Hyaluronic Acid Intra-Articular Injections in Patients Affected by Moderate to Severe Glenohumeral Osteoarthritis: A Prospective Randomized Study
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Abstract

Purpose The aim of this study was to evaluate the efficacy and safety of intra-articular injection treatment with high molecular weight HA (Hyalubrix, 30 mg/2 mL, molecular weight > 1,500 kDa) in patients affected by moderate to severe glenohumeral osteoarthritis (OA).

Methods Seventy-eight patients, affected by shoulder OA grade II–IV were randomized in two groups. Patients included in case group were treated with three intra-articular injections of HA and a specific physiotherapy program, whereas patients included in the control group received the only physical therapy. The follow-up examination was 6 months for both groups. The evaluation of functional status of treated shoulder, range of motion, and pain was performed before treatment and at the final follow-up examination by means of the Constant score. The safety evaluation of the treatment was also performed recording any adverse events.

Results Statistical analysis revealed a significant difference (p < 0.05) between the two groups in terms of pain reduction and improvement in the activities of daily living. In particular, case group subjects affected by grade III and IV OA had a significant improvement in the Constant score (18.2 ± 5.4 and 19.2 ± 5.9, respectively).

Conclusion This study showed that the combination of intra-articular injection of hyaluronic acid (Hyalubrix, 30 mg/2 mL) with physical therapy program was more effective in comparison with the only physical therapy in reducing pain in patients affected by glenohumeral OA.

Level of Evidence Level II, randomized controlled study.

Introduction

Glenohumeral osteoarthritis (OA) is characterized by the appearance of typical degenerative changes in the cartilage, synovial membrane, synovial fluid, and subchondral bone.¹ OA is one of the main reasons of persistent shoulder pain and reduced range of motion (ROM)²,³ and can compromise the activities of work and daily living leading to the development of depressive syndromes.¹⁴ The treatment of this pathology can be conservative in patients affected by grade I–III OA or grade IV OA with contraindications to surgical treatment. The conservative approach generally includes intra-articular injections with corticosteroids, hyaluronic acid (HA), physical therapy, or the use of oral analgesics.⁵–¹¹ However, analgesics and nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAIDs) are often associated with essential side effects.¹²–¹⁴ Viscosupplementation with HA intra-articular injections is a nonsurgical treatment that can improve pain relief and lead to a partial recovery.
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of glenohumeral joint ROM. Many studies have demonstrated that HA intra-articular injections may improve the cartilage homeostasis having protective effects on the joint cartilage in this degenerative pathology, \(^{15–28}\) especially in knee OA. \(^{29–33}\) However, the efficacy and safety of HA intra-articular injection treatment in glenohumeral OA needs to be further investigated, since only few studies have evaluated the efficacy and safety of HA in OA of the shoulder. \(^{8,17,21,34–36}\)

The objective of this randomized prospective open-label clinical trial was to evaluate the efficacy and safety of intra-articular injection treatment with high-molecular-weight HA in patients affected by moderate to severe glenohumeral OA in terms of pain reduction and improvements of limited ROM. The hypothesis of the study was that HA intra-articular injections in patients affected by moderate to severe glenohumeral OA is a safe procedure that can reduce shoulder pain and improve glenohumeral ROM.

**Methods**

**Study Design**

This randomized controlled prospective open-label monocentric study was designed to determine whether intra-articular injections of HA (Hyalubrix, 30 mg/2 mL, molecular weight > 1,500 kDa, Fidia Farmaceutici S.p.A.) in patients affected by grade II–IV shoulder OA provide significant reduction in pain during activity at up to 6 months.

**Participants**

Seventy-eight patients affected by grade II and III OA and grade IV shoulder OA with contraindications to surgical treatment were considered for the purpose of this study and followed for 6 months. Local ethics committee approval (Concordia Hospital for Special Surgery Rome Ethical Committee approval no. 3/2014) and written informed consent were obtained prior to the patient’s enrolment, and the study was conducted in accordance with the Helsinki Declaration of 1975 and subsequent revisions.

The criteria for the inclusion in the study were: patients with good general health status older than 50 years, radiographic confirmation of grade II or III shoulder OA or grade IV shoulder OA with contraindications to surgical treatment, chronic shoulder pain for at least 6 months but less than 2 years, and limitations in ROM.

Reasons for exclusion from the study were: concomitant rotator cuff tears, adhesive capsulitis, previous shoulder surgery, previous humeral head fractures, chondrocalcinosis, cervical spine disorders, metabolic diseases, and poor general health status. Furthermore, patients with grade I OA and those with grade IV OA with surgical indications (shoulder arthroplasty) were not included in the study. All analgesics, bisphosphonates, and oral pharmaceutical products containing glucosamine and chondroitin sulfate had to be discontinued 15 days prior to the beginning of treatment and baseline assessment.

**Interventions**

Patients were randomly allocated to two different homogeneous groups. Thirty-nine patients for the first group (case group) and 39 patients for the second group (control group) were recruited. The sample was systematically randomized; for every two patients, the assignment criteria were inverted to allocate the patients uniformly to two different groups.

The treatment for patients belonging to the case group consisted of three intra-articular injections with HA (molecular weight > 1,500 kDa) and one injection every 15 days combined with a specific physiotherapy program. The intra-articular injections were performed by using a posterior shoulder approach. Patients belonging to the control group were treated only with physical therapy.

The physical therapy program was the same for both groups. It was performed with a professional therapist, had a 3-month duration with a frequency of 3 days every week, and started for both groups the day after the first medical examination. It consisted of passive capsular stretching for recovery of ROM, isometric exercises for deltoid, rotator cuff, and scapulothoracic muscles, isotonic exercises for scapulothoracic muscles (closed kinetic chain), and hydrokinesis therapy.

**Outcome Measures**

The follow-up examination was performed at 6 months from the beginning of the therapy for both groups. The evaluation of functional status of treated shoulder, ROM, and pain was performed before treatment and at the final follow-up examination by means of the Constant score. The safety evaluation of intra-articular injection with Hyalubrix (30 mg/2 mL) was further performed recording any adverse events (AEs) experienced by the patients during the study.

**Statistical Analysis**

Sample size calculation was based on the expected difference between groups in the primary outcome (Constant score). According to data obtained in our previous study, \(^{35}\) setting \(\alpha\) value equal to 0.05 and minimum accepted power of 80%, we retained the sample of 78 patients (39 for each group) appropriate for this study.

Statistical analysis was performed by STATISTICA 7.0 software (StatSoft Inc., Tulsa, Oklahoma, United States). Outcome variables (forward elevation, external rotation, and Constant score) were considered as continuous, and distribution of subjects along the three variables verged on normality (\(p > 0.05\); Shapiro–Wilk = 0.958, 0.975, and 0.946, respectively); therefore, a one-way ANOVA for repeated measures was performed. Post hoc tests (Tukey’s test, \(p < 0.05\)) were scheduled between the two groups and within the same group.

Afterward, a subgroup analysis was performed within the case group according to the severity of OA (16 patients with grade II, 14 with grade III, and 9 with grade IV). In this way, a one-way ANOVA for independent groups was performed. The treatment safety was assessed through descriptive statistics of vital signs and summary of AEs.

**Results**

Of the 39 patients belonging to the case group, 17 were males and 22 were females. The mean age of the patients was 71.3 ± 6.7 years. There were 31 right shoulders and 8 left
shoulders. The dominant side was treated in 28 patients (71.8%). All patients referred shoulder pain since many months (average 11.4 ± 4.8 months); 25 of the patients (64.1%) had moderate pain and 14 (35.9%) had severe pain; none of them had mild pain; 10 (25.6%) of our patients had been involved in trauma; and 29 (74.4%) of the patients had a spontaneous pain. All the patients showed signs of OA at the X-ray examination: grade II in 16 (41%), grade III in 14 (35.9%), and grade IV in 9 (23.1%) OA.

Of the 39 patients belonging to the control group, 15 were males and 24 were females. The mean age of the patients was 69.8 ± 6.4 years. There were 29 right shoulders and 10 left shoulders. The dominant side had been treated in 31 patients (79.5%). All patients referred shoulder pain for several months (average 10.2 ± 3.8 months); 4 of the patients (10.3%) had mild pain, 27 (69.2%) had moderate pain, and 8 (20.5%) had severe pain; 9 (23.1%) of our patients had been involved in trauma, and 30 (76.9%) of the patients had a spontaneous pain. All the patients showed signs of OA at the X-ray examination: 18 (46.1%) had grade II, 14 (35.9%) had grade III, and 7 (17.9%) had grade IV OA. No significant differences were observed between the two groups for baseline characteristics.

Means and standard deviations of the outcome measures for each group, before and after treatment, are reported in Table 1. There were no significant differences between the two groups before the treatment. Constant score, forward elevation, and external rotation variables were similar in the two groups at the baseline evaluation (p > 0.05). The ANOVA analysis for repeated measures for Constant score revealed a significant effect of the treatment between the groups (F1,76 = 7.885, p < 0.05) and within groups (F1,76 = 15.735, p < 0.05). Regarding the forward elevation, the ANOVA analysis for repeated measure depicted a significant effect only within groups (F1,76 = 6.611, p < 0.05), suggesting that there was a significant effect of the treatment along the time but not between the type of treatment (F1,76 = 0.655, p > 0.05). Differently, about the external rotation, the statistical analysis did not show a significant difference between the groups (F1,76 = 1.061, p > 0.05) nor within the groups (F1,76 = 0.835, p > 0.05).

The one-way ANOVA performed only for the case group subjects revealed a significant effect of the treatment (F2,36 = 7.829, p < 0.05). In particular, patients affected by grade III and IV OA had a significant improvement in the Constant score (18.2 ± 5.4 and 19.2 ± 5.9, respectively). On the other hand, patients affected by grade II OA had no significant improvement in the Constant score (p < 0.05 at the post hoc Tukey’s test).

### Discussion

Glenohumeral OA is a progressive disease that can compromise the activities of work and daily living because of its symptoms such as pain and reduction of ROM. Therefore, nonsurgical treatments are directed toward reducing symptoms and improving joint function.

The use of HA in patients affected by shoulder OA is described in literature in a few studies. Kwon et al.17 in a multicenter, randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial, showed that only patients without concomitant shoulder pathologies, treated with intra-articular injections of HA, had a significant improvement in terms of pain. Similarly, Porcellini et al.34 and Di Giacomo et al.35 in their prospective studies, showed that HA treatment for shoulder OA significantly decreased pain and improved shoulder function for up to 6 months from the first injection. Also, Merolla et al.21 in a retrospective controlled trial, found that patients affected by mild to moderate glenohumeral OA had significant pain reduction and satisfaction, for up to 6 months, after treatment with intra-articular injections of HA. Silverstein et al.36 found a significant improvement of shoulder pain in patient treated with 3 weekly HA intra-articular injections and no adverse events were observed. On the other hand, Blaine et al.8 and Colen et al.37 indicated that sodium hyaluronate is effective and well tolerated for the treatment of OA and persistent shoulder pain, but significant improvement in terms of shoulder pain was not achieved.

The purpose of the study was to evaluate the efficacy and safety of intra-articular injections with high-molecular-weight HA (Hyalubrix) in patients affected by moderate to severe glenohumeral OA. To achieve this, two different kinds of treatments were compared: three intra-articular injections

### Table 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Control group</th>
<th>HA group</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Constant score</td>
<td>67.1 ± 15.1</td>
<td>71.9 ± 12.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Elevation</td>
<td>137.9 ± 21.5</td>
<td>138.6 ± 16.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>External rotation</td>
<td>146.8 ± 19.8</td>
<td>152.8 ± 15.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Constant score</td>
<td>77.3 ± 13.8</td>
<td>88.1 ± 9.32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Elevation</td>
<td>146.8 ± 19.8</td>
<td>152.8 ± 15.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>External rotation</td>
<td>24.2 ± 6.2</td>
<td>22.3 ± 6.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Constant score</td>
<td>24.2 ± 6.2</td>
<td>22.3 ± 6.9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: 0, Baseline evaluation; 1, Follow-up evaluation. *p < 0.05; post hoc Tukey’s test.
with HA (Hyalubrix, 30 mg/2 mL, molecular weight > 1,500 kDa) associated with physical therapy rehabilitation versus the only physical therapy treatment. This open-label study on 78 patients showed a significant difference in pain relief (p < 0.05) between the two groups of patients in favor of HA. This demonstrated that patients affected by grade II–IV OA, who received HA intra-articular injections treatment in association with physical therapy, had a greater positive effect in terms of pain reduction compared with patients who received the only physical therapy treatment. Differently, this study did not show a significant difference in terms of ROM, between the two different types of treatment. Moreover, the reduction of pain led to an improvement in glenohumeral function and activity of daily living. This was due to the specific characteristics of HA able to restore synovial fluid properties in this degenerative disease.15–28

Results of the study also showed a significant difference within the case group. In particular, patients affected by grade III and IV OA had a significant pain reduction, whereas patients affected by grade II OA had an improvement in pain, but it was not significant. This could be due to the molecular weight of Hyalubrix (>1,500 kDa) that also has an important mechanical effect.

Thanks to the efficacy of HA treatment and to the absence of side effects or adverse events, we can confirm that three intra-articular injections of Hyalubrix can be considered a safe and effective treatment option for the management of shoulder pain due to moderate to severe glenohumeral OA. However, further prospective randomized controlled trials are necessary to provide exhaustive evidence of the long-term efficacy of HA-derived products in the treatment of glenohumeral OA in a larger population.

In conclusion, this study demonstrates the greater and long-lasting efficacy and safety of an intra-articular injection treatment with HA (Hyalubrix, 30 mg/2 mL) combined with a physical therapy program in patients affected by moderate to severe glenohumeral OA in terms of pain relief and function improvement, compared with alternative treatments such as only physical therapy.
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