Cytomegalovirus-Associated Splanchnic Vein Thrombosis in Immunocompetent Patients: Two Case Reports and Literature Review
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We and many others have previously published on the potential association of venous thromboembolism (VTE) with systemic inflammation and viral/bacterial infections in this journal.1–3 In particular, several studies demonstrated that hepatitis viruses such as cytomegalovirus (CMV), Epstein–Barr virus (EBV), hepatitis A, B, and C viruses (HAV, HBV, HCV) are able to induce hemostatic abnormalities and increase the risk of venous thromboses, including splanchnic vein thrombosis (SVT).1–9 Episodes of SVT, defined as the occlusion of veins of the portal venous system (including the mesenteric, splenic, and portal veins) or the hepatic veins (Budd–Chiari’s syndrome, BCS), usually occur in patients with intra-abdominal inflammatory processes, cirrhosis, cancer and/or prothrombotic disorders.10

Acute CMV infection has been reported to associate with VTE in immunocompromised patients (HIV-positive or post-transplant patients). However, VTE during acute CMV infection is increasingly reported even in immunocompetent adults. The sites of CMV-associated thrombosis in immunocompetent subjects include pulmonary embolism (PE), lower limb deep vein thrombosis (DVT), and SVT (portal vein thrombosis [PVT], mesenteric vein thrombosis [MVT], and BCS).5,9 Other reported VTE sites include inferior vena cava, ovarian, iliac, and jugular veins.7

CMV is a herpesvirus that may be asymptomatic or may cause few symptoms. After the first infection, CMV often becomes latent, but immunosuppression may allow the virus to reactivate and become symptomatic. Acute CMV infection manifests with a mononucleosis-like syndrome, with fever, cervical lymphadenitis and arthralgia, more rarely with pneumonia, hepatitis, myocarditis, pericarditis, colitis, or hemolytic anemia.5

CMV increases the thrombotic risk in immunocompetent adults especially when the acute infection is associated with concomitant prothrombotic conditions such as oral contraceptive (OC) use, prolonged immobilization, malignancies, trauma, or inherited thrombophilic conditions.7,8

We therefore wish to report two recent cases of young immunocompetent patients referred to our tertiary care center who experienced SVT associated with acute CMV infection. We follow these case descriptions with a brief narrative review focused on the available literature evidence especially with respect to: (1) clinical presentation and risk factors of events, (2) mechanisms involved in the thrombotic process in immunocompetent patients with acute CMV infection, and (3) management of these thrombotic events.

Case I: A 40-year-old man was admitted to our center because of fever, abdominal pain, and diarrhea. He had been treated ineffectively with antibiotic drugs in the previous weeks. His past clinical history included arterial hypertension and obesity, and he was a carrier of β-thalassemia. On admission, the patient had persistent fever (>38°C) and pain in the right hip. No superficial lymphadenopathy on physical examination was detected. Blood tests revealed leukopenia with relative lymphocytosis and elevated liver cytolysis indices, as well as increased values of lipase, amylase, lactate dehydrogenase, and D-dimer. Chest X-ray and electrocardiogram were negative. A computed tomography (CT) scan of his abdomen demonstrated an extensive thrombus of splenic vein and superior MVT. The screening for inherited/acquired thrombophilia, including the search for factor V Leiden (FVL) and prothrombin (FII) G20210A gene polymorphisms, the detection of plasma anticardiolipin antibodies and lupus anticoagulant, and measurements of plasma antithrombin, protein C, protein S, and homocysteine were negative. Hematological (negative bone marrow aspirate and JAK2 mutation) or clear-cut neoplastic diseases were excluded. Serological tests for HIV, hepatitis (A, B, C, and E), toxoplasma, and EBV were normal, whereas CMV serology revealed high-titer immunoglobulin M (IgM) antibodies (100
IU/mL, normal values < 12 IU/mL) with negative immunoglobulin G (IgG) antibodies, and a low IgG avidity index: 0.122 (reference range < 0.2: low), thus suggesting acute infection. The patient was put on anticoagulation with therapeutic doses of low molecular weight heparin (LMWH) (enoxaparin 100 IU/kg twice daily) and then warfarin. The systemic symptoms gradually resolved without the need for ganciclovir treatment. After 6 months, a complete resolution of the thrombus was shown at the CT scan, and warfarin therapy was discontinued.

Case II: A 24-year-old female presented to the emergency department with fever and abdominal pain for the past week. She was a carrier of β-thalassemia, was obese, and reported a history of uterine myomas and gallbladder stones. On this basis, a biliary colic was first hypothesized, not confirmed at ultrasound evaluation, while an urgent CT scan of abdomen demonstrated thrombosis of the inferior mesenteric vein, without extension to portal and splenic veins. Use of OCs was denied, hematological and neoplastic causes were excluded, and no other cardiovascular risk factors were present. Serological tests for HIV, hepatitis (A, B, C, and E), toxoplasma, and EBV were negative, whereas CMV serology revealed high-titer IgM antibodies (129 IU/mL, normal values < 12 IU/mL) with negative IgG antibodies, consistent with acute infection. She was treated with low-dose LMWH (enoxaparin 4,000 IU once daily) for 2 to 3 weeks. Four years later, the patient was referred to us for counseling about pregnancy, and at this time, a heterozygous FII G20210A polymorphism was identified. A CT scan of her abdomen showed resolution of the previous thrombosis. Due to the presence of thrombophilia and obesity, she received an intermediate-dose enoxaparin prophylaxis (100 IU/kg once daily) during pregnancy, without thromboembolic recurrences.

CMV infection was the most likely precipitating factor for SVT in these two patients because no other cause/disease could explain the clinical and laboratory presentation. In both the cases, fever and abdominal pain were detectable. Anticoagulant therapy, although conducted in the second patient at low dose and only for 2 to 3 weeks, resulted in complete resolution of thrombosis.

Table 1 summarizes the largest studies and reviews reflecting on the association between CMV infection and SVT. Risk factors and clinical characteristics of hospitalized patients with acute CMV infection-associated thrombosis were evaluated in 2010 in a retrospective case–control study including 140 consecutive patients (mean age: 37.3 ± 17.4 years) diagnosed with the acute infection in a tertiary medical center and 140 matched controls without CMV infection. Among the control group, none of the patients had thrombotic events, whereas among the study group, nine (6.4%; p = 0.003) patients had thromboses: five arterial thromboses (four splenic infarcts and one renal infarct) and four venous thromboses (one PE, one lower limb DVT, one upper limb DVT, and one jugular vein thrombosis). Concomitant predisposing conditions for thrombosis (OCs, immobilization, recent surgery), other than the infection, were present in 6/9 (66.6%) cases. Binary logistic regression analysis showed that acute CMV infection was independently associated with thrombosis in the whole cohort (p = 0.004), and the use of OCs/hormones or pregnancy were independently associated with thrombosis among patients with acute infection (p = 0.043).

In another case–control study, five cases of VTE (three DVT and two PE) and active CMV infection were detected in hospitalized patients, all females, aged below 37 years and showing at least one concomitant VTE acquired risk factor (three during OC treatment, one after surgery, and one in puerperium). In a case–control study that investigated the potential role of CMV in hospitalized immunocompetent patients, a higher frequency of positive CMV–IgM and CMV–IgG was observed in VTE patients than in controls. Seven patients with VTE had CMV–IgM positivity (six women and one man); in two cases, the thrombosis occurred during OC therapy and in one case during pregnancy.

Clinical characteristics of patients with CMV-associated thrombosis were also evaluated in a meta-analysis, published in 2011, that included 97 reports. Overall, 64 immunocompetent patients and 33 immunocompromised patients were described, with a mean age of 39.7 ± 14.9 years and a female-to-male ratio of 1:1, although females were prevalent among the immunocompetent cases. The most prevalent clinical presentations of infection were CMV mononucleosis and CMV colitis. The most frequent sites of thrombosis were lower limbs (DVT) and/or pulmonary circulation (PE) (52/97; 53.6%), followed by SVT (PVT, superior and inferior MVT, colic vein thrombosis) and splenic infarction. The episodes of DVT or PE were more frequent in immunocompromised patients, whereas SVTs were more prevalent among immunocompetent cases. Arterial thromboses (renal infarct, myocardial infarction, digital ischemia) were rare. In the meta-analysis, the use of OCs, transient/permanent antiphospholipid antibody (APLA) positivity, and FVL heterozygosis were the most common concomitant predisposing conditions for thrombosis. Other predisposing conditions were surgery, active malignancy, and pregnancy. Interestingly, the prevalence of inherited thrombophilia was significantly higher among immunocompetent patients compared with immunocompromised patients, thus suggesting a prothrombotic state associated with the condition of immunosuppression of these patients to be sufficient to induce the thrombosis.

Paran et al in 2013 investigated, for the first time, the long-term effect (6-month incidence) on VTE and/or arterial thrombosis in 6,205 outpatients who tested positive for CMV–IgM antibodies in a large health maintenance organization in Israel. During 6 months of follow-up from index date (the earliest CMV–IgM testing date), the incidence rates of VTE among CMV–IgM seropositive were higher compared with CMV–IgM seronegative patients (odds ratio [OR]: 2.25; 95% confidence interval [CI]: 1.38–3.66; p = 0.003). The CMV–IgM seropositivity was independently associated with VTE in multivariable logistic regression analysis (OR: 2.49; 95% CI: 1.53–4.06; p < 0.0001), but it was not associated with arterial thrombosis.

Clinical characteristics of CMV-related thrombosis have been reviewed by Sherman et al, who described 78 reports concerning 113 patients. The mean age of patients was...
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Authors, year</th>
<th>Design</th>
<th>Number of cases with acute CMV and thrombosis</th>
<th>Number of female patients with thrombosis</th>
<th>Age, mean (range)</th>
<th>Thrombosis sites</th>
<th>Risk factors</th>
<th>Antithrombotic treatment (when described)</th>
<th>Antiviral treatment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Atzmony et al., 2010</td>
<td>Retrospective case-control</td>
<td>9/140 (6.4%) patients with acute CMV infection (3 immunocompetent and 6 immunocompromised) vs. 0/140 controls</td>
<td>2/9</td>
<td>47.1 (29–65)</td>
<td>1 PE</td>
<td>1 lower limb DVT, 1 upper limb DVT, 1 jugular vein, 4 splenic infarcts, 1 renal infarct</td>
<td>Presence of acquired or inherited conditions in 66.6%</td>
<td>All anticoagulated Five patients treated</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tichelaar et al., 2011</td>
<td>Case–control</td>
<td>5/258 (1.9%) cases with active CMV vs. 0/139 controls</td>
<td>5/5</td>
<td>32.4 (26–36)</td>
<td>3 DVT</td>
<td>2 PE</td>
<td>3 OC 1 surgery 1 puerperium</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Schimanski et al., 2012</td>
<td>Case–control</td>
<td>CMV IgM positivity in 7/166 (4.2%) immunocompetent VTE patients vs. 0.6% in controls (no VTE)</td>
<td>6/7</td>
<td>43.4 (29–61)</td>
<td>6 DVT</td>
<td>1 DVT + PE</td>
<td>Presence of acquired RF in 3/7 (42.89%) cases Presence of thrombophilia in 5/7 (71.4%) cases</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Justo et al., 2011</td>
<td>Meta-analysis</td>
<td>97 (64 immunocompetent and 33 immunocompromised)</td>
<td>46/97</td>
<td>39.7 ± 14.9 (2–83)</td>
<td>52 DVT/PE 25 SVT 12 splenic infarction Others veins (4 internal jugular, 2 CVT, 1 ovarian, 1 extra-hepatic, 1 brachial vein, 1 azygos) 2 renal artery thrombosis 1 MI 1 digital ischemia</td>
<td>16.5% OC 14.4% APLA 37.1% acquired conditions 17.5% inherited RFs</td>
<td>Heparin/warfarin in most cases (numbers not available) Three thrombolysis</td>
<td>28 (28.9%) patients treated</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paran et al., 2013</td>
<td>Community prospective study</td>
<td>6 mo incidence of VTE: 19 of 6,205 (0.3%) patients; 6 mo incidence of arterial thrombosis: 7 of 6,222 (0.11%) patients after CMV infection vs. 84,310 controls</td>
<td>63.7% in the whole VTE cohort 64.4% in the whole arterial cohort</td>
<td>42 ± 11 (mean of the entire cohort) (range 30–98)</td>
<td>12 lower limb DVT 4 PE 2 upper limb DVT 1 superficial VT 7 arterial thromboses</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sherman et al., 2014</td>
<td>Narrative review</td>
<td>113</td>
<td>Female: male: 1/1 (mean) (17–83)</td>
<td>41.7 ± 14.6 (mean) (17–83)</td>
<td>63 DVT/PE 31 SVT 2 renal artery 2 strokes 1 MI 1 digital ischemia</td>
<td>60.2% of cases presented transient or chronic RF 15% presented OC 10.6% presented factor V Leiden</td>
<td>All anticoagulated</td>
<td>34 patients treated</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yıldız et al., 2016</td>
<td>Retrospective</td>
<td>10 immunocompetent</td>
<td>9/10</td>
<td>37.5 (mean)</td>
<td>3 superficial VT 5 DVT (2 lower limb) 4 with PE associated 3 SVT</td>
<td>100% of cases presented at least one thrombophilic condition 50% presented OC use</td>
<td>All anticoagulated</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
41 ± 14.6 with a female-to-male ratio of 1:1. The female-to-male ratio was reanalyzed separately in controlled studies, including consecutive patients, and was 1.6.15

Recently, Yildiz et al identified 10 patients with synchronous acute CMV infection among 1,007 consecutive patients with VTE. Patients with coexistent VTE and acute CMV infection were younger (37.5 vs. 56.6 years; \( p = 0.0088 \)) and exhibited a female predominance (90 vs. 56%; \( p = 0.026 \)) in comparison to the whole cohort. Hereditary thrombophilia was identified in 9 of 10 patients. Acquired risk factors for VTE such as OCs and pregnancy were equally prevalent in patients with and without CMV infection.16

In another recent literature review,17 49 papers with a total of 69 patients (41 women and 28 men) with VTE complicating CMV primary infection were analyzed. The main sites of venous thrombosis were SVT (30 patients) and DVT of lower limbs (18 patients). One-third of patients presented with PE (25 patients). Forty-nine (76%) of the 64 patients screened for thrombophilia had at least one VTE risk factor, inherited or acquired thrombophilia for 37 (58%) patients, and another risk factor for 27 (42%) patients.17 Anticoagulant treatment was described for 48 patients, and treatment duration reported in 20 cases was 3 to 6 months. Anticoagulation was discontinued without any radiological evidence of thrombosis resolution for most patients.

The potential mechanisms of thrombosis in CMV infection deserve mention. Venous thrombosis is a multifactorial disease that can be triggered by environmental factors and inherited predisposition. Based on data reported in the literature, it has been suggested to include CMV infection among VTE risk factors/triggers.4,15,18,19 However, unlike immunosuppressed patients, in whom infection is often the only condition associated with thrombosis, inherited thrombophilia and acquired risk factors for thrombosis often coexist in immunocompetent patients with SVT.6,18

In a review of case reports and case series on CMV-associated thrombosis in immunocompetent adults,18 39 patients were described (mean age: 34.9 ± 10.8 years). Most events (21/39; 54%) were SVT. Overall, 14 (35.9%) patients had one or more acquired risk factors for thrombosis; 16/35 (45.7%) patients investigated for inherited thrombophilia had one or more inherited predispositions for thrombosis. Only 12 (33.3%) patients did not show any acquired or inherited predisposition for thrombosis other than CMV infection. The most common (n = 13; 33.3%) acquired predisposition for thrombosis was the use of OCs.

### Table 2 CMV infection and thrombosis: underlying mechanisms

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Endothelial dysfunction (increased tissue factor exposure)</th>
<th>Platelet and leukocyte adhesion</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Increased tissue factor exposure in infected monocytes</td>
<td>Procoagulant properties of CMV envelope</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Increased factor VIII and von Willebrand factor levels</td>
<td>Transient antiphospholipid antibodies</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviation: CMV, cytomegalovirus.
The mechanisms by which CMV infection may induce thrombosis are varied (Table 2). The most accepted theories suggest that CMV infects endothelial cells, thus inducing membrane alteration and expression of adhesion molecules causing platelet and leukocyte adhesion and generating tissue factor (TF) exposure, factor X activation, and thrombin formation. Intrinsic CMV envelope procoagulant properties may be another cause of hemostatic imbalance, and furthermore, TF is present on infected monocytes. Acute CMV infection is reported to increase circulatory levels of von Willebrand factor and factor VIII. Finally, acute CMV infection has been associated with transient appearance of APLA.

No guideline on management of CMV-associated venous thrombosis is currently available. In particular, there are no agreed recommendations on the duration of anticoagulation and benefit of antiviral therapy. The majority of CMV-associated venous thromboses reported in the literature were treated with LMWH and warfarin, with the duration of oral anticoagulation ranging between 20 days and 9 months. Therapy was stopped when the resolution of thrombosis was confirmed or, in some cases, on the basis of reduction or absence of APLA.

Three months of anticoagulant therapy seems to be the most appropriate strategy since CMV infection is a transient and reversible risk factor, according to the current guidelines of the American College of Chest Physicians. However, the search for inherited thrombophilia and APLA should guide the choice of extended treatment.

The benefit of primary anticoagulant prophylaxis in patients with acute CMV infection is not defined and should be considered in the presence of multiple concomitant prothrombotic conditions (i.e., hospitalized patients with immobilization or a history of VTE).

As regards CMV antiviral therapy, in the recent review by Sherman et al. 30.1% of the total population of patients studied were treated with antiviral agents (ganciclovir or valganciclovir) and 73.5% of these had viremia diagnosed by CMV–DNA PCR (deoxyribonucleic acid polymerase chain reaction) or antigen assays. In the meta-analysis by Justo et al., only 17.2% of immunocompetent patients received antiviral agents compared with 51.5% in the immunocompromised group. In the previously cited literature review, regarding 49 papers with a total of 69 patients with VTE complicating CMV primary infection, only 11 patients (all cases with severe thromboembolic events) received antiviral therapy (ganciclovir and/or valganciclovir). A positive outcome was observed in all patients, with the exception of a case of BCS. The authors suggested that antiviral therapy should be considered for patients presenting with severe VTE, VTE with a negative outcome despite anticoagulation, and severe organ involvement or for patients managed in the intensive care unit. They also suggested a practical antithrombotic strategy for CMV-associated thrombosis (Table 2).

In conclusion, the number of reported SVT in patients with acute CMV infections has increased considerably in recent years, mainly due to the growing awareness of the existence of an association between thrombotic events and this infection. The frequency of SVT is higher than that of DVT/PE in immunocompetent patients with CMV-associated thrombosis in whom concomitant acquired/inherited predisposing factors other than the infection are often recognized.

On the basis of literature data, acute CMV infection should be considered as a possible prothrombotic condition and searched for in adult immunocompetent patients with SVT in the presence of symptoms and signs of the infection. The search for concomitant thrombotic risk factors and inherited/acquired thrombophilic conditions may better guide the optimal management strategy in the absence of specific recommendations or guidelines.
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