

Letter to the Editor

# Innovation in Hemophilia Therapies — “And Miles to Go, before [We] Sleep”

Albert Farrugia, PhD<sup>1,2</sup>

<sup>1</sup> School of Surgery, QEII Medical Centre, The University of Western Australia, Western Australia, Australia

<sup>2</sup> Kedrion S.p.A, Via del Ciocco, Castelvecchio Pascoli, Lucca, Italy

Semin Thromb Hemost 2017;43:105–106.

Address for correspondence Albert Farrugia, PhD, School of Surgery (QEII Medical Centre), The University of Western Australia (M509), 35 Stirling Highway, Crawley, WA 6009, Australia (e-mail: albert.farrugia@uwa.edu.au).

In a recent issue of this journal, several authors reviewed and discussed aspects of the current therapeutic era in hemophilia.<sup>1–3</sup> As the authors describe, this era is characterized by innovation and rapid change, such that technologies not approved or not yet fully embedded in the market may experience redundancy through competitors lagging only slightly behind each other in the development process.<sup>1</sup> This situation is to be welcomed, following previous eras of limited choice among sometimes unsafe products. However, as several of the authors highlight, “*economic issues must be considered*,”<sup>2</sup> and the path for transitioning these therapies to full market access remains uncertain. While the putative benefits of features such as extended half-lives are vigorously marketed to patients,<sup>4</sup> the personalized dosage regimens exemplified by Berntorp and Andersson<sup>2</sup> will require careful patient conversions, including extensive pharmacokinetic alignment, and consequent additional costs. In their recent thoughtful editorial, Croteau and Neufeld<sup>5</sup> propose that reduction and prevention of bleeding events is more important than the decreased infusion frequency, which is the intuitive and touted benefit of extended half-life products. It is likely that this principle will be increasingly embraced by the majority of funders. In this context, Berntorp and Andersson’s hope that “*the goal to reach a zero A[nnualized] B[leeding] R[ate] ...is feasible*” needs to be tempered with the recognition that none of the “innovative” factor concentrates have demonstrated a superior annualized bleed rate (ABR) when compared with standard products.<sup>6</sup> Given the commitment of health technology assessors to focusing on patient-related outcomes, such as bleeding, when formulating advice for funders, it may be anticipated that reimbursement for therapies proposed, primarily as “more convenient,” may be challenging. It should be noted that one major health technology assessment body has already determined that such considerations do not merit enhanced reimbursement relative to standard hemophilia therapies.<sup>7</sup>

Clearly, the major determinant in shaping the uptake of the new therapies will be the price of the product, at least until protocol development, based on patient-related outcomes, is optimized. In this optimization effort, treaters and other participants need to match the innovation offered by the new concentrates with equally innovative approaches to their use. This may include protocols transitioning patients using a multiplicity of products, as proposed by Croteau and Neufeld.<sup>5</sup> Such an approach will become increasingly relevant in the integration of gene therapy<sup>1</sup> and the pharmacological therapies reviewed by Mannucci et al,<sup>3</sup> none of which promise to obviate entirely the need for factor substitution therapy. Optimization of treatment may allow a more comprehensive assessment of the true costs, especially if bleeding and its sequelae is diminished, irrespective of the infusion frequency. In the interim, the plethora of new products on the market is resulting in a welcome level of competition, which is driving down the price of factor products, particularly of standard products and in countries with centralized purchasing and tender systems.<sup>8</sup> While the United States continues to be relatively resistant to these developments, stakeholders in the therapeutic landscape should ponder on the risks inherent in the uncontrolled escalation of hemophilia costs in the foremost global market under the banner of “innovation.” Uptake of the new products in the United States has been modest thus far,<sup>9</sup> suggesting the hemophilia dollar is stretched even further in the United States. Overall, the developments described in the aforementioned issue of *Seminars in Thrombosis and Hemostasis*<sup>10</sup> continue to augment the progress of past decades in hemophilia therapeutics, but we have “miles to go, before we sleep.”

## Conflict of Interest

The author provides compensated services for companies producing therapies mentioned in this communication.

published online  
December 15, 2016

Issue Theme Editorial Compilation III;  
Guest Editors: Emmanuel J. Falaloro,  
PhD, FFSc (RCPA), and Giuseppe  
Lippi, MD.

Copyright © 2017 by Thieme Medical  
Publishers, Inc., 333 Seventh Avenue,  
New York, NY 10001, USA.  
Tel: +1(212) 584-4662.

DOI <http://dx.doi.org/10.1055/s-0036-1597280>.  
ISSN 0094-6176.

## References

- 1 Giangrande P. The future of hemophilia treatment: longer-acting factor concentrates versus gene therapy. *Semin Thromb Hemost* 2016;42(5):513–517
- 2 Berntorp E, Andersson NG. Prophylaxis for hemophilia in the era of extended half-life factor VIII/factor IX products. *Semin Thromb Hemost* 2016;42(5):518–525
- 3 Mannucci PM, Mancuso ME, Santagostino E, Franchini M. Innovative pharmacological therapies for the hemophilias not based on deficient factor replacement. *Semin Thromb Hemost* 2016;42(5):526–532
- 4 Kucab P, Stepanyan KD, Fugh-Berman A. Direct-to-consumer marketing to people with hemophilia. *PLoS Med* 2016;13(6):e1001996
- 5 Croteau SE, Neufeld EJ. Transition considerations for extended half-life factor products. *Haemophilia* 2015;21(3):285–288
- 6 Pasi J. A Subcutaneously Administered Investigational RNAi Therapeutic (Fitusiran) Targeting Antithrombin for Treatment of Hemophilia: Interim Weekly and Monthly Dosing Results in Patients with Hemophilia A or B [Internet]. World Federation of Hemophilia Congress; 2016 Jul 25 [cited 2016 Aug 25]; Orlando, FL. Available from: [http://www.alnylam.com/web/assets/WFH-2016\\_Fitusiran\\_Ph-1\\_072516\\_2.pdf](http://www.alnylam.com/web/assets/WFH-2016_Fitusiran_Ph-1_072516_2.pdf). Accessed September 6, 2016
- 7 German Federal Joint Committee. Gemeinsamer Bundesausschuss. Beschluss des Gemeinsamen Bundesausschusses über eine Änderung der Arzneimittel-Richtlinie (AM-RL): Anlage XII – Beschlüsse über die Nutzenbewertung von Arzneimitteln mit neuen Wirkstoffen nach § 35a SGB V – Turoctocog alfa (Decision [Federal Joint Committee] of the Federal Joint Committee amending the Prescription Drug Guidelines (AM-RL): Appendix XII – Decisions on the benefit assessment of drugs with new active substances in accordance with § 35a SGB V [Social Security Code V] – Turoctocog Alfa) [Internet]. German Federal Joint Committee; 2014 Jun [cited 2016 Aug 25] p. 9. Report No.: BAnz AT 06.08.2014 B2. Available from: [https://www.g-ba.de/downloads/39-261-2026/2014-07-03\\_AM-RL-XII\\_Turoctocog%20alfa\\_2014-01-15-D-092\\_BAnz.pdf](https://www.g-ba.de/downloads/39-261-2026/2014-07-03_AM-RL-XII_Turoctocog%20alfa_2014-01-15-D-092_BAnz.pdf). Accessed September 6, 2016
- 8 O'Mahony B, Noone D, Prihodova L. Survey of coagulation factor concentrates tender and procurement procedures in 38 European Countries. *Haemophilia* 2015;21(4):436–443
- 9 Market Research Bureau. Survey on Hemophilia Care & Price Monitoring United States [Internet]. Market Research Bureau; 2016 Jun [cited 2016 Aug 25] p. 182. Available from: <http://marketingresearchbureau.com/list-of-reports/hemophilia-care-price-monitoring-wave-21-2/>. Accessed September 6, 2016
- 10 Coppola A, Tagliaferri A, Franchini M. Controversies in inherited bleeding disorders. *Semin Thromb Hemost* 2016;42(5):459–462