Distance of the Posterior Interosseous Nerve from the Radial Head during Elbow Arthroscopy: An Anatomical Study
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Abstract

Purpose  The aims of this study were to measure the distance of the posterior interosseous nerve (PIN) from the radial head (RH) and its variations with forearm movements.

Methods  Five fresh frozen cadaver specimens were dissected under arthroscopy. An anterior capsulectomy extended to the entire lateral compartment was performed. The need of soft tissue dissection to isolate the nerve in the extracapsular space was recorded. The distance between the nerve and the anterior part of the RH was then measured with a graduated caliper inserted via the midlateral portal with the forearm in neutral position, full pronation, and full supination.

Results  The PIN was identifiable in all the specimens. In four cases, it was surrounded by a thick layer of adipose tissue, and further dissection was necessary to isolate it. Damage of the PIN during dissection occurred in one case, in which the proximal part of the nerve was accidentally cut. In three of the remaining cases, an increased distance was measured with the forearm in supination, as compared with neutral and full pronation position.

Conclusion  This anatomical study suggests that in most of the cases, the PIN does not lay just extracapsular at the level of the radiocapitellar joint, but is surrounded by a thick layer of adipose tissue. Furthermore, its distance from the RH appears to increase with forearm supination. This position could increase the safe working space between RH and PIN.

Clinical Relevance  Knowledge of PIN position in relation to the anterior elbow capsule and its changes with forearm movements can help reduce the iatrogenic injuries during elbow arthroscopy.
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**Introduction**

The posterior interosseous nerve (PIN) innervates the supinator muscle and the extensor muscles of the wrist and the digits. A lesion to this nerve can occur after trauma and during open or arthroscopic elbow surgery. It may have dramatic consequences on forearm function and hence negatively affect the surgical outcome of procedures to the anterior and lateral aspect of the elbow.1–7

Although detailed measurements of PIN course have been provided from open surgical approaches, arthroscopic descriptions are lacking.8–11 Moreover, because of the different surgical position and setup used, conclusions derived from open surgery cannot be directly transferred into arthroscopic practice. For instance, the position of the elbow (90 degrees flexion and hanging with gravity when operating in prone or modified lateral decubitus) and the presence of intra-articular irrigation may influence the nerve displacement to a more anterior–inferior direction.

The primary aim of this study was to measure the distance of the PIN from the radial head (RH) during arthroscopic cadaver dissection and assess if PIN–RH distance varied with the forearm in a fully pronated and fully supinated position. The hypothesis of the study was that forearm movements could affect PIN–RH distance.

**Methods**

Five fresh frozen cadaver specimens were dissected under arthroscopy. Arthroscopy was performed with the elbow positioned at 90 degrees of flexion, with the hand and forearm hanging free with only gravity force. Standard posterior, posterolateral, and midlateral portals were first established to explore the posterior compartment, posteromedial gutter, and posterior aspect of the radiocapitellar joint. The anterior compartment of the elbow was then entered. A proximal anteromedial portal was created 2 cm proximal to the medial humeral epicondyle and 1 cm anterior to the intramuscular septum. Insertion of a 30-degree arthroscope into this portal allowed intra-articular diagnostic evaluation. An anterior capsulectomy extending into the lateral compartment was performed through a standard arthroscopic meniscal biter via the anteromedial approach while looking from the anterolateral portal. Particular attention was paid to identify the PIN immediately after anterior capsulectomy in the extracapsular space. If additional soft tissue dissection was necessary to isolate the radial nerve, the presence and quality of the interposed tissue was recorded.

After identification of the PIN, the distance between the nerve and the most anterior part of the radial head was measured with a graduated caliper inserted via the midlateral portal with the forearm in neutral position (PIN–RHn) and expressed in centimeters (Fig. 1). Subsequently, the forearm was moved first in full pronation and then in full supination; the same measurement was performed and recorded (PIN–RHp and PIN–RHs, respectively). Raw data were then normalized for the interepicondylar distance to account for anthropometric variations.

**Results**

Five specimens (median age: 83 years; range: 77–84; left elbow: 60%) were evaluated. Mean interepicondylar distance was 7.26 ± 1.78 cm. The PIN was identifiable in all specimens. Damage of the PIN during dissection occurred in one case, in which the proximal part of the nerve was accidentally cut. In this case, the PIN–RHp and PIN–RHs distances could not be measured and were not included in further analysis. In all other cases, PIN–RHn, PIN–RHp, and PIN–RHs could be measured successfully.

In three cases, an increased distance in PIN–RHs as compared with PIN–RHn and PIN–RHp was noted, although no significant difference could be identified (Table 1).

**Discussion**

This anatomic study showed that the distance of the PIN from the RH can vary with forearm movements and suggested that supination could increase the PIN–RH distance, when compared with pronation and neutral position. Moreover, this
Table 1 Absolute distance in centimeters of PIN-RH, normalized for interepicondylar distance with forearm in PIN-RH\(_{n}\), PIN-RH\(_{p}\), and PIN-RH\(_{s}\).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Specimen</th>
<th>PIN-RH(_{n})</th>
<th>PIN-RH(_{p})</th>
<th>PIN-RH(_{s})</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.23</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>0.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>0.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.38</td>
<td>0.54</td>
<td>0.31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.26</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>0.37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean ± SD</td>
<td>0.24 ± 0.12</td>
<td>0.23 ± 0.21</td>
<td>0.27 ± 0.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Median (IQR)</td>
<td>0.25 (0.19–0.29)</td>
<td>0.16 (0.13–0.27)</td>
<td>0.31 (0.26–0.32)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviations: IQR, interquartile range; PIN, posterior interosseous nerve; RH, radial head; SD, standard deviation.

In open surgery, the nerve is most vulnerable when passing below the arcade of Frohse and between the fibers of the supinator muscle. Nerve injury can be avoided by maintaining the forearm in pronation and approaching the nerve after it separates from its superficial, sensory branch distally in the arm.\(^{10,12,13}\) In its proximal region, the PIN is protected by the brachialis muscle, at the level of the RH, the PIN is separated from the joint capsule just by a layer of adipose tissue.\(^ {13,22}\) Injury to the PIN is a known complication in elbow arthroscopy.\(^ {6,23}\) However, a recent survey among the American Society for Surgery of the Hand members on major nerve injuries after elbow arthroscopy indicated this complication as under-reported in the current literature.\(^ {24}\) Our experience confirms that accidental lesion of the PIN may also happen easily to experienced surgeons. Therefore, we advise extreme care when performing elbow arthroscopy and suggest some tricks to reduce the risk of iatrogenic injury.

To prevent injuries in portal placement, we recommend to locate the anterolateral portal with an out-in technique, checking the needle entry point from the anteromedial portal, and to carefully incise the skin and subcutaneous tissues before inserting the arthroscope sheath and trocar or to locate this portal through an in-out technique.\(^ {25,26}\) While establishing this portal, pronation displaces the nerve anteromedially, increasing safety on the chosen trajectory.\(^ {21,27}\)

To avoid accidental injury during intra-articular procedures, it is recommended to inject fluid to distend the capsule and displace the neurovascular structures away from the joint and orient the shaver or burr posteriorly. Inserting a retractor from a proximal anterolateral portal aimed at the radiocapitellar joint is another protective solution.\(^ {28,29}\) Although no statistical significance could be obtained due to the small sample size, the results of this study suggested that supination could increase the distance of the PIN from the RH. Therefore, we agree in recommending pronation of the forearm whenever performing lateral extra-articular procedures (open approaches or portal placement), but recommend to supinate the forearm when working intra-articularly to increase the safe working space between the most anterior part of the RH and the PIN.

Future imaging studies with ultrasound or magnetic resonance imaging could help in clarifying the static and dynamic behavior of this nerve with respect to the RH.

Open dissections indicate that while in its most proximal region, it is protected by the brachialis muscle, at the level of the RH, the PIN lays in a very extracapsular space in front of RH by either stripping the supinator from the radius (without exposing the PIN) or dividing its superficial humeral head to expose the PIN.\(^ {16–19}\)

Knowing the relative distance of the PIN to the RH and its variations with different forearm positions is a precious help in defining a safe working area in elbow arthroscopy. Previous studies on the variation of PIN position with forearm movements have only been performed in open surgery models with volar, dorsal, and lateral approaches. These studies demonstrated that a safe zone between the radiocapitellar joint to the point where the PIN crossed the lateral midline existed and its width decreased substantially with forearm movements from pronation to supination.\(^ {8,13,15,20,21}\) No arthroscopic descriptions of the PIN position and its movements with respect to the most anterior part of the RH have been published yet.

The PIN is exposed to risk of injury during arthroscopy in two surgical steps: during portal establishment, since it passes close to the anterolateral portal, and during procedures on the RH. While in its most proximal region, it is protected by the brachialis muscle, at the level of the RH, the PIN is separated from the joint capsule just by a layer of adipose tissue.\(^ {13,22}\) Injury to the PIN is a known complication in elbow arthroscopy.\(^ {6,23}\) However, a recent survey among the American Society for Surgery of the Hand members on major nerve injuries after elbow arthroscopy indicated this complication as under-reported in the current literature.\(^ {24}\) Our experience confirms that accidental lesion of the PIN may also happen easily to experienced surgeons. Therefore, we advise extreme care when performing elbow arthroscopy and suggest some tricks to reduce the risk of iatrogenic injury.
the RH, separated from the capsule just by a layer of adipose tissue.  

Assuming this statement, during standard arthroscopic surgery, precautions are taken considering the joint capsule to be the only protection from injuring the nerve in the space anterior to the RH. 8-11 This study demonstrated that a thick layer of adipose tissue could be frequently encountered between the joint capsule and the PIN. This means that the safe zone between capsule and PIN is wider than expected from open cadaveric dissections, and therefore inadvertent shaving beyond the capsule layer can be forgiving in some cases. Nonetheless, we recommend using extreme care whenever working on the anterolateral aspect of elbow joint, taking all aforementioned precautions to avoid iatrogenic PIN injury.

Some authors recommended isolating the nerve in preparation for intra-articular procedures; in this study, in one specimen, the arthroscopic dissection, aimed at nerve identification, led to unexpected nerve damage. As a layer of adipose tissue protects this nerve from inadvertent excessive capsular shaving and nerve isolation may damage it, we advise against a routine dissection of the PIN, unless specifically indicated for the surgical procedure.

Limitations of this study include small sample size; this does not allow differentiating between any anatomical variants and may amplify bias related to technical aspects of the dissection. To reduce these, all the arthroscopies were performed by surgeons experienced in the field of elbow surgery. The nature of the study did not allow investigating on local pathologies of the capsule or systemic diseases that may influence soft tissue quality. The age of the specimens examined differs from the age range of patients undergoing elective arthroscopic elbow surgery, suggesting care when translating this anatomical data to a younger population. However, we suppose the behavior of this structure not to be directly affected by age depending tissue changes. Finally, we did not evaluate the effect of fluid extravasation on changes in the structural quality of the adipose tissue; in particular, we suppose that the length of the surgical procedure, especially with prolonged forceful positions, could influence adipose tissue distension and softness. 12

In conclusion, PIN injuries are a serious complication of elbow surgery, and the knowledge of the working space is critical in avoiding them during arthroscopy. Although no statistical significance could be obtained due to the small sample size, this study suggests that the PIN assumes variable size and shape, and may amplify bias related to technical aspects of the dissection. To reduce these, all the arthroscopies were performed by surgeons experienced in the field of elbow surgery. The nature of the study did not allow investigating on local pathologies of the capsule or systemic diseases that may influence soft tissue quality. The age of the specimens examined differs from the age range of patients undergoing elective arthroscopic elbow surgery, suggesting care when translating this anatomical data to a younger population. However, we suppose the behavior of this structure not to be directly affected by age depending tissue changes. Finally, we did not evaluate the effect of fluid extravasation on changes in the structural quality of the adipose tissue; in particular, we suppose that the length of the surgical procedure, especially with prolonged forceful positions, could influence adipose tissue distension and softness. 12
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