Complementary Reactivity of 1,6-Enynes with All-Metal Aromatic Trinuclear Complexes and Carboxylic Acids
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Abstract The distinct reactivity of 1,6-enynes in the presence of a tri-nuclear metal complex activated by a carboxylic acid is presented. The triplatinum catalyst enables the cyclization of the substrate and subsequent incorporation of a nucleophile in the final product. In contrast, sequential cyclization/double bond shift occurs under analogous conditions in the presence of the corresponding tripalladium complex.
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All-metal aromatic clusters represent an intriguing class of organometallic molecules characterized by delocalized metal–metal bonds, which parallel their classical carbon-based counterparts.1 Thanks to the variety of electronic states offered by metal atoms compared to main group elements, it has been possible to push the known boundaries of chemical bonding in the last two decades.2 This includes rather exotic molecular orbitals ones such as δ- and ϕ-type bonds,3 which cannot be observed in carbon-based molecules, as well as examples of multidimensional electronic delocalization that crumpled the traditionally flat landscape of regular aromatic rings.4 However, while an ample mix of fascinating structures has been reported both at the molecular level and in material sciences, their limited bench stability has for a long time hampered thoughtful study of the consequences of this bonding mode on organic synthesis.1–4

Very recently, our group established a straightforward synthetic approach for the synthesis of all-5d M₃⁺ metal aromatic complexes, whose synthesis is robust and can be accomplished in a modular fashion (Scheme 1).5 The reaction uses a zero-valent palladium or platinum complex, a tertiar-
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fer conditions and unconventional cycloisomerization/dimerization of 1,6-enynes to form highly decorated tricyclic structures, or cross-coupling reactions.\(^6\)

In order to provide wider applications to this family of all-metal aromatic clusters, we now turned our attention on trinuclear platinum clusters, whose solid-state structures even overlap with those of their palladium analogues.\(^5\) In particular, the two clusters share nearly identical metal–metal, metal–sulfur, and metal–phosphine distances, differences remaining below 0.03 Å at the solid states. Similarly, angles and dihedrals remain almost identical too, as witnessed by their perfectly equilateral trimetallic core. An ample mix of theoretical tools and experimental magnetic measures suggest perfect coincidence of delocalized bonding, which is responsible for the aromatic character of these complexes.

We speculated that this could have been of interest for activation of unsaturated substrates because mononuclear platinum salts have found large application in catalytic cycloisomerization reactions, with seminal contributions by Fürstner,\(^7\) Echavarren,\(^8\) and others.\(^9\) Surprisingly, while more than one hundred structures of trinuclear platinum clusters have been characterized at the solid state, none of them has been reported to trigger any C–C bond-forming reaction. This led us to speculate whether aromatic Pt\(_3\) complexes could hold potential for synthetic purposes instead, thus enabling a comparison with its widely-employed mononuclear peers.

In order to fill this lack and create a parallel to precedent contributions in the field of catalytic cycloisomerization of enynes, we focused on the reactivity of model substrate (E)-1a in the presence of complex A (Table 1). Preliminary investigations on solvent effect, revealed the formation of pyrrolidine derivative 2a when using acetic acid, as an equimolar additive, in the presence of 0.3% of catalyst A in toluene (Table 1, entries 1–3). A reaction conducted in the absence of the acidic additive (entry 4), reflected the importance of the former. Using AcOH as the solvent, compound 2a was isolated in 42% yield (entry 5). Encouraged by these results we continued the optimization of the reaction conditions fixing AcOH as the solvent for the cycloisomerization reaction. A strong effect was discovered by the addition of co-catalytic amounts of the phosphine ligand which, by ensuring a more stabilizing environment, led to the isolation of 2a in 72% yield although with prolonged reaction times (entries 6–8). Comparable efficacy was obtained increasing the catalyst loading to 0.6 mol% in 16 hours (entry 9). Finally, the palladium analogue B was found to be less competent for the reaction, leading to 2a in a meagre 16% yield (entry 10).

The chemoselectivity of the catalyst is highly influenced by the nature of the carboxylic acid additive. Indeed, running the reaction in the presence of (E)-1a and equimolecular amounts of benzoic acid in toluene, bicyclic product 2a’ was isolated as the major product in the reaction mixture (Scheme 2).

**Table 1** Optimization of Reaction Conditions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Entry</th>
<th>Complex A (mol%)</th>
<th>Solvent</th>
<th>PR(_3) cat. (mol%)</th>
<th>Time (h)</th>
<th>Yield (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>1,4-dioxiane</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>N.R.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>1,2-DCE</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>N.R.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>toluene</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4(^c)</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>toluene</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>N.R.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5(^d)</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>AcOH</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>AcOH</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>AcOH</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>AcOH</td>
<td>2.7</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>AcOH</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10(^e)</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>AcOH</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^a\) Reaction conditions: 1a (0.15 mmol), A (0.6 mol%), AcOH (0.15 mmol), solvent (0.3 M), isolated yields.
\(^b\) N. R.: No reaction.
\(^c\) Reaction without AcOH.
\(^d\) Conditions: 1a (0.15 mmol), A (0.6 mol%), AcOH (500 μL, 0.3 M).
\(^e\) Reaction with complex B (0.6 mol%).

Subsequently, a family of differently substituted (E)-1,6-enynes 1 were prepared in order to prove the generality of the methodology (Scheme 3). The tethering group ability was then investigated. Indeed, sulfonamide 1b and dialkyl malonyl units 1c,d were efficiently converted in moderate to good yields, with these latter opening access to a family of cyclopentane derivatives. Next, we found several functional groups such as ether, fluoro, and trifluoro units on the cinnamyl moiety to be tolerated (Scheme 3). The aromatic phenyl ring could be replaced by naphthalene rings as well as different alkene derivatives such as dimethylallyl, crotyl, and the geranyl scaffold, providing the corre-
Corresponding pyrrolidines 2h–k in synthetically useful yields. Products 2a–c and 2e–k were obtained with high diastereoselectivity (dr >20:1). Their relative configuration has been assigned on the basis of NOESY NMR correlation experiments, which revealed the anti-relationship between the two protons bonded to the contiguous stereogenic carbon atoms.

We similarly observed no trace of conversion of 3a. However, use of this substrate in combination with palladium complex B led to the formation of bicyclic 1,4-diene scaffold 4a in good yields (Scheme 4a).10 Once again, the use of a mild carboxylic acid proved crucial for triggering any reactivity, no enyne conversion being otherwise observed.11

At this point, we became interested in the reactivity of cis-1,6-ynes in cycloisomerization reactions catalyzed by all-aromatic clusters. To this end, 3a was synthesized and submitted to the previously optimized catalytic conditions. Surprisingly, platinum cluster A failed to promote any transformation. The reaction was then performed using just one equivalent of carboxylic acid and without any additional phosphine, which were the conditions adopted for the cycloisomerization of enynes with palladium cluster B.6a

This synthetic application presented a quite wide generality and a family of bicyclic compounds was synthesized by employing different tethering units 4b–e (Scheme 4b). In contrast to observations made using 1,6-ynes with a trans-alkene arm,6a products 4 present a formal shift of the olefinic double bond and no traces of the corresponding 1,3-diene have been observed. In all cases, a high level of diastereoselection was observed (dr >20:1), even though racemic substrates were used. The relative syn-configuration of bridgehead CH groups has been established through NMR correlation experiments. This structural feature parallels previous observations made on enantioenriched 1,6-ynes using mononuclear palladium catalysts.12

We then performed some labeling experiments to get insights into the reaction mechanism. A reaction conducted with model substrate 1a in AcOH-d<sub>4</sub> led to a highly unusual double incorporation of deuterium on the gem-methylene unit to form [D]–2a in good yield (57%, Scheme 5, top). In particular, D-labeling occurred with 90% and 63%, respectively.

---

**Scheme 3** Scope of the platinum-catalyzed reaction with (E)-enynes

**Scheme 4** Scope of the palladium-catalyzed reaction with (Z)-enynes
This result is in sharp contrast to previously findings in PtCl₂-catalyzed alkoxycyclizations of enynes, in which a single deuterium atom was singularly incorporated under similar reaction conditions. It is worth noting that no H/D exchange was observed by treating 1a with AcOH-d₄. Internal alkyne derivative 1m was unreactive under standard reaction conditions (Scheme 5, bottom). These results show that the presence of a terminal alkyne group is crucial for triggering any reactivity with the trinuclear platinum complex and that their acetylenic CH is most likely activated during the catalytic cycle.

The reaction was finally followed by ³¹P NMR spectroscopy. Interestingly, after four hours, decomposition of cluster A was observed along with the formation of new, not yet identified species. For the sake of comparison, complex B is on the contrary stable under similar conditions.

These findings, taken together, are suggestive of a complimentary mood of action with respect to well established soft electrophilic π-activation by means of gold catalysis (Scheme 6). Particularly, in order to explain the deuterium labeling experiment, we propose an initial formation of platinum acetylide complex C. Upon this σ-activation of the alkyne, a formal [2+2] cycloaddition forms key metalated cyclobutene D, which further undergoes ring opening by the acetic acid. This generates vinylplatinum complex E, in which the first H/D scrambling has already took place. Protodemetalation eventually delivers desired product [D₂]-2a. However, a dual σ,π-activation could not be excluded at this stage of the investigation. In this case, the electron-rich alkyne arm of diplatinum complex F can attack the electrophilic alkyne carbon to yield carbocationic complex G. Subsequent formal quench by an acetate anion and dual protodemetalation would then liberate product [D₂]-2a. The absence of reactivity observed using substrates 3 could be consistent with the steric strain associated with the formation of the tricyclic intermediate corresponding to complex D. The loss of stereocontrol observed using substrate 1e, which has an electron-rich anisole fragment that can stabilize the benzylic carbocation of intermediate G, might, however, be more in agreement with the second manifold instead.

In conclusion, we have reported on the unprecedented use of all-metal aromatic clusters in catalytic cycloisomerizations of 1,6-enyne derivatives. The proper choice of the catalyst allowed for the chemoselective synthesis of valuable classes of cyclic and bicyclic derivatives in good yields and functional group tolerance. Mechanistic hints allowed us to propose a new mode of activation for these clusters, which will be useful for further exploration of the possibilities of multinuclear complexes for catalytic synthesis.

Reactions were performed under standard Schlenk technique using commercial reagents used as received. Solvents were dried and stored over molecular sieves previously activated in an oven (450°C over-
night). Catalytic reactions required the use of anhydrous solvents. Chromatographic purifications were performed under gradient using a Combiflash® system and prepacked disposable silica cartridges.

Complex A
Pt(dba)$_3$ (90 mg, 0.1 mmol, 1 equiv) was added under N$_2$. Then, freshly degassed CHCl$_3$ (10 mL) and dimethyl disulfide (9.5 mg, 0.05 mmol, 0.5 equiv) were immediately syrinxed through the septum. The resulting solution was kept under stirring at r.t. for 2 h and AgSbF$_6$ (12 mg, 0.033 mmol, 0.33 equiv) was then added under N$_2$. The solution was kept in the dark. Stirring was maintained for 1 h and the mixture was then filtered under N$_2$ through a short pad of Celite to remove traces of black metals. The solvent was removed under vacuum to leave a deep yellow-brown solid that was washed with a CHCl$_3$/hexane solution (1:30 v/v, 3 × 30 mL). Desired cluster was directly purified by recrystallization via vapor diffusion using acetone/hexane, eventually providing the pure complex as yellow crystals: yield: 15 mg (30%). Their spectroscopic data correspond to the literature.5a

Complex B
Pd(dba)$_2$ (115 mg, 0.2 mmol, 1 equiv) was added to a 100 mL Schlenk flask, which underwent at least three vacuum/N$_2$ cycles. P(p-tol)$_3$ (53 mg, 0.2 mmol, 1 equiv) was added under N$_2$. Then, freshly degassed CHCl$_3$ (20 mL) and dimethyl disulfide (19 mg, 0.033 mmol, 0.33 equiv) were immediately syrinxed through the septum. The resulting solution was kept under stirring at r.t. for 2 h and AgSbF$_6$ (12 mg, 0.033 mmol, 0.33 equiv) was then added under N$_2$. The solution was kept in the dark. Stirring was maintained for 1 h and the mixture was then filtered under N$_2$ through a short pad of Celite to remove traces of black metals. The solvent was removed under vacuum to leave a deep red solid that was washed with a CHCl$_3$/hexane solution (1:30 v/v, 3 × 20 mL). Desired cluster was purified by chromatography on silica gel using acetone/hexane under gradient as eluent. Recrystallization by vapor diffusion using THF/hexane eventually provided the pure complex as yellow crystals: yield: 88 mg (97%). Their spectroscopic data correspond to the literature.5a

Catalytic Synthesis of 2: General Procedure 1 (GP-1)
Complex A (1.7 mg, 0.9 mmol, 0.6 mol%) and freshly degassed AcOH (0.5 mL) were added under N$_2$ to a Schlenk-type flask. The desired substrate 1 (0.15 mmol, 1 equiv) and P(p-tol)$_3$ (0.8 mg, 2.7 mmol, 1.8 mol%) were sequentially added. The mixture was heated at 110 °C and the conversion was followed by analyzing samples via TLC. Upon complete conversion of the substrate, the solution was diluted with ETOAc (5 mL) and purified.

Catalytic Synthesis of 4: General Procedure 2 (GP-2)
Complex B (3 mg, 0.002 mmol, 1 mol%) and freshly degassed toluene (3.5 mL) were added under N$_2$ to a Schlenk-type flask. The desired substrate 3 (0.2 mmol, 0.06 M) and benzoic acid (24.5 mg, 0.2 mmol, 1 equiv) were sequentially added. The mixture was heated at 100 °C and the conversion was followed by analyzing samples via TLC. Upon complete conversion of the substrate, the solution was diluted with ETOAc (5 mL) and purified.

(4-Methylene-1-tosylpyrrolidin-3-yl)(phenyl)methyl Acetate (2a)
Product 2a was isolated following GP-1 as a pale yellow oil (71%, 42 mg, 0.105 mmol) using 1a (50 mg, 0.15 mmol) as reagent. Spectra correspond to the literature.13

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Product</th>
<th>Synthesis Feature</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2a</td>
<td>Catalytic Synthesis of 2; General Procedure 1 (GP-1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2b</td>
<td>Catalytic Synthesis of 2; General Procedure 2 (GP-2)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl$_3$): $\delta$ = 7.71 (d, $J$ = 8.2 Hz, 2 H), 7.37–7.25 (m, 7 H), 5.71 (d, $J$ = 7.1 Hz, 1 H), 4.92 (d, $J$ = 2.0 Hz, 1 H), 4.53 (d, $J$ = 2.1 Hz, 1 H), 3.87–3.73 (m, 2 H), 3.43–3.33 (m, 2 H), 3.18–3.12 (m, 1 H), 2.46 (s, 3 H), 2.01 (s, 3 H).

[4-(Methylene-$_d_1$)-1-tosylpyrrolidin-3-yl](phenyl)methyl Acetate-$_d_1$ ([D]$_2$ -2a)
Product [D]$_2$-2a was isolated following GP-1 as a pale yellow oil (57%, 33 mg, 0.086 mmol) using 1a (50 mg, 0.15 mmol) and AcOH-$d_1$ as solvent.

IR (neat): 2953, 1729, 1367, 1230, 1076, 1018, 896, 610 cm$^{-1}$.

[4-Methylene-$d_1$-1-(methylsulfonyl)pyrrolidin-3-yl](phenyl)methyl Acetate (2b)
Product 2b was isolated following GP-1 as a colorless oil (40%, 18.5 mg, 0.06 mmol) using 1b (37 mg, 0.15 mmol) as reagent.

IR (neat): 2926, 1740, 1328, 1225, 1148, 1049, 959, 699 cm$^{-1}$.

[4-Methylene-$d_1$-1-methylsulfonyl)pyrrolidin-3-yl](phenyl)methyl Acetate (2c)
Product 2c was isolated following GP-1 as a pale yellow oil (70%, 36 mg, 0.15 mmol) using 1c (42 mg, 0.15 mmol) as reagent.

IR (neat): 2952, 2362, 1730, 1372, 1226, 1022, 895, 699 cm$^{-1}$.

[4-Methylene-$d_1$-1-(methylsulfonyl)pyrrolidin-3-yl](phenyl)methyl Acetate (2d)
Product 2d was isolated following GP-1 as a colorless oil (56%, 25 mg, 0.084 mmol) using 1d (42 mg, 0.15 mmol) as reagent.

IR (neat): 2953, 1729, 1367, 1230, 1076, 1018, 896, 610 cm$^{-1}$.

[4-Methylene-$d_1$-1-(methylsulfonyl)pyrrolidin-3-yl](phenyl)methyl Acetate (2e)
Product 2e was isolated following GP-1 as a colorless oil (82%, 43 mg, 0.15 mmol) using 1e (50 mg, 0.15 mmol) as reagent.

IR (neat): 2952, 2362, 1730, 1372, 1226, 1022, 895, 699 cm$^{-1}$.

Dimethyl 3-[Acetoxyphenyl]methyl]-4-methylene cyclopentanone-1,1-dicarboxylate (2f)
Product 2f was isolated following GP-1 as a pale yellow oil (70%, 36 mg, 0.105 mmol) using 1c (42 mg, 0.15 mmol) as reagent.

IR (neat): 2952, 2362, 1730, 1372, 1226, 1022, 895, 699 cm$^{-1}$.

[4-Methylene-$d_1$-1-(methylsulfonyl)pyrrolidin-3-yl](phenyl)methyl Acetate (2g)
Product 2g was isolated following GP-1 as a colorless oil (56%, 25 mg, 0.084 mmol) using 1d (42 mg, 0.15 mmol) as reagent.

IR (neat): 2952, 2362, 1730, 1372, 1226, 1022, 895, 699 cm$^{-1}$.

Dimethyl 3-[Acetoxyphenyl]methyl]-4-methylene cyclopentanone-1,1-dicarboxylate (2h)
Product 2h was isolated following GP-1 as a pale yellow oil (70%, 36 mg, 0.105 mmol) using 1c (42 mg, 0.15 mmol) as reagent.

IR (neat): 2952, 2362, 1730, 1372, 1226, 1022, 895, 699 cm$^{-1}$.

[4-Methylene-$d_1$-1-(methylsulfonyl)pyrrolidin-3-yl](phenyl)methyl Acetate (2i)
Product 2i was isolated following GP-1 as a colorless oil (56%, 25 mg, 0.084 mmol) using 1d (42 mg, 0.15 mmol) as reagent.

IR (neat): 2952, 2362, 1730, 1372, 1226, 1022, 895, 699 cm$^{-1}$.
LC-MS: m/z calcd for C_{16}H_{21}NO_{4}Na [M + Na]^+: 346.1; found: 346.1.

(4-Methoxyphenyl)(4-methylene-1-tosylpyrrolidin-3-yl)methyl Acetate (2e)

Product 2e was isolated following GP-1 as a colorless oil (44%, 27 mg, 0.066 mmol) using 1e (53 mg, 0.15 mmol) as reagent.

IR (neat): 2924, 1737, 1344, 1223, 1160, 1093, 814, 547 cm⁻¹.
1H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 7.82–7.80 (m, 3 H), 7.70–7.68 (m, 3 H), 7.50–7.48 (m, 2 H), 7.36–7.31 (m, 3 H), 5.86 (d, J = 7.2 Hz, 1 H), 4.88 (s, 1 H), 4.51 (s, 1 H), 3.86–3.77 (m, 2 H), 3.42–3.41 (m, 2 H), 3.28–3.21 (m, 1 H), 2.44 (s, 3 H), 2.03 (s, 3 H).
13C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 169.7, 143.8, 142.9, 135.7, 133.2, 132.9, 127.8, 128.4, 128.1, 127.9, 127.7, 126.4, 126.4, 126.3, 124.3, 110.4, 75.6, 52.5, 50.0, 48.0, 21.6, 21.0.
LC-MS: m/z calcd for C_{16}H_{18}NO_{4}Na [M + Na]^+: 458.1; found: 458.3.

2-(4-Methylene-1-tosylpyrrolidin-3-yl)propan-2-yl Acetate (2i)

Product 2i was isolated following GP-1 as a pale yellow oil (70%, 35 mg, 0.105 mmol) using 1i (41 mg, 0.15 mmol) as reagent. Spectra correspond to the literature.
1H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 6.78 (d, J = 8.2 Hz, 2 H), 7.34 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 2 H), 5.04 (d, J = 10.6 Hz, 1 H), 3.86 (d, J = 12.1 Hz, 1 H), 3.71 (d, J = 13.7 Hz, 1 H), 3.41 (dd, J = 9.6, 3.1 Hz, 1 H), 3.33–3.31 (m, 1 H), 3.25–3.19 (m, 1 H), 2.42 (s, 3 H), 1.91 (s, 3 H), 1.45 (s, 3 H), 1.46 (s, 3 H).

1-(4-Methylene-1-tosylpyrrolidin-3-yl)ethyl Acetate (2j)

Product 2j was isolated following GP-1 as a colorless oil (59%, 28 mg, 0.087 mmol) using 1j (35 mg, 0.15 mmol) as reagent.
1H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 7.19 (d, J = 8.2 Hz, 2 H), 7.32 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 2 H), 5.01 (dd, J = 18.6, 2.0 Hz, 2 H), 4.96–4.93 (m, 1 H), 3.85–3.72 (m, 2 H), 3.34–3.23 (m, 1 H), 2.82–2.79 (m, 1 H), 2.43 (s, 3 H), 1.92 (s, 3 H), 1.18 (dd, J = 10.2, 5.9 Hz, 3 H).
13C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 170.1, 143.8, 143.8, 132.8, 129.7, 127.8, 109.4, 70.1, 52.5, 49.3, 47.5, 21.5, 21.0, 17.8.
LC-MS: m/z calcd for C_{16}H_{18}NO_{4}Na [M + Na]^+: 346.1; found: 346.2.

6-Methyl-2-(4-methylene-1-tosylpyrrolidin-3-yl)hept-5-en-2-yl Acetate (2k)

Product 2k was isolated following GP-1 as a pale yellow oil (43%, 26 mg, 0.065 mmol) using 1k (35 mg, 0.15 mmol) as reagent.
IR (neat): 2923, 1734, 1372, 1343, 1159, 1092, 662, 547 cm⁻¹.
1H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 7.07 (d, J = 8.3 Hz, 2 H), 7.33 (d, J = 7.9 Hz, 2 H), 5.05–4.98 (m, 2 H), 3.92–3.67 (m, 2 H), 3.54–3.48 (m, 2 H), 2.91–2.76 (m, 2 H), 2.43 (s, 3 H), 2.06–2.89 (m, 2 H), 1.96 (s, 3 H), 1.81–1.72 (m, 1 H), 1.66 (s, 3 H), 1.67 (s, 3 H), 1.46–1.38 (m, 1 H), 1.31 (s, 3 H).
13C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 170.2, 143.7, 132.5, 132.0, 129.7, 127.8, 127.4, 111.5, 85.4, 53.2, 49.6, 49.1, 35.3, 25.7, 22.2, 22.0, 21.5, 20.6, 17.6.
HRMS: m/z calcd for C_{22}H_{31}NO_{3}Na [M + Na]^+: 428.1875; found: 428.1869.

(3a,7a)-3-Methylene-1-tosyl-2,3,3a,6,7,7a-hexahydro-1H-indole (4a)

Product 4a was isolated following GP-2 as a colorless oil (69%, 60.1 mg, 0.21 mmol) using 3a (87 mg, 0.3 mmol) as reagent. Spectroscopic data correspond to the literature.
1H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 7.73 (d, J = 8.3 Hz, 2 H), 7.30 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 2 H), 5.80–5.77 (m, 1 H), 5.65–5.60 (m, 1 H), 4.98 (d, J = 2.2 Hz, 1 H), 4.84 (d, J = 2.3 Hz, 1 H), 4.01–3.84 (m, 3 H), 2.73 (br s, 1 H), 2.42 (s, 3 H), 2.11–1.89 (m, 3 H), 1.63–1.51 (m, 1 H).
The syn-configuration was determined by NOESY NMR experiment.

3-Ethylidene-1-tosyl-2,3,3a,6,7,7a-hexahydro-1H-indole (4b)

Product 4b was isolated following GP-2 as a colorless oil (66%, 60 mg, 0.2 mmol) using 3b (91 mg, 0.3 mmol) as reagent.

IR (neat): 2922, 1597, 1333, 1157, 1092, 814, 663, 545 cm⁻¹.

1H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 7.73 (d, J = 8.3 Hz, 2 H), 7.30 (d, J = 8.1 Hz, 2 H), 5.77–5.57 (m, 1 H), 5.62–5.57 (m, 1 H), 5.24–5.17 (m, 1 H), 4.07–4.01 (m, 1 H), 3.86–3.79 (m, 1 H), 2.71 (br s, 1 H), 2.42 (s, 3 H), 2.20–1.86 (m, 4 H), 1.64–1.55 (m, 4 H).

13C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 143.3, 138.6, 129.7, 128.1, 127.3, 127.3, 117.9, 115.7, 58.8, 48.6, 42.6, 26.1, 23.3, 21.5, 14.5.

HRMS: m/z calcd for C₁₄H₁₉O₄ [M + H]⁺: 251.1; found: 251.1.

7-Phenyl-3-tosyl-3-azabicyclo[4.1.0]hept-4-ene (2a)

Complex A (1.7 mg, 0.9 mmol, 0.6 mol%) and freshly degassed toluene (0.5 ml) were added under N₂ to a Schlenk-type flask. Substrate 1a (0.15 mmol) and benzoic acid (0.15 mmol, 1 equiv) were sequentially added. The mixture was heated at 110 °C and the conversion was followed analyzing samples via TLC. Upon complete conversion of the substrate (24 h), the solution was diluted with EtOAc (5 ml) and purified. Product 2a was obtained as a yellow oil; yield: 17 mg (36%, 0.054 mmol). Spectra correspond to the literature.¹⁶

IR (neat): 2924, 1735, 1449, 1170, 1039, 963, 746, 692 cm⁻¹.

1H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 7.72 (d, J = 8.3 Hz, 2 H), 7.39 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 2 H), 7.28–7.13 (m, 3 H), 6.82 (d, J = 7.1 Hz, 2 H), 6.45 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 1 H), 5.53 (dd, J = 8.0, 5.4 Hz, 1 H), 4.06 (d, J = 12.0 Hz, 1 H), 3.18 (dd, J = 12.0, 2.9 Hz, 1 H), 2.49 (s, 3 H), 1.96–1.90 (m, 1 H), 1.66–1.63 (m, 1 H), 1.51–1.45 (m, 1 H).
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(3) (a)9 HNMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 7.88–7.83 (m, 1 H), 7.77–7.72 (m, 1 H), 4.97 (d, J = 2.2 Hz, 1 H), 4.83 (d, J = 2.6 Hz, 1 H), 3.73 (s, 3 H), 3.72 (s, 3 H), 3.32 (dq, J = 17.8, 2.5 Hz, 1 H), 3.21 (br s, 1 H), 2.89–2.81 (m, 2 H), 2.04–1.99 (m, 2 H), 1.35–1.07 (m, 2 H).

13C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 172.3, 170.2, 170.1, 121.5, 126.4, 126.4, 107.6, 62.4, 52.8, 52.5, 43.1, 42.9, 37.7, 24.5, 21.3.

LC-MS: m/z calcd for C₁₄H₁₉O₄ [M + H]⁺: 251.1; found: 251.1.

Dimethyl (3a,7a)-2,2-Dimethyl-3-methylene-1-tosyl-2,3,3a,6,7,7a-hexahydro-1H-indene,1,1-dicarboxylate (4d)

Product 4d was isolated following GP-2 as a colorless oil (70%, 52.3 mg, 0.21 mmol) using 3d (75 mg, 0.3 mmol) as reagent.

IR (neat): 2950, 1725, 1434, 1297, 1218, 1039, 895, 701 cm⁻¹.

1H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 5.88–5.83 (m, 1 H), 5.77–5.72 (m, 1 H), 4.97 (d, J = 2.2 Hz, 1 H), 4.83 (d, J = 2.6 Hz, 1 H), 3.73 (s, 3 H), 3.72 (s, 3 H), 3.32 (dq, J = 17.8, 2.5 Hz, 1 H), 3.21 (br s, 1 H), 2.89–2.81 (m, 2 H), 2.04–1.99 (m, 2 H), 1.35–1.07 (m, 2 H).


