Factor Activity Assays for Monitoring Extended Half-Life FVIII and Factor IX Replacement Therapies
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Abstract

The advent of modified factor VIII (FVIII) and factor IX (FIX) molecules with extended half-lives (EHLs) compared with native FVIII and FIX represents a major advance in the field of hemophilia care, with the potential to reduce the frequency of prophylactic injections and/or to increase the trough level prior to subsequent injections. Monitoring treatment through laboratory assays will be an important part of ensuring patient safety, including any tailoring of prophylaxis. Several approaches have been used to extend half-lives, including PEGylation, and fusion to albumin or immunoglobulin. Some of these modifications affect factor assays as routinely performed in hemophilia centers; so, laboratories will need to use FVIII and FIX assays which have been shown to be suitable on a product-by-product basis. For some products, there are marked differences between results obtained using one-stage or chromogenic assays and results obtained using different reagents in the one-stage assay. The laboratory should use an assay in which the recovery of the product closely aligns with the assay used by the pharmaceutical company to assign potency to the product, so that the units reported by the laboratory agree with those used to demonstrate efficacy of the product during clinical trials. Reported assay differences in relation to several of the EHL FVIII and FIX molecules will be reviewed in this article.
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Hemophilia describes the pathologic conditions where there is a hereditary deficiency of factor (F) VIII or FIX and includes both hemophilia A (FVIII) and hemophilia B (FIX). The diagnosis is typically made using laboratory methods to assess factor activity levels, and confirmed, in some countries, with additional genetic testing. Detailed guidance on the management of these conditions, including diagnosis, has been published by the World Federation of Hemophilia (WFH) in print1 and online.2

Once properly diagnosed, severe hemophilia patients require frequent and constant replacement therapy to avoid clinical and subclinical bleeding. Replacement therapy has substantially evolved over the years. When replacement therapy was first implemented, it was primarily based on treatment with human sources of plasma, either as fresh frozen plasma or cryoprecipitate; this was followed in time by the use of isolated clotting factor concentrates. The unfortunate morbidities associated with this early mode of plasma-based factor replacement were the development of antibodies to transfused factor and inadvertent transmission of viral infections, including hepatitis and, tragically, acquired immunodeficiency syndrome (AIDS).

In an effort to reduce both immune responses and reduce the risk of human plasma exposure, pharmaceutical companies developed more specific replacement therapies. Recombinant factor material became the standard of practice for hemophilia A factor replacement in some countries in the 1990s, and shortly thereafter for hemophilia B patients, and today it is the treatment of choice in many developed countries.3 The frequency of dosing is related to the half-life (clearance) of the...
infused product. Doses required depend on the concentration required to maintain sufficient factor levels to prevent bleeding and are patient dependent. B-domain deleted (BDD) recombinant FVIII was the first modified FVIII product introduced. More recently, efforts have focused on modifications to the factor protein to extend the half-life and thus decrease the frequency of therapy without increasing the risk of bleeding. The new long-acting therapeutic replacement products include modifications to the factor by fusion of Fc region of IgG1 immunoglobulin (-Fc) or albumin, or nonspecific or site-specific attachment of polyethylene glycol (PEG) of different molecular weights

In addition to the initial diagnostic role, the laboratory plays a continuous and important ancillary role for hemophilia patients. To ensure optimal therapy, the laboratory must be able to accurately measure the native plasma and replacement FVIII and FIX activity at trough, peak, or during therapy to confirm that factor levels, achieved during a particular dosing regimen for a specific product, are adequate for each patient.

Factor activity testing can be performed using several different techniques, including the one-stage clotting assay based on activated partial thromboplastin time (APTT), two-stage clotting assay based on thromboplastin generation test, and chromogenic methods. It is clear from several proficiency testing programs such as WFH IEQAS, UK NEQAS, ECAT, RCPAQAP, and CAP that the vast majority of clinical laboratories currently use one-stage clotting factor assays for clinical diagnosis of hemophilia and for monitoring factor replacement therapies. For one-stage APTT-based clotting assays, there are a wide variety of APTT reagents used for FVIII and FIX activity testing, which differ in phospholipid source (synthetic or extract from plant or animal), type, and concentration, as well as activator type (e.g., ellagic acid, celite, kaolin, silica of various types, polyphenols). Factor-depleted plasma used may either be sourced from congenital-deficient hemophilia A or B patients or is more commonly prepared by targeted removal of FVIII or FIX from normal pooled plasma using immunodepletion. Removal of von Willebrand factor (VWF) from FVIII-depleted plasma may be an unwanted side effect of the immunodepletion process. The source of FVIII-deficient plasma used in the FVIII one-stage assay has been shown to influence the FVIII activity results. Additionally, the analyzers used for factor activity testing (i.e., mechanical or optical clot detection) and/or the particular assay protocols and setups used for factor activity testing (single, dual, or hybrid calibration curves with or without extrapolation), as well as the material used for calibration, may influence or limit accurate measurement of factor activity, especially at trough or factor levels below 0.10 IU/mL.

Discrepancies in reported FVIII activity when assayed by one-stage or chromogenic methods in mild hemophilia A patients have been described. Typically, the result obtained from one-stage assays are higher than the chromogenic methods, although the reverse may also occur. Some cases in which the chromogenic result is greater do not have a clinical picture consistent with mild hemophilia and some of these subjects are thought to have a polymorphism rather than a clinically relevant defect. When measuring post-infusion levels, differences between the one-stage clot and chromogenic FVIII activity from patients receiving certain full-length recombinant FVIII products or Refacto, a BDD recombinant FVIII replacement product, have been reported. Other full-length recombinant products can be accurately measured with either chromogenic or one-stage assays. The discrepancy between the one-stage clot and chromogenic FVIII activity assay in postinfusion samples can be mitigated using a specific reference standard, which has been adopted as an approach in the United Kingdom but has not been widely accepted elsewhere. The newer recombinant FVIII (rFVIII) products, which contain modifications to either the b-domain and/or modifications to the actual therapeutic protein (e.g., single chain protein sequence modification, Fc-coupling, PEGylation), have raised awareness about the appropriate method for monitoring patients receiving these agents. The purpose of this article is to review the available assay data for measuring the new generation of extended half-life (EHL) products for FVIII and FIX.

**Hemophilia A rFVIII and Modified Replacement Therapy**

Published information on the behavior of the new generation rFVIII replacement products is limited to selected field studies for some of the products, as well as data presented by the respective product manufacturers at a workshop organized by the European Directorate for the Quality of Medicines and HealthCare and the European Medicines Agency (EMA). A summary of which APTT reagents recover one-stage FVIII activity close to labeled potency is shown in Table 2 for different FVIII concentrates.

A comparative field study on the BDD-deleted rFVIII-Fc fusion protein from Biogen/SOBI (approved in the United States in 2014 under the trade name ELOCTATE and approved in Europe under the trade name ELOCTA) demonstrated on average a 20% higher activity using chromogenic FVIII assays when compared with labeled potency, even though the

---

**Table 1** Characteristics of some extended half-life FVIII and FIX replacement products

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Product</th>
<th>Manufacturer</th>
<th>Property</th>
<th>Mean half-life (h)*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>N8 GP</td>
<td>Novo Nordisk</td>
<td>40 KD peg</td>
<td>19 (age &gt;17)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bay 94–9027</td>
<td>Bayer</td>
<td>60 KD peg</td>
<td>18.2 (age &gt;17)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BAX 855</td>
<td>Baxter/Shire</td>
<td>20 KD peg</td>
<td>14.3 (age 12–65)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rFVIIIc</td>
<td>Biogen/Sobi</td>
<td>Fc fusion</td>
<td>18.8 (age &gt;11)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rFIXFc</td>
<td>Biogen/Sobi</td>
<td>Fc fusion</td>
<td>Study 1: 58 (age &gt;18)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Study 2: 82 (age &gt;11)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N9 GP</td>
<td>Novo Nordisk</td>
<td>40 KD peg</td>
<td>19 (age 12–65)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rFIX-FP</td>
<td>CSL Behring</td>
<td>Albumin fusion</td>
<td>Study 1: 92 (age 12–65)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Study 2: 95 (age 12–65)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Reviewed in Collins et al. 37

---
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potency was assessed using an unspecified chromogenic method. The recoveries for ADVATE, a recombinant factor VIII product, were comparable for one-stage and chromogenic assays, falling within ±20% of target for the levels tested (0.05–0.80 IU/mL), with potency assigned by an unspecified single-stage assay. Comparing chromogenic versus single-stage clotting assays, there was around 25% higher recovery with chromogenic assay assessment of samples containing >0.22 IU/mL rFVIII:Fc. This increased recovery of rFVIII:Fc in the chromogenic assay has also been observed in postinfusion samples of patients treated with rFVIII:Fc. There were no important APTT reagent-dependent differences in recoveries and the authors of the field study concluded that both routine one-stage and chromogenic assays could be used for monitoring without special assay conditions. For ADVATE (full-length BDD rFVIII) and the b-domain truncated rFVIII (N8 or Novo Eight), equivalent factor recovery was reported for the one-stage clot assay using 15 different APTT reagents testing drug-enriched factor VIII–deficient plasma with product concentrations ranging between 0.03 and 0.9 IU/mL. Although there were biases noted for both one-stage clotting assays and chromogenic assays for measuring ADVATE or N8, the authors concluded that these differences “were judged to be without clinical relevance.”

Data from a collaborative FVIII field study reported comparable intra- and inter-assay variability for PEGylated, full-length rFVIII (i.e., BAX 855, approved in the United States in 2015 under the trade name ADYNOVATE) when compared with full-length rFVIII (ADVATE) using both one-stage clot and chromogenic assays. For ADYNOVATE, no significant APTT reagent–dependent recoveries in the one-stage assay was observed, although ellagic acid/phenol type APTT reagents did show a tendency for higher recovery compared with silica and kaolin-type reagents. The ratio of one-stage to chromogenic assay results was similar for ADVATE and ADYNOVATE with ratios of 0.88 and 0.82 (i.e., higher by chromogenic assay) for samples containing 0.8 IU/mL and ratios of 1.44 and 1.25 (i.e., higher by one-stage) for samples with 0.05 IU/mL. It was concluded that plasma levels of ADYNOVATE can be adequately assessed using routine one-stage or chromogenic FVIII activity assays.

Not all one-stage FVIII APTT reagents are suitable to measure glycoPEGylated N8 (N8-GP, Novo Nordisk). N8-GP was accurately measured (i.e., within ±25% from expected) by one-stage assays using Actin FS (Siemens Healthcare Diagnostics, Marburg GmbH) or DG-APTT Synth (Grifols, Barcelona, Spain), but significantly underestimating N8-GP using SynthFax (Instrumentation Laboratory, Bedford) one-stage assay. Likewise, acceptable recovery for N8-GP was demonstrated for Actin FSL (Siemens Healthcare Diagnostics, Marburg GmbH) and STA-PTT A (Diagnostica Stago, Asnieres sur Seine Cedex, France), with STA-APTT A (Diagnostica Stago) one-stage assay significantly under-recovering N8-GP. Conflicting data regarding accurate recovery arose between studies with N8-GP and Pathromtin SL (Siemens Healthcare Diagnostics, Marburg GmbH) and SynthASil (Instrumentation Laboratory, Bedford, MA), as well as some chromogenic methods. Recently, the recovery of N8-GP was evaluated using four different chromogenic FVIII assays, calibrated using normal pooled plasma. Suitable recovery (±30%) for simulated postinfusion samples of N8-GP was demonstrated for three of the four chromogenic assays, that is, Coatest SP (Chromogenix, Instrumentation Laboratory, Bedford), Cosmatic (Chromogenix, Instrumentation Laboratory), and the FVIII chromogenic assay (Siemens Healthcare Diagnostics, Marburg GmbH). In the Biophen FVIII:C (Hyphen BioMed, Neuville-sur-Oise, France), N8-GP demonstrated some nonlinearity, resulting in significant over-recovery of N8-GP at concentrations of 0.2 IU/mL. An international field study for N8-GP has recently been initiated through ECAT and data should be available in the near future.

An in-house study using BAY 94–9027 (Bayer, PEGylated BDD rFVIII) spiked plasma samples ranging between 0.05 and 1.0 IU/mL demonstrated acceptable recovery in the chromogenic assay, and with APTT reagents containing ellagic acid, but not with APTT reagents containing silica (underestimation of factor activity). Not all available reagents incorporating silica as activator were studied. The mechanism for the differences between APTT activators and factor recovery is presently unclear, but it was suggested that the PEG moity of BAY 94–9027 may disturb activation of FIX on the silica surface. Interestingly, silica in some APTT reagents directly activates a PEGylated FIX molecule leading to overestimation of FIX activity in one-stage assays utilizing such reagents as discussed further below.

### Table 2 Assays based on the current available literature are suggested to appropriately recover selected EHL FVIII products

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>N8 GP</th>
<th>Bay 94–9027</th>
<th>rFVIIIFc</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Relevant references</td>
<td>29, 30, 31, 34</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>25, 28, 29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chromogenic FVIII assay</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>One-stage reagents</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>STA-APTT A</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>STA-C. K. Prest</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>?</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Actin FS</td>
<td>?</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Actin FSL</td>
<td>?</td>
<td>?</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pathromtin SL</td>
<td>?</td>
<td>?</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SynthASil</td>
<td>?</td>
<td>?</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SynthFax</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DG Synth</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>?</td>
<td>?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cephascreen</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>APTT Sp</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>?</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes: For one-stage assay results, published data from articles or abstracts in which particular reagents have been fully identified are included. “Yes” means results were within 25–30% of the expected result based on labeled potency. “No” means results were more than 30% different from expected. “?” means authors are unaware of any data at the time of writing this article.

aReagents included in the study of Sommer et al.

bNot all kits have been studied.
Limited data are available on the b-domain truncated single-chain rFVIII (i.e., rVIII-SingleChain) from CSL Behring, approved in 2016 under the trade name AFSTYLA. Heavy and light chains of FVIII are covalently linked in the modification of this rFVIII, which results in an increased affinity of the product to bind VWF. There is some extension of the half-life as compared with ADVATE, although so far there is no full consensus that the degree of half-life prolongation is sufficient to classify this product as an EHL. Preliminary results of an international multicenter field study presented at the ISTH annual meeting in 2015 suggested that unlike chromogenic methods, one-stage assays consistently underestimate AFSTYLA; however, as the underestimation bias in the one-stage assay was consistent and reproducible, the authors suggested that both one-stage and chromogenic FVIII assays can be used to measure post-administration levels of AFSTYLA therapy in plasma, as long as a correction factor for the one-stage is used.\textsuperscript{32} Reference to such a correction factor included in the prescribing information for AFSTYLA in the Warnings and Precautions section includes the following statement:

Monitor plasma Factor FVIII activity in patients receiving AFSTYLA using either the chromogenic assay or the one-stage clotting assay, which is routinely used in US clinical laboratories. The chromogenic assay result most accurately reflects the clinical hemostatic potential of AFSTYLA and is preferred. The one-stage clotting assay result underestimates the factor VIII activity level compared to the chromogenic assay result by approximately one-half. If the one-stage clotting assay is used multiply the result by a conversion factor of 2 to determine the patient’s FVIII activity level. Incorrect interpretation of the FVIII activity obtained by the one-stage assay could lead to unnecessary additional dosing, higher chronic dosing, or investigations for an inhibitor.

The inclusion of such statements in the prescribing information underlines the potential impact of assay differences on patient management with an adverse effect if these assay effects are not taken into account.

We are unaware of any data confirming that such correction factors remain constant over subsequent lot numbers of reagents used in FVIII assays, which would be needed to show that the bias between one-stage and chromogenic assays is consistent. Since it is known that different lot numbers of APTT reagents may not be identical,\textsuperscript{35} it remains to be demonstrated that this approach is safe enough for routine use in relation to FVIII assays. One expert group has recently recommended against the use of correction factors in determining accurate plasma concentration\textsuperscript{39}; so, with this product the authors prefer using a chromogenic assay over one-stage assay followed by adjustment/correction for monitoring this product.

Hemophilia B rFIX and Modified Replacement Therapy

There is some evidence that differences in the APTT one-stage assay may influence the diagnosis of mild hemophilia B.\textsuperscript{40} Higher FIX activity in mild type 2 (discordant FIX activity to FIX antigen measurements) patients with hemophilia B occurred for one-stage assays performed using Actin FS (Siemens Healthcare Diagnostics, Marburg GmbH) compared with FIX activity determined using STA-PTT A or STA-CK PREST (both Diagnostica Stago). As not all clinical or field studies incorporated every commercially available one-stage APTT reagents used for FIX activity testing, one should not imply that reagents using the same activator (e.g., ellagic acid, kaolin, silica) would perform similarly to those published (–Table 3).

Ideally, similar to FVIII products, post treatment monitoring should be performed using factor assays that recover FIX activity of a particular product similar to the method used for potency determination. Currently, licensed plasma derived, full-length recombinant FIX concentrates (i.e., BeneFIX, RIXUBIS), glycoPEGylated products (i.e., N9-GP), and those products fused with albumin (i.e., IDELVION) or Fc domain of IgG1 (i.e., ALPROLIX) all have potencies labeled using the one-stage assay.\textsuperscript{3} There have, however, been reported discrepancies between chromogenic and one-stage FIX assays in samples containing standard rFIX.\textsuperscript{41} In a study of patients receiving two different rFIX therapies, the chromogenic FIX results were approximately 70% of the value of one-stage APTT FIX activity results when the two rFIX concentrates were assayed.\textsuperscript{42}

Table 3 Assays that can be safely used to monitor selected EHL FIX products

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reagent name</th>
<th>N9 GP</th>
<th>rFIXFc</th>
<th>FIX-Albumin</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Relevant references</td>
<td>36, 43, 44, 45, 46</td>
<td>46, 47</td>
<td>49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chromogenic FIX assay</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>One-stage reagents</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>STA-PTT A</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>STA-CK Prest</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Actin</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>?</td>
<td>?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Actin FS</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Actin FSL</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pathromtin SL</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SynthA Sil</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SynthAFax</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>?</td>
<td>?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DG Synth</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>?</td>
<td>?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cephascreen</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>APTT-SP</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>?</td>
<td>?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Auto APTT</td>
<td>?</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Platelin L</td>
<td>?</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DAPTIN</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>?</td>
<td>?</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes: For one-stage assay results, published data from articles or abstracts in which particular reagents have been fully identified are included. “Yes” means results were within 25–30% of the expected result based on labeled potency. “No” means results were more than 30% different from expected. “?” means authors are unaware of any data at the time of writing this article.
Furthermore, the prescribing information for RIXUBIS indicates that one-stage APTT FIX assay results can be affected by the type of APTT reagent used, with up to 40% differences between reagents noted.

In a recent publication assessing glycoPEGylated rFIX (N9-GP, Novo Nordisk), acceptable recovery in the one-stage assay was demonstrated for enriched samples using SynthAFax (Werfen, Barcelona, Spain) or DG Synth (Grifols, Barcelona, Spain), with significant under-recovery in assays using Actin, Actin FS, Actin FSL (Siemens Healthcare Diagnostics, Marburg GmbH) and SynthASil (Instrumentation Laboratory), and significant over-recovery in assays using Pathromtin SL (Siemens Healthcare Diagnostics, Marburg GmbH) or APTT-SP (Werfen, Barcelona, Spain). In some case, the measured activity was 20 to 30 times higher than labeled potency which would result in the potential for very marked under-dosing of patients as a consequence. One-stage assays using DAPTIN,44 SynthAFax,44 or Cephascreen,44,45 as well as both Rosssx and Hyphen chromogenic assays45,46 were shown to have acceptable recoveries for this product. It has now been shown that the significant overestimation of N9GP using Pathromtin SL and APTT-SP APTT reagent is related to a silica-mediated premature activation of PEGylated FIX which leads to massive activated FIX (FIXa) generation during the contact activation phase of the one-stage FIX assay (prior to the recalci\multidots). This direct activation does not occur with at least one other silica-based APTT reagent (SynthASil), suggesting that the type of silica is relevant and other silica-based reagents should be studied individually. Based on these data, only chromogenic FIX methods or selected one-stage APTT assays (i.e., SynthAFax, DAPTIN, cephascreen, and DG Synth) would be acceptable for monitoring patients receiving N9GP.

A recent field study assessing FIX activity in patients receiving rFIX-Fc (Biogen, factor IX fused with Fc fragment, approved in the United States in 2014 under the trade name ALPROLIX) indicated that activity is dependent on the APTT reagent used for assay.44 One-stage assays using ellagic acid showed a tendency to overestimate factor activity, whereas silica-based reagents recovered rFIX-Fc within acceptable limits.47 The tendency to overestimate FIX-Fc activity when using ellagic acid–based APTT reagents should not have a significant clinical impact (e.g., dose adjustment), and ellagic acid–based reagents would be suitable for monitoring patients receiving ALPROLIX infusions. It should be noted that one-stage assays using kaolin activators (STA-CK PREST, Diagnostica Stago) underestimate the FIX activity of FIX-Fc by approximately 30 to 50%.48 Use of this reagent in one-stage FIX assays is not optimal for monitoring rFIXFc, and alternative methods should be employed at institutions that may treat patients with this product.

A study in patients receiving rFIX fused with albumin (CSL Behring, approved in the United States in 2016 under the trade name IDELVION), FIX activity measured with the one-stage assay using Pathromtin SL (Siemens Healthcare Diagnostics, Marburg GmbH) reagent, demonstrated equivalent results compared with FIX antigen levels across a range of concentrations.48 A recent poster presentation including data on both spiked and clinical trial (postinfusion) samples49 showed that one-stage FIX assays performed with Actin FS or a kaolin-activated reagent (CK PREST, Diagnostica Stago) underestimated FIX potency by approximately 50%. Assays with a range of other APTT reagents recovered close to the expected value and were therefore suitable for use in one-stage assays. No data on chromogenic FIX assays were included. More data are required using other one-stage and chromogenic FIX methods to further determine the optimal method(s) for measuring FIX concentration for this product.

There are several barriers which have restricted the introduction of chromogenic FVIII and FIX assays into routine laboratory use, including the perception of test complexity and increased costs compared with one-stage assays. In fact, chromogenic FIX and FVIII assays can be automated using the same analyzers as those currently used for one-stage assays, although available instrument applications for these assays are still limited and have not yet been optimized for most available instrument platforms. The implication is that institutions employing these methods will need to ensure the assay's performance using external quality assurance programs, and if available well-characterized plasma samples, prior to reporting patient results. More validated chromogenic assay applications are needed and some efforts are underway.44 Lack of approved applications has been an important obstacle in North America, where experts have recommended that laboratories routinely monitoring hemophilia patients strongly consider addition of chromogenic FVIII assays and FIX assays when these assays are approved by the Food and Drug Administration.50 With respect to costs it has been theorized that with optimized use of reagents, chromogenic FVIII assays may be less expensive than one-stage methods, and that the cost differential for FIX can be small.51 However, suboptimal reporting of factor activity in patients receiving these products may have increased institutional costs due to increased drug utilization (if underestimating factor activity) or patient morbidity (if overestimating factor activity) secondary to bleeding.

**Conclusion**

New factor replacement products with EHLs present opportunities for improvements in patient care but have created challenges for laboratories that traditionally use one-stage APTT factor assays to assess therapy. With the new products, assay methodology, and type of reagent, many cause clinically significant over- or underestimation of plasma concentration with the potential to adversely affect patient management. Understanding and taking into account the assay issues reviewed in this article is an important component of the safe and effective introduction of EHL concentrates into routine patient management around the world.

The commonly used laboratory methods for measuring FVIII or FIX activity may not be optimal for some modified rFVIII or rFIX products. The most consistent laboratory methods that can adequately measure modified rFVIII products are based on chromogenic principles. Further investigation into calibration practice is required, but a single plasma calibrator source may not be optimal for all products. Any laboratory that measures factor activity in hemophilia
patients should have knowledge about (1) which replacement factor the patient is receiving and (2) whether their current method(s) for determining factor activity provides an accurate (within 25% of target) measurement of that particular replacement therapy. For those laboratories that do not have the optimal measuring techniques, the treating clinician must be informed about the potential biases (over- or underestimating factor activity) and an alternative means of measuring accurate concentration provided.
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