 2).

In ~148 biopsies performed after an ASC-US cytology, a predominance of NILM (65.54%) and LSIL (22.30%) was observed, and NILM was found to be more significant in this group than in the ASC-H group. The gap between the cytology and the biopsy was on average 4.2 (±4.4) months, ranging from 1 to 26 months. The biopsy results, such as the ones performed as follow-up of ASC-H cytology, showed that in half of the cases, the histopathological examination resulted in HSIL or higher. The histopathological finding of HSIL was significantly more frequent in the ASC-H group. The results are shown in Table 3. A total of 441 patients with ASC-US cytology underwent a high-risk-HPV (HR-HPV) test for the follow-up. Of these, 219 (49.66%) had positive HR-HPV at follow-up. The 58 patients with ASC-H cytology were followed-up with a HR-HPV molecular test, and in 40 (68.97%) cases, the test was positive. The HR-HPV test positivity was significantly higher for the ASC-H group (Table 4).

### Discussion
Cervical cancer is a well-known and preventable malignity, and yet, failure to reach women with particular age risks as well as losses of follow-up can lead to irreparable damage. In the United States, nearly half of the women with invasive squamous intraepithelial lesions; NILM, negative for intraepithelial lesion or malignancy.

Table 2 Cytology results as a follow-up from a prior atypical squamous cells result (n = 1,071)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>ASC-US n (%)</th>
<th>ASC-H n (%)</th>
<th>p-value</th>
<th>Relative Risk (CI 95%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NILM</td>
<td>856 (87.98)</td>
<td>75 (76.53)</td>
<td>0.0026</td>
<td>1.100 (1.020–1.187)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ASCUS</td>
<td>50 (5.14)</td>
<td>4 (4.08)</td>
<td>0.8110</td>
<td>1.020 (0.9437–1.103)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ASCH</td>
<td>2 (0.21)</td>
<td>3 (3.06)</td>
<td>0.0065</td>
<td>6.733 (3.209–14.13)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AGCs</td>
<td>3 (0.31)</td>
<td>2 (2.04)</td>
<td>0.0690</td>
<td>4.442 (1.492–13.22)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LSILs</td>
<td>57 (5.86)</td>
<td>5 (5.10)</td>
<td>1.0000</td>
<td>1.013 (0.9383–1.093)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HSILs</td>
<td>5 (0.51)</td>
<td>9 (9.18)</td>
<td>&lt; 0.0001</td>
<td>7.635 (4.926–11.83)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>973 (100)</td>
<td>98 (100)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviations: AGCs, atypical glandular cells; ASC-H, atypical squamous cells cannot exclude high grade squamous intraepithelial lesion; ASC-US, atypical squamous cells of undetermined significance; CI, confidence interval; HSILs, High-grade squamous intraepithelial lesions; LSILs, low-grade squamous intraepithelial lesions; NILM, negative for intraepithelial lesion or malignancy.

Table 3 Histopathological result as a follow-up from a previous atypical squamous cells cytology (n = 182)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>ASC-US n (%)</th>
<th>ASC-H n (%)</th>
<th>p-value</th>
<th>Relative Risk (CI 95%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NILM</td>
<td>97 (65.54)</td>
<td>12 (35.29)</td>
<td>0.0017</td>
<td>1.274 (1.081–1.502)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LSILs</td>
<td>33 (22.30)</td>
<td>5 (14.71)</td>
<td>0.4825</td>
<td>1.087 (0.9373–1.262)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HSILs</td>
<td>18 (12.16)</td>
<td>15 (44.12)</td>
<td>&lt; 0.0001</td>
<td>3.565 (2.031–6.255)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Invasive Cancer</td>
<td>0 (0.00)</td>
<td>2 (5.88)</td>
<td>0.0341</td>
<td>5.625 (4.108–7.702)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>148 (100)</td>
<td>34 (100)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviations: ASC-H, atypical squamous cells cannot exclude high grade squamous intraepithelial lesion; ASC-US, atypical squamous cells of undetermined significance; CI, confidence interval; HSILs, High-grade squamous intraepithelial lesions; LSILs, low-grade squamous intraepithelial lesions; NILM, negative for intraepithelial lesion or malignancy.

Fisher exact test. Statistical significance - p < 0.05.
cervical cancer diagnoses have never been screened, and an additional 10% of cancer cases occurred among untracked women in the last 5 years.\textsuperscript{14} The goal of cytology management with an ASCs result is to find patients who may present high-grade lesions or even underlying ICC. However, there is no single globally acceptable strategy for these cases. The ASC-US management includes repeat cytology, colposcopy and/or a HPV test,\textsuperscript{5,15} while ASC-H requires a prompt colposcopy to be performed.\textsuperscript{16}

To be effective, a screening program depends on the adequate follow-up of women with abnormal cytopathologic findings, and the Brazilian program for the control of cervical cancer has been described as ineffective.\textsuperscript{17,18} This study showed that no clinical management was found for 36.97% of ASC-US patients and for 40.50% ASC-H patients. This may occur for different reasons, but we believe that it is due to the lack of clinical uniformity, and inadequate information to the patients about the risks of this temporary diagnosis of ASCs. Other Brazilian authors also observed that women with Pap test abnormalities are not adequately targeted or referred according to the national guidelines.\textsuperscript{17}

This paper sought to assess whether the cytological repeat procedure followed the current national protocol. Until the launching of the Brazilian Guidelines for Cervical Cancer Screening, in July 2011, the consensus was that described in the Brazilian Nomenclature for Cervical Reports and Recommended Procedures, which recommended a repeat cytology in 6 months, regardless of age, for the ASC-US group. The 2011 guidelines indicated that, for women under 30 years of age, cytology should be repeated at 12 months, and the determination to repeat cytology should remain within 6 months for all other ages.\textsuperscript{17,19} For patients who were recommended a repeat cytology in 6 months, our results suggest that this was performed, on average, within 13.03 months, exhibiting a statistically significant difference in relation to the recommended time. No significant statistical difference was observed for the group with a recommendation for repeat cytology at 12 months, with a mean of 11.89 months. This result may indicate that repeat cytology management at 6 months has not occurred at the recommended time.

Due to the design of this study, we could not evaluate the gynecologist’s conduct in the presence of an ASC-H diagnosis, which must be followed-up with a colposcopy. However, a substantial number of these patients will undergo biopsies, which in turn are performed in the laboratory. Selvaggi\textsuperscript{16} found that 64% of the patients who undergo colposcopy due to an ASC-H cytology result will require a biopsy. In our study, only 12.5% of the patients underwent biopsy, a frequency five times lower than that expected for those who underwent colposcopy, which suggests that the approach recommended in these cases was not followed.

Cytology was more frequently performed at follow-up for both groups. For ASC-US, it was performed in 77.28% of all management, showing in most cases (87.98%) no cytological abnormalities in the follow-up. This data supports the national recommendations of repeat cytology ASC-US cases, due to a low frequency of persistence of abnormalities. The persistence of the ASC-US diagnosis occurred in 5.14% of the cases; this result is similar to that obtained in another study,\textsuperscript{9} which was slightly less frequent than the diagnosis of LSIL (5.86%). For the ASC-US group, only 0.5% were HSILs, which is very similar to that obtained by another study\textsuperscript{20} that showed that, in general, the most common evolution of these cases is resolution and, exceptionally, progression. Our study pointed out that 9.18% of the ASC-H group exhibited HSIL. In an abstraction exercise, if this same frequency was found in patients without a follow-up in our study population, we would have 3.8 ASC-US patients and 9 ASC-H patients progressing to HSIL, completely ignoring their condition.

Biopsies may have been performed after the ASC-US cytology result as a follow-up of an abnormal prior cytology or based upon a recommendation of the gynecologist and indicated by colposcopy guidance, that is, subjected to a greater severity on demand of the specific case than for the other cases; this could result in a possible selection bias. The results of our analyses show a 12% finding of cervical intraepithelial neoplasia 2 (CIN 2) or superior; this is much more than that found in the repetition of a cytology, which was also superior to other studies.\textsuperscript{5,15} However, it is close to the results of Boutris et al\textsuperscript{21} in their meta-analysis. For histological follow-up from ASC-H cases, we found that CIN 2 or superior was diagnosed in half of the cases; also, in two ASC-H cases, an ICC was found. This is in accordance with results reported by a review of six studies (32–66%).\textsuperscript{16} Another study regarding the follow-up of ASCs cytology found that ICC was significantly more associated with ASC-H.\textsuperscript{20}

In Brazil, when cytology results show ASC-US, the recommended management is to perform a repeat cytology; however, other countries propose to perform HR-HPV testing.\textsuperscript{22} In our study, a HR-HPV test was performed as a follow-up procedure that was isolated or associated with another kind of follow-up in 27.39% of cases; positivity for HR-HPV was found in 49.66% of these cases. Watson et al\textsuperscript{23} analyzed the follow-up of 45,049 ASC-US Pap smears and reported 42% of HR-HPV positivity, which is very close to the result found by the systematic review of Arbyn et al,\textsuperscript{4} while the ALTS study reported a rate slightly above 50%.\textsuperscript{24} For ASC-H follow-up, positivity for HR-HPV (68.97%) was below that reported in the ALTS study (85%).\textsuperscript{2,6}

### Table 4 High risk-HPV test result as a follow-up from a previous atypical squamous cells cytology (n = 499)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>HPV test</th>
<th>ASC-US n (%)</th>
<th>ASC-H n (%)</th>
<th>p-value</th>
<th>Relative Risk (CI 95%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Positive</td>
<td>219 (49.66)</td>
<td>40 (68.97)</td>
<td>0.0075</td>
<td>2.059 (1.215–3.491)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Negative</td>
<td>222 (50.34)</td>
<td>18 (31.03)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>441 (100)</td>
<td>58 (100)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviations: ASC-US, atypical squamous cells of undetermined significance; ASC-H, atypical squamous cells cannot exclude high-grade squamous intraepithelial lesion; CI, confidence interval. Fisher exact test. Statistical significance - p < 0.05. HPV test by HC2 (Qiagen) and Real time PCR (Cobas 4000).
The results of this study should be interpreted with caution. A weakness of this research was the impossibility of detecting when a follow-up consisted of a colposcopy, since this information was not included in the laboratory's database but only in medical records. Our analyses also cannot determine whether failure to perform a follow-up was due to the patients or their physician. Further studies are needed to assess the reality of ASCs management in Brazil, especially controlled studies.

Conclusion

Our results may indicate that ASCs management does not follow the national guidelines, and therefore, there are possible risks for the patients in our country. Also, the cytological, histological and HR-HPV positivity outcomes are in accordance with the values found in the literature.
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