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Abstract

Microvascular flap reconstruction surgery is a limb preservation procedure performed in an effort to maintain the integrity of the lower limb and avoid amputations. Individuals facing the prospect of amputation often have long-term diabetes with multiple comorbidities, including severe peripheral vascular disease; peripheral arterial disease; chronic ulcerations; and Charcot arthropathy. Long-term clinical research has shown that these patients are at much higher risk for secondary amputations after a first amputation is performed. According to Sohn et al, Charcot and ulcer groups had 4.1 and 4.7 amputations per 100 person-years, respectively. In patients younger than 65 years old at the end of follow-up, amputation risk, relative to patients with Charcot alone, was 7 times higher for patients with ulcer alone and 12 times higher for patients with Charcot and ulcer. Patients with infected hardware, suffering from acute ulcerative conditions, may also be candidates for this type of surgery due to extensive tissue loss secondary to infection. Microvascular flap reconstruction surgery is a highly technical surgery involving the use of orthoplastic techniques, which is performed under ×3.5 loupe magnification. Due to the inability to perform this procedure with the use of a tourniquet, visibility within the field is obfuscated from continuous blood flow along the dissected muscle belly. Hydrogen peroxide (H2O2) is an excellent alternative to tourniquet use. The use of H2O2 allows additional benefits, such as visual field clearance and antisepsis.
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H2O2 was first described in 1818 by Louis Jacques Thénard, but pure H2O2 was not synthesized until 1894. H2O2 has been used throughout history as a disinfectant and for antisepsis based on solution strength. This solution is an important endogenous structure in phagocytosis in the immune system. H2O2 has harmful characteristics at higher concentrations and is considered unsafe for topical use over 6%. The solution's vapors are used for sterilization of...
surgical instruments. Solutions of 3\% are sold over the counter and used as an antiseptic. \( \text{H}_2\text{O}_2 \) demonstrates broad-spectrum efficacy against viruses, bacteria, yeasts, and bacterial spores.

The ability for catalase to break down \( \text{H}_2\text{O}_2 \) into a foaming agent and create microembolisms in the vasculature can be used to the surgeon’s advantage during microvascular flap reconstruction surgery. The breakdown of \( \text{H}_2\text{O}_2 \) into oxygen gas and water allows for increased visibility through dilution. In addition, the ability for \( \text{H}_2\text{O}_2 \) to act on a clot as a dissolution agent may increase the viability of muscle cells during the procedure. Excessive clotting during a long, extensive procedure allows for unwanted muscle hypoxia. Although there is abundant literature concerning the risk of embolism when \( \text{H}_2\text{O}_2 \) is used as an irrigation agent in a closed space, this is mitigated due to the open dissection used during this procedure.

**Literature Review**

**Visual Field Clearance**

Wu et al.\(^3\) conducted a study in which 20 patients with acute upper gastrointestinal bleeding (13 males and 7 females) were included. The site of bleeding was sprayed with 200 mL of saline and again with 25 to 175 mL of \( \text{3\% H_2O_2} \). Pictures of the visual fields were taken and compared by three gastroenterologists and graded on a visual scale. Cauterization of the bleeding field was performed and a biopsy was taken to evaluate the effects of the \( \text{H}_2\text{O}_2 \) on the area. The results showed a significant improvement in the mean visual score with \( \text{H}_2\text{O}_2 \) compared with saline alone. In addition, during endoscopic evaluation, 19 patients were seen to have active oozing from an adherent blood clot at the ulcer base. Altogether 12 of these individuals achieved initial hemostasis after being sprayed with \( \text{3\% H_2O_2} \). Finally, there were no significant changes in the histology of the biopsied sites.

A second, similar study conducted by Kalloo et al.\(^4\) at the John Hopkins University found similar results. In six patients with acute upper gastrointestinal bleeding, \( \text{H}_2\text{O}_2 \) spray resulted in good to excellent visualization of the bleeding source. Hemostasis occurred in two patients who were actively bleeding. There were no adverse effects or complications. The authors concluded that \( \text{H}_2\text{O}_2 \) significantly enhanced clot dissolution and endoscopic visualization in patients with acute upper gastrointestinal bleeding. This study differed from the previous study, by Wu et al, with the addition of an antifoaming agent, simethicone, being mixed with the \( \text{H}_2\text{O}_2 \). Simethicone decreases the surface tension of gas bubbles allowing them to combine into larger bubbles.

**Antisepsis**

\( \text{H}_2\text{O}_2 \) has long been known as a topical antiseptic due to the breakdown of bacterial cell walls due to the catalase reaction. According to Hyslop et al.\(^5\), a concentration of 500 mM is needed to completely kill *Staphylococcus aureus* cells when exposed\(^5\). \( \text{3\% H_2O_2 (980 mM)} \) sufficiently succeeds this threshold. The authors concluded that levels of 25 to 50 mM of \( \text{H}_2\text{O}_2 \) are bacteriostatic. There is a debate on whether this concentration of \( \text{H}_2\text{O}_2 \) is cytotoxic at longer duration of contact. There is no definitive answer to this question at this time. Consequently, these procedures are concluded with a wash of normal saline in an effort to decrease the incident, if any, of cytotoxicity to healthy tissue.

**Hemostasis**

There are two possible reactions in terms of hemostasis when \( \text{H}_2\text{O}_2 \) is used as an irrigation solution. First is the catalase reaction wherein \( \text{H}_2\text{O}_2 \) is broken down into water and oxygen gas: \( 2 \text{H}_2\text{O}_2 \rightarrow \text{2H}_2\text{O} + \text{O}_2 \). Second is the Fenton reaction: \( \text{Fe}^{3+} + \text{H}_2\text{O}_2 \rightarrow \text{Fe}^{2+} + \text{HO}_2^- + \text{H}^+ \), where a free radical is created using iron from the hemoglobin as a catalyst.

Foaming is created with either reaction as gas by-products are released.

\( \text{H}_2\text{O}_2 \) injection has been shown to reduce blood loss during surgery by Lichtenbaum et al.\(^6\) Further studies involving this technique by Mastronardi and DeWaale\(^7\) revealed the creation of intraluminal bubbles from this reaction in mice.\(^7\) This has led many to caution the use of \( \text{H}_2\text{O}_2 \) in a closed space environment such as the spine, brain, or deep abscesses. This study highlighted the possibility of gas collection leading to embolism in close spaces.

It has been theorized that collection of these bubbles may act as a barrier through high surface tension on a low pressure capillary bed causing retention of blood flow, thus decreasing blood loss during surgery. Gas embolism is a concern in a closed space where the gas may have the ability to collect and travel in small vessels, leading to embolization. Morikawa et al.\(^8\) described an embolism in their article concerning the use of \( \text{H}_2\text{O}_2 \) in cervical spinal surgery. Despite the transient nature of the embolization that occurred, they concluded that there is a concern for use of \( \text{H}_2\text{O}_2 \) in closed spaces. However, in the meningioma surgery study by Lichtenbaum et al.\(^9\), they concluded that embolization did not occur in the spinal surgery. The differences in location are key to understanding the possibility of embolization. Cervical spinal surgery is a smaller field with deeper access than the surgery described herein. Spinal surgeries and the procedures described here are performed in an open field allowing the gas to dissipate, as well as having a wider field in which to remove any remaining \( \text{H}_2\text{O}_2 \). There is a possibility for the flap itself to experience a small amount of embolization along the periphery due to lingering presence of \( \text{H}_2\text{O}_2 \). The saline wash along with gentle palpation along the periphery of the flap during the wash ensures the remaining pockets to dissipate prior to implantation.

**Procedural Use of Hydrogen Peroxide**

At the beginning of each microvascular flap reconstructive case, a basin is filled with 120 mL of \( \text{H}_2\text{O}_2 \). A bulb syringe or a Luer lock 60 mL syringe is used to administer the \( \text{H}_2\text{O}_2 \) to the patient. The \( \text{H}_2\text{O}_2 \) is used intermittently throughout the case. No tourniquet is used.

After initial skin incision, 10 to 20 mL of \( \text{H}_2\text{O}_2 \) is used along with direct pressure. The \( \text{H}_2\text{O}_2 \) controls the smaller capillaries and allows the larger vessels to be more easily
visualized for Bovie cauterization. After dissection through the subcutaneous fat to the level of the fascia, another application of H2O2 (5–10 mL) is used, along with direct pressure. Subsequently, through the level of the fascia, another 5 to 10 mL of H2O2 and direct pressure is used to control any additional deep bleeding vessels. This stepwise procedure is constant for every case.

When performing a nerve repair case, additional H2O2 is used during dissection of the nerve from the surrounding tissue. When a minor segmental flap procedure is performed, H2O2 is used for the muscle flap dissection. A total of 20 mL of H2O2 is used in both cases. In total, approximately 60 to 70 mL of H2O2 is used. The average blood loss for a nerve repair case or a minor segmental muscle flap is 25 to 40 mL. Total fluid collection including blood and H2O2 is 85 to 110 mL.

For a large muscle flap with resection of tibia and fibula, an additional three to four applications of H2O2 are needed. In total, 120 mL of H2O2 is used in these larger procedures. Blood loss for a large muscle flap is in the range of 150 mL leading to a total fluid collection of 400 to 500 mL. Normal saline is used at the completion of all procedures prior to closing.

**Conclusion**

In regard to the actions created through the use of H2O2 in an open field procedure, it may be possible that these two reactions are forming and reforming simultaneously, in regard to hemostasis. H2O2, when introduced exogenously in repeated washes, allow the following to occur:

1. Catalase reaction allows dilution of the hemoglobin in the visual field, increasing visibility.
2. The Fenton reaction taking place, wherein a free radical is formed, leading to the breakdown of the cell wall and to clot formation.
3. Catalase reaction may also act as disagglutination process on newly forming clots with subsequent washes.
4. Both reactions liberate gas, leading to microembolization of smaller vessels and capillary beds.

H2O2 and its effect on homeostasis is important when viewed in terms of reducing blood loss during these procedures. There is marked visual improvement in the surgical field. Blood loss is kept to a minimum and antisepsis is achieved throughout the procedure. A final wash with normal saline throughout the open field and along incision lines allows the surgeon to dilute any lingering H2O2. Dilution clears the capillary beds of cell breakdown debris and ensures that there are no pockets of H2O2 left against healthy cells during the healing process.

Understanding the biochemical pathways concerning platelet aggregation and breakdown, the chemical reactions that occur and the procedural details of when H2O2 is used will further the surgeon’s ability to successfully minimize blood loss during an open field microvascular muscle flap procedure.
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