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Summary

Objectives: To summarize and highlight the role of IMIA in the past 40 years in becoming the international professional organization that brings together researchers, practitioners, and educators in the field of medical informatics, and more broadly biomedical, nursing, and health informatics.

Method: Outlining developments of medical informatics related to IMIA from 1967 to 2007 in a time-line and comparative topic and geographical distribution analyses over selected MEDINFOs from 1980 and selected Yearbooks from 1992 onwards. This illustrates how IMIA, through the global reach of its activities, has helped advance the science and development of informatics across the entire spectrum of biomedical and health care research, education, and practice.

Results and conclusions: The contribution of IMIA over the past 40 years has been to sponsor and coordinate international conferences and promote interchange and collaborations in biomedical and health informatics by linking national and regional societies, organizing meetings, high quality publications, and working groups. These have helped the coalescing of the discipline worldwide, promoting full participation and a broad interdisciplinary scope that fulfills the hopes of the pioneers in the field.
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1. Introduction

IMIA has been the catalyst for international coordination and collaboration among medical informatics (MI) researchers and practitioners since its inception. Its origins can be traced to 1967, when François Grémy organized and became the first moderator of the Technical Committee for Medical Information Processing (TC-4) within the International Federation of Information Processing (IFIP) Societies [1]. An international congress on medical informatics had been held in 1966 in Elsinore, bringing together many of the pioneers in MI from the USA, France, the UK, the Netherlands, Italy, Germany, Poland, Japan, Puerto Rico, Norway, Sweden, and Denmark [2]. This helped raise consciousness of the wide geographical scope of interest in the field. Earlier, in 1962, Gustav Wagner had started what would become the first official journal of IMIA: Methods of Information in Medicine, initially in German, and later in English [3]. IMIA itself gradually emerged as an association of national and regional societies in MI over the next two decades. It became a separate organization reporting to IFIP in 1979, independent of IFIP in 1988, and then established legally under Swiss law between 1989 and 1992 [4]. Today IMIA is an independent, international professional organization which sponsors research, practice, and education through a wide range of international working groups (WGs) on MI topics with a very broad impact, having extended gradually to nursing, public health, and bioinformatics. By sponsoring triennial World Congresses of Medical Informatics (better known by their MEDINFO [5] acronym) and an annual IMIA Yearbook of Medical Informatics [6], as well as many WG publications, and professional journals, IMIA provides a forum for highlighting the most comprehensive range of international research and education activities in its field. As stated on its website: “The goals and objectives of IMIA are: • the promotion of informatics in health care and biomedical research • the advancement of international cooperation • the stimulation of research, development and education • the dissemination and exchange of information” Inherent in this mission is to bring together, from a global perspective, scientists, researchers, vendors, consultants and suppliers in an environment of cooperation and sharing. The international membership network of National Member Societies, IMIA Regions, Corporate and Academic Institutional Members, and the Working and Special Interest Groups that constitute the “IMIA family” is uniquely positioned to achieve these goals [7]. “Thus, IMIA provides a focus for the profession, covering the range of MI (and more broadly biomedical, nursing and health informatics), helping define and coalesce the field through international perspectives on how the underlying sciences and technologies of information and knowledge impact the
The international dimensions of IMIA are emphasized by the number of national societies and regional federations which it encompasses. The national societies can be either full (dues paying) members of IMIA or corresponding and provisional members during periods of organization or transition, respectively. IMIA allows only one national member society per country. The regional organizations are, by order of accession: EFMI (the European Federation for Medical Informatics) established in 1976, and comprising 27 member societies; IMIA-LAC Latin American Federation for Medical Informatics first organized in 1980 and re-organized in 1996, comprising four full member societies and one observer member; APAMI (Asia-Pacific Association for Medical Informatics) organized in 1993 with 13 members; and HELINA: African Region also established in 1993 comprising two full members and three corresponding members. North America is represented by COACH: Canada’s Health Informatics Association, founded in 1975, and AMIA, the American Medical Informatics Association, founded in 1990 through the merger of three precursor medical informatics organizations in the USA. There are presently 49 national member societies, and 33 corresponding member countries, plus several more (provisional members) in the process of affiliation with IMIA. In addition, IMIA is affiliated with the World Health Organization (WHO), the federation of societies IFIP from which it emerged, and the International Federation of Health Records Organizations (IFHRO). IMIA also counts 61 institutional members – 11 corporate and 50 academic - representing research, practice, and educational medical informatics institutions globally.

**Emergence of Medical Informatics**

With the breakneck speed of technological advances, wide range of applications, very diverse scientific backgrounds, and highly varied national and regional experiences in computing, informatics, and biomedicine over the past four decades, it is hardly surprising that biomedical informatics itself has evolved through many divergent and convergent paths as researchers, practitioners, and educators came up with idiosyncratic approaches to shared problems. It took some time for those involved to realize that there were advantages to be had in a shared discipline. A few pioneering researchers, health practitioners and educators as early as the 1950s found a deep common interest in the potential of computers and information technology to change the practice of medicine and biomedical research [1,8-10]. Initially this involved as much hardware as software innovation, but with the gradual ubiquity of mainframe systems and high-level languages, and the later development of personal computers, focus in medical informatics became more centered on software systems and fundamental models of information processing (especially data and knowledge representation and management), their computer systems implementation, and evaluation. Problems and developments in the hardware for measurements, sensor technologies, and signal and image processing gradually became predominantly more the domain of biomedical engineering or specialized scientific and technical subfields in informatics and related disciplines. The term medical informatics came to be adopted gradually. It had its origins in France in the early 1970s, and spread around the world during the decade, though it took till the 1980s for it to be adopted in the USA. Meanwhile the various strands of biomedical computation began to cover health care more broadly, with strong emphases on the informatics of library and information organization, and instrument and systems applications in healthcare, including nursing and dental practice. So, from its beginnings, biomedical, health, and nursing informatics have enjoyed an incredible breadth of participation by researchers and practitioners from many disciplines: medicine and dentistry, mathematics (including statistics), physics, chemistry, engineering, nursing, public health, biology, computer science (when it coalesced as a separate discipline in the 1960s), operations research, library and information sciences (when they likewise coalesced around the same time), and increasing numbers of sub-specializations and sub-disciplines of these.

**2. IMIA Timeline**

To give a single time-line of events, participants, and contributions would be a massive undertaking, and this 40th Anniversary IMIA Yearbook for 2007 includes a paper by three of the Past Presidents of the organization, containing a wealth of personal insights and information, as well as Tables of the major conferences, presidents, and Yearbook topics [11]. The summary timeline below brings together material from the general chronicle from the IMIA website [1] based on Marian Ball’s original notes, to which I have added a small selection of related medical informatics events, many drawn from Morris Collen’s history of medical informatics [8] which, while focused on the USA, does cover many international developments – as well as from other references concentrating on the early days and precursors of medical informatics.
### Table 1: Timeline Listing of Selected Precursor, Related, and IMIA Events

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>IMIA and selected Precursor and Related Medical Informatics Events</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1959</td>
<td>Major precursor academic article on medical informatics by Ledley and Lusted (USA) published in <em>Science</em>: “Reasoning Foundations of Medical Diagnosis”[10]. First IBM Medical Information Symposium indicating commercial interest in the field.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1960’s</td>
<td>Articles on computer applications in biomedicine begin to proliferate, several books published, specialized scientific meetings sponsored by engineering and computing societies, and regular funding of biomedical computing by government agencies.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1964</td>
<td>National Library of Medicine (NLM) in the USA begins to computerize Index Medicus and develop MEDLARS (Medical Literature Analysis and Retrieval System).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1966</td>
<td>Elsaïnne (Denmark) Congress on Medical Informatics brings many international participants in the future field of medical informatics together.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1967</td>
<td>Precursor of IMIA, the International Federation of Information Processing (IFIP) TC-4 on Medical Information Processing established by François Grémy (France).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1970</td>
<td>Influential precursor volumes on medical informatics published: Donald Lindberg’s <em>The Computer and Medical Care</em> [12] and Lee Lusted’s <em>Introduction to Medical Diagnosis</em> [13].</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1971</td>
<td>International Health Evaluation Association (IHEA) formed to support evaluation and computerization of health systems with emphasis on multiphasic screening.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1973</td>
<td>Conference sponsored by IFIP TC-4: Anderson J Fosythe JM, eds. <em>Information Processing of Medical Records</em> [14].</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1974</td>
<td>Conference on education in medical informatics held in Rensenburg (Germany) [16].</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1975</td>
<td>Jan Roukens (the Netherlands) becomes TC-4 moderator.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1976</td>
<td>First regional society in medical informatics is founded: the European Federation of Medical Informatics (EFMI)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1977</td>
<td>Second MEDINFO held in Toronto, Canada [21] organized by IFIP-TC4’s Werner Schneider (Sweden).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1980</td>
<td>Conference sponsored by IFIP TC4: Zywiak C and Schneider B, eds. <em>Computer Application on ECG and VCG Analysis</em> [15]. Conference on education in medical informatics held in Rensenburg (Germany) [16].</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1983</td>
<td>Jan Roukens (the Netherlands) becomes TC-4 moderator.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1984</td>
<td>American College of Medical Informatics (ACMI) established as first honorary fellowship organization in medical informatics, subsequently with international members.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1986</td>
<td>American College of Medical Informatics (ACMI) established as first honorary fellowship organization in medical informatics, subsequently with international members.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1988</td>
<td>Canadian Health Informatics Association (CAHIA) formed.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1989</td>
<td>American Medical Informatics Association (AMIA) established by merger of precursor organizations, joins IMIA with Marion Ball as representative.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1992</td>
<td>IMIA incorporated under Swiss law - first steps to establish permanent offices and organization.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1994</td>
<td>New regional Asia-Pacific Organization (APAMI) formed.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1995</td>
<td>IMIA Yearbook goes online and becomes supplement to <em>Methods of Information in Medicine</em>, also published by Schattauer [32].</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1997</td>
<td>IMIA Yearbook of Medical Informatics 2007</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
3. World Congresses of Medical Informatics (MEDINFOs): An Evolving International Profile of IMIA Contributions

The World Congresses of Medical Informatics (MEDINFOs) have been the defining events of IMIA since its inception. As a triennial event it brings together all officers of the IMIA Board with the Executive Director and the national and regional representatives of the constituent societies in the General Assembly of IMIA. This group votes on all organizational matters and elects the slate of officers for the next three years. The Working Groups of IMIA meet at MEDINFO and have a chance to coordinate and bring up to date the activities of their subspecialties on an international basis. The scientific conference itself, as recorded in its proceedings, provides a snapshot of contributions from medical informatics researchers. Hence, analyzing changes in the distribution of topics over the years provides a longitudinal record of highlights of international contributions to the field. In what follows I summarize the evolution of subjects or topics for the papers and contributions from selected MEDINFO Proceedings from 1980 onwards, when IMIA was established independently from IFIP.

Categorization of papers according to the original Congress and Proceedings varies by year, so did not provide a good basis for comparison. An observation made in an editorial about the field during the regional conference for Europe’s MIE 96 over a decade ago still holds today: “It was amazing that we could find little reuse of the topics from the previous MIE and MEDINFO Congresses, indicating medical informatics is a discipline in change” [34]. Since this continues to be the case, I have used the subject headings of the 2006 IMIA Yearbook of Medical Informatics to group subjects [32] in summarizing the technical and scientific subfields of the discipline. More detailed topic listings such as found in the IMIA Scientific Map [35] makes retrospective fitting into categories even more difficult, and not necessarily more accurate given the evolution of subjects and the field as a whole. On the other hand, the decisions for inclusion into today’s IMIA Yearbook categories, are by necessity also only approximate, even for a Yearbook editor. A disadvantage of this approach is that professionally oriented subjects, like nursing or primary care informatics do not appear explicitly in the listings, but are subsumed under categories like HIS or Health & Clinical Management or Education, depending on the specific sections of a particular MEDINFO and their style of categorization. Even so, the hope is that what follows may be useful for chronicling changes in subject area representation for the MEDINFO papers over the past 25 years.

Table 2 below lists the results of categorizing full paper presentations (invited and competitively selected, but not abstracts or posters) according to subject categories corresponding to those of the 2006 IMIA Yearbook [32] as indicated in the top row.

#1. Health & Clinical Management includes planning and evaluation, assessment, organizational change, disease management and public health as well as the specific topics of its title.

#2. Patient records include both medical, nursing records, and databases of records as well as telemedicine applications related to records.

#3. Health Information Systems includes a wide range of health-related systems, including nursing and various medical specialty systems.

#4. Sensor, Signal and Imaging Informatics includes all measurement oriented analyses and systems.

#5. Decision Support, Knowledge Representation and Management includes a broad range of medical decision making papers, text mining as well as natural language and the foundational work on terminologies, nomenclatures, ontologies, their software and how these are managed within systems.

#6. Educational and Consumer Informatics includes papers on these topics only.

#7. Bioinformatics includes all genomics, proteomics and other emerging -omics papers.

I have used data covering the MEDINFOs from 1980 to 2004, but excluded those of 1989 and 1995, which required last minute changes of venue and organization, and are therefore atypical of the series. MEDINFO 80 [23] was held in Tokyo from September 29 to October 4, 1980, with Morris F. Collen (USA) as chair of the Scientific Program Committee, Masamitsu Oshima (Japan) as chair of the Organizing Committee, and Donald A.B. Lindberg (USA) and Shigekoto Kainara (Japan) as Proceedings Editors. The conference featured 28 sessions covering a diversity of topics ranging from Computers in Radiation Therapy through Hospital Care, Nursing, Care Plans, Medical Information Systems, to Pharmacy, Drug Information Systems, Registries, Epidemiology, Biostatistics, and Language, Linguistics, Text Processing, Nomenclature, and Artificial Intelligence. International participation was outstanding, and there were a total of 365 contributions, of which 279 were presented as regular papers and the remainder by abstract or poster. A. Cormack provided a contribution on “Early CAT Scanning and Recent Developments”, describing some of the work which led to his Nobel Prize.
Table 2  MEDINFO Full Papers Presented by Subject Areas (% Total/Year): 1980-2004. All numbers in the table are percentages of the totals for the year.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1980 (279)</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1983 (318)</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1986 (275)</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1992 (254)</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1998 (243)</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2001 (281)</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2004 (302)</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Workshops were held in the evenings, and provided a focus for working group activities on specialized topics. While Health & Clinical Management topics are reasonably well represented with 18% of full papers, and Health Information Systems with 14%, the major contributions in 1980 were in the areas of Signal and Image processing (28%) and Decision Support, Knowledge Representation, and Management (also 28%). Papers in the categories of patient records and education categories lag far behind with 8% and 4%, respectively, probably reflecting the immaturity of medical records technologies, and the early organizational stage of the field, respectively.

MEDINFO 83 [24] was held in Amsterdam and was organized into four tracks of 8 sessions each, with a more systematic breakdown into categories involving 1) health care systems, 2) underlying technologies of imaging and clinical decision making (this was the era of expert systems), 3) patient data and clinical systems, and 4) a variety of topics from education to networking, privacy and epidemiology, statistics, preventive and occupational care. The Proceedings were edited by Jan H. van Bemmell (the Netherlands), Marion Ball (USA), and Ove Wigertz (Sweden). G.S. Lodwick (USA) was Chair of the Program Committee, and Jan Roukens (the Netherlands) was Chair of the Organizing Committee. The keynote address was given by Lee Lusted, who had written one of the most influential books on medical decision-making in the 1960's [13] after co-authoring the seminal paper in the field with Robert Ledley [10].

There is a noticeable leap in papers related to health information systems from the meeting three years earlier, doubling from 14% to 29% of total papers, possibly reflecting the rapid introduction of more flexible software, coupled with the dropping costs of mainframe computers and the introduction of microcomputers. Decision support and knowledge representation papers remain high at 23%, while education papers have doubled to 8%. Signal and imaging papers decline to 21%, beginning a continuous drop in participation in MEDINFOs which continues to the present day. Patient records papers remained about the same in number (7% of total) while those on health and clinical management dropped to 12% from the 18% of total papers in 1980.

MEDINFO 86 [25], held in Washington, DC, saw a further systematization and tightening of the paper selection process. Donald A. B. Lindberg (USA) was Chairman of the Organizing Committee, having become Director of the National Library of Medicine of the NIH just two years earlier leading it to become the major national agency for sponsoring research in medical informatics. Jan van Bemmell (the Netherlands) and Edward (Ted) H. Shortliffe (USA) headed the Scientific Program Committee and in the preface to the Proceedings [25, p. viii] give a comparative summary of attendance at all MEDINFOs up to and including theirs, showing the dramatic effect of geographical location on percentage of attendance from different regions in the world, though with a more consistent European attendance than of any other region coming to all meetings. The Proceedings were edited by R. Salamon (France), B. Blum (USA), and M. Jorgensen (Denmark). The paper distribution shows an increase in the education category to 12% of all papers as it now included decision support assisting in education through the widespread availability of PCs, and continuing decrease in signals and imaging contributions (to 15%) and health and clinical management (to 8%). Proportions of papers in the other areas remained approximately the same as at the Amsterdam Congress.
Both MEDINFO 89 [26] and MEDINFO 95 [28] underwent changes in location and organization at the last moment, described in the Past Presidential retrospectives paper [10] in detail by former Presidents Kaihara and Ball. Contributions were significantly affected by these events, so they have not been included in the table and analysis.

MEDINFO 92 [27] was held in Geneva, where Jean-Raoul Scherrer headed the Organizing Committee, while the Program Committee was headed by Salah Mandil (WHO), and the Proceedings edited by KC Lun (Singapore), Patrice Degoulet (France), Tom Piemme (USA) and Otto Rienhoff (Germany). The major changes in distribution of papers by categories was a notable increase in those for Health and Clinical Management (to 14%), as well as in the Patient Records group (11%), which was offset by a corresponding decrease in Health Information Systems (to 19% from the previous 30%). This possibly reflects the increased maturity of systems in these fields, which were now more ready to be used for clinical management, human factors studies, and evaluation. Signals and Imaging remained about the same (16%) as did Decision Support and Knowledge Representation and Management (30%), while Education papers dipped slightly to 10% of the total.

MEDINFO 98 [29], convened in Seoul. The Scientific Program Committee was headed by Charles Safran (USA) and Patrice Degoulet (France), and the Chairs of the Editorial Committee were Branko Cesnik (Australia), Alexa T. McCray (USA) and Jean-Raoul Scherrer (Switzerland). The Organizing Committee Chair was Chang Soon Koh (Korea). There was a new category of Bioinformatics papers presented for the first time (at 4% of papers), and a great increase in the number of papers related to Patient Records (23%) and Educational and Consumer Informatics (15%) compared to earlier meetings, with decreases in Health and Clinical Management (to 7%), Signal and Imaging (10%), and even Decision Support, Knowledge Representation and Management (23% down from the previous 30%).

MEDINFO 01 [30] held in London, had its SPC chaired by Arie Hassman (the Netherlands) and Hiroshi Takeda (Japan), its Organizing Committee by Jean Roberts (U.K), and its editorial Committee was headed by Vimla Patel (USA). Health & Clinical Management papers (21%) now were more balanced with those in Patient records (16%) than in 1998, while those in HIS (6%) decreased in numbers. Signals and Imaging dropped precipitously (to 4% of total) while Decision Support and Knowledge Representation and Management increased considerably to 39%, with Education and Consumer Health remaining about the same (10%), as did Bioinformatics (3% of total).

MEDINFO 04 [31] met in San Francisco, with its Scientific Program Committee headed by Mario Stefanelli (Italy) and Casimir Kulikowski (USA), its Organizing Committee was headed by Ted Shortliffe (USA), and its editorial Committee by Marius Fieschi (France), Enrico Coeira (Australia) and Yu-Chan Jack Li (Taiwan). Decision Support, Knowledge Representation and Management was again highly represented (35% of total papers), with Health and Clinical Management growing considerably (to 25%), and Patient records also high (15%). Signals and Imaging almost disappear (2%, with a single session), while Education (11%), Patient Records (15%), and HIS(8%) remained stable in relative numbers.

Overall, between the 1980 and 2004 MEDINFOs we can see that the combined first three categories of Health and Clinical Management, Patient Records and Health Information Systems account fairly consistently for between 40% and 48% of the total papers accepted for full presentation, despite considerable fluctuations in the percentage of papers in each individual category, which seems to depend partly on technology trends and partly on subject classification criteria. Similarly, the very broad category of Decision Support, Knowledge Representation and Management ranges consistently between 28% and 39% of papers submitted. Meanwhile, papers in Signals and Imaging (and Sensors more recently) have dropped considerably from 28% of all papers presented in 1980 to just 2% in 2004, possibly reflecting the increasing technical specialization of these fields and the fact that researchers will present their best work for peer review at the most specific relevant conference. Medical Informatics Educational papers have accounted for about 10% to 15% of papers since 1986, and Bioinformatics, a new category since 1998, accounts for only 5% of papers, again probably reflecting the fact that specialized conferences will attract most of the top papers in this field, and participation in MEDINFO is only likely for authors committed to the health-related aspects of their research and members of the medical informatics community.

One might conclude from the above that biomedical and health informatics has evolved in such a way that it is now organized around two major clusters of subjects: the first related to the practical systems, records, and management issues involved in health care, and the second related to the foundational issues of knowledge representation and management, and decision support within biomedical and health informatics systems. Health informatics education is important but not preponderantly represented, and the specialized
scientific and technological subfields have become minimally represented within the broader field.

The distribution of full and invited papers at MEDINFOs by geographical IMIA region (as defined by its constituent society groupings in 2006), is given above in Table 3. It can be seen that both Europe and North America have contributed the largest percentage of full papers presented when the MEDINFO was in their region. On the other hand, Asia-Pacific has typically contributed less than Europe when the MEDINFO was held in Asia (again, without considering the unusual Beijing/Singapore dual venue of 1980). Overall, between 1980 and 2004, Europe has contributed and presented more full papers at MEDINFOs, followed by North America and Asia, with minimal representation from Latin America and Africa. This most likely represents the “founder effect” of IMIA having first coalesced in Europe, together with other factors such as differential travel costs to distant venues, competing local conferences in health and biomedical informatics in some regions in a given MEDINFO year, real or perceived values and rewards for participating in an international meeting like MEDINFO for different groups of professionals, and the distribution of strengths in research, practice, and education across the regions worldwide. It is difficult to assess the contributions of these factors retrospectively as they differ so much from year to year, and depend very much on the particular circumstances surrounding the conferences as well as more general trends in the field. However, this would make an interesting topic for a prospective study of IMIA in the future.

MEDINFO 2007 [33] being held in Brisbane, marks a new era in bringing IMIA’s major conference to the Southern Hemisphere, with a strong emphasis on the breadth of health informatics, including the 1st World Nursing Informatics Leadership Conference. The selection of Cape Town by the IMIA General Assembly for the 2010 MEDINFO will reinforce the regional diversification by highlighting the role of contributions of informatics to medicine and health in Africa, a continent which faces some of the most acute health challenges in the world.

### 4. IMIA Working Groups: International Coalescence of Practice in Health Informatics

As noted earlier, the four working groups of IFIP’s TC-4 preceded the formation of IMIA itself, and led to the first international professional activities devoted to specific research fields in medical informatics. Table 1 lists a number of early working group conferences sponsored by the IFIP TC-4. The international range of contributions to different subjects can be gauged to some extent by the diversity of countries of origin of the leadership of the various Working Groups over the years as indicated together with the approximate year of establishment of the Working Groups indicated below.

**Table 3** MEDINFO Full Papers Presented by World Regions. All numbers in the table are percentages of the totals for the year. A * indicates the region with largest contribution of full/invited papers in a given year.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>MEDINFO Year/Venue</th>
<th>Europe</th>
<th>North America</th>
<th>Asia Pacific</th>
<th>Latin America</th>
<th>Africa</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1980 Tokyo (279)</td>
<td>47*</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1983 Amsterdam (318)</td>
<td>61 *</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1986 Washington, DC (275)</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>50 *</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>&lt;1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1992 Geneva (256)</td>
<td>52*</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1998 Seoul (263)</td>
<td>46*</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2001 London (281)</td>
<td>54*</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2004 San Francisco (302)</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>54*</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>&lt;1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
land, Canada, USA
WG-7: Biomedical Pattern Recognition (1985): the Netherlands, Germany
WG-8: Mental Health (2000): UK, Ireland
WG-9: Health Informatics for Development (1992): Brazil, Argentina, UK, Romania
WG-10: Health Information Systems/Hospital Information Systems (1988): the Netherlands, USA, Germany, WG-11: Dental Informatics (1980’s): USA, Austria,
WG-12: Biomedical Statistics & Information Processing (2002): Czech Republic, Poland
WG-13: Organizational and Social Issues (1998): USA
Former WG-14: Health Professional Workstations (1995): USA and Switzerland
WG-15: Technology Assessment and Quality Improvement (2004): the Netherlands, Denmark
WG-16: Standards In Health Care Informatics (1998): Belgium, Japan
WG-17 Electronic Patient Records (1997): the Netherlands
WG-18 Telematics in Health Care (1992): France
WG- Open Source Health Informatics (2002): UK, Czech Republic
WG- Informatics in Genomic Medicine (2002): Spain, USA.
From the above it can be seen that there is a wide geographical participation in the leadership of the IMIA working groups over the years, though with a preponderance of European and North American participants, but increasingly robust participation from the Asia-Pacific region, and Latin America.

5. The IMIA Yearbook of Medical Informatics

The Yearbook was founded by Jan van Bemmel, who was its first editor with Alexa McCray starting in 1992. The original idea from Moris Collen was to publish a Yearbook for AMIA. This suggestion was widened to publish it under the umbrella of IMIA. The purpose was to provide a record of IMIA activities and research contributions through the selection and reprinting of outstanding papers in the field from the previous year (or in some cases 18 months). Each year a theme is selected to reflect current advances or emphases of research and practice in medical informatics. Tracing the selection of the themes allows for yet a different view of how medical informatics (and health and biomedical informatics more generally) has been evolving for the past 15 years. Starting in 2001, Reinhold Haux and Casimir Kulikowski became the Yearbook editors joined in 2007 by Antoine Geissbuhler.
The topics of the Yearbooks are:
1993 Sharing Knowledge and Information [37]
1994 Advanced Communications in Health Care [38]
1995 The Computer-based Patient Record [39]
1996 The Integration of Information for Patient Care [40]
1997 Computing and Collaborative Care [41]
1998 Health Informatics and the Internet [42]
1999 The Promise of Medical Informatics [43]
2000 Patient-centered Systems [44]
2001 Digital Libraries and Medicine [45]
2002 Medical Imaging Informatics [46]
2003 Quality of Health Care: Informatics Foundations [47]
2004 Towards Clinical Bioinformatics [48]
2005 Ubiquitous Health Care Systems [49]
2006 Assessing Information Technologies for Health [32]
2007 Sustainable Health Care Systems [50]
2008 Access to Health Information (proposed)
As can be seen, the themes are very broad, characterizing how informatics impacts health care and research, and how it relates to its underlying scientific and technological foundations.

The geographical spread of best papers selected by the IMIA Yearbook from the medical informatics literature in the prior year or 18 months, together with original invited contributions on topics related to the themes of the yearbook and the major areas of the field, in the form of critical reviews and surveys of the literature, is listed in Table 4. It can be seen that North America contributes about 50% of the papers in most years, with Europe contributing about 40%, the Asia-Pacific region from 4% to 9%, and Latin America and Africa at most 3% annually. This distribution reflects the strength of research contributions published in the field as evaluated by an international group of referees and selected by an editorial board which has been primarily based in Europe (The Netherlands, Austria, Germany, and, from 2007, Switzerland).

In analyzing the range of proposed best papers across the world over these years one can see that a number of effects influence the outcome besides those for MEDINFO listed above. Since both proposals and selections are made from the published literature in English in the prior year to year-and-a-half, it reflects the prevalence of publications in peer-reviewed journals from various regions in a literature which is predominantly North American and European. This excludes many regional publications written in other languages, or of uncertain peer-review status, which may nevertheless contain important information on projects in medical informatics. Until such literature becomes more widely available and recognized, it is still not likely to make
a strong international impact, but with the rapid dissemination of web-based information it raises important challenges for the future of the field. The foresight of the founders to see the advantages of coalescing the very divergent strands of the field so early, before most national societies were even formed, has helped make IMIA what it is today: the premier world organization that brings together researchers, practitioners, and educators to debate their approaches, discern the major challenges and encourage new directions for the future of the field.
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7. Conclusion
IMIA’s roots in IFIP’s TC-4 and the development of a separate identity as IMIA proper by the late 1970’s, helped define medical informatics while giving the field an international professional dimension from the beginning.
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