The Posterior Transpetrosal Approach in a Case of Large Retrochiasmatic Craniopharyngioma: Operative Video and Technical Nuances
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Abstract

Objectives To discuss the use of the posterior petrosal approach for the resection of a retrochiasmatic craniopharyngioma.

Design Operative video.

Results In this case video, the authors discuss the surgical management of a large craniopharyngioma, presenting with mass effect on the third ventricle and optic apparatus. A first surgical stage, through an endoscopic endonasal transtubercular approach, allowed satisfactory decompression of the optic chiasma and nerves in preparation for adjuvant therapy. However, accelerated growth of the tumor, with renewed visual deficits and mass effect on the hypothalamus and third ventricle, warranted a supplementary resection. A posterior transpetrosal1,2 (also called “retro-labyrinthine transtentorial”) was performed to obtain a better exposure of the tumor and the surrounding anatomy (floor and walls of the third ventricle, perforating vessels, optic nerves, etc.)3. Nuances of technique and surgical pearls related to the posterior transpetrosal are discussed and illustrated in this operative video, including the posterior mobilization of the transverse–sigmoid sinuses junction, preservation of the venous anatomy during the tentorial incision, identification and preservation of the floor of the third ventricle during tumor resection, and a careful multilayer closure.

Conclusion Retrochiasmatic craniopharyngiomas are difficult to reach tumors that often require skull base approaches, either endoscopic endonasal or transcranial. The posterior transpetrosal approach is an important part of the surgical armamentarium to safely resect these complex tumors. The link to the video can be found at: https://youtu.be/2MyGLJ_v1kI.
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**Fig. 1** Preoperative and postoperative imaging. Sagittal gadolinium-enhanced T1 preoperative (A) and (B) postoperative images of the endoscopic transtubercular approach for a large retrochiasmatic craniopharyngioma. Preoperative sagittal CISS image (C) and postoperative sagittal gadolinium-enhanced T1 (D) of the posterior transpetrosal approach.

**Fig. 2** Intraoperative images. (A) In posterior transpetrosal approach, posterior mobilization of the transverse–sigmoid junction opens up the surgical corridor between the temporal lobe and posterior fossa (white arrows). (B) Initial dissection of the arachnoid of the interpeduncular fossa and exposure of the tumor. Endoscopic assistance allows detailed inspection of the surgical bed (C) and a better appreciation of the surrounding neurovascular anatomy (D). CN, cranial nerve; L optic N, left optic nerve; R optic N, right optic nerve.
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