Evaluation of the p16 and Ki-67 Biomarkers as Predictors of the Recurrence of Premalignant Cervical Cancer Lesions after LEEP Conization

Avaliação dos biomarcadores p16 e Ki-67 como preditores de recidivas de lesões pré-cancerígenas do colo do útero após conização por cirurgia de alta frequência
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Abstract

Objective To evaluate the expressions of biomarkers p16 and Ki-67 in low-grade (LG) or high-grade (HG) lesions, and to relate them to risk factors and the recurrence of these lesions.

Methods A retrospective case-control study of 86 patients with LG and HG lesions who underwent a loop electrosurgical excision procedure (LEEP) between 1999 and 2004. The control group was composed of 69 women with no recurrence, and the study group, of 17 patients with recurrence. All patients were followed-up over a two-year period after surgery, and screened every six months, including cytology and colposcopy. Biopsy samples collected from LEEP were submitted to immunohistochemical analysis for p16 and Ki-67. The statistical analysis was performed using the Statistical Package for the Social Sciences software (SPSS, IBM-SPSS, Inc., Chicago, IL, US), with a significant p < 0.05.

Results The biomarkers p16 and Ki-67, separately or combined, showed no relation to recurrence on the total analysis. However, evaluating specifically HG lesions, the positive expression (2+ and 3+) of p16/Ki-67 was associated with recurrence (0.010). In addition, p16 isolated was also more expressive in HG lesions (2+ and 3+, p = 0.018), but it was unrelated to recurrence.

Conclusion Proteins p16 and Ki-67, both isolated and combined, are not reliable primary markers for the recurrence of cervical lesions in the majority of LG lesions. However, analyzing only the group with prior diagnosis of HG lesions, the expressions of p16 and of p16/Ki-67 were associated with recurrence, and they may be useful in monitoring these cases.
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Introduction

The search for markers to facilitate the diagnosis of diseases is a constant in scientific research to save resources, time and to prevent unnecessary treatments. Cervical cancer is the most common cancer among women in 45 countries of the world and, worldwide, 266 thousand women die of it each year; it is preceded by cervical lesions that may or may not progress to invasion. They are associated with infection and with the persistence of the human papillomavirus (HPV) to progress to invasive carcinoma. Through this process, the cells infected with high-risk oncogenic HPV alter the cell cycle, modifying the production of proteins p16 and Ki-67. The most common treatment for high grade (HG) lesions is cervical cone resection using the loop electrosurgical excision procedure (LEEP). A major concern of the treatment is the recurrence of the lesion, as it may reappear without symptoms and more severely.

Proteins p16 and Ki-67 are, respectively, cell progression and proliferation markers. Protein p16 is a tumor suppressor from the Ink4a family that induces the hyperphosphorylation of the retinoblastoma protein (pRb), and has low expression in normal tissues. Ki-67 is a nuclear protein present in cells during the active proliferation stage, but it is not expressed when cells are in the quiescent state. The expression of both molecules simultaneously already denotes some problem in the cell cycle. The main objective of this study was to compare the expression of p16 and Ki-67, individually or combined, with the recurrence of cervical cancer precursor lesions after a LEEP procedure and, also, to verify whether other factors contributed to this.

Methods

The study was approved by The Ethics and Research Committee of Instituto de Ensino e Pesquisa, Santa Casa Belo Horizonte (no. 1.222.448), and only patients who agreed and signed the informed consent form (ICF) participated.

Sample Selection and Patient Monitoring

A total of 86 cases of cervical intraepithelial neoplasia (CIN) were evaluated, having been diagnosed by histopathology after LEEP surgery. The sample group was monitored from January 1999 to March of 2004 at a municipal healthcare center in the city of Belo Horizonte. All patients were re-evaluated every 6 months by oncotic cytology, colposcopy and cervical biopsy, when indicated, and followed-up during 2 years to assess whether or not there was lesion relapse.

The total sample of 86 patients consisted of 17 patients with CIN1, 11 with CIN2, and 58 with CIN3. Of the total, 17 presented lesion recurrence. The study group was composed of the 17 recurrences, and the control group, of the other 69 patients. Apart from the biomarkers, both groups were evaluated considering sociodemographic data, previous health status and histological variables.
Immunohistochemical Markers

To evaluate p16 and Ki-67 expression levels, immunohistochemistry was performed using monoclonal antibody MIB 1 (Dako) for the K<sub>57</sub>-67 in the dilution of 1:100, and G175–405 (Zeta) in the dilution of 1:100 for the p16. Both antigens were detected using HiDef Detection System, HRP Polymer System (Cell Marque, Rocklin, USA). All immunohistochemical studies were performed in the laboratory of Instituto Moacyr Junqueira, in Belo Horizonte, according to standard protocols.

The readings were done by two independent examiners who classified the slides according to the percentage of positive cells, as described by Zhong et al<sup>3</sup> (Table 1).

Table 1 p16 and K<sub>57</sub>-67 positivity according to the percentage of positivity

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Marker</th>
<th>Negative</th>
<th>Low positive</th>
<th>Moderately positive</th>
<th>High positive</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>p16&lt;sup&gt;*&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>&lt; 5%</td>
<td>5–25%</td>
<td>26–50%</td>
<td>&gt; 50%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K&lt;sub&gt;57&lt;/sub&gt;-67&lt;sup&gt;**&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>&lt; 5%</td>
<td>5–25%</td>
<td>26–50%</td>
<td>&gt; 50%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes: *Nuclear and cytoplasmic markers; **nuclear marker. Source: Zhong et al<sup>3</sup>. (OR: 4.44; 95%CI: 1.40–14.06; p = 0.019). Data are listed on Table 3.

When the expressions of p16 and K<sub>57</sub>-67, isolated or combined, were evaluated considering the same risk factors, disregarding the presence or absence of recurrence, a significant correlation was only found on p16 positive in HG lesions (OR: 4.713; 95%CI: 1.091–20.23; p = 0.018, Table 4).

Specifically analyzing HG lesions that were p16/K<sub>57</sub>-67 positive (2+ and 3+), comparing the presence/absence of recurrence, a significant difference was found (OR: 0.19; 95% CI: 0.054–0.662; p = 0.010); however the percentage of positive cells was higher in the HG group with no recurrence. The other variables were not significant (Table 5).

Discussion

Most studies with biomarkers are limited to the correlation between percentage positivity and the presence and grading of pre-invasive lesions; nonetheless, few relate them to the recurrence of these lesions.<sup>6</sup> No literature was found with the same specific characteristics of this study. Therefore, the findings of this study were compared with each risk factor for which the markers were measured.

The group sample had a significant number of HIV+ patients (31.4%), and it is known that this pathology is directly related to CIN recurrence, mainly when there is a decrease in CD4+, indicating low immunity and a poor control of the disease.<sup>7–9</sup> In the present study, it was observed that HIV+ women had a higher recurrence of CIN than HIV− women (52.9% and 26.1% respectively; p = 0.033). This finding is similar to that of Pantanowitz,<sup>10</sup> who found 50% recurrence rates for high-grade squamous intraepithelial lesion (HSIL) and 75% for low-grade squamous intraepithelial lesion (LSIL) over a 6-month period evaluation. Russomano et al<sup>11</sup> reported similar results, suggesting that CIN recurrence is 42% higher in HIV+ women. Tebeu et al<sup>10</sup> described the same findings in a meta-analysis study that evaluated the number of CIN recurrences in HIV+ women undergoing LEEP with clear surgical margins, in which the recurrence rate was of 20–75%. As in this study, they concluded that the presence of the HIV is a risk factor for CIN recurrence, even in the absence of any other important factors, such as compromised margins.

There was no significant increase of p16 expression in HSIL in women who were HIV+ compared with those who were
HIV-, and that corroborates the findings of Nicol et al., who reported that the co-infection of HPV/HIV may result in alterations in the cervical cytokine profile, including factors such as interleukin-6, resulting in the decreased expression of p16. Although seropositivity for HIV has been proved to be a risk factor for recurrence, the cervical lesions that recurred have not expressed more biomarkers in HIV+ women (p = 0.424), showing that the markers cannot demonstrate if HIV+ women are more prone to recurrence.

Kodampur et al., in a cohort study of 309 women with high-grade CIN who underwent LEEP, confirmed the increased need for further intervention when there was endocervical glandular involvement (p = 0.024), which is similar to our findings. Glandular involvement is closely related to HSIL, and it was positively related to recurrence in the samples (p = 0.019), which corroborates the findings of Güdücü et al., who observed that glandular extension is more present in HG lesions, thus demanding greater care when monitoring these cases.

A relationship was found between the histological risk factors, glandular involvement and compromised margins, to the high grade lesions (p = 0.018 and 0.039 respectively), confirming the severity and greater care that these lesions require. Similar results were found by Kir et al (2012), who suggested a greater attention to the treatment of HG lesions whenever such risk factors were observed.

Jin et al. compared groups with CIN recurrence after LEEP (348 cases and 1,608 controls), and found that glandular involvement and positive surgical margins increased the risk of relapse. The glandular extension has shown to be a

### Table 3 Relationship between risk factors and recurrence of CIN

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>Cases n (%)</th>
<th>Recurrence n (%)</th>
<th>p</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HIV</td>
<td>Negative</td>
<td>59 (68.6)</td>
<td>8 (9.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Positive</td>
<td>27 (31.4)</td>
<td>9 (10.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lesion grade</td>
<td>LG</td>
<td>17 (19.8)</td>
<td>3 (3.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>HG</td>
<td>69 (80.2)</td>
<td>14 (16.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glandular invasion</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>19 (22.1)</td>
<td>8 (9.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>No</td>
<td>64 (74.4)</td>
<td>9 (10.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Positive margins</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>22 (25.5)</td>
<td>8 (13.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>No</td>
<td>36 (41.9)</td>
<td>6 (10.3)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviations: CIN, cervical intraepithelial neoplasia; HIV, human immunodeficiency virus; LG, low-grade; HG, high-grade.

Notes: *OR: 0.31; 95%CI: 0.135–0.937; **OR: 4.44; 95%CI: 1.40–14.06.

### Table 4 Risk factors of CIN recurrence and relationship with biomarkers

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>p16 n (%)</th>
<th>p</th>
<th>Ki-67 n (%)</th>
<th>p</th>
<th>p16/Ki-67 n (%)</th>
<th>p</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Neg.</td>
<td>Pos.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HIV</td>
<td>Neg.</td>
<td>9 (10.5)</td>
<td>50 (58.1)</td>
<td>1.000</td>
<td>3 (3.5)</td>
<td>56 (65.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Pos.</td>
<td>4 (4.7)</td>
<td>23 (26.7)</td>
<td></td>
<td>5 (5.8)</td>
<td>22 (25.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PM</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>2 (3.4)</td>
<td>20 (34.5)</td>
<td>0.697</td>
<td>2 (3.4)</td>
<td>20 (34.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>No</td>
<td>6 (10.3)</td>
<td>30 (51.7)</td>
<td></td>
<td>6 (10.3)</td>
<td>30 (51.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GI</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>1 (1.2)</td>
<td>18 (21.7)</td>
<td>0.280</td>
<td>1 (1.2)</td>
<td>18 (21.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>No</td>
<td>12 (14.5)</td>
<td>52 (62.7)</td>
<td></td>
<td>7 (8.4)</td>
<td>57 (68.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LG</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>6 (7.0)</td>
<td>11 (12.8)</td>
<td>0.018</td>
<td>3 (3.5)</td>
<td>14 (16.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>No</td>
<td>7 (8.1)</td>
<td>62 (72.1)</td>
<td></td>
<td>5 (5.8)</td>
<td>64 (74.5)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviations: CIN, cervical intraepithelial neoplasia; GI, glandular invasion; HG, high-grade; HIV, human immunodeficiency virus; LG, low-grade; Neg., negative; PM, positive margins; Pos., positive.

Note: *OR: 4.713; 95%CI: 1.09–20.23.
primary risk factor; however, compromised margins were not found to be a reliable predictor of recurrence, as opposed to several studies,\textsuperscript{20–22} and this may be because of the less expressive number of positive margin patients included in this study.

The main question of the current research was whether CIN recurrence could or could not be related to p16 and K\textsubscript{67} positive, data still unknown in literature. A study that resembles this was recently published by Fonseca et al.\textsuperscript{6} They evaluated the markers p16 and p53 in 83 conization specimens, analyzing the recurrence predictors of high-grade CIN. They compared the grade of positive markers with relapse, and concluded that they could not foresee the disease’s recurrence after conization. The findings of that paper were supported by the findings of this study, as the presence of p16 and K\textsubscript{67} could not be related to glandular involvement, positive margins or recurrence in the samples, suggesting that the dosage of p16/K\textsubscript{67} cannot be seen as effective in predicting the recurrence of these risk factors. A significant relation was found though, between p16 positive and HG lesions, leading to the conclusion that in HG lesions, changes in the cell cycle stand out, and that the increased expression of p16 reflects the subsequent inhibition of the pRb. This inhibition of the pRb induces cell immortalization and transformation, a main factor in the evolution of cancer lesions. The same was observed by Calil et al.\textsuperscript{23} in a study of 174 biopsies of the cervix. A strong positive correlation between the expression of p16 and the severity of premalignant lesions was found. In contrast, p16 and K\textsubscript{67} (2+ and 3+), analyzed together in HG lesions, were significantly associated with recurrence, suggesting that a strong positive HG lesion protein expression would possibly have higher risks of recurrence and, therefore, more attention should be given to these patients, as opposed to the negative or low expressions (1+), which would be less prone to recurrence.

### Conclusion

The high positivity of p16/K\textsubscript{67} was a predictor of recurrence only in patients with HG lesions, suggesting that patients who fit the profile should be monitored closely. In addition, but independently, the research showed that HIV seropositivity and glandular invasion were recurrence risk factors, and also that compromised margins and glandular involvement are more common in severe lesions.
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