Full-Videoendoscopic Interlaminar Surgery for the Treatment of Lumbar Disc Herniations—A Series of 50 Cases
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Abstract

The present study aims to describe the results of full-videoendoscopic surgery through the interlaminar route for central lumbar disc herniation in a series of 50 cases in Brazil. This is a retrospective single-center study. With the aim of describing safety, the present study reports the complication and revision rates. The clinical results were collected with the visual analogue scale (VAS) and with the Oswestry Disability Index (ODI) (a questionnaire to evaluate functional disability) at the preoperative visit and at 6 months postsurgery.

The average surgical time was 20 minutes (range: 9–40 minutes), and 100% of the procedures were performed in an outpatient setting. The mean VAS scores improved from 9.4 to 1.1 (p < 0.001), and the mean ODI scores decreased from 69 to 9 points in the last follow-up (p < 0.001). There was 1 case (2%) with hernia recurrence, 1 case with intraoperative root injury (2%), and 2 cases (4%) that required lumbar fusion due to a preexisting instability. No infections were observed.

The full-videoendoscopic surgery is a modern option for treating lumbar disc herniation. In the present study, we have observed that the use of this technique for the removal of fragments affecting the vertebral canal presented satisfactory clinical results, low complication rates, and that it has demonstrated its feasibility in an outpatient setting without prolonged hospitalization.
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Resumo

O objetivo do presente estudo é descrever os resultados do uso da cirurgia totalmente videoendoscópica para a retirada de hérnias centrais, utilizando a rota interlaminar em uma série de 50 casos no Brasil. Estudo não comparativo de série de casos com análise retrospectiva de dados coletados prospectivamente em um único centro. Com o intuito de descrever a segurança, foram relatadas as complicações e também as reoperações e recidivas.
Introduction

Open discectomy procedures evolved into percutaneous microdiscectomies since the adoption of microscopy, described by Yasargil in 1967,1 and into other variations described by Hijikata et al in 19752 and by Caspar in 1977.3 Since then, less invasive variations in spine surgical techniques have been implemented to decrease perioperative morbidity and postoperative infections, as well as to prevent damage to adjacent tissues. In addition, these strategies have direct and measurable effects on perioperative outcomes, on mobilization, on hospitalization, on return to activities and, finally, on societal costs.4,5

Today, less invasive, endoscopic procedures are performed in central6,7 and in peripheral neurosurgery.8 Moreover, these techniques are becoming well-established in lumbar disc herniation surgery. In cases presenting with disc fragments affecting the foramen or the lateral recess, the posterolateral endoscopic procedure9,10 is performed by the transforaminal route through the Kambin triangle, or by the extraforaminal route. Cases with intracanal fragments are more challenging, and these routes are not used in them. Thus, the interlaminar route employed in full-videoendoscopic surgery has been recently described and has been used for disc herniations occupying the area of the vertebral canal.11-13

The present study aims to describe the results of the full-videoendoscopic surgery in the removal of central hernias using the interlaminar route in a Brazilian case series of 50 cases.

Materials and Methods

This is a non-comparative study of a case series with retrospective analysis of data prospectively collected in a single center from March 2014 to March 2016. The present study was analyzed and approved by the ethics committee of the institution in which it was conducted.

Inclusion/Exclusion Criteria

The inclusion criteria for the present study were: cases with central or centralateral lumbar disc herniation diagnosed by magnetic resonance imaging (MRI); lack of clinical success after between 3 and 6 weeks of conservative treatment or cases evolving with acute neurological deficit; cases with symptomatology of unilateral radiculopathy; cases submitted to interlaminar, full-videoendoscopic surgery; minimum surgical follow-up of 6 months. The exclusion criteria were: cases with herniated lumbar disc in the foraminal or in the extraforaminal regions; cases treated endoscopically by the transfomarinal or by the extreme lateral route; isolated lumbar pain; vertebral canal stenosis; presence of a facet cyst; discitis; cauda equina syndrome.

Surgical Technique

All of the surgical procedures were performed by the same neurosurgeon. The surgical procedure is illustrated in -Fig. 1, and the assembly of the instrumentation table is shown in -Fig. 2. The procedures were performed under general anesthesia. The surgical target in the present study was the posterior portion of the intervertebral disc through the interlaminar window (Fig. 1A-D). The interlaminar videoendoscopic technique11-13 is performed with a paramedian skin incision close to the median line, which is previously marked by fluoroscopy; then, a 6.9 mm diameter dilator is inserted toward the supraligamental interlaminar window (Fig. 1E-F). After the insertion of the dilator, a 7.9 mm diameter working channel is placed and its bevel is directed to the ligamentum flavum; this procedure is aided by fluoroscopy (Fig. 1G). The positioning of the endoscope through the working channel (Fig. 1H) allows the visualization of the adjacent structures; the ligamentum flavum is opened with scissors, using the beveled work channel to retract the adjacent neural structures under direct endoscopic vision. The endoscopic view with 6.9 × 5.6 mm optics, 165 mm length and 25° angulation (Fig. 1I) allows the
detailed observation of the structural intimate relationship (between the herniated fragment, the bulgings, the root of the nerve, and of the joint and the lamina of the facet). The use of appropriate materials, such as dissector, disc clamp, punch, and radiofrequency bipolar cautery, allows the performance of the procedure with greater safety. The intervertebral disc herniated fragment(s) is (are) removed (►Fig. 1J), and the procedure is finalized with rigorous hemostasis, local steroid placement, and skin suture using nylon 3.0 (►Fig. 1L). In order to avoid infections, the following protocol is used: cefuroxime 2 g intravenously during the anesthetic induction and cephalaxin at a therapeutic dose for 7 days postoperatively.

The surgery described above is planned with a day hospital protocol, without the need for an overnight stay. In this protocol, the patient is admitted 3 hours before the
procedure and is discharged after 3 hours of postanesthetic observation, on the same day of the procedure.

**Analyzed Outcomes**

Data were collected before the surgery, intraoperatively, and 6 months after the procedure. Intraoperative and postoperative complications, as well as revision surgeries, were recorded.

The following instruments were used to analyze the clinical improvement after the surgery: the visual analogue scale (VAS) for lumbar and irradiated pain (for pain assessment) and the Oswestry Disability Index (ODI) (for functional disability assessment). The patients completed these questionnaires before the procedure and 6 months postsurgery.

**Statistical Analysis**

The data were submitted to a qualitative and quantitative descriptive analysis and to a comparative statistical analysis. The statistical tests were performed with SPSS Statistics for Windows, Version 10.0 (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA) using \( \alpha \) values of 0.05. The two-tailed paired Student \( t \)-test was performed.

**Results**

**Study Group**

From a total of 123 patients submitted to videoendoscopic surgery at the spinal surgery center, 73 were cases treated through the posterolateral or the extraforaminal routes. The present study analyzed 50 cases that met the inclusion and exclusion criteria. Table 1 shows the demographic data of the group. From the 50 selected patients, 20 (40%) were female, and 30 (60%) were male, with ages ranging from 19 to 69 years old, with a mean age of 32.4 years old. Regarding lumbar disc involvement, 1 (2%) was at the L3-L4 lumbar level; 23 cases (46%), L4-L5; and 26 (52%), L5-S1. The clinical picture lasted from 2 days to 13 months.

**Surgical Results**

Surgery data are shown in Table 2. The mean time for the surgical procedure was 20 minutes (9–40 minutes). There was no significant blood loss in any case, and, thus, there was no need for blood transfusion. There was no inadvertent durotomy during the analyzed procedures. With a single suture at the surgical incisions, no overnight hospitalization was required, and the median hospital stay was 3 hours.

**Clinical Improvement**

Pain and physical disability levels, determined respectively by the VAS and ODI scales, were compared before and after the surgery (6 months after the procedure). In the pain scale, an improvement of 88% was observed at 6 months, with a statistically significant reduction from the original average score of 9.4 to 1.1 \( (p < 0.001) \) (Fig. 3). Physical disability...
showed an 87% decrease, from 69 to 9 points in the final follow-up ($p < 0.001$) (►Fig. 4). An exemplificative case is shown in ►Fig. 5.

Complications and Reoperations
Complication and reoperation data are compiled in ►Table 2. One recurrence case occurred in this period (2%). Another case (2%) presented an intraoperative L5 root lesion. Lumbar arthrodesis was required in 2 cases (4%; 1 case at the L4-L5 lumbar level, and 1 case at the L5-S1 lumbar level) 6 months postvideendoscopy, due to a previous instability with discal decay and, consequently, to a foraminal stenosis with sciatica. There were no cases of postoperative deep or superficial infection.

Discussion
Lumbar disc herniation generating pain that irradiates to the lower limbs and is refractory to conservative treatment requires removal by the decompression of the neural structures, either of the isolated nerve root or of the dural sac.\textsuperscript{16} Disc herniation surgery evolved from open discectomy procedures to microdiscectomy, and then to videendoscopic discectomy. This evolution aims to reduce the surgical aggression to the surrounding tissues, but without altering the surgical objective, which is the release of nervous compression. When the resection of the surrounding structures is avoided or decreased, the less traumatic disc removal can reduce the collateral damage caused by the surgery.\textsuperscript{17–19}

The full-videendoscopic surgery is performed by a single portal, using an endoscope with an intraendoscopic working channel.\textsuperscript{12} The technique has been successfully used, achieving the same goals of microdiscectomy, but also reducing perioperative local pain and surgical complications.\textsuperscript{20–23} The transforaminal or the posterolateral routes are widely employed, but they present technical limitations, such as in cases with fragments located in the vertebral canal.\textsuperscript{24} Thus, the interlaminar technique was developed to allow the removal of hernias that are not accessible through the transforaminal technique.\textsuperscript{11,12} The present study is, in the knowledge of the authors, the first work in Portuguese to describe the results of this surgical option.

The final clinical results of the full-videendoscopic procedure and of the surgical microdiscectomy have been very similar in some clinical trials regarding the reoperation index and the clinical improvement.\textsuperscript{25,26} However, the advantages of the full-videendoscopic surgery are highlighted. The adoption of these techniques improved low back pain, post-surgical rehabilitation, intraoperative complications, and tissue trauma.\textsuperscript{25} The main implication is the possibility of carrying out the procedure with safety, even in patients with comorbidities and who demand a quick return to daily activities, because, compared with microdiscectomy, the incision is smaller, the blood loss is negligible, there is less damage to the paraspinal musculature, and the patient may be discharged earlier and already walking. For the surgeon, access is easy in obese and morbidly obese patients, and the visualization of structures is better due to the absence of blood and to the closer proximity using optics with saline irrigation.

Comparative clinical trials showed that microdiscectomy and the full-videendoscopic technique yield very similar results regarding medium- and long-term resolution of the pain caused by neural compression,\textsuperscript{25,27,28} with an improvement ranging from 75 to 90% in VAS scores, and from 67 to
80% in physical disability measured by the ODI. In the present case series, we have observed an improvement of 88% in VAS pain scores, and an 87% decrease in the physical restriction determined by the ODI questionnaire.

Consistently, an analysis of > 100 thousand surgeries confirmed that less invasive approaches are associated with lower infection rates after lumbar discectomy. The general intraoperative complication rate in less invasive discectomy is very low, totaling & 1.6%, and it includes infection, re-herniation, neurological deficit, incisional hematoma, cerebrospinal fluid (CSF) fistula, pulmonary embolism, and acute myocardial infarction. Remnant fragments are the main cause of procedural failure. Recurrence is a considerable event in these procedures, with results ranging from 4.2 to 12.5%, with an average value of 6% (2% in the present study), depending on the duration of the follow-up in each analysis. Moreover, there is no difference in the hernia recurrence rate between microdiscectomy and the full-videoendoscopic technique. No durotomy was observed in our case series, which is in line with the literature, in which the average rate of non-intentional intraoperative durotomy ranges from 0 to 3%, with no statistical difference between the 2 techniques, which is also explained by its low incidence. Severe complications were noted in 4 of the 50 cases in the present study (1 intraoperative neural injury, 1 recurrence, and 2 evolutions for an indication for arthrodesis). It was previously observed that non-severe complications are significantly higher in groups treated with microdiscectomy than in those submitted to the full-videoendoscopic technique.

The main difference in complications among the two techniques is the lowest possibility of infection with the endoscopy, since it is performed with continuous irrigation. In the present study, there was no superficial or deep infection. The studied group routinely uses an extended 7-day therapeutic protocol with cephalexin, which is unusual, but cited in other studies, in order to reduce the incidence of infection (1.7% versus 4.3%). However, this subject still requires larger, controlled studies comparing different protocols. Despite heterogeneous reports among papers, the hospitalization time for microdiscectomy ranges from 1 to 7 days, exceeding the time for less invasive surgeries, such as sequestrectomy and full-videoendoscopic discectomy, which can be performed in an outpatient setting, without overnight stay and bed occupancy.

In summary, a meta-analysis on microdiscectomy and on the full-videoendoscopic technique shows that both options are very efficient for the surgical objective, but none has a broad superiority regarding clinical outcomes, complications or reoperations. However, the full-videoendoscopic technique has a shorter hospitalization time (outpatient procedure) and a shorter operative time. Thus, it should be noted that a reduced hospitalization time means, in addition to less discomfort and greater satisfaction for the patient, a reduction of costs and demands to the health system, bringing...
benefits to the society, as has already been observed in cost-benefit studies performed in other countries. 38–41

Conclusion

The full-endoscopic surgery for lumbar disc herniation involving the spinal canal led to a satisfactory clinical resolution with a low complication rate; moreover, it was deemed feasible for an outpatient model without prolonged hospitalization.
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