Cataract Surgery Cancellations: An Analysis of Financial and Resident Training Implications at a Major Eye Institution
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Abstract

Purpose  The objective of this study was to assess reasons for cancellation of resident-performed cataract surgery, identify modifiable barriers to completion of the surgery on the scheduled date, and analyze the healthcare reimbursements and educational experiences lost as a result of cancellations.

Setting  General eye clinic staffed by residents in a major urban center.

Design  Retrospective, observational study.

Methods  The study compared patients (older than 18 years) who canceled their cataract surgery to patients who underwent surgery on their scheduled date. Cancellation and reimbursement information was obtained from the surgical coordinator’s scheduling book and billing information. Demographic information was obtained from patient electronic medical records, and scheduling logs. A brief phone survey was implemented to identify patient’s barriers to surgery if a reason for cancellation was undocumented.

Results  The overall cancellation rate over 1-year was 29.54% and most common overall reason for cancellation was that preadmission testing (PAT) was not completed in time for the procedure. Overall, 72% of the cancellations were made within 7 days of the surgery. The total estimated lost potential reimbursement due to cancellation was $844,370. The relative loss of surgical opportunities for residents throughout this 1-year period was 230 cases or 29 surgeries per resident.

Conclusion  These findings have prompted a new study testing whether on-site mandatory PATs conducted on the same day as the patient’s ophthalmology evaluation will reduce cataract surgery cancellation rates.
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Cataracts are the leading cause of avoidable blindness in the world and are responsible for approximately 50% of cases of bilateral vision worse than 20/40 among Caucasian, African American, and Hispanic individuals.1,2 Fortunately, surgery to remove cataracts is very safe3 and improves both vision and quality of life, translating to significant improvements in real-life activities and emotional and social functioning.4-7 Additionally, costs associated with cataract surgical procedures have decreased by 34.4% from 2000 to 2012.8

Given the advantages of cataract surgery, coupled with an increasing population health need, it is vital for ophthalmology residents to obtain high-quality cataract extraction (CE) experience during their training. However, substantial exposure may be precluded by high rates of surgical cancellation. This study assessed reasons for cancellation of resident-performed cataract surgery, identified modifiable barriers, and analyzed the educational loss to the residents and the monetary loss to the institution as a result of these cancellations.

Methods
This retrospective, observational study investigated cataract surgery cancellation rates from July 1, 2011, through June 30, 2012, in the Cataract and Primary Eye Care Clinic at Wills Eye Hospital (WEH). The study compared patients (older than 18 years) who canceled their surgeries to patients who underwent surgery on their originally scheduled date. This information was obtained from the surgical coordinator’s scheduling book and cross-referenced with billing information. Patient electronic medical records and scheduling logs were reviewed to identify patient demographics, insurance status, need for advanced surgical clearance, scheduled surgical information, and history of previous cataract surgery. A brief phone survey was implemented to identify patient’s barriers to surgery if a reason for cancellation was undocumented. Up to three phone calls were made to each patient. Consent to participate in the survey was obtained over the phone and patients were compensated for their time on the phone with a $10 gift card.

Cost Analysis
Types of lost reimbursements calculated included lost payments in professional fees to the attending surgeons, to the institution, and to the anesthesiologist. Lost payments in professional fees to the attending surgeon were calculated using patient-level data using the specific primary insurance payer. However, for the facility and anesthesiology lost payments, patient-level data were not available. Therefore, Medicare reimbursement was generated by the practice administrators, and applied to all patients where a Medicare plan was the primary insurer. The mean Medicare facility reimbursement per cataract procedure (US$ 2013) was $1,427 and $188, respectively. However, if a private plan was listed as the primary insurance payer, reimbursements were assumed to be 20% higher ($1,902 for the facility and $251 for anesthesia). In the absence of a primary insurance payer, we conservatively assumed that reimbursements lost would have been $0. This included 12 individuals who were documented as having charity care or no insurer listed.

Statistical Analysis
All statistical analyses were conducted using SAS version 9.3 (SAS Institute, Cary, NC). Descriptive statistics were presented as means and standard deviations for continuous variables, and frequencies and percentages for categorical variables. Chi-square and 2-sample t-tests were conducted to identify associations between risk factors and the primary outcome variable of surgery cancellation. Risk factors analyzed included age, gender, race, previous cataract surgery, distance from WEH, visual acuity, season, and primary insurance type. All statistical analyses were two-sided, and p-values less than 0.05 were considered statistically significant.

Results
Between July 1, 2011, and June 30, 2012, out of 1,083 of cataract surgeries, 319 (29.45%) were canceled. In the cancellation group, 65.54% (165/251) of patients who canceled cataract surgery went on to complete surgery at a later time. African Americans, patients who previously had cataract surgeries, and patients who lived within 10 miles of WEH, were statistically more likely to cancel surgery ($p = 0.039, p = 0.004, and p = 0.008, respectively; → Table 1).

After assessing reasons for cancellation of the 319 cases, we identified reasons for cataract surgery cancellation in a total of 251 cases (→ Table 2). The majority of these surgical cases (35.6%, 89/251) were canceled because preadmission testing (PAT) was not completed in time, which includes an electrocardiography, physical exam, blood pressure reading, and blood glucose test in patients with diabetes (→ Table 2). Additionally, 47% (118/251) of cancellations were made on the day before or the day of the surgery, while 25% (63/251) of cancellations occurred 3 to 7 days prior to surgery. The total estimated lost reimbursement due to canceled cataract surgery cases was $844,370. Cancellations made within 7 days of the cataract surgery (72%) accounted for $497,720 (59%) of the estimated loss.

The total loss of 230 cataract surgical cases for residents occurred throughout this year. Divided among eight residents performing cataract surgeries, this represented a loss of 29 cataract surgery opportunities per resident over the year.

Discussion
Previous studies have not quantified cancellation rates of resident-performed cataract surgeries and attributable lost reimbursements. A study focusing on ophthalmic surgeries cancelled at an ambulatory surgical center found a 5.3% cancellation rate within 24 hours of the procedure.9 Argo
et al reported a 9% cancellation rate for ophthalmic surgeries and also showed that surgeons at low cancellation rate sites gave patients written preoperative instruction and had a higher use of preoperative clinic visits.\(^{10}\)

In our study, cancellation rates for resident-performed cataract surgery were higher at 29.54%, with incomplete PAT being the most common reason (35.6%) for cancellation (\(\text{Table 2}\)). This high rate of cancellation impacts residents who are losing valuable surgical training experience. Previous studies reported that complication rates following cataract surgery drastically decrease as the surgeon’s experience (surgical volume) increases.\(^{11-13}\) Our study found that 230 cases (29 cases per ophthalmology resident) were lost throughout the 12-month period, representing a significant loss in surgical training opportunities.

High rates of surgical cataract cancellations also affect the institution. Seventy-two percent of cancellations occurred within 7 days of the procedure, which does not leave enough time to ensure the operating room slot is filled by another patient and results in loss of revenue by the institution. The estimated lost reimbursements due to cancellation totaled \$844,370, of which \$391,884 were facility fees. While the dollar value of lost reimbursements is significant, this figure underestimates the true financial impact of cataract cancellations, since losses due to underused fixed resources (i.e., staff, surgical equipment, room) were beyond the scope of the analysis.

Our findings can serve to inform interventions to reduce cataract surgery cancellations. Some potential interventions include same-site PATs, contacting and scheduling patients with their own primary care physician (PCP), providing patients with a list of local PCPs if they are not under the care of a PCP, and reaching out to each patient via a systematic phone call 10 days prior to surgery.

Various limitations of this study exist. This was a retrospective study reliant on the institution’s existing systems for gathering data, and data for some cancellations were unknown. Additionally, the estimated facility and anesthesia reimbursements lost were calculated via blended payer rates as opposed to patient-level data. Lastly, this study fails to consider the morbidity burdens experienced by patients due to uncorrected cataracts.

The findings from this study have prompted our institution to develop and initiate a randomized, controlled, clinical trial evaluating on-site required PATs to reduce resident-

---

**Table 1** Characteristics of patients whose cataract extractions were canceled versus controls (\(n = 586\))

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Cancellation (n = 251)</th>
<th>Control (n = 335)</th>
<th>(p)-Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age, mean ± SD</td>
<td>66.7 (11.9)</td>
<td>67.1 (12.2)</td>
<td>0.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gender, (n) (%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>94 (37.45%)</td>
<td>136 (40.6%)</td>
<td>0.44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>157 (62.55%)</td>
<td>199 (59.4%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ethnicity, (n) (%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>African American</td>
<td>167 (66.8%)</td>
<td>185 (56.75%)</td>
<td>0.039</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Caucasian</td>
<td>57 (22.8%)</td>
<td>112 (34.46%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hispanic</td>
<td>11 (4.4%)</td>
<td>14 (4.29%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asian</td>
<td>12 (4.8%)</td>
<td>13 (3.99%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Previous cataract extraction, (n) (%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>193 (80.42%)</td>
<td>294 (89.09%)</td>
<td>0.004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>47 (19.58%)</td>
<td>36 (10.91%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Distance, (n) (%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt; 1 mile</td>
<td>7 (2.79%)</td>
<td>5 (1.49%)</td>
<td>0.008</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1–4 miles</td>
<td>92 (36.65%)</td>
<td>109 (32.54%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5–10 miles</td>
<td>86 (34.36%)</td>
<td>87 (25.97%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10–20 miles</td>
<td>46 (18.33%)</td>
<td>86 (25.67%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt; 20 miles</td>
<td>20 (7.97%)</td>
<td>48 (14.33%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 2** Reasons for cancellation (\(n = 319\))

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reasons for cancellation</th>
<th>(n) (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Preadmission testing not completed in time</td>
<td>89 (35.6%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Patient rescheduled surgery</td>
<td>52 (20.7%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Systematic health issues</td>
<td>41 (16.4%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unattained specialist referrals</td>
<td>23 (9.1%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anesthesiologist cancelled</td>
<td>22 (8.7%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>24 (9.5%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unable to determine reason (missing)</td>
<td>68</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
performed cataract surgery cancellations and improve the quality of care for patients.
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