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Abstract

Background  Acute upper respiratory tract infections (URTIs) and their complications are the most frequent cause of antibiotic prescribing in primary care. With multi-resistant organisms proliferating, appropriate alternative treatments to these conditions are urgently required. Homeopathy presents one solution; however, there are many methods of homeopathic prescribing. This review of the literature considers firstly whether homeopathy offers a viable alternative therapeutic solution for acute URTIs and their complications, and secondly how such homeopathic intervention might take place.

Method  Critical review of post 1994 clinical studies featuring homeopathic treatment of acute URTIs and their complications. Study design, treatment intervention, cohort group, measurement and outcome were considered. Discussion focused on the extent to which homeopathy is used to treat URTIs, rate of improvement and tolerability of the treatment, complications of URTIs, prophylactic and long-term effects, and the use of combination versus single homeopathic remedies.

Results  Multiple peer-reviewed studies were found in which homeopathy had been used to treat URTIs and associated symptoms (cough, pharyngitis, tonsillitis, otitis media, acute sinusitis, etc.). Nine randomised controlled trials (RCTs) and 8 observational/cohort studies were analysed, 7 of which were paediatric studies. Seven RCTs used combination remedies with multiple constituents. Results for homeopathy treatment were positive overall, with faster resolution, reduced use of antibiotics and possible prophylactic and longer-term benefits.

Conclusions  Variations in size, location, cohort and outcome measures make comparisons and generalisations concerning homeopathic clinical trials for URTIs problematic. Nevertheless, study findings suggest at least equivalence between homeopathy and conventional treatment for uncomplicated URTI cases, with fewer adverse events and potentially broader therapeutic outcomes. The use of non-individualised homeopathic compounds tailored for the paediatric population merits further investigation, including through cohort studies. In the light of antimicrobial resistance, homeopathy offers alternative strategies for minor infections and possible prevention of recurring URTIs.
Introduction

With the emergence and proliferation of multi-resistant organisms, the prospect of an 'antimicrobial perfect storm' in the approaching decades has become an urgent public health concern. The excessive and indiscriminate use of these drugs in both human and veterinary practices has led to the emergence and dissemination of resistant organisms that endanger their efficacy. Each year in the United States, at least 2 million people become infected with bacteria that are resistant to antibiotics, and at least 23,000 people die as a direct result of these infections. Warning of an approaching era in which antibiotics will no longer be effective, the World Health Organization (WHO) and other agencies suggest alternative approaches, including the development of novel therapies to treat both mild and serious infections. With a growing body of clinical evidence, a strong safety record and evidence of cost-effectiveness, homeopathy represents one potential therapeutic solution that could lead to a reduction in the use of antibiotics. Acute upper respiratory tract infections (URTIs) account for 60% of antibiotic prescribing in primary care, yet they provide little benefit for the large proportion of respiratory tract infections which are viral in origin. This review of the literature asks, firstly, whether the peer-reviewed literature on this topic is sufficiently robust for homeopathy to be seriously considered as an alternative to antibiotics for URTIs and their complications and, secondly, how this homeopathic intervention might take place, for example through more widespread use of particular homeopathic preparations.

Literature Search Strategy

The literature review focuses on peer-reviewed clinical studies featuring homeopathic treatment of URTIs published after 1994. Due to the close association between URTIs and acute rhinopharyngitis and tonsillitis and their frequent treatment with antibiotics, several peer-reviewed studies in which homeopathy was used to treat these conditions were also reviewed. Although not rigorously following the format of a systematic review, the PRISMA guidelines and recommendations of PRISMA-P checklist were utilised for the reporting of data in Tables 1 and 2. Searches were conducted in two phases. In phase one, the following electronic databases were searched: AMED, Embase, Cochrane Library, PubMed, ScienceDirect, Elsevier Health periodicals and CORE-Hom database. In phase two, additional searches were performed through Google Scholar, Mendeley literature search and the author’s university library. Citation chaining was also utilised; however, studies for which the full article or key study details could not be later established were excluded from the final systematic analysis. Searches, although wide, were limited to published, peer-reviewed human trials reported in English. Key search terms were homeopathy, upper respiratory tract infections, rhinopharyngitis and mild viral infections. Studies which included related conditions, such as bronchitis, influenza, otitis media and tonsillitis, were considered only if URTI or viral infection involving the upper respiratory tract (URT) was mentioned in the title or aims, and where relevant to the study questions. Further exclusion criteria included studies deemed to be of poor quality, published before 1994 and where more than one complementary and alternative medicine (CAM) therapy was used. Case reports of homeopathic treatments for URTIs from books and journals were also not considered. To ensure that a broad body of evidence was considered, peer-reviewed published studies, whether randomised, controlled or cohort, were reviewed, but were considered separately in terms of study aims and design (see Table 1). Analysis of all studies focused on the following elements: trial design; treatment intervention, with particular reference to single/complex homeopathic medicaments; measurement criteria, and outcome, with particular reference to reduction in antibiotic use.

URTIs and Antibiotics

The upper respiratory tract (URT) consists of the nose, mouth, throat, larynx and trachea. URTI is the most common infectious illness in most populations and the primary reason people miss work or school. Although generally short lived, symptoms of URTIs, such as fever, sore throat, headache and cough, are sources of distress for both adults and children and are costly in terms of time off work and school. The acute cough following a URTI can continue for several weeks. Children are especially susceptible and may have as many as eight or even more episodes each year. Most URTIs are caused by a self-limiting viral illness (such as influenza and rhinoviruses) with symptoms lasting 7 to 10 days; however, complications such as otitis media, pharyngitis, tonsillitis and sinusitis are frequently treated with antibiotics. In the United States, 23% of paediatric medical visits from 2004 to 2008 resulted in prescriptions for antibiotics with otitis media, the most common reason for prescribing antibiotics in children. Other pharmacological treatments include antipyretics, anti-inflammatory drugs, expectorants, decongestants, and cough suppressants, either alone or in combination. Respiratory complaints are also the most frequent reason for over-the-counter (OTC) purchases of homeopathic medicines, suggesting a demand for alternatives to pharmaceutical OTC products.

Public health and medical stewardship programmes aim to alter patients’ perceptions and doctors’ prescribing behaviour. Yet in many clinical contexts, antibiotic prescribing continues to elude best practice, including in the treatment of URTIs and URTI-related conditions. According to a recent US clinical report, up to 10 million antibiotic prescriptions per year directed towards respiratory conditions were unlikely to provide any benefit. Fever than 10% of sore throats are caused by bacterial infections, yet in around 60% of cases, antibiotics were prescribed for them. In recent Cochrane reviews, the short-term effects of antibiotics on acute otitis media (AOM) and URTIs were moderate or negligible when compared with their potential risks.
One Cochrane study found antibiotics to be ‘not very useful’ in the treatment of AOM, only marginally decreasing the number of children with pain at 24 hours (when most children were better), only slightly reducing those with pain in the first few days following infection and having no effect on reducing the number of children with subsequent hearing loss. In some patients with acute bronchitis, antibiotics had a modest beneficial effect; however, these benefits must be considered in the broader context of side effects, the self-limiting nature of the condition, the costs of antibiotic treatment and the increased resistance to respiratory pathogens. Only in the case of pneumonia in the elderly were the benefits of antibiotics seen as significant; however, the authors of this study concluded that the use of antibiotics for prophylaxis was still not justifiable. Another US study estimated the number of annual antibiotic prescriptions for acute respiratory conditions (including sinusitis, otitis media, and pharyngitis) as 221 per 1,000 people, yet only half of these prescriptions were considered appropriate for these conditions. Understanding differential diagnosis is also important, as is ruling out more serious illness. For instance, while most cases of acute pharyngitis in children have a viral origin, are benign and self-limiting (and for which antibiotics are often unnecessarily prescribed), untreated streptococcal tonsillitis may result in serious complications, such as rheumatic fever and related cardiovascular disorders or post-streptococcal glomerulonephritis.

In addition to efficacy, the immunological effects and safety of antibiotics are a concern. Studies confirm that pervasive changes occur within the human microbiome after antibiotic treatment and that resistant strains of bacteria can persist for years. Individuals prescribed antibiotics in primary care for a respiratory infection develop bacterial resistance to that antibiotic, which not only increases the population carriage of organisms resistant to first-line antibiotics, but also leads to increased use of second-line antibiotics in the community. According to one meta-analysis, the risk of acquiring methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus increased by 1.8-fold in patients who had taken antibiotics. Nevertheless, public awareness concerning the appropriate use and safety of antibiotics still appears to be lacking. In one Australian consumer study, over one-third of the 252 participants thought that taking antibiotics when suffering from a cold or flu would lead to more rapid recovery, while nearly one-fifth considered antibiotics as a cure for viral infections. Links have been established between antibiotic use and paediatric asthma and eczema and less common but grave physical and psychoactive side effects associated with particular antibiotics. The class of antibiotics fluoroquinolones is known to cause tendonitis, central nervous system (CNS) effects, peripheral neuropathy, and juvenile fluoroquinolone-induced joint/cartilage toxicity. Severe side effects of the broad spectrum antibiotic clarithromycin include liver and renal failure and psycho-neurological effects including hallucinations, depersonalisation, depression, insomnia and psychosis.

The Homeopathic Approach
As a CAM therapy, homeopathy has been subject to criticism for its use of infinitesimal doses to treat different ailments, with insufficient proof that such doses can have an effect. Yet, despite a long history of scientific controversy, homeopathy has proved resilient, is geographically widespread and is an accepted part of the medical system in countries such as India, France and Switzerland. Advantages of homeopathy include ease of application and safety, with few contraindications existing in the treatment of the very young and old, beside the careful selection of remedy and dosage. Whereas using antibiotics and OTC medications does little to improve the body’s future response to infection, homeopathy purports to do so.

When dealing with complex systems, a holistic medical approach, such as is frequently used in homeopathy, can offer therapeutic advantages over non-individualised reductionist methods. In part, it is the person-focused approach of traditional homeopathy that distinguishes it from conventional approaches and contributes to its appeal to certain population groups. Unlike mainstream medicine, where treatment is based on the clinical diagnosis and the idiosyncratic symptoms of the patient are given less importance, in the ‘classical’ homeopathic tradition the prescription of a homeopathic medication or ‘remedy’ is based on the totality of symptoms and signs exhibited or expressed by an individual patient. Thus, in homeopathic case-taking, the assessment of the medical situation may not be the principal reason for the choice of remedy, and a spectrum of remedies based on different criteria may be considered. There are, however, many variations to the homeopathic method of prescribing, especially in the treatment of chronic conditions. A particular distinction lies between individualised and clinically based homeopathic treatment protocols, the former being based on a ‘total system approach’ as described above and the latter on a more generic method, in which ‘combination’ or ‘complex’ homeopathic medicines may be prescribed for a particular condition or disease. Another method, ‘isopathy’, refers to the use of diseased-by-products or tissues, known as ‘nosodes’, and healthy animal tissue, referred to as sarcodes, which may be prescribed individually or to a population group for preventative purposes. All these methodologies have been employed in clinical studies, including for the treatment of URTIs. From the homeopathic perspective, patients with chronic conditions may require ‘constitutional’ remedies, emphasising the suitability of the in-depth individualised approach. Seeking a ‘totality’ of symptoms in a holistic sense is resource and time consuming however, as it requires a detailed chronological history of the patient and their condition so that a single remedy can be prescribed to treat a range of symptoms. In acute conditions, the symptom picture is less complicated and the need to prescribe more urgent, strengthening the case for non-individualised prescribing.

Homeopathy and Research
With an empirical tradition going back more than 200 years and homeopathic physicians trained in the scientific...
method, research has always been the backbone of homeopathy. Homeopathic literature includes a vast number of published drug “provings”, case studies and practice reports; however, substantial areas of practice have remained uncharted. For instance, the treatment of large-scale epidemics has never been systematically and scientifically researched. The problems of measuring the effects of homeopathic treatment through randomised controlled trials (RCTs) and other reductionist methods are considerable. A number of systematic reviews of the homeopathy RCT literature by Mathie et al found significant inadequacies, with the majority of studies demonstrating uncertain or high risk of bias. Some studies were not properly randomised, with most studies addressing a different aspect of homeopathic care. Practical problems of RCTs include selecting patients for participation, the insecurities caused by blinding and variations in the level of training of individual homeopathic physicians. Whereas conventional medicine examines the specific effect of a pharmaceutical substance, the effects of a homeopathic substance arise from its complex individual interaction with the organism and this limits the external validity and generalisability of placebo-controlled, blinded homeopathy studies. As with all clinical trials, there are variations in size and outcome measures, with the limited number of homeopathic studies making these types of anomalies more significant.

The absence of positive or any RCT results does not necessarily mean that a treatment is ineffective, and a danger lies in eliminating treatments on the basis of no RCT proof of their efficacy. Non-RCTs and patient reported surveys are considered by some to be inferior forms of research evidence, but are important adjuncts to RCTs that can measure key markers such as patient satisfaction, quality of life and functional health. Observational studies such as clinical outcome studies and case reports, monitoring the effects of homeopathy in real-life clinical settings, are a helpful adjunct to RCTs and more closely reflect real-life experiences of patients and physicians than RCTs, and are therefore considered in this study.

Results

Searches of online libraries and bibliographical references found multiple peer-reviewed studies (in English and non-English) published between 1994 and 2017, in which homeopathy had been used to treat URTIs and associated symptoms (cough, pharyngitis, tonsillitis, otitis media, acute sinusitis, etc.). Both RCTs and observational/cohort studies were searched and screened to ensure that as many studies as possible were included in the review and its discussion. Non-English language studies, and those for which key study details could not be established, were later excluded from the final review, as were those that did not directly refer to URTIs in the title or content. For the final review, nine RCTs and eight observational/cohort studies were analysed, of which six of the RCTs and one observational/cohort trial were paediatric studies. Seven RCTs used combination remedies with multiple constituents. One of the RCTs in these tables is featured twice as it measured treatment effects on two different cohorts. The first article reports the effects and safety of standard treatment (ST) plus a homeopathic compound (Inflicid [IFC]), or ST only, on a mixed age cohort with a URTI. The later article reports the effects of ST plus IFC or ST only, on a paediatric cohort. Both articles have been included in the analysis. The two studies reported by Zanasi’s team (RCT and observational) bear similarities in that the same homeopathic complex was used on both paediatric arms; however, in the observational study one arm received antibiotics in addition to the homeopathic cough medicine.

Full details of randomised and observational studies, including trial design, mode of homeopathic treatment (e.g. complex, individualised), cohort, outcome assessment, results and conclusions, are given in - Table 1. - Table 2 focuses on studies using complex homeopathic remedies, and a breakdown of the homeopathic constituents where this information was available.

The article’s discussion focuses on the following areas of concern: extent to which homeopathy is used for URTIs, tolerability and rate of improvement of homeopathic treatment, complications of URTIs, prophylactic and long-term effects, and the use of combination versus single homeopathic remedies. As a literature review, rather than a systematic review, no attempt has been made to extract new data from the primary articles, or to assess the intrinsic quality of each study, including risk of bias.

Use of Homeopathy for URTIs

Respiratory infections are a common reason for seeking homeopathic care. For example, out of 551 paediatric patients treated at a homeopathic clinic in Rossi et al’s observational study, 337 (61%) presented with respiratory infections, making this the most frequently observed disease in this population group. Of the various clinical trials featuring the use of homeopathy for a specific condition, those concerning infectious diseases of the URT, contain some of the most positive evidence of the effectiveness of homeopathic interventions. The evaluation of 27 studies for a Health Technology Assessment report on effectiveness, cost-effectiveness and appropriateness of homeopathy on URTIs and allergic reactions showed a positive overall result in favour of homeopathy, with six out of seven controlled studies showing at least equivalence to conventional medical interventions.

Tolerability

In the treatment of self-limiting acute conditions such URTIs and mild infections, both treatment tolerability and the rate of improvement with a medical intervention are important, and several studies suggest that homeopathic treatment can fulfil both these prerequisites. Many participants in homeopathic studies are children so it is significant that the safety and tolerability of homeopathic treatment in the trials reviewed was very good. A good rate of improvement in the acute symptoms of URTIs in those...
Table 1 Reviewed URTI-related published studies (1994–2016)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Authors</th>
<th>Date/Journal</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Treatment</th>
<th>Cohort/Patients</th>
<th>Outcome assessment</th>
<th>Outcome</th>
<th>Conclusion</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>de Lange et al</td>
<td>1994/ BMJ</td>
<td>Randomised, double-blind, placebo-controlled clinical trial</td>
<td>Homeopathy versus placebo Daily assessed symptom score</td>
<td>175 children with frequently recurring URTIs</td>
<td>Number of antibiotic courses, and number of adenoidectomies and tonsillectomies over 1 year of follow-up</td>
<td>Improvements in both groups, but fewer adenoidectomies in homeopathic treatment group</td>
<td>Homeopathy offers little more than careful counselling of children with URTIs, but some effects noted</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diefenbach et al</td>
<td>1997/ Z Allgemeinmed</td>
<td>Randomised, double-blind, placebo-controlled clinical trial</td>
<td>Homeopathic combination BRONCHISELECT versus placebo</td>
<td>258 patients presenting with acute URTI/bronchitis</td>
<td>Number of days of coughing, and degree of expectoration and dysphagia</td>
<td>After 3 weeks, homeopathic group had &lt; days of coughing than placebo Expectoration and dysphagia went better under verum</td>
<td>Therapy with BRONCHISELECT is effective</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Steinsbekk et al</td>
<td>2007/ Preventive Medicine</td>
<td>Randomised controlled trial</td>
<td>Homeopathic care versus self-prescribed homeopathic medicine</td>
<td>208 children below the age of 10 years</td>
<td>Results of prescribed homeopathic medicine in the prevention of childhood URTIs</td>
<td>No significant differences in clinical effects between SPH and HC for primary outcomes</td>
<td>No evidence for clinically relevant effect of homeopathic care versus a homeopathic medicine prescribed by child’s parents</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zanasi et al</td>
<td>2014/ Pulm Pharmacol Ther</td>
<td>Double blind, placebo controlled clinical trial</td>
<td>ST versus homeopathic cough syrup</td>
<td>80 patients</td>
<td>Cough severity and. sputum viscosity</td>
<td>Cough diminished in all groups but at 4 and 7 days, cough severity was significantly lower in the homeopathic group than in the placebo</td>
<td>The homeopathic syrup is a valid remedy for the management of acute cough induced by URTIs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thinesse-Mallwitz et al</td>
<td>2015/ Forsch Komplementärm</td>
<td>Randomised, controlled, multinational clinical trial</td>
<td>ST plus IFC for 7 days</td>
<td>523 patients (1–65 years) 265 IFC group, 258 ST group, with feverish URTIs.</td>
<td>Effectiveness and safety of homeopathic IFC as add-on therapy to usual care in URTI patients</td>
<td>IFC group used less symptomatic medication; symptoms were alleviated 1–2 days earlier</td>
<td>IFC reduced used of SC medication accelerated resolution, and was safe in use</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(Continued)
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Authors</th>
<th>Date/Journal</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Treatment</th>
<th>Cohort/Patients</th>
<th>Outcome assessment</th>
<th>Outcome</th>
<th>Conclusion</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Van Haselen et al</td>
<td>2016/Glob Pediatr Health</td>
<td>Randomised, controlled, multinational clinical trial</td>
<td>ST plus a IFC for 7 days</td>
<td>261 children (&lt;12 years) patients</td>
<td>Clinical effectiveness of homeopathic add-on therapy in paediatric group with URTIs</td>
<td>Less symptoms and faster symptoms resolution, fewer fevers from day 3 onwards in homeopathic group</td>
<td>IFC as add-on treatment reduced disease severity, shortened symptom resolution, and was safe in use</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jong et al</td>
<td>2016/Multidiscip Respir Med</td>
<td>Multi-centre randomised controlled trial</td>
<td>CalSuli-4–02 or a comparator homeopathic product for 3 weeks</td>
<td>200 children aged ≤ 6 years with ≥ three acute URTIs during previous 6 months</td>
<td>Frequency of acute URTIs 3 and 6 months post-treatment, Changes in symptoms, treatment satisfaction, antibiotic use, safety and tolerability also considered</td>
<td>Decrease in antibiotic use in both treatment groups. ‘Appetite disorder’ and ‘child’s activities’ improved more in the CalSuli-4–02 group</td>
<td>Suggests potential of CalSuli-4–02 as antibiotic option</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Siqueira et al</td>
<td>2016/Homeopathy</td>
<td>Randomised, placebo-controlled clinical trial</td>
<td>Homeopathic complex, placebo or InfluBio</td>
<td>600 children</td>
<td>Number of flu and acute respiratory infection symptomatic episodes in 1 year</td>
<td>First year: 30.5% of children in the placebo group developed 3 or more flu and acute respiratory infection episodes, and no episodes in either homeopathic groups</td>
<td>Homeopathic prophylactic potential should be investigated in further studies</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jacobs and Taylor</td>
<td>2016/Comp Ther Med</td>
<td>Randomised, placebo-controlled clinical trial</td>
<td>Homeopathic cough syrup or placebo, medication given as needed by parents for 3 days</td>
<td>261 children 2–5 years with URTIs</td>
<td>Change in symptoms one hour after each dose</td>
<td>Improvements in sneezing, cough and the composite cold score significantly &gt; at 1st and 2nd assessments for homeopathic group compared with placebo group</td>
<td>Homeopathic syrup appeared to be effective in reducing the severity of cold symptoms on first day after starting treatment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Authors</td>
<td>Date/Journal</td>
<td>Description</td>
<td>Treatment</td>
<td>Cohort/Patients</td>
<td>Outcome assessment</td>
<td>Outcome</td>
<td>Conclusion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------</td>
<td>------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Herzberger and Weiser</td>
<td>1997/Biomed Ther</td>
<td>Prospective, multi-centre observational study</td>
<td>Engystol in combination with other therapies application, global evaluation</td>
<td>1,479 cases treated by 154 physicians</td>
<td>Application, effectiveness and tolerability of Engystol</td>
<td>Half of the patients improved in 1–4 days</td>
<td>Prophylactic effects of Engystol were very good, and tolerability was excellent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Riley et al</td>
<td>2001/J Altern Complement Med</td>
<td>Outcomes study</td>
<td>Homeopathy versus SC</td>
<td>456 patients</td>
<td>Clinical and subjective improvement in the complaints</td>
<td>Clinical and subjective improvement after 14 days in 82.6% of homeopathy group and 68% of conventional treatment group</td>
<td>Clear superiority of homeopathy over conventional treatment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rabe et al</td>
<td>2004/Int J Clin Pract</td>
<td>Outcomes study</td>
<td>Homeopathic complex Gripp-Heel compared with SC</td>
<td>485 patients</td>
<td>Effectiveness of homeopathic remedy Gripp-Heel for mild viral infection</td>
<td>Success rate = 78.1% homeopathic group and 52.2% SC. 88.9% homeopathic group versus 38.8% SC rated ‘very good’</td>
<td>Better satisfaction scores for Gripp-Heel therapy group than SC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ammerschlager et al</td>
<td>2005/Res Complement Nat Class Med</td>
<td>Multi-centre, prospective, active-controlled study</td>
<td>Euphorbium complex compared with xylometazoline nasal drops</td>
<td>Patients with URTIs</td>
<td>To demonstrate non-inferiority of the homeopathic complex remedy to xylometazoline</td>
<td>Clinically relevant reductions disease-specific symptoms were observed with both therapies</td>
<td>Favorable outcomes for homeopathic Euphorbium. Tolerability was good with for both therapies</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Schmiedel and Klein</td>
<td>2006/Explore</td>
<td>Observational study of 85 GP practices Germany</td>
<td>Complex homeopathic Engystol versus conventional treatment</td>
<td>397 patients</td>
<td>A range of variables plus improvement time</td>
<td>Improvement in 77.1% patients using Engystol, versus 61.7% for control in 3 days</td>
<td>Engystol may be a useful component of an integrated symptomatic therapy for the common cold</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(Continued)
### Table 1 (Continued)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Authors</th>
<th>Date/Journal</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Treatment</th>
<th>Cohort/Patients</th>
<th>Outcome assessment</th>
<th>Outcome</th>
<th>Conclusion</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Haidvogl et al</td>
<td>2007/BMC Complement Altern Med</td>
<td>International, multi centre, comparative cohort outcome study</td>
<td>Homeopathic versus conventional treatment of acute respiratory and ear complaints</td>
<td>1,577 patients</td>
<td>Proportion of patients experiencing “complete recovery” or “major improvement” in each treatment group</td>
<td>Onset of improvement 7 days post treatment faster with homeopathic treatment in children ( p = 0.0488 ) and adults ( p = 0.0001 )</td>
<td>Homeopathic treatment of acute respiratory and ear complaints was not inferior to conventional treatment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zanasi et al</td>
<td>2015/Multidisc Respir Med</td>
<td>Prospective observational study</td>
<td>ST with homeopathic cough syrup versus cough syrup only</td>
<td>85 children</td>
<td>Whether antibiotics had a role in reducing the severity duration and resolution of cough, and safety of two treatments</td>
<td>Cough diminished in all groups, but adverse events were &gt; in group on antibiotics</td>
<td>Antibiotics did not improve cough reduction but increased side effects</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Beghi and Morselli-Labate</td>
<td>2016/Multidisc Respir Med</td>
<td>Observational study longitudinal</td>
<td>Oscillococcinum</td>
<td>459 patients, followed up 1 and 10 years</td>
<td>Role of Oscillococcinum in preventing RTIs</td>
<td>Reduction in the frequency of onset of RTIs occurred in both groups, but homeopathic group improvement in first year was significantly better</td>
<td>Homeopathic medicine may have a positive effect in preventing RTIs</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviations: IFC, Influcid; RTI, respiratory tract infection; SC, standard care; ST, standard treatment; URTI, upper respiratory tract infection.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Authors</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Treatment</th>
<th>Cohort</th>
<th>Medical ingredients</th>
<th>Conclusion</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Diefenbach M et al (1997)</td>
<td>RCT Acute URTI/bronchitis</td>
<td>BRONCHISELECT or placebo</td>
<td>258 patients</td>
<td>Drosera D3, Bryonia D4, Tartarus stibiatus D4, Spongia D6 Ipecacuanha D4</td>
<td>Therapy with BRONCHISELECT is effective</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zanasi A et al (2014)</td>
<td>RCT Acute cough arising from URTIs</td>
<td>Homeopathic syrup versus placebo in treating cough arising from URTIs</td>
<td>80 patients</td>
<td>Anemone pulsatilla 6 CH, Rumex crispus 6 CH, Bryonia dioica 3 CH Ipecacuanha 3 CH, Spongia tosta 3 CH, Sticta pulmonaria 3 CH Antimonium tartaricum 6 CH, Myocarde 6 CH, Coccus cacti 3 CH, Drosera MT</td>
<td>Homeopathic syrup was able to effectively reduce cough severity and sputum viscosity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thinesse-Mallwitz et al (2016)</td>
<td>RCT Acute influenza + URTI</td>
<td>ST or ST plus homeopathic medication (Influcid) for 7 days</td>
<td>523 patients</td>
<td>Aconitum napellus D3 Gelsemium sempervirens D3 Cephaelis, Ipecacuanha D3 Phosphorus D5 Bryonia D2 Eupatorium perfoliatum D1</td>
<td>IFC reduced use of SC medication accelerated resolution and was safe in use</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>van Haselen et al (2016)</td>
<td>RCT Acute URTI (patients &lt; 12 years)</td>
<td>ST or ST plus homeopathic medication (Influcid) for 7 days</td>
<td>261 children</td>
<td>Aconitum napellus D3 Gelsemium sempervirens D3 Cephaelis, Ipecacuanha D3 Phosphorus D5 Bryonia D2 Eupatorium perfoliatum D1</td>
<td>IFC treatment reduced disease severity, shortened symptom resolution, and was safe in use</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jong et al (2016)</td>
<td>RCT URTIs (prevention)</td>
<td>CalSuli-or another complex homeopathic product</td>
<td>200 children</td>
<td>Calcium carbonicum Hahnemannii D6, Calcium fluoratum D6, Calcium phosphoricum D6 and Sulfur jodatum D12, Control = Gentiana D1, Aconitum D6, Bryonia D6, Ferrum phosphoricum D12, and Acidum sarcolacticum D12</td>
<td>Suggests potential of CalSuli4–02 as antibiotic option</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Taylor and Jacobs (2016)</td>
<td>RCT Severity and recovery time URTI/cold sx</td>
<td>Homeopathic cough syrup (Hyland’s cold and cough 4 kids) or placebo</td>
<td>261 children (2–5 years)</td>
<td>Allium Cepa 6X, Hepar Sulph Calc 12X, Natrum Muraticum 6X, Phosphorus 12X, Pulsatilla 6X, Sulfur 12X, Hydrastis 6x</td>
<td>Homeopathic syrup appeared to be effective in reducing the severity of cold symptoms on first day after starting treatment</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(Continued)
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Authors</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Treatment</th>
<th>Cohort</th>
<th>Medical ingredients</th>
<th>Conclusion</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Siqueira et al (2016)</td>
<td>RCT</td>
<td>Homeopathic prophylactic potential</td>
<td>600 children</td>
<td>Influbio = purified influenza virus \times 30c</td>
<td>Homeopathic prophylactic potential should be investigated in further studies</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Influbio homeopathic complex or placebo</td>
<td></td>
<td>Influbio = Streplococcus and Staphlococcus and inactivated influenza virus \times 30c</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Observational</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Herzberger and Weiser (1997)</td>
<td>Prospective, multi centre</td>
<td>Engystol in combination with other therapies</td>
<td>1479 cases treated by 154 physicians</td>
<td>Sulfur D4, D10, Asclepias vinctoxicum D6, D10, D30.</td>
<td>Prophylactic effects of Engystol were very good</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ammerschlager et al (2005)</td>
<td>Cohort study</td>
<td>Euphorbium complex or xylometazoline nasal drops</td>
<td>URTI patients</td>
<td>Euphorbium complex</td>
<td>Favourable outcomes for Euphorbium. Tolerability was good with both therapies</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Schmiedel and Klein (2006)</td>
<td>Observational study of 85 GP</td>
<td>Engystol versus conventional treatments</td>
<td>397 patients</td>
<td>See Herzberger and Weiser 1997</td>
<td>This homeopathic treatment may be a useful component of an integrated symptomatic therapy for the common cold</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Beghi and Morselli-Labate (2016)</td>
<td>Observational study longitudinal</td>
<td>Role of the Oscillococcinum in preventing RTIs</td>
<td>459 patients, followed up 1 and 10 years</td>
<td>Oscillococcinum once a week for 8 months per year</td>
<td>Reduction in the frequency of onset of RTIs occurred in both groups, but homeopathic group improvement in first year was significantly greater</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviations: IFC, Influcid; RCT, randomised controlled trial; SC, standard care; ST, standard treatment; URTI, upper respiratory tract infection.
receiving homeopathic treatment was reported in all the RCTs and observational trials in which this was measured; however, the timescale for symptom improvement varied, for example fever, improved/resolved faster than cough.13

In Rabe et al’s study, treatment with the homeopathic remedy complex Gripp-Heel was perceived by patients with mild viral infections to be more successful, with greater tolerability and compliance than conventional treatment. About 67.9% of patients were considered by physicians to be asymptomatic at the end of Gripp-Heel therapy, compared with 47.9% in the control group.85 In Zanas et al’s placebo-controlled RCT, 11 80 patients were treated for acute cough with placebo or the homeopathic syrup. While cough scores decreased over time in each group, cough severity was significantly lower in the homeopathic group than in the placebo group after 4 and 7 days (p < 0.001 and p = 0.023, respectively). A controlled multinational clinical trial conducted by Thinesse-Mallwitz et al74 in Germany and the Ukraine compared the effectiveness, safety and tolerability of IFc as an add-on treatment, with standard care (SC) alone, in the prevention of recurrent acute URTIs. The IFc group (265 patients) that was given homeopathy experienced significantly faster improvement than those who had received conventional treatment alone.74

Another study by Jong et al29 measured the effectiveness, safety and tolerability of a homeopathic medicinal complex in the prevention of recurrent acute URTIs in children. The trial, which took place in four outpatient paediatric clinics, involved children under six, with a known susceptibility to acute URTIs. Children were randomly divided into two groups, one group receiving the homeopathic combination remedy CalSuli–4–02 and the other (the control group) receiving another homeopathic product, for 3 weeks. The main outcome measure was frequency of acute URTIs occurring within 3 and 6 months following treatment. Another outcome measure was a reduction in antibiotic use. Both ‘appetite disorder’ and ‘child’s activities’ improved in both arms of the trial, but more significantly in the CalSuli–4–02 group.

While results of the above studies are disadvantaged by limited cohort size, others such as the large-scale international comparative outcome study by Haidvogel et al87 of 1,577 adults and children from eight different countries are less disputable. In this study, individualised homeopathy and SC were compared in the treatment of acute respiratory and ear complaints. Treatment with homeopathy was associated with significantly faster onset of improvement in the first week, while adverse drug reactions occurred more frequently only in the adult group receiving conventional treatment. Results at 14 days were similar in both groups. The authors concluded that homeopathy was not inferior to conventional treatment in ear and acute respiratory infections.

Complications of URTIs
Complications of URTIs are not the primary focus of this article; however, in clinical practice they remain the most common causes of antibiotic prescriptions21,37,88 and as such warrant some attention in this review. Searches revealed a good number of studies in which homeopathy had been used for the URTI-related disorders with promising outcomes, including AOM (ear infections),9,81,83–85 rhinopharyngitis,90,91 sinusitis,92–95 and tonsillitis.15,96 In a study by Trichard et al comparing homeopathic and antibiotic treatment strategies for rhinopharyngitis in children, homeopathy yielded significantly better results than antibiotics in terms of both medical effectiveness (reduced number of episodes and number of complications) and improved quality of life with significantly less time taken off work for parents.90 A randomised, double-blind study by Friese and Zabalotnyi92 investigated the efficacy and tolerability of a homeopathic combination remedy for the treatment of acute rhinosinusitis. One hundred and forty-four patients with acute rhinosinusitis were treated either with a homeopathic remedy (n = 72) or placebo (n = 72). In the treatment group, the average sum score dropped from initially 12.1 ± 1.6 to 5.9 ± 2.0 points after 7 days. In the placebo group, it decreased from 11.7 ± 1.6 to 11.0 ± 2.9 points (p < 0.001). After 21 days, 90.3% of the homeopathic treatment group was free from complaints, whereas in 88.9% of the placebo group the complaints remained unchanged or became worse. The authors concluded that the homeopathic product allowed an effective and tolerable treatment of acute rhinosinusitis.

There have been several studies indicating the effectiveness of homeopathy for both acute and chronic tonsillitis. Friese et al97 performed a multi-centre, randomised, placebo-controlled, double-blinded study on 158 paediatric patients affected by acute non-streptococcal tonsillitis. The study group received Tonsilotrem hourly until onset of improvement, then three times a day, while the control group received placebo. Typical tonsillitis symptoms included swallowing difficulties, throat pain and redness, salivation and fever. By day 4, the study group showed a significantly higher rate of decrease in symptoms than the placebo group. By day 6, 92.4% of study patients showed full recovery or moderate improvement, compared with the 43.1% in the control group, with a deterioration rate of 3.8% in the study group against 22.8% in the control group.

A more recent, international, RCT of the homeopathic combination remedy SilAtro–5–90 (brand name: Tonsilotrem) was performed in multiple settings by Palm et al.98 Two hundred and fifty-six patients aged 6 to 60 years with moderate recurrent tonsillitis (RT) were given either the homeopathic preparation in addition to standard symptomatic treatment or ST alone. The test group received Tonsilotrem for three treatment periods of 8 weeks, each treatment period being followed by an 8- to 12-week period without the homeopathic preparation. The primary outcome measure was the estimated rate of diagnosed acute throat infections per year, with other outcome measures being severity of RT symptoms and the antibiotics required due to acute throat infections. Occurrence of RT symptoms was seen in a significantly lower percentage of patients in the test group compared with the control group. There was also a reduction in antibiotics used due to acute throat infections. The authors conclude that an integrative treatment...
approach, in which SilAtro-5–90 or Tonsilotren is given alongside mainstream symptomatic treatment, could be of therapeutic benefit to patients with a history of RT.

Both small- and large-scale studies suggest that homeopathy may reduce the prescribing of antibiotics for acute URTIs and their sequela. As part of an EPID nationwide survey of primary care practice in France, the progress of 518 adults and children with URTIs (79.3% with rhinopharyngitis) treated by either general practitioners (GPs) certified to use homeopathy (GP-Hom) or GPs who used conventional medicine only (GP-CM) was compared. Patients in the GP-Hom group showed significantly lower consumption of antibiotics (odds ratio [OR] = 0.43, 95% confidence interval [CI]: 0.27–0.68) and antipyretic/anti-inflammatory drugs (OR = 0.54, 95% CI: 0.38–0.76), with similar outcomes.

**Prophylactic and Long-Term Effects**

CAM therapies that are proven safe can be used to strengthen the self-healing capacities of the organism (preventive and curative health promotion). Several studies examined for this review suggest prophylactic, as well as short-term, potential for the products or remedies under review. In Siqueira et al’s trial, 600 children aged 1 to 5 years were randomly distributed to three groups and prescribed either a homeopathic complex, placebo or Influbio. The number of flu and acute respiratory infections in each group in a year (2009–2010) was then recorded. While the number of episodes overall was small, 30.5% of the placebo group developed three or more acute infections in the post-intervention year, whereas there were no recorded episodes in those receiving the homeopathic complex and only one episode in the group receiving Influbio. A 2016 observational study by Beghi and Morselli-Labate conducted over a 10-year period in Italy, suggested that the regular use of the homeopathic medicine Oscillococcinum during the winter months could play a role in the prevention of respiratory tract infections. In comparison with the control group, patients who took Oscillococcinum once a week for 8 months per year had a greater reduction in the average infectious episodes during the study compared with the year before inclusion, independent of age and class.

An observational study from 1998 to 2008 by Rossi et al assessed the outcome of homeopathic treatment in 551 children under 14 years of age. Respiratory infections (337 cases, 61%) were the most frequently observed diseases. The Glasgow Homeopathic Hospital Outcome Score (GHHOS) was used to assess outcome. After homeopathic treatment, 68% of children with respiratory disease showed a strong improvement or attained a resolution of their problems. The authors concluded that improvement or resolution of symptoms is more likely in patients with problems in the URT than other categories (e.g., dermatological, digestive, psychological) and in patients followed up for at least 12 months.

Another observational study, by Witt et al, showed long-term improvements in patients seeking homeopathic treatment for sinusitis. The treatment group (a sub-group of a large multi-centred observational study) included 134 adults treated by 62 physicians. All patients had suffered from chronic sinusitis (CS) for over 9 years, almost all (97.0%) of whom had previously been treated with conventional medicine. Self-reported scores showed both physical and mental improvements persisting for 8 years. The authors point to the need for more explanatory studies to establish the extent to which these effects could be due to lifestyle regulation, placebo, or context effects associated with the treatment. Also of interest is a multi-centre observational study, performed in India by Nayak et al, which sought to test the therapeutic usefulness of homeopathic medicine in the management of CS in 550 patients. The chronic sinusitis assessment score (CSAS) was used to assess symptom severity. The authors of this study found statistically significant reductions in CSAS after 3 and 6 months of treatment, along with improved radiological appearance. No complications were observed during treatment. Their conclusion is that homeopathic treatment could be effective for patients with CS but controlled trials were required for further validation.

**Combination versus Single Homeopathic Remedies**

The studies featured in this review adopt a range of approaches to prescribing, both from the perspective of control and selection of single or combination remedies with varying constituents (see Table 2). Of the seven RCTs in this review using combination remedies, four were placebo-controlled. The active controls used in the other two studies varied: Thinesse-Mallwitz et al’s team compared treatment with the homeopathic medication IFC with ST, while Jong et al compared CalSuli with another complex homeopathic product. Siqueira et al used placebo, but also compared the product Influbio (purified influenza virus × 30c) with a homeopathic nosode complex Streptococcus, Staphylococcus and inactivated influenza virus × 30c. The constituents contained in the homeopathic complexes (most of them brand-named products) cover a wide range of remedies. Many of these remedies are derived from plant sources, and their propensity to alleviate or resolve the symptoms of URTIs and their complications have been discussed at length in the homeopathic clinical literature. Homeopathic compounds and syrups contained up to nine different constituents, generally in low potencies. Despite wide variations in the remedies chosen, some clear “favourites” emerged, the most commonly used remedies being Bryonia (6 studies), Phosphorus (4 studies), Ipecacuanha (4 studies) and Sulfur (3 studies).

The findings from this review suggest at least equivalence between complex homeopathy and conventional treatment for uncomplicated cases of URTIs. In all but one study, the homeopathic products under evaluation yielded favourable results by way of milder symptoms and shorter duration of acute illness, and several had led to reduced use of antibiotics. Given the ease and convenience of this type of prescribing, the distress associated with acute URTIs, the need to reduce reliance on antibiotics and the known side effects of pharmaceutical OTC products, there is a case for using tried and tested combination remedies to treat uncomplicated cases of URTIs. On the other hand, the quality of the body of evidence on non-individualised homeopathic...
The quantity of peer-reviewed homeopathic research is small when compared with conventional medicinal research. There are many gaps in evidence, but recent studies support the view that homeopathy could be at least as effective as an ST, with effects that can be differentiated from placebo and can fill existing effectiveness gaps in the conventional medical treatment of URTIs and their complications. Importantly for global health, studies such as those presented in this article suggest that patients given homeopathic treatment and who follow sensible disease avoidance measures may avoid infections or may be able to reduce reliance on conventional medication, including antibiotics. In the meantime, the most important evidence still arises from practical clinical experience and from the successful treatment of millions of patients.

With the advent of AMR, homeopathy would appear to have a role to play both in offering alternative treatment for URTIs and the possibility of the prevention of recurring infections associated with the URT. Further research is required to establish the best means of achieving this; however, prioritising studies of paediatric and elderly populations would seem a path forward for the reduction in antibiotic use and the on-going risk of resistance.

**Conclusion**

With the emergence of antimicrobial resistance (AMR), respiratory infections have become more difficult to treat. Inappropriate use of antibiotics and other antimicrobials leads to the growth and spread of resistant bacteria, which colonise the airways and can affect the entire community. The push to limit AMR requires a consolidated, concerted effort by multiple stakeholders. Effective strategies are needed to restrict the use of antibiotics without harming those who truly need these medications. While lifestyle and nutrition play a significant part in their control, homeopathy presents a low cost, holistic adjunct or alternative for many common infections. The clinical trials examined in this article showed variations in size, location, cohort types, type of intervention and outcome measures, which make comparisons and generalisations problematic. Nevertheless, combined evidence from these and other studies suggests that homeopathic treatment can exert biological effects with fewer adverse events and broader therapeutic opportunities than conventional medicine in the treatment of URTIs.

Given the cost implications of treating URTIs and their complications in children, and the relative absence of effective alternatives without potential side effects, the use of non-individualised homeopathic compounds tailored for the paediatric population merits further investigation, including through large-scale cohort studies.

---
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