Reversal of Oral Anticoagulants for Intracerebral Hemorrhage Patients: Best Strategies
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Abstract

In patients with acute intracerebral hemorrhage (ICH), one of the major concerns is ongoing bleeding or ICH expansion. Anticoagulated patients are at higher risk of ongoing expansion and worse outcome. It may be that rapid anticoagulation reversal can reduce the risk of expansion and improve clinical outcome. For those taking coumarins, the best available evidence suggests that intravenous vitamin K combined with four-factor prothrombin complex concentrate (4F-PCC) is the most rapid and effective regimen to restore hemostasis. For those on dabigatran, the highest quality data available for reversal are for idarucizumab, although it is not yet clear whether patients derive clinical benefit from this reversal. In the absence or failure of idarucizumab, activated prothrombin complex concentrate (aPCC) is recommended. For those on factor Xa inhibitors, the ideal reversal agent is not clear. Many providers use 4F-PCC or aPCC, but more specific agents are in clinical trials and may soon be available. In addition, the half-lives of the non–vitamin K antagonists are relatively short compared with warfarin, and so some patients may not have a clinically relevant coagulopathy at the time of presentation. Overall, the optimal reversal agent, when one is required, is a function of which anticoagulant the patient is taking.
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In patients with acute intracerebral hemorrhage (ICH), one of the major concerns is ongoing bleeding or ICH expansion.¹ Unfortunately, many with ICH are also anticoagulated at the time of presentation, often for prevention and treatment of thromboembolism.¹ The patients anticoagulated with vitamin K antagonists (VKAs) or direct oral anticoagulants seem to be at higher risk of ongoing expansion and worse outcome.² As a result, clinical practice is typically focused on reversing their anticoagulant in the acute phase. It may be that rapid and effective reversal can reduce the risk of expansion and improve clinical outcome.³ A range of options is available, and the most effective strategy is a function of the agent the patient is taking and what tools are locally and emergently available.

Warfarin

Warfarin, a VKA, is a commonly prescribed anticoagulant for the prevention and treatment of thromboembolism. By inhibiting vitamin K–dependent carboxylation and synthesis of coagulation factors II, VII, IX, and X, the coagulation cascade is impaired at multiple sites. This is evidenced by an elevation in the international normalized ratio (INR), which can increase a patient’s risk of major and/or life-threatening bleeding including ICH.⁴ Although warfarin-associated ICH can occur at a therapeutic INR of 2 to 3, a supratherapeutic INR >3 has been associated with an even greater risk.⁵–⁷

Over the years, the incidence of warfarin-associated ICH has increased substantially, likely due to more widespread
vitamin K administration is critical to eventually correct the numerous risks including transfusion-related acute lung injury, infusion reactions, hypocalcemia, infectious complications, and transfusion-associated circulatory overload.\textsuperscript{25,26}

The INR of FFP is estimated to be approximately 1.6; therefore, it is difficult for FFP transfusions alone to decrease a patient’s INR to $< 1.5$; in addition, its administration takes several hours in standard clinical practice.\textsuperscript{27–29} Concomitant vitamin K administration is critical to eventually correct the INR. In addition, FFP’s utility may be further limited due to potential procurement delays (e.g., checking for blood compatibility and thawing).\textsuperscript{30,31}

**Four-Factor Prothrombin Complex Concentrate**

Recently, four-factor prothrombin complex concentrate (4F-PCC), which contains a concentration of coagulation factors II, VII, IX, and X, has become available in the United States for rapid reversal of warfarin. Although 4F-PCC has been available in Europe since 1996, this reversal agent was not approved for use in the United States until 2013. Commercially available as a lyophilized powder, 4F-PCC can be quickly reconstituted and rapidly administered in small volumes.\textsuperscript{32} More importantly, 4F-PCC provides a faster time to INR reversal compared with FFP.\textsuperscript{33,34}

Sarode et al analyzed 203 patients who were randomized to receive 25 to 50 units/kg of 4F-PCC ($n = 98$) or 10 to 15 mL/kg of FFP ($n = 104$) for urgent VKA reversal in acute major bleeding.\textsuperscript{34} At 30 minutes after infusion, 4F-PCC was deemed superior to FFP in achieving an INR $< 1.3$ (62.2 vs. 9.6%; difference, 52.6%; 95% confidence interval [CI], 39.4–65.9). In regard to patients who achieved effective hemostasis, 4F-PCC may have demonstrated a benefit over FFP for musculoskeletal and visible bleeds (82.6 vs. 50%; difference, 32.6%; 95% CI, 4.5–60.7), but was not statistically significantly superior to FFP for intracranial, gastrointestinal, and other nonvisible bleeds (69.3 vs. 71.1%; difference, 1.7%; 95% CI, –17.6 to 14.2). However, only 24 patients (12 in each group) were treated for intracranial hemorrhage, which may not have provided sufficient power to detect a difference for this patient population.

Steiner et al performed a randomized trial comparing 30 units/kg of 4F-PCC ($n = 27$) with 20 mL/kg of FFP ($n = 23$) for VKA reversal specifically in patients with ICH.\textsuperscript{3} At 3 hours after start of treatment, significantly more patients in the 4F-PCC group achieved an INR $< 1.2$ when compared with the FFP group (66.7 vs. 8.7%; odds ratio [OR], 30.6; 95% CI, 4.7–197.9). Of note, patients in the FFP group who did not achieve INR correction at 3 hours subsequently received rescue treatment with 4F-PCC. At 3 hours, mean hematoma expansion was lower in the 4F-PCC group (9.7 vs. 23.7 mL; difference, 16.9 mL; 95% CI, 2.5–31.3). This difference was still present at 24 hours (8.3 vs. 22.1 mL, difference, 16.4 mL; 95% CI, 2.9–29.9), despite the fact that most patients in the FFP group ended up receiving 4F-PCC as rescue treatment. There were no significant differences in 90-day mortality, functional independence, or quality of life scores; however, the trial was stopped early, and a low sample size may have limited the ability to detect an effect on outcome. Of note, five deaths due to hematoma expansion occurred within 48 hours of treatment; all five of these deaths occurred exclusively in the patients who received FFP initially.

Retrospective studies have also investigated VKA reversal specifically in the ICH population. Parry-Jones et al pooled data from an international registry and reported an equivalent adjusted risk of mortality in patients who received either a three-factor prothrombin complex concentrate (3F-PCC) or 4F-PCC ($n = 585$) versus FFP ($n = 377$) alone.\textsuperscript{35} Interestingly,
patients who received combination therapy with a PCC product and FFP (n = 131) trended toward a reduced adjusted risk of mortality, although this finding is limited by the small number of patients and the retrospective observational study design. Kuramatsu et al performed a multicenter retrospective cohort study of spontaneous ICH patients associated with VKA use (n = 1,176). Although a variety of reversal strategies were utilized, achievement of INR < 1.3 within 4 hours was associated with lower rates of hematoma expansion (OR, 0.27; 95% CI, 0.15–0.43). When combining that with systolic blood pressure <160 mm Hg within 4 hours, the rates of hematoma expansion were further reduced (OR, 0.17; 95% CI, 0.11–0.33) Given that 4F-PCC corrects the coagulopathy more rapidly when compared with FFP, it serves as a more useful agent to achieve an INR <1.3 within 4 hours.

The package insert dosing of 4F-PCC for urgent VKA reversal recommends 25 to 50 units/kg of factor IX (maximum weight of 100 kg) based on the patient’s body weight and INR. IV vitamin K 5 to 10 mg should be administered concomitantly to maintain coagulation factor levels to prevent a rebound INR elevation after the effects of 4F-PCC have diminished. There is currently no robust evidence regarding safety and effectiveness of repeat 4F-PCC dosing in the clinical setting. If the INR remains ≥1.4 after 4F-PCC administration, expert opinion suggests further correction with FFP.

Many institutions have developed their own site-specific protocols for emergent VKA reversal. Results of a recent international survey of hospital protocols reported the use of fixed instead of variable dosing of 4F-PCC. Proposed benefits of fixed dosing protocols include cost savings and minimizing delays. Several studies demonstrated fixed doses of 1,000, 1,500, or 2,000 units to be effective at INR correction. However, a recent study in patients with intracranial bleeding demonstrated a fixed dosing strategy of 1,000 units was not as effective as variable dosing in achieving an INR <1.5. Fixed weight-based doses of 25 and 30 units/kg have also been reported with effective INR correction. None of the aforementioned fixed dosing strategies have been validated in large clinical trials.

Other Concentrated Coagulation Factor Products
Prior to the era of widespread 4F-PCC availability, other concentrated coagulation factor products were investigated for urgent VKA reversal including 3F-PCC, activated prothrombin complex concentrate (aPCC), and recombinant activated factor VII (rFVIIa). None of these products is currently FDA approved for this indication.

In contrast to 4F-PCC, 3F-PCC contains limited amounts of factor VII. When compared with FFP and/or vitamin K, some observational studies suggested improved clinical outcomes in patients who received 3F-PCC. However, this comparison has never been performed in a randomized clinical trial. In recent years, a handful of retrospective studies including patients with intracranial bleeds have been published comparing 4F-PCC versus 3F-PCC with conflicting results. Three studies did find advantages of 4F-PCC over 3F-PCC. The most recently published study by Fischer et al reviewed 103 patients with intracranial bleeds on warfarin who received either PCC agent, with 52 of these patients presenting specifically with an ICH. There were no statistical differences observed in any outcomes between either products. The higher quality of evidence supporting 4F-PCC for rapid INR reversal, combined with the fact that it contains therapeutic levels of factor VII, elevates 4F-PCC as the preferred agent over 3F-PCC in emergent and potentially life-threatening situations such as warfarin-associated ICH.

The evidence supporting aPCC in warfarin-associated ICH is limited. Wójcik et al performed a retrospective chart review of patients requiring reversal of warfarin for a life-threatening bleed who were administered a fixed 500 or 1,000 unit dose of aPCC (n = 72) or FFP (n = 69). A total of 28 and 9 patients had intracranial bleeds in the aPCC and FFP groups, respectively. No significant differences in length of stay or mortality were noted. Yin et al conducted a retrospective analysis that included patients on warfarin therapy presenting with an intracranial bleed who received aPCC 15 to 25 units/kg (n = 28) or FFP (n = 50). The median time to an INR <1.5 was faster with aPCC: 0.5 versus 10 hours. At 24 hours, the achievement of INR correction was similar between the groups. No differences in mortality or other notable clinical outcomes were seen. Based on the available literature, aPCC seems to provide a more rapid INR correction when compared with FFP. However, there is a lack of robust data regarding efficacy and clinical outcomes and no randomized trials have been performed using aPCC. In addition, there has been concern of aPCC’s thrombogenic risk especially at higher doses, likely due to the presence of activated factor VII.

Interest in the use of rFVIIa was sparked from results of two randomized trials demonstrating that treatment with rFVIIa for a nonanticoagulant-associated ICH limits hematoma expansion, but did not significantly improve clinical outcomes. To date, no randomized study has been performed to assess the effect of rFVIIa in warfarin-associated ICH. In retrospective comparisons of warfarin-associated intracranial bleeds, rFVIIa has been shown to reverse the INR faster than FFP and 3F-PCC. However, there is no evidence suggesting there is a true clinical benefit of rFVIIa in terms of improving outcomes. There has also been concern with the significant thromboembolic rates traditionally associated with rFVIIa.

Deloughery et al performed a retrospective analysis of patients on warfarin who received rFVIIa (n = 35), rFVIIa in combination with 3F-PCC (n = 102), or 4F-PCC (n = 58), with the majority of patients presenting with intracranial bleeds. All three groups achieved a mean postadministration INR ≤1.5. The combination group was able to achieve a mean INR ≤1.3 but was associated with a significantly higher incidence of deep vein thrombosis. This suggests a concerning thrombogenicity risk of administering multiple coagulation factor products concomitantly, especially if rFVIIa is part of the reversal regimen. The crude mortality rate and length of stay of survivors were lowest in the 4F-PCC group.
although these differences did not reach statistical significance. Notably, patients who received rFVIIa alone required significantly more FFP transfusions. Another study also noted patients who received rFVIIa, despite achieving rapid INR correction, required more FFP transfusions when compared with other reversal strategies.\textsuperscript{57} It is important to note that although rFVIIa may correct the INR initially, physiologic hemostasis may remain acutely altered due to the lack of exogenous replenishment of coagulation factors II, IX, and X; the INR reversal may not reflect true correction of the coagulopathy.\textsuperscript{68} Woo et al reported incidences of INR rebound in patients who initially achieved INR correction after rFVIIa administration, despite the coadministration of IV vitamin K, likely due to the short half-life of factor VIIa.\textsuperscript{59} For anticoagulant reversal in warfarin-induced ICH, rFVIIa is not currently recommended due to the lack of any convincing efficacy or outcomes data, concern for incomplete and inadequate reversal, and previous reports of significant thromboembolic rates.\textsuperscript{23}

**Conclusion**

Overall, for emergent reversal of warfarin in ICH, 4F-PCC plus vitamin K is the method that will most rapidly and reliably correct the coagulopathy. Although the evidence is inconclusive regarding whether a faster time to INR correction truly improves long-term outcomes, 4F-PCC may be associated with lower rates of hematoma expansion. This would be desirable since hematoma expansion is associated with mortality and poor functional outcome.\textsuperscript{69,70} After 4F-PCC and IV vitamin K are administered, patients should be monitored for the development of thromboembolic events. Reversal of anticoagulation may re-expose patients to their underlying prothrombotic disease state(s) and 4F-PCC itself may possess intrinsic procoagulant activity.\textsuperscript{71} Clinicians should also discuss when it would be safe to reinitiate anticoagulation, if indicated, after assessing the risk-versus-benefit profile.

**Direct Thrombin Inhibitors**

Dabigatran was approved by the FDA in 2010 as the first nonwarfarin oral anticoagulant to be introduced to the U.S. market. Dabigatran is a direct thrombin inhibitor that inhibits both free and fibrin-bound thrombins. Current indications include prevention and treatment of deep vein thrombosis and pulmonary embolism, nonvalvular atrial fibrillation, and postoperative thromboprophylaxis. One advantage of dabigatran is that the drug does not require routine laboratory monitoring. Dabigatran is associated with bleeding events such as ICH, and the reported incidence of ICH is estimated at 0.24 to 0.8 per 100,000 patient-days.\textsuperscript{72,73} At the time of FDA approval, there was no antidote or known efficacious treatment for dabigatran-induced coagulopathy. As a result, attempts to restore hemostasis in case of emergencies involved a range of treatments including PCC, FFP, and rFVIIa. The concept with PCC and FFP is that providing extrafactor II might override the effects of a factor II inhibitor, while use of rFVIIa might activate the coagulation cascade downstream of the factor II inhibition. Some advocated for aPCC to deliver “activated” coagulation factors. In 2015, the FDA approved idarucizumab, a monoclonal antibody fragment which binds specifically to dabigatran to neutralize the anticoagulant effect, for dabigatran reversal.\textsuperscript{74}

**Coagulation Factor Delivery**

In 2011, Eerenberg et al published the results of a single-center, randomized, placebo-controlled, crossover study that evaluated the use of 4F-PCC (Cofact, Sanquin Blood Supply, Amsterdam, the Netherlands) to reverse the anticoagulant effect of dabigatran.\textsuperscript{75} Study authors enrolled 12 healthy male volunteers, who received 150 mg of dabigatran twice daily for 2.5 days. On the third day, patients received an additional dose and then were admitted to the hospital for an infusion of 4F-PCC or placebo. Based on prior research, investigators chose a 4F-PCC dose of 50 units/kg. Activated partial thromboplastin time (aPTT), thrombin time (TT), and ecarin clotting time (ECT) were used to assess dabigatran anticoagulation. The trial found that 4F-PCC did not reverse the prolongation of aPTT, ECT, and TT due to dabigatran. No major or clinically relevant bleeding complications occurred during treatment.

A 2012 randomized, crossover study evaluated the effect of nonspecific reversal agents on the anticoagulant activity of dabigatran.\textsuperscript{76} Ten healthy, white, male volunteers, aged 18 to 45 years were administered a one-time dose of dabigatran 150 mg orally. The three reversal agents tested were rFVIIa (Novoseven, NovoNordisk, Copenhagen, Denmark), aPCC (FEIBA, Baxter AG, Vienna, Austria), and 4F-PCC (Kanokad, LFB, Courtaboeuf, France), all at various concentrations. Thrombin generation tests including endogenous thrombin potential—area under the curve (ETP-AUC), maximum concentration of thrombin, lag time (LT), and time to reach maximum concentration of thrombin were measured. 4F-PCC increased ETP-AUC, but had no effect on dabigatran-modified thrombin generation test kinetic parameters. rFVIIa at the higher dose and aPCC nearly completely corrected the altered LT. In summary, although 4F-PCC increased ETP, only rFVIIa and aPCC corrected thrombin activity.

Arellano-Rodrigo et al enrolled healthy volunteers to receive dabigatran 150 mg twice daily for 5 days.\textsuperscript{77} Concentrations of rFVIIa equivalent to 270 µg/kg, aPCC at 75 units/kg, and 4F-PCC (Beriplex, CSL Behring GmbH, Marburg, Germany) at 50 units/kg were added into blood samples. Dabigatran treatment significantly prolonged both PT and aPTT in blood samples drawn 2 to 3 hours after the last dose taken. While rFVIIa or aPCC partially improved all the parameters, 4F-PCC did not modify the prolonged aPTT observed after dabigatran treatment.

In one observational study, 14 patients from a prospective cohort were treated with aPCC for major bleeding.\textsuperscript{78} Patients were treated as per existing hospital protocol and were subsequently recruited for a 30-day follow-up. Effectiveness of aPCC was not evaluated by laboratory markers. Instead, the treating physician used an assessment guide, described in an article supplement, with outcomes defined as good,
moderate, or poor/none for four different types of bleeding. Safety outcomes were arterial or venous thromboembolism (VTE) or death. A comparison was made with 28 historic cases of dabigatran-associated major bleeds treated with supportive care, by matching 1:2 for type of bleed, age, and sex. The effectiveness of aPCC was assessed as good in nine (64%), moderate in five (36%), and poor in none. There were no reported thromboembolic events and one death.

All three ex vivo studies enrolled healthy volunteers and tested against therapeutic levels of dabigatran. The studies attempted to use doses of factor similar to what would be used in actual patients but may not be generalizable from ex vivo extrapolation. Furthermore, correction of laboratory parameters may not translate into cessation of clinical bleeding. It is difficult to assess the risks of factor replacement in these small sample sizes. Historically, these treatments have been associated with thromboembolic complications. The only clinical trial had planned to enroll 32 patients in the aPCC arm but was stopped at 14 patients due to the availability of idarucizumab. Compared with historical controls with supportive care, it appears that aPCC administration was associated with more “good” and “moderate” outcomes with no reported thrombotic events, though statistical significance was not reached.

**Idarucizumab**

Idarucizumab was first studied in 110 healthy male volunteers aged 18 to 45 years to assess pharmacokinetics, safety, and tolerability. The half-life of idarucizumab was determined to be 45 minutes with rapid peak and clearance. No effect on coagulation parameters or ETP was found in the absence of dabigatran. Adverse events were rare in both the idarucizumab and placebo groups. The same authors then completed a randomized, placebo-controlled, double-blind phase I study to assess the safety, tolerability, and efficacy of idarucizumab on the reversal of dabigatran-induced anticoagulation. Healthy, male volunteers aged 18 to 45 years were randomized sequentially into four idarucizumab dose groups (1, 2, 4, and 5 g) or placebo. The diluted TT (dTT), ECT, TT, aPTT, and ETP were used to assess the anticoagulant effect of dabigatran. Forty-seven volunteers completed the study. Immediate and sustained reversal of dabigatran-associated increases in ECT, aPTT, and TT were noted with doses of idarucizumab of 2 g or more. Volunteers who received 1 g of idarucizumab did not have sustained reversal at 72 hours. Similar to prior studies, this trial did not measure the effect of idarucizumab on bleeding patients.

A prospective, phase 3 trial, called REVERSAL Effects of Idarucizumab in Patients on Active Dabigatran (RE-VERSE AD), evaluated patients on dabigatran with life-threatening bleeding (Group A) or requiring urgent surgery (Group B). An interim analysis was published in 2015, including 90 patients of the planned enrollment goal of 300. The full RE-VERSE AD trial results were published in 2017. Five hundred three patients were ultimately enrolled, 301 in Group A and 202 in Group B. The primary outcome was maximum reversal of the anticoagulant effect of dabigatran within 4 hours after the administration of idarucizumab. There was no control group. Ninety eight (32.6%) patients in Group A had intracranial hemorrhage as the indication for reversal. Idarucizumab reversed laboratory markers of anticoagulation from dabigatran rapidly and completely, including dTT and ECT. It is important to note that not all institutions have these assays available. The authors reported almost universal and complete binding of dabigatran as evidence by minimal unbound dabigatran concentrations after idarucizumab; an effect that lasted at least 24 hours. The dose that appeared most effective is 5 g IV (two 2.5 g infusions given no more than 15 minutes apart). Nine patients received more than 5 g dose of idarucizumab. Ten percent of patients in the full cohort, compared with 25% in the interim analysis, had no laboratory evidence of dabigatran’s presence prior to administration of idarucizumab (normal ECT and/or dTT), suggesting that many patients with dabigatran-associated ICH may not be truly anticoagulated at the time of presentation. Most concerning is the discrepancy between clinically relevant bleeding cessation times in the interim versus full analyses. In the interim analysis, it took 11.4 hours for cessation of bleeding compared with 2.5 hours in the full cohort. A closer look at the marked change is that it seems in the full analysis, >55% of patients in Group A were excluded from time-to-bleeding assessment. Reasons for exclusion included no cessation of bleeding within 24 hours or the bleeding location could not be identified. This change in reporting suggests that the time-to-cessation results in the full cohort may be overly favorable. Surprisingly, most patients with ICH were excluded from any analysis of clinical hemostasis; oddly, serial CT scans were not performed or analyzed, and therefore, the authors did not evaluate the frequency with which ICH expansion occurred. Thrombotic events occurred in 24 patients (4.8%) within 30 days after treatment; however, direct association of these events with idarucizumab administration is unclear.

Though idarucizumab reverses laboratory markers of dabigatran, it does not repair the damaged vessel. Patients with nonanticoagulated ICH often suffer hematoma expansion, and the best imaginable reversal agent can only convert anticoagulated to nonanticoagulated ICH. Indeed, cases of sustained bleeding after idarucizumab have been reported. In addition, the approved 5 g dose may not be sufficient in all cases, particularly in patients with renal failure who are unable to clear dabigatran. A randomized, double-blind, crossover study evaluated 46 volunteers (12 aged 45–64 years, 16 aged 65–80 years, and 18 with mild or moderate renal impairment). All subjects received dabigatran 220 or 150 mg twice daily for 4 days followed by varying doses of idarucizumab. Impaired renal function was associated with increased exposure and decreased clearance of idarucizumab. This may be expected as dabigatran is cleared more slowly with decreased renal function. Hemodialysis is effective in reducing dabigatran concentrations in patients with dabigatran-associated bleeding, though a rebound in dabigatran concentration may occur upon cessation of hemodialysis. Prolonged renal replacement therapy may be needed in some cases, but idarucizumab could also play a role. Importantly, rebound dabigatran concentrations have
also been reported after idarucizumab administration in the setting of severe renal failure.⁹⁰

**Conclusion**

Regarding dabigatran reversal, only PCC, rFVIIa, and idarucizumab have been studied in the format of clinical trials meeting methodology standards according to the GRADE (The Grading of Recommendations Assessment, Development and Evaluation) criteria.⁹¹ All of the studies investigating PCC, aPCC, and rFVIIa were conducted in healthy volunteers and measured laboratory reversal of anticoagulation parameters. Activated PCC, and possibly rFVIIa, may be considered to reverse dabigatran in situations where potential benefit outweighs risk. Idarucizumab, however, is more specific, and rapidly reverses laboratory coagulation markers from dabigatran. Idarucizumab should be considered for emergent reversal of dabigatran-associated ICH, though its clinical efficacy apart from laboratory parameter correction is still unclear. All of the clinical trials were sponsored by the antidote’s pharmaceutical manufacturer, Boehringer Ingelheim, who also markets dabigatran.⁷⁹–⁸³,⁸⁸

**Factor Xa Inhibitors**

Oral direct factor Xa (FXa) inhibitors were first approved by the FDA in 2011 with the introduction of rivaroxaban. Since then, apixaban, edoxaban, and betrixaban have also come to market in the United States. Multiple indications exist for these agents. While rivaroxaban, apixaban, and edoxaban are all indicated for primary stroke prevention in patients with nonvalvular atrial fibrillation and VTE treatment, rivaroxaban and apixaban are also approved for prevention of VTE in hip or knee replacement surgeries.⁹²–⁹⁴ Newly approved betrixaban is labeled for VTE prevention in hospitalized patients.⁹⁵ Compared with warfarin, oral direct FXa inhibitors have lower major bleeding, ICH incidence, and mortality (10% relative reduction) in patients with atrial fibrillation.⁹⁶–⁹⁸ As with dabigatran, the direct FXa inhibitors also carry the advantages of oral formulation, minimal food and drug interactions, and lack of necessary routine laboratory monitoring. However, unlike dabigatran, a FXa inhibitor-class specific reversal agent is not currently available in the United States. In clinical practice, providers use a range of strategies for reversal.

**Coagulation Factors**

Several animal and healthy human studies have investigated the use of PCC, aPCC, and rFVIIa in FXa inhibitor reversal. In their randomized, placebo-controlled, crossover study of 12 healthy male volunteers, Eerenberg et al found that rivaroxaban dosed 20 mg twice daily significantly increased the prothrombin time (PT) and decreased the ETP.⁷⁵ Both of these parameters were normalized after administration of 50 units/kg 4F-PCC (Cofact, Sanquin Blood Supply) and persisted through 24 hours. Compared with placebo, the PT reduction and ETP increase were statistically significant (p < 0.001 for both). However, both values nearly normalized at 24 hours with normal saline placebo. In the 4F-PCC group, the rise in ETP over 24 hours to more than 100% baseline ETP suggested that there may have been an excess of thrombin generation. No bleeding complications or serious adverse events were reported.

Cheung et al addressed the PCC dosing concern with their single-center, randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled crossover study.⁹⁹ Six healthy male volunteers were administered apixaban 10 mg twice daily for seven doses to reach steady state. Three hours after the last apixaban dose, subjects were randomized to receive 4F-PCC (Cofact, Sanquin Blood Supply) in doses of 25 or 37.5 units/kg or normal saline placebo. Subjects then underwent a 15- to 30-day washout period to resume apixaban and receive one of the other treatment regimens until all three sessions were complete. At 15 minutes after 4F-PCC administration, both doses increased ETP (p = 0.06 for 37.5 units/kg and p = 0.03 for 25 units/kg) and significantly decreased PT (p < 0.01 for both). These effects were sustained at 24 hours compared with placebo. The only adverse reaction reported was transient calf numbness that had resolved by the time of report in one subject who received 37.5 units/kg 4F-PCC.

In their randomized, crossover, ex vivo study of 10 healthy male volunteers, Marlu et al also investigated rFVIIa (NovoSeven, NovoNordisk) at doses equating 20, 60, and 120 units/kg; aPCC (FEIBA, Baxter AG) at doses equating 20, 40, 80, and 160 units/kg; and 4F-PCC (Kanokad, LFB) at doses equating 12.5, 25, and 50 units/kg after a single dose of rivaroxaban 20 mg.⁷⁶ Both 4F-PCC and aPCC, but not rFVIIa, affected quantitative parameters to return ETP-AUC to near baseline and correct thrombin peak. Kinetic parameters TTP and LT were best affected by rFVIIa and aPCC. In consideration of both the parameters examined, aPCC, at all doses, was the only agent that affected both quantitative (ETP-AUC, peak) and kinetic (TTP, LT) parameters. It is important to note that higher concentrations of 4F-PCC and aPCC overcorrected the ETP-AUC from baseline and may suggest excess thrombin generation. These kinetic and quantitative parameter correction results were supported by an in vitro apixaban study comparing aPCC, 4F-PCC, and rFVIIa in healthy volunteer whole blood administered therapeutic or supratherapeutic apixaban concentrations.⁹⁰ Although 4F-PCC improved latency parameter PT only and static parameters fibrin network structure, ETP, and peak height and rFVIIa decreased latency parameters PT, aPTT, LT, and thromboelastometry measured EXTEM and INTEM clotting time assays, aPCC improved all of these parameters in both apixaban concentrations.

Edoxaban reversal results were similar in a single-center, ex vivo [sic] study of six healthy volunteers.¹⁰¹ Two to four times supratherapeutic maximal concentrations of edoxaban were added to 15 whole blood sample aliquots per volunteer. After incubation, aPCC (FEIBA, Baxter AG) or rFVIIa (NovoSeven, Novo Nordisk) at concentrations corresponding to therapeutic doses of 50 and 100 and 40 and 90 units/kg, respectively, was added to the aliquots. Both aPCC and rFVIIa nearly normalized aPTT, PT, and extrinsic anti-FXa activity to baseline. Intrinsic FXa activity was slightly improved but not normalized.

Given the paucity of high-quality studies for coagulation factor use for ICH in patients on FXa inhibitors, either aPCC or
4F-PCC is reasonable to consider for these patients in the absence of FXa-specific antidotes. Activated and 4F-PCC doses between 25 and 50 units/kg may be sufficient for FXa reversal as measured by kinetic and quantitative parameters. Based on potential for increased thrombin generation and coagulation risk, higher doses should be used with caution. Of note, current guidelines by the Neurocritical Care Society and Society of Critical Care Medicine recommend considering aPCC or 4F-PCC 50 units/kg use in patients on FXa inhibitors with ICH.23

Andexanet Alfa

Andexanet alfa (Portola Pharmaceuticals, South San Francisco, CA) is a modified recombinant human FXa decoy protein that sequesters FXa inhibitors to restore endogenous FXa activity. In a rat model given various FXa inhibitors, including rivaroxaban, apixaban, and betrixaban, andexanet alfa infusion decreased INR, increased total plasma concentration, and decreased unbound drug.102 Initial randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled, phase II studies validated dose-related efficacy on reducing anti-FXa activity in healthy volunteers given rivaroxaban, apixaban, and edoxaban for 6 days therapeutic regimen.103–105 It is currently in phase III clinical trials.

In two randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled parallel trials of healthy volunteers, ANNEXA-A and ANNEXA-R evaluated adults aged 50 to 75 years assigned apixaban or rivaroxaban, respectively.106 After reaching steady-state plasma concentrations, subjects were given andexanet at peak plasma concentration as either bolus only (400 mg for apixaban or 800 mg for rivaroxaban) or bolus plus a 120-minute infusion (4 mg/min for apixaban or 8 mg/min for rivaroxaban). Andexanet was able to decrease anti-FXa activity in both apixaban and rivaroxaban compared with placebo regardless of bolus and/or infusion regimen (p < 0.001). These effects persisted for up to 2 hours after andexanet administration. Secondary efficacy outcomes were also statistically significant in participants who received andexanet bolus with or without infusion with increased thrombin generation and decreased mean concentrations of unbound apixaban and rivaroxaban. No serious adverse events were reported, though andexanet recipients did have nonneutralizing antibody development (17% compared with 2% placebo) and transient D-dimer and prothrombin fragment elevations.

After the initial study of healthy volunteers, an interim report of an ongoing multicenter, prospective, open-label, single-group study of patients with acute major bleeding was published.107 Andexanet alfa, a Novel Antidote to the Anticoagulation Effects of FXa Inhibitors (ANNEXA-4) investigated 67 patients with acute major bleeding who had received apixaban, rivaroxaban, edoxaban, or enoxaparin within 18 hours of enrollment. Major bleeding was defined as potentially life-threatening acute overt bleeding with signs of hemodynamic instability, acute overt bleeding with hemoglobin level 8 g/dL or drop by 2 g/dL from baseline, or acute symptomatic bleeding in a critical organ or area. Unlike REVERSE-AD, ANNEXA-4 did not include patients requiring urgent reversal for procedures. Eligible patients received andexanet as a bolus and 2 hours infusion in doses previously studied to produce anti-FXa activity reduction by at least 80%. Of the patients included in this study, the mean age was 77 years, and all patients had either cardiovascular disease or thromboses. The oral FXa inhibitor patients were taking rivaroxaban (48%, n = 32) and apixaban (46%, n = 31). Bleeding locations were gastrointestinal in 33 subjects (49%), intracranial in 28 (42%), and in other locations in 6 (9%). The anti-FXa activity decreased in patients on rivaroxaban after both andexanet bolus (89%, 95% CI, 58–94%) and infusion (86%, 95% CI, 55–93%). Apixaban recipients also had anti-FXa activity reductions after both andexanet bolus (93%, 95% CI, 87–94%) and infusion (92%, 95% CI, 85–94%). Clinically, excellent or good hemostasis was achieved at 12 hours postinfusion in 79% (31 excellent and 6 good) of the efficacy population. Nine patients had poor or no hemostasis 12 hours after andexanet infusion. As there was no control group, it is unclear how much hemostasis was improved over placebo. Compared with the healthy volunteer groups of ANNEXA-A and ANNEXA-R, the postinfusion 2-hour duration of anti-FXa activity reversal was consistent.

At 4 hours postinfusion, anti-FXa activity reversal decreased to the expected anticoagulant clearance rate (the activity level expected of placebo treated patients). In other words, the andexanet effect lasts from bolus through infusion, then likely disappears by 4 hours after the end of infusion. It may be that this is the most critical time frame for anticoagulant reversal, but it is difficult to confirm this absent a control group.

Unlike the ANNEXA-A and ANNEXA-R trials, patients did not experience any infusion-related reactions or antibody development. However, 18% (n = 12) did have thrombotic events that included seven deep vein thromboses, five strokes, one pulmonary embolism, and one myocardial infarction. Most safety events occurred between follow-up days 4 and 30. This event rate is high compared with other studies of thromboembolism after anticoagulation reversal. It is not clear whether this is due to an effect of acute anticoagulation reversal or the natural course of disease in this critically ill patient population. While the ANNEXA-4 trial has shown promising results for andexanet alfa use in actively bleeding patients, this study was a single arm treatment that was not compared with any other current standards of treatment, such as FFP or coagulation factors. It is also unknown whether faster andexanet administration would affect outcomes, as the average time to andexanet was 4.8 ± 1.9 hours. In addition, this study did not include patients on edoxaban nor enoxaparin in their interim analyses; betrixaban was not FDA approved during this study period. Andexanet is not yet FDA approved, and the trial is ongoing.108

There are currently no human studies comparing andexanet alfa with coagulation factors. However, in a liver laceration animal model by Lu et al, rivaroxaban-anticoagulated rabbits that received andexanet alfa had significantly less blood loss (p = 0.004) and rapid reduction of anti-FXa activity and unbound rivaroxaban concentrations. The rabbits
that received 3F-PCC and 4F-PCC did not have reduction of any of these parameters.109

Ciraparantag
Ciraparantag (PER977, Porphose, Danbury, CT) is a small molecule that hydrogen binds noncovalently and inhibits target site binding of multiple anticoagulants, including FXa inhibitors, direct thrombin inhibitors, and heparins. In a preclinical in vitro study comparing ciraparantag with andexanet alfa, ciraparantag did not reverse FXa activity, unlike andexanet which had dose-dependent effects. In addition, factors X and IX activity, aPTT, and thrombin generation were potentiated by ciraparantag, suggesting potential procoagulant activity.110 A randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled, safety, tolerability, pharmacokinetic, and pharmacodynamic trial examined ciraparantag administration after single-dose edoxaban compared with ciraparantag alone.111 Doses ranging from 100 to 300 mg restored fibrin diameters to baseline levels 30 minutes after ciraparantag administration. There was no evidence of procoagulant activity. Further information is expected with the placebo-controlled, single-blind study of rivaroxaban reversal with ciraparantag that is actively recruiting.112

Conclusion
Current available studies suggest andexanet alfa has the strongest evidence for oral FXa inhibitor reversal. However, andexanet has not been studied with a comparator in humans and is not yet clinically available. Coagulation factors and ciraparantag have only been studied in animal models and ex vivo healthy humans. Although it is not yet clear what currently available agent (if any) effectively reverses FXa inhibitors, current guidelines recommend coagulation factors aPCC or 4F-PCC as the agents with the most available data.29 PCC doses between 25 and 50 units/kg may be sufficient for FXa reversal as measured by kinetic and quantitative parameters.
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