Current Status of Percutaneous Cholecystostomy for the Management of Cholecystitis
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Acute cholecystitis is a disease frequently encountered in daily practice. As an inflammatory disease of the gallbladder, > 90% of acalculous cholecystitis (AC) cases are associated with gallstones. In the remaining 10% of cases, cholecystitis occurs in the absence of gallstones, which is termed AC.

Clinical Features and Diagnosis

Acute AC tends to occur in the critically ill or debilitated patients, such as those hospitalized in the intensive care.1 Acute AC is a manifestation of a systemic response as compared with acute calculous cholecystitis, which is more likely due to a local inflammatory process and is more commonly encountered in young, otherwise healthy women.2,3 The exact pathogenesis of AC is unclear but biliary stasis can be caused by fasting, alterations in gallbladder blood flow, activation of factor XII, prostaglandins, and endotoxin.1

Patients with right upper quadrant (RUQ) mass, pain, tenderness, positive Murphy’s sign, and systemic signs of inflammation (fever, elevated C-reactive protein, or white blood cell count) are suspected to have AC. Definitive diagnosis is confirmed by characteristic imaging findings using either ultrasound (US) (►Fig. 1), computed tomography (CT) (►Fig. 2), magnetic resonance imaging, or rarely, technetium hepatobiliary iminodiacetic acid scans. The presence of gallstones and thickening of the gallbladder wall (≥ 5 mm), gallbladder distension, pericholecystic fluid, and/or failure of the gallbladder to fill within 60 minutes after administration of the technetium-labeled analogs of iminodiacetic acid are regarded as radiological signs of AC.4

The diagnosis of AC is more challenging due to the nonspecific clinical findings and the frequent clinical
scenario of an intubated, sedated patient in the intensive care unit. By the time the diagnosis has been made, at least half of the patients experience a cholecystitis-related complication such as gangrene or a confined perforation of the gallbladder.\textsuperscript{5} The mortality rate of acute AC is high, ranging from 10 to 50%, compared with a 1% mortality rate in patients with calculous cholecystitis.\textsuperscript{6,7} Since AC may present with a spectrum of disease stages ranging from a mild self-limited illness to a fulminant potentially life-threatening illness, appropriate management based on appropriate severity assessment is necessary for improved prognosis.

Cholecystectomy has been regarded as the gold standard treatment for AC. With the advent of laparoscopic cholecystectomy, early surgery is considered safe and cost-effective for the management of AC with complication rates of < 1%.\textsuperscript{8,9} Optimal management of AC in the elderly or critically ill patients who are high surgical risk patients, however, is controversial. Early cholecystectomy could result in morbidity up to 41%, and perioperative mortality of up to 19%.\textsuperscript{10–13} Hence, PC is recommended as a less invasive alternative to open procedures or a temporary means to relieve symptoms until intervening cholecystectomy can be safely performed.

**Techniques of Percutaneous Cholecystostomy**

PC is a minimally invasive procedure to decompress the acutely inflamed gallbladder. It has the advantages of bedside applicability and can often be performed with local anesthesia, especially in the intubated critically ill patients. PC was first reported by Radder in 1980, who successfully treated a patient with empyema of the gallbladder by percutaneous drainage.\textsuperscript{14}

The procedure of PC involves sterile placement of a needle or a drainage catheter into the gallbladder to drain the bile. It is indicated in high surgical risk patients with moderate to severe cholecystitis (calculous or acalculous) who are not improving with conservative management (generally within 72 hours). It should be emphasized that the indication for percutaneous drainage is the patient’s high surgical risk rather than the severity of the acute cholecystitis or appearance of the gallbladder on imaging studies.\textsuperscript{1} The primary clinical indications for PC are (1) to decompress the gallbladder for management of cholecystitis or (2) to provide a portal of access to the biliary tract for therapeutic purposes.\textsuperscript{15,16}

There are few absolute contraindications for PC. Interposed bowel may preclude safe access to the gallbladder. Coagulopathy is a relative contraindication, and a severe bleeding diathesis may not allow transhepatic (TH) access. Other relative contraindications include gallbladder tumor that may be seeded by percutaneous access, or a gallbladder completely distended by calculi that prevents drainage tube formation and locking. Finally, it may be difficult or impossible to place a PC tube in a perforated decompressed gallbladder.\textsuperscript{17}

PC can be performed under image guidance with US, fluoroscopy, or CT, by a dedicated interventional radiologist. Catheter placement is performed through either a TH or transperitoneal (TP) approach, according to the preference of the radiologist, the accessibility of the gallbladder, and the coagulation status of the patient. The TH approach is used more frequently because of the greater stability of the catheter, more rapid tract maturation, lower theoretical risk of bile peritonitis, and lesser risk of bowel injury. The TP approach may be used in patients with liver disease or coagulopathy.\textsuperscript{18} Also, the TP route should be used when the gallbladder is grossly distended and adherent to the abdominal wall, or when unfavorable anatomy renders TH access difficult.\textsuperscript{19} Although there does not appear to be a significant difference, the frequency of complications and recurrence of cholecystitis with TP approach may be higher than those reported with TH approach.\textsuperscript{20–22} The TP approach has also been associated with an increased risk of colon perforation.
portal vessel injury, and displacement of the catheter after
decompression of the gallbladder as the decompressed gall-
bladder retracts away from the catheter tip.23 The methods
for PC catheter placements include the Seldinger’s technique
and the Trocar technique.24 The Trocar technique has the advantage of a single step
and access to the gallbladder with no exchanges over a guide
wire (►Fig. 3). The Seldinger’s technique is often better
suited for circumstances with difficult access or a small
gallbladder (►Fig. 4).

Outcomes
The technical success of PC is defined as a successful place-
ment of the cholecystectomy tube and is reported as high as
95 to 100%.25–27 Technical failures occur with thick bilious
aspirate not amenable for drainage, decompressed gallblad-
ders, porcelain gallbladders, and pronounced gallbladder
wall thickening.28 The clinical success of PC is determined
by improvement in clinical parameters (including fever,
leukocytosis, pain) within 2 to 3 days. The reported clinical
success rates range from 68 to 100%.24,25,29–34 The wide
range is, in large measure, due to the wide variation in
patient clinical status and patient selection. Outcomes after
PC for AC are better when the disease is primary and not
precipitated by concurrent illness. It has been reported that
in studies with strictly acute calculous cholecystitis, the
success rate of PC was higher than that among patients
with mixed acalculous and calculous cholecystitis but with
no statistical difference.25

For patients who do not show signs of improvement
within 48 to 72 hours after a cholecystostomy, cholecystec-
tomy should be considered.

Procedure-related complications may be seen in 0 to 26.3%
of cases within the first few days and are usually minor.25,26,27
Published rates for individual types of complications are highly
dependent on patient selection. Major complications such as
bile peritonitis or bile leak, sepsis, significant hemorrhage,
hemo- or pneumothorax, bowel injury, and liver abscesses,
affect less than 5% of patients. Minor complications such as
catheter displacement and minor bleeding comprise most
procedure-related events.15,19,22,25,37,38

The catheter dislodgment (6.1–14%) is reported to be the
most common late complications.24,36,39

Procedure-related mortality includes deaths that are di-
rectly linked to drainage-related complications (bowel per-
foration, leakage, or bleeding) ranging from 0 to 0.36%.15,25,29
Mortality caused by biliary infection (deaths to be related to
or due to cholecystitis) range from 1.5 to 17.3%.26,30,40 The
30-day postprocedural mortality rate or in-hospital mortal-
ity has a wide range (3.8–22.6%) depending on the popula-
tion studied.19,22,28,35,40–43 The vast majority of 30-day
mortality cases are related to patient comorbidities and not
directly the result of PC. AC and shock on admission
were reported to be independent risk factors for inhospital
death.44
Clinically, acute AC often occurs in critically ill patients and it is especially related to sepsis and shock with a high mortality. High Charlson’s comorbidity index and a longer interval between diagnosis of AC and PC may predict inhospital and 30-day mortality. Other factors including profound low mean arterial pressure and higher serum creatinine levels have also been reported to be independent risk factors that negatively impact in-hospital mortality. Early PC is the key to lower mortality rates and is recommended once the diagnosis of AC is made in a high surgical risk patient who is unfit for primary cholecystectomy. The overall mortality rates of 15.4 to 42.3% have been reported with a median follow-up of 4 to 37 months. The high total mortality rate probably reflects the poor long-term prognosis of elderly and critically ill patients in general, unrelated to cholecystitis.

There have been no recommendations proposed for the optimal duration of PC drainage. Reported duration of drainage range from 3 to 6 weeks with an average of 1 month. According to previous reports, ≥2 weeks were required for tract maturation for the TH approach and 3 weeks were required for the TP approach. Regression analyses including 50 studies showed no correlation between length of tube maintenance and the considered outcomes (morbidity, mortality, and disease recurrence). However, a drainage duration of ≥2 weeks was reported to be associated with increased recurrence within 2 months of the initial AC attack. Also, another study showed that the PC drainage duration longer than 1 month was associated with 1-year recurrence. This was likely due to irritation of the gallbladder mucosa by the PC or bacterial colonization of the tube.

The removal of PC tubes can be considered after temporary clamping of the drain is shown to be well tolerated by the patient, with no recurrent signs or symptoms of RUQ pain, fever, or leukocytosis. Performing a cholangiogram via the drain before withdrawal to ensure the absence of leakage or an obstructed cystic duct is recommended to reduce the recurrence of cholecystitis.

Recurrent after Percutaneous Cholecystostomy

In patients who did not have subsequent cholecystectomy after PC, the recurrent biliary symptoms ranged from 0 to 46% with most recurrence within 1 year, especially within 2 months. In some studies, the actual recurrence rate might be underestimated due to inclusion of patients with interval cholecystectomy (IC) and in-hospital mortality in the denominator for calculation. Complicated cholecystitis and PC drainage duration >32 days were reported to be positively correlated with 1-year recurrence, while parenteral antibiotics duration >10 days was inversely associated with 1-year and 2-month recurrence. Other reported predictors of recurrence were stone size ≥1 cm, higher serum alkaline phosphatase at diagnosis, and acute myocardial infarction during index.

Subsequent Cholecystectomy

Usually, PC has been used as a preoperative management modality before IC. According to the Tokyo guidelines, elective cholecystectomy after improvement of the acute inflammatory process is the first-line treatment for grade II (moderate) AC and is also recommended after the improvement of acute illness in patients with grade III AC. In the era of modern medicine and advances in surgery, anesthesiology and perioperative care; cholecystectomy (early or delayed) is still a good option in these patients to achieve better long-term outcome.

An increasing number of studies have indicated that PC might not only serve as a bridge to IC but could probably serve as definitive treatment for AC, especially for patients with high operative risks, including advanced age and multiple comorbidities. In reality, 54.3 to 88.3% of patients demonstrated control of AC with PC alone without recurrence. Another study even showed there was no difference in 1-year and 3-year overall survival between patients with or without cholecystectomy after PC. Furthermore, after PC, it is possible to perform diagnostic cholangiography to study the biliary system and serves as an access for intervention to eliminate common bile duct stones, thereby avoiding endoscopic retrograde cholangiopancreatography and surgical exploration of the common bile duct.

The role of PC as a definitive treatment in high surgical risk patients, however, remains debatable. There are arguments that current evidence on the benefit of PC in the management of AC is weak because most data are from retrospective series with comparatively small case numbers. Partly because of this controversy, published numbers on the proportion of patients undergoing IC after PC are extremely variable, with percentages ranging from 2 to 78.2%. Furthermore, the later elective (laparoscopic) cholecystectomy might result in longer operative times, greater rates of conversion to open surgery, and increased incidence of biliary-related complications, mostly because of adhesions.

A longitudinal analysis showed that mortality among patients with cholecystostomy or no procedure is higher than cholecystectomy and patients with cholecystectomy (with or without prior cholecystostomy) had significantly improved survival. Some systematic reviews have found that there were no statistically significant differences in terms of morbidity when late cholecystectomy after cholecystostomy was compared with simple conservative treatment. The improved cholecystectomy outcomes could possibly be attributed to the better comorbidity profiles of patient population.

Even with attempts to adjust for the morbidity of each patient, there may have been other variables that could have further affected this risk adjustment analysis to ensure equal comparison. There is a need for adequately powered randomized clinical trials of low risk of bias on this issue. An ongoing prospective, controlled study is underway that compares laparoscopic cholecystectomy with percutaneous
drainage to determine the best treatment for acute calculous cholecystitis in high-risk patients.67

Conclusion

PC is a low-mortality procedure that is associated with a high success rate. It is indeed a safe and effective alternative to surgery. It is recommended as a de facto treatment in high-risk patients. Cholecystectomy after the resolution of cholecystitis and optimization of associated medical illnesses is always advisable to prevent recurrent cholecystitis, although PC alone can be considered as a definitive treatment.
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