C1-C4 Dorsal Column Stimulation for Refractory Occipital Neuralgia Treatment. Case Report

Estimulação da coluna dorsal em C1-C4 para tratamento de neuralgia occipital refratária. Relato de caso
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Abstract

Introduction  Refractory occipital neuralgia is a difficult medical condition, especially when the patient has already been submitted to occipital nerve neurectomy and radiofrequency rhizotomy. There is no case report of spinal cord stimulation in the C1-C4 cervical segments for this condition.

Objective  To evaluate if C1-C4 dorsal spinal cord stimulation is effective in a patient with refractory occipital neuralgia who was already submitted to neurectomy and rhizotomy.

Methods  After obtaining the approval from the Ethics Committee of one of our institutions, a unilateral laminectomy was performed between C3 and C4, and a neurostimulator lead was conducted until the posterior portion of the C1 arc was in full view. Then we performed an intraoperative test to evaluate the correspondence between pain location and stimulation-induced paresthesias. We could not put the subcutaneous lead for such condition because of the scar tissue of the area and the previous neurectomy.

Results  After one year of follow up, we noticed a dramatic improvement in pain control, as well as medication withdrawal. The score of the visual analogue scale was 9 before the surgery, and it dropped to 2 after 1 year of follow-up.

Conclusion  Spinal cord stimulation between the C1 and C4 cervical segments can be an option for selected cases of refractory occipital neuralgia, including those patients who have already been submitted to neurectomy or rhizotomy.
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Resumo

Neuralgia occipital refratária é uma condição médica difícil, especialmente em pacientes submetidos previamente a neurectomia nos nervos occipitais e rizotomia por radiofrequência. Não há na literatura relato de estimulação da medula espinhal entre os níveis C1 e C4 para essa condição.
Ref refractory occipital neuralgia is a difficult medical condition, especially when the patient has already been submitted to occipital nerve neurectomy and radiofrequency rhizotomy. There is no case report of spinal cord stimulation in the C1-C4 cervical segments for this condition.

Presentation

The patient (SCTA) was a 42-year-old female with a medical report of 2 years of refractory pain in the occipital region. She was submitted to anesthetic and corticoid blocks, and experienced partial resolution for months. The surgical approach for neurectomy of the major and minor occipital nerves was performed, and the patient showed no improvement after 3 months; instead, she experienced recurrence, worsening of the pain and refractoriness of the drugs (pregabalin 600 mg/day plus duloxetine 60 mg/day plus methadone 20 mg/day). During this period, she was referred to our service. The proposed initial treatment was pulsed radiofrequency in the C2 ganglion and C3 root. We achieved a reduction of 30% in the score of the visual analogue scale (VAS) after two attempts with no medication withdrawn. As there was a large portion of scar tissue in the occipital region, the introduction of a C1-C4 spinal cord neurostimulator by laminectomy was proposed.

Technical Note

The patient was under general anesthesia, in the prone position, with the head on a 3-pin holder. We performed unilateral exposure of the laminae of C1 until C4, and a small C4 laminectomy for the placement of the neurostimulator lead under the laminae of C2, C3 and C4 until the lateral portion of C1. We had to expose the C1 posterior arc and epidural space in order to guide the lead, and we checked the radioscopy to make sure the 16-electrode surgical lead (Medtronic, Inc. Minneapolis, MN, US) was properly placed under the laminas of C2, C3 and C4 until the lateral C4 laminectomy for the placement of the neurostimulator. The patient was under general anesthesia, in the prone position, with the head on a 3-pin holder. We performed unilateral exposure of the laminae of C1 until C4, and a small C4 laminectomy for the placement of the neurostimulator lead under the laminae of C2, C3 and C4 until the lateral portion of C1. We had to expose the C1 posterior arc and epidural space in order to guide the lead, and we checked the radioscopy to make sure the 16-electrode surgical lead (Medtronic, Inc. Minneapolis, MN, US) was properly placed under the laminas of C2, C3 and C4 until the lateral C4 laminectomy for the placement of the neurostimulator.

Results

There was an important improvement in pain with a significant reduction in the use of pain medication progressively. After 12 months of implantation, the visual analogue scale score was 2, and the patient successfully achieved methadone and pregabalin withdrawal. The patient is currently using only duloxetine 60 mg/day.

Discussion

Occipital neuralgia is often controlled with muscle relaxants, physical therapy, and anesthetic blocks with corticosteroids. In refractory cases, the intervention option is necessary. Currently, the most recommended interventions are performed with botulin toxin or pulsed radiofrequency. If refractoriness occurs, performing a neurectomy or gangliectomy are options with controversial results, because they can worsen the painful condition. In this case, the patient had already taken anesthetic blocks with corticosteroids, with improvement only while the effect of the anesthetic lasted. The patient underwent an open surgery for neurectomy, but she showed no improvement after 3 months. Pulsed radiofrequency was then attempted twice, with the condition showing little improvement. The option for spinal cord stimulation instead of peripheral nerve stimulation was made because of the large portion of scar tissue in the occipital region and the medical report of neurectomy of the occipital nerves. In this case, we could not introduce the lead under the C1 arc (perhaps because of the previous manipulation), and we had to expose the C1 posterior arc and the epidural space to place the 16-electrode surgical lead under C1.
As soon as there was correspondence between the area of pain and the stimulated area, we decided to place the generator at the same surgical time. Good pain control was achieved through a significant visual analogue scale reduction of 80% and withdrawal from the medications. The VAS score of 2 in the affected area may be related to the area stimulation itself.

The option for the dorsal cord stimulator between C1 and C4 can allow adequate central control in these cases of refractory occipital neuralgia. It allows greater covering area by the stimulation and more programs possibilities in the follow-up control. Another advantage of dorsal cord stimulation at this level is the anatomical characteristic of the cervical plexus and the occipital nerves with numerous anastomoses as well as the possibility of activation of adjacent cervical spinal areas and trigeminal nuclei.\(^1,4\) The greatest negative point of the technique presented is the port site of the surgery; the procedure should be performed by a neurosurgeon with knowledge of the anatomy of the occipital and cervical regions. The postoperative period was well-tolerated by our patient, with a good pain control outcome.

Spinal cord stimulation between the C1 and C4 cervical segments can be an option for selected cases of refractory occipital neuralgia, including those patients who have already been submitted to neuroectomy or rhizotomy.
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