Novel Operation of Snapping Biceps Femoris Tendon
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Abstract
Snapping biceps femoris tendon (BFT) over the fibular head is an uncommon cause of lateral knee pain. This study reports a case of nontraumatic painful snapping of the BFT due to a prominent fibular head that was persistent intraoperatively after fibular head resection. We describe a novel surgical technique of release of the anterior arm of the biceps tendon followed by soft tissue tenodesis to the popliteofibular ligament, as opposed to the remaining fibular head, to prevent iatrogenic fracture.
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Case Report
A 42-year-old man presented to the clinic with a 1-year history of symptomatic pain and snapping localized to the lateral aspect of his left knee. He denied any history of trauma or previous knee injury. The pain and snapping were most notable with such activities as bicycling, weightlifting, and even operating the manual transmission of his car.

On physical examination, the patient was noted to have full range of motion, no joint line tenderness, and a stable ligamentous examination. He had a visible, palpable, and
audible subluxation of the BFT over the fibular head when extending from 120 to 100 degrees flexion. This subluxation was exacerbated by internal rotation of the tibia and relieved by manual compression of the posterolateral thigh. Tenderness occurred to palpation over the fibular head, as well as slightly proximal along the biceps femoris. The contralateral knee was asymptomatic and without any similar physical examination findings. Anteroposterior and lateral radiographs were notable for a slightly prominent fibular head (Fig. 1A). Ultrasonography and magnetic resonance imaging were negative for a bony pathologic finding, bursitis, or soft tissue inflammation.

The patient had previously undergone 9 months of conservative therapy that included ice, activity modification, anti-inflammatory medications, physical therapy, and one corticosteroid injection, which failed to provide adequate relief. Furthermore, because he was having pain and snapping during high-impact activities, he elected to proceed with surgical intervention.

The patient was placed supine on the operating table with a bump under the operative hip. Examination under anesthesia revealed obvious snapping of the BFT as the knee was taken from 120 degrees flexion to extension. A diagnostic arthroscopy, performed to rule out an intra-articular pathologic cause, was negative. Next, a 7-cm curvilinear incision was made anterior to the fibula, extending proximally to the lateral femoral condyle (Fig. 2A). Blunt dissection was carried down to the iliotibial band, and the fascia was incised immediately posterior to the iliotibial band (Fig. 2B). The peroneal nerve was palpated at the level of the fibular neck and dissected at this level to identify it. This allowed for visualization of the peroneal nerve for safety where the work was being done at the level of the fibular head. Since this was adequate to identify and protect the nerve, we did not perform an extensive dissection and mobilization of the nerve. The fibular head appeared to be hypertrophic at both the lateral edge and the styloid process. The anterior arm of the long head of the biceps femoris insertion was thickened, and

**Fig. 1** (A) Preoperative posteroanterior and lateral radiograph of patient with symptomatic snapping biceps femoris tendon. (B) Postoperative anteroposterior and lateral radiograph of patient with symptomatic snapping biceps femoris tendon after minimal resection of femoral head.
the bicipital bursa was inflamed. No snapping was apparent with tourniquet insufflation; therefore, the tourniquet was released and the snapping became obvious again. The thickened anterior arm of the long head of the BFT was snapping over the hypertrophic fibular head.

The prominent areas of the fibular head were resected using a high-speed burr (►Fig. 2C). This was a minimal resected as to not disrupt the biceps and LCL attachments onto the fibular head (►Fig. 1B). A finger was kept on the identified peroneal nerve inferior to the burr to prevent it from injury. The anterior arm was partially released, and the inflamed bursa was identified and excised between the anterior arm and the LCL. At this time, the knee was again taken through a range of motion; we noted that the anterior arm continued to snap over the remaining fibular head. Given the previous resection of the hypertrophic fibular head and concern for possible iatrogenic fibular head fracture if additional drill holes or suture anchors were placed in the bone, we decided to perform a tenodesis of the anterior arm of the BFT long head to the PFL. Since the anterior arm of the BFT long head is anterior and superficial to the PFL, this was rotated 180 degrees posteriorly to lie on the PFL. This was then tenodesed using 0-suture (FiberWire; Arthrex, Inc) in a figure-of-eight manner (►Figs. 2D, –3A, B). Snapping was no longer evident through a full range of motion.

Postoperatively, the patient was allowed full range of motion in a hinged knee brace and weightbearing as tolerated. At his 1-week follow-up, the patient reported resolution of his symptoms. He progressed through an accelerated rehabilitation program, with return to full activities by 12 weeks. At the last follow-up via phone communication 24 months postoperatively, the patient was back to his desired level of competition five times a week, including running, biking, swimming, and lifting weights with no limitations. He denied any knee pain, swelling, or residual biceps snapping.

**Discussion**

To our knowledge, only 14 previous case reports of a symptomatic snapping tendon of the biceps femoris have been reported in the literature to date. ►Table 1 summarizes all case reports found in our literature review and the present case. Thirteen male and 2 female patients have been reported (mean age, 27 years; range, 15–49 years). Among cases, 13 (87%) reported that the symptoms of a snapping biceps femoris began without significant trauma. In addition, eight case reports (53%) noted findings of asymptomatic snapping in the contralateral knee. ►Table 2 describes common causes for a snapping BFT, as reported in the literature and the present case. Of these 15 cases, 6 (40%) were noted to have occurred because of an anomalous tendon insertion. Treatment options for a snapping BFT include partial fibular head resection, partial release of an offending biceps tendon, and anatomic reductions of anomalous tendon insertions and tears.
The biceps femoris has been well studied and acts as both a static and a dynamic stabilizer to the lateral knee by functioning as knee flexor and external rotator. Marshall et al\(^4\) noted the superficial expansion (anterior arm) of the superficial biceps tendon to specifically be a strong and important flexor lever and a major force responsible for external rotation. They further described the importance of the superficial expansion with regard to lateral stability, noting that while the LCL was taut at 10 to 30 degrees, the anterior arm was taut with knee flexion at greater than 30 degrees. The understanding that this anterior arm with tibial attachment is important for lateral stability highlights the importance of this structure when it is released in the case of a snapping BFT.

In the present case, a dilemma arose when residual snapping occurred despite resection of a prominent fibular head. If the snapping had subsided, no additional procedure would have been warranted. However, given the persistent snapping, we proceeded with release of the anterior arm. In theory, this release procedure could weaken the stabilizing effect of the biceps femoris on the lateral knee. We could have proceeded with bony tunnels or anchors to reroute the tendon back to the fibula, as described previously, but concern for possible iatrogenic fracture of the fibular head negated this option, due to the previous partial fibular head resection.

The PFL has been described as an important component of the posterolateral corner of the knee. Shahane et al\(^6\) concluded that the PFL has both static and dynamic functions in providing important posterolateral stability by preventing posterior translation, varus angulation, and external rotation. Furthermore, the anatomic insertion posterior on the fibula helps maintain the flexor lever arm of the biceps femoris, which Brunet et al\(^7\) highlighted as problematic for superficial transfer of the BFT in the clinical setting of anterolateral

**Table 1** Reported cases of snapping biceps femoris tendon and described surgical management

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Case report</th>
<th>Age, y/sex</th>
<th>Trauma</th>
<th>Contralateral snapping</th>
<th>Operative finding</th>
<th>Treatment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Kristensen et al (1989)(^1)</td>
<td>20/M</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Anomalous anterior insertion on proximal tibia</td>
<td>Partial fibular head resection</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lokiec et al (1992)(^2)</td>
<td>23/M</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Anomalous anterior insertion on fibula</td>
<td>Rerouted anterior one-half of tendon posteriorly with sutures</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hernandez et al (1996)(^3)</td>
<td>16/M</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Anomalous anterior insertion on proximal tibia</td>
<td>Rerouted tendon through tunnel in fibular head</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kissenberth and Wilckens (2000)(^4)</td>
<td>20/M</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Distal bifurcation of long head tendon anterior and direct arms</td>
<td>Rerouted anterior one-half of tendon posteriorly with suture anchors</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bach and Minihane (2001)(^5)</td>
<td>24/M</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Prominent fibular head with normal tendon insertion</td>
<td>Partial fibular head resection</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
rotary instability. Therefore, tenodesis of the anterior arm posteriorly to the PFL appears a viable option when fibular head resection is substantial with persistent snapping and, at the same time, it would reinforce an important component of the posterolateral corner of the knee.

Various treatment options have been described for a painful snapping BFT, including partial fibular head resection, partial release of an offending biceps tendon, and anatomic reductions of anomalous tendon insertions and tears. We have described a novel technique of fibular head resection and partial resection of the biceps femoris insertion with tenodesis to the PFL. This surgical approach is viable when the surgeon gives anatomic consideration to the critical stabilizing structures of the posterolateral corner of the knee, in addition to avoiding the potential catastrophic complication of iatrogenic fibular head fracture.

Table 2 Etiologic factors of snapping biceps femoris tendon by case reports

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Etiologic factor</th>
<th>Cases reported, No. (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Anomalous tendon insertion</td>
<td>6 (40)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tendon tear at insertion</td>
<td>4 (27)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fibular head prominence</td>
<td>3 (20)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No anatomic abnormality</td>
<td>2 (13)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviations: F, female; M, male.
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