Waugh’s Syndrome: Report of Two Children with Intussusception
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Waugh’s syndrome (WS) is the rare association of intussusception and intestinal malrotation. We present two such cases in a 5-month-old infant and a 9-year-old girl. Both patients presented with intussusception and were diagnosed with malrotation during workup and surgical exploration. We conclude that the possibility of intestinal malrotation must be taken into consideration while managing children with intussusception.

New Insights and the Importance for the Pediatric Surgeon

The association of intestinal malrotation with intussusception (Waugh’s Syndrome) should be kept in mind while managing intussusception especially non-surgically. A missed diagnosis of Waugh’s syndrome may result in recurrent intussusception and sequel associated with intestinal malrotation increasing morbidity and mortality.

Introduction

Intussusception is one of the common causes of intestinal obstruction in pediatric population and is mostly (81%) idiopathic.1 Secondary intussusception occurs due to a variety of etiological factors such as Meckel’s diverticulum, hypertrophied Peyer’s patches, congenital bands, and intestinal lymphomas.1 The rare association of intestinal malrotation in conjunction with intussusception was first described by George E Waugh in 1911. It was termed Waugh’s syndrome (WS) by Breerton et al after a prospective study confirmed this rare association.2 A less than 100 cases have been described so far.2,3 Herein, we present two such cases managed successfully.

Case Presentation

Case 1: A 5-month-old female patient weighing 5.2 kg presented with fever, reluctance to feeds, and excessive crying for 1 day. She had no diarrhea or rectal bleeding. At the age of 3 months, she had an upper gastrointestinal (GI) contrast study done for a brief episode of bilious vomiting. Malrotation was suspected as duodenojejunal (DJ) junction was at the midline and small bowel loops were on right side of abdomen. Since the child improved clinically at that time, a period of observation with repeat upper GI contrast was suggested.

On examination, she had stable vital signs with some dehydration. The abdomen was distended without any palpable abdominal mass and the laboratory results were within normal range. She had an abdominal ultrasound (US) done showing the classic “doughnut sign” of intussusception (►Fig. 1). The patient was subjected to contrast enema reduction, which failed to identify and confirm the reduced intussusception because of distended, contrast-filled bowel loops; hence, she was taken for explorative laparoscopy. An ileoileal intussusception was seen, which was easily reduced. After reduction, the bowel was inspected and intestinal
malrotation was suspected. The cecum was located in the midabdomen and most of the small bowel was on the right side. The DJ junction could not be traced due to massively distended small bowel loops; therefore, a minilaparotomy was performed by enlarging the umbilical port site incision. Classical malrotation was confirmed and a standard Ladd’s procedure was performed including appendectomy. The postoperative course was uneventful.

**Case 2:** A 9-year-old female patient weighing 31 kg was referred with colicky abdominal pain and three episodes of nonbilious vomiting for 1 day. There was no significant past history and the clinical examination was unremarkable. She had a computed tomography (CT) abdomen already done at the office of a primary care physician that showed distal ileal intussusception. We proceeded with an US abdomen that confirmed the initial diagnosis (Fig. 2), and a trial of contrast enema reduction was given. The large bowel was noticed on left side of the abdomen with free-lying cecum and the small bowel on right side with free retrograde filling for long segment; the intussusception was not visualized (Fig. 3). At this stage, intestinal malrotation was taken into consideration. A repeat US abdomen did not show intussusception, and a reversed relation between superior mesenteric artery (SMA) and superior mesenteric vein (SMV) was noticed on Doppler US (Fig. 4). An upper GI contrast study showed the DJ junction at the midline and small bowel on right side of the abdomen, suggestive of malrotation.

Therefore, the girl underwent an elective exploratory laparotomy through a right supraumbilical transverse incision. Typical malrotation was found and a standard Ladd’s procedure including appendectomy was performed. She had uneventful postoperative course.

**Discussion**

The frequency of WS, intussusception in association with malrotation, is not known. In a prospective study, Brereton et al reported atypically positioned DJ junction in 40% of patients with intussusception in whom the position of DJ junction was determined. However, the actual incidence of WS may be higher than reported. Poorly fixed ascending and descending colon to the retroperitoneum, anomalous
rotation, and fixation of the ileocaecal mesentery have been hypothesized as the possible predisposing factors to intussusception in patients with WS.\textsuperscript{2,5,6} Similar features of malrotation were seen at exploration in both our patients.

The age at presentation in WS is highly variable and ranges from 13 days to 17 years.\textsuperscript{6} However, there are reports of WS in a preterm and a 56-year-old adult.\textsuperscript{2,7} WS clinically presents with classical acute intussusception or vague general and gastrointestinal symptoms; the accompanying malrotation is usually known later on during workup and/or surgical exploration.\textsuperscript{2,6} Both of our patients presented with an acute intussusception, and distinctive features of WS were absent on presentation.

US of abdomen is a dependable diagnostic modality in a case of intussusception with a high sensitivity and specificity.\textsuperscript{8} WS is suspected if the radiologist observes an atypical configuration of the SMA and SMV in a case with intussusception; upper GI contrast study may then be performed to confirm the malrotation.\textsuperscript{2} Following an abdominal US for intussusception, contrast enema reduction can give a clue to the associated intestinal malrotation by localizing the position of cecum, which may be abnormal in 80 to 87\% of surgically proven cases of malrotation.\textsuperscript{9} In our first case, abdominal US confirmed intussusception, but contrast enema was ineffective due to massively distended and overlapping bowel loops. In the second case, intussusception was not visualized on US presumably because it had spontaneously reduced. As an inverted relationship of SMA and SMV was suggested, the patient received an upper GI contrast study to confirm the diagnosis.

Nonsurgical management of intussusception has a significant failure rate if associated malrotation is present.\textsuperscript{2} With a successful enema reduction of intussusception, the diagnosis of WS may remain obscure. It may in turn predispose a patient to recurrent intussusception.\textsuperscript{2,5} Most of the reported cases of WS were managed by open surgery and only one case has been performed laparoscopically.\textsuperscript{2,5,6} In our first case, intussusception was successfully reduced laparoscopically but we could not proceed further because of significant bowel dilatation and inability to define the anatomy clearly.

In conclusion, WS should be kept in mind when managing idiopathic intussusception and in case of additional signs, the clinical workup should include studies to rule out malrotation.\textsuperscript{10}
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