Lisfranc Injury Imaging and Surgical Management
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The Lisfranc joint is composed of the cuneiform bones and the cuboid and metatarsal bases, united by a synovial capsule and ligamentous complex. Familiarity with the anatomy is essential for image planning and for understanding injury patterns. The more important structures are the Lisfranc ligament and the plantar ligaments that can be visualized with MR, although careful attention to technique and orientation of scan planes is required for accuracy. A combination of conventional radiographs, computed tomography, and MR allow precise diagnosis of Lisfranc fractures, fracture dislocation, and subtle Lisfranc injuries to guide clinical management and surgical planning.

When Jacques Lisfranc served as a military surgeon in Napoleon’s army, he described a quick forefoot amputation through the tarsometatarsal (TMT) joint to treat the extended dislocation that occurred when the foot was trapped in the stirrup falling from a horse. Although he did not describe any fracture or dislocation, many midfoot structures and injuries were subsequently named for Lisfranc including the TMT joint, the interosseous ligament between the first cuneiform bone and the base of the second metatarsal bone, and the spectrum of injuries along the TMT joints including their stabilizing ligamentous complexes.1–3

There are two different groups of injuries: those secondary to high-energy trauma, with fractures or fracture dislocation of the midfoot, and those secondary to low-energy trauma, with often subtle Lisfranc injuries or sprains. Lisfranc fractures and injuries are rare and frequently overlooked. Delayed diagnosis and treatment can lead to long-term pain and disability, secondary to early osteoarthritis (OA), flattening of the arch, chronic instability, and pain in the midfoot.2,3

Lisfranc injuries and fractures have been cited among the most common reasons for legal claims. The fact that these injuries may occur in the context of polytrauma and may be subtle explains why they are often overlooked.4,5

Relevant Anatomy

The Lisfranc joint, or TMT joint, is the transition between the rigid midfoot and the relatively flexible forefoot, and structurally it supports the transverse arch of the foot. It includes the joints between the cuneiforms and cuboid with the bases of the five metatarsal bones. Stability is achieved together with the intervening ligamentous structures. These form three different biomechanical and synovial compartments. The medial column or first ray is formed by the first cuneiform (C1) and first metatarsal (M1) and covered by the medial synovial membrane. The intermediate or middle column consists of the second and third ray, formed by the second and third cuneiform (C2 and C3) with the corresponding second and third metatarsals (M2, M3); it is closely related to the cuneoscapoid joint. The lateral column includes the cuboid, the fourth and fifth metatarsal (M4 and M5), comprising the fourth and fifth ray; its synovial membrane does
not contact with the middle compartment.\textsuperscript{1,6,7} The lateral column is positioned more proximally with respect to the medial column\textsuperscript{6} (\textit{\textless} Figs. 1 and 2).

**Bones**

Bones are the primary structural support. The cuneiform bones are wedge shaped and articulate with the first, second, and third metatarsal bases. The articular surfaces between C1 and M1 form a crescent shape.\textsuperscript{6} The middle cuneiform bone is located 4 to 8 mm proximal to the medial and lateral cuneiform, forming a recess into which the base of M2 locks. A developmentally shallower recess has been shown to predispose to injuries of the second ray.\textsuperscript{8}

The bases of the M1, M2, and M3 bones, especially the second one, have a trapezoidal wedge shape, with a broad dorsal aspect and plantar apex; this trapezoidal shape predisposes to dorsal displacement. Usually the bases of M1 and M2 do not articulate. In some cases, however, there can be some contact between the lateral base of M1 and medial base of M2 with an occasional small articular facet at the medial base of M2. M2 and M3 each have two articular surfaces (\textit{\textless} Fig. 2).\textsuperscript{1,6,9}

A vertical crest at the joint surface of the cuboid divides the medial and lateral facets that articulate with the fourth and fifth metatarsal bones, respectively. The lateral column, cuboid M4–M5, is the most mobile of the Lisfranc joint.\textsuperscript{6}

The metatarsal bases, together with the cuneiform bones, form an arch resembling a roman arch, in which the C2–M2 joint represents the keystone for stability, preventing medial-lateral and plantar displacement\textsuperscript{1,8,9} (\textit{\textless} Figs. 1–3).

**Ligaments**

A complex ligamentous and capsule system maintains midfoot stability. There is extreme variability in the course, number, and insertions of the ligaments.\textsuperscript{6} MRI allows direct visualization of the ligaments using different scan planes and pulse sequences. The ligaments are structured based on their orientation: transverse, longitudinal, or oblique, and they have different components based on their location: dorsal, interosseous, or plantar (\textit{\textless} Figs. 4–9). Transverse ligaments connect adjacent bones: intertarsal, intercuneiform, and intermetatarsal. No ligament connects the first and second metatarsals. Longitudinal ligaments extend from the tarsal to the metatarsal bones. Oblique ligaments connect adjacent rays; the most important component is the Lisfranc ligament complex coursing from C1 to M2.\textsuperscript{1,3}

The three types of dorsal ligaments are the intertarsal (cuneiforms and cuboid bones), intermetatarsal, and those connecting cuneiforms to the metatarsal. The last includes three short ligaments from the cuneiforms to the base of the M2 that are visible in the oblique sagittal plane as a thin low signal intensity (SI) band.\textsuperscript{1,6} The dorsal ligaments are short and flat and the weakest of the ligamentous complex. Because the dorsal ligaments are more prone to rupture, there is a tendency for dorsal dislocation of the metatarsal bases.\textsuperscript{2,8}

The interosseous ligaments are thick and strong: three intermetatarsal ligaments (between the bases from M2 to M5), three intertarsal ligaments (between the cuneiforms), and three cuneometatarsal ligaments (these are thin ligaments) and the interosseous ligament C1–M2, the Lisfranc ligament.\textsuperscript{1,10}
The Lisfranc ligament and the plantar Lisfranc ligament are distinct structures that can be differentiated on MRI\textsuperscript{6,7,10,11}. The Lisfranc ligament is the strongest and the largest of the Lisfranc joint ligaments (8–10 mm length × 5–6 mm thickness). It is an oblique striated ligament with one or two (and occasionally three) bundles coursing from the lateral wall of the medial cuneiform (adjacent to the intercuneiform ligament) to the medial base of the second metatarsal beyond the articular surface\textsuperscript{2,7}. Its plantar insertion is closely related to the interosseous C1–C2 ligament, plantar ligament, and peroneus longus\textsuperscript{1,6,11}. The Lisfranc ligament is better visualized on MR long axial planes and coronal planes\textsuperscript{3}. The appearance on MR is variable (Fig. 5). Castro et al described it as striated or homogeneous and low to intermediate SI\textsuperscript{10}.

Plantar ligaments are stronger than the dorsal ligaments and course both longitudinally and transversely. Ligament strength decreases from medial to lateral. The first ligament is longitudinal and is the continuation of the naviculocuneiform ligament extending from C1 to M1. The second plantar ligament is the strongest and arises from

![Fig. 3](image3.png) Coronal foot computed tomography shows the shape of the midfoot arch and the wedge shape of the cuneiforms.

![Fig. 4](image4.png) Anatomical drawings of the midfoot ligaments. (a) Anteroposterior view of the dorsal ligaments. Note that there is no ligament between M1 and M2. (b) Coronal view: the ligaments between C1 and M2 are shown, the Lisfranc complex, the dorsal (blue), the two (yellow) bundles of the interosseous ligament (Lisfranc ligament), and the plantar (red) Lisfranc ligament with fascicles attached to M2 (deep) and M3 (superficial).

![Fig. 5](image5.png) MRI scan planning off the sagittal plane image. The axial plane follows the long axis of the metatarsals. The coronal plane is slightly oblique, perpendicular to C1.
C1 and attaches to M2–M3; although it may exhibit variable morphology, it usually has two bundles with the deeper attaching to M2 and the more superficial and thicker to M3.\textsuperscript{10,11} The second plantar ligament is difficult to visualize and better depicted in axial long-axis and coronal planes, appearing heterogeneous with low to intermediate signal intensity.\textsuperscript{11}

The third plantar ligament connects the C3 to M3 and M4. The fourth and fifth plantar ligaments connect the cuboid to M4 and M5. The plantar intermetatarsal ligament is a band coursing between M1 and M3 without attachment to M2 (\textit{\textsuperscript{Fig.} 4–9}).\textsuperscript{1}

Additional support is provided by the soft tissues of the plantar foot including the tendons of the peroneus longus, anterior and posterior tibialis, long plantar ligament, plantar fascia, and intrinsic muscles.\textsuperscript{1}

### Biomechanics

During the midstance phase of the walking gait, the mid-foot facilitates forward progression of body weight on a stable foot, with a continuous transition from a flexible structure that dissipates the impact of the foot on contact with the floor to a rigid structure that allows propulsion during push-off. The functional columns of the foot allow for some motion and offset (\textit{\textsuperscript{Fig.} 1}). The medial and middle columns are more rigid than the more mobile lateral column, which tolerates up to a 2- to 3-mm offset. The medial column has little mobility, although slightly more

\textit{\textsuperscript{Fig.} 6} Coronal plane fat-saturated fast spin-echo T2-weighted image nicely demonstrates the interosseous ligaments (arrowheads), dorsal ligament (white arrow), and Lisfranc ligament.\textsuperscript{1}

\textit{\textsuperscript{Fig.} 7} Axial fast spin-echo T1-weighted image plane interosseous intertarsal ligaments (arrows).

\textit{\textsuperscript{Fig.} 8} Axial fat-saturated fast spin-echo T2 plane nicely demonstrates the plantar ligament of the superficial bundle inserting into M3.

\textit{\textsuperscript{Fig.} 9} Coronal fast spin-echo T1-weighted image shows the two bundles of the Lisfranc plantar ligament (arrows), the deep bundle inserting into M2 and the superficial inserting into M3.
than the middle column, which is almost fixed and does not tolerate instability or significant offset, 1 mm. An offset > 1 mm between the middle and medial column may result in secondary OA.

The loss of the midfoot arch increases tensile stresses on the plantar ligaments as the foot is loading during push-off, thereby increasing the demands on muscular and ligamentous support. Moreover, the loss of the midfoot arch compromises its function as a rigid segment.\textsuperscript{12,13}

Depending on the mechanism, injuries to the TMT joint can be direct or indirect. Direct injury is usually related to high-energy impact. Indirect injury occurs more frequently and can be associated with both high- and low-energy mechanisms.

Direct crushing injuries are often associated with significant blunt trauma to surrounding structures with associated soft tissue, vascular or neural injuries, and a possible risk for compartment syndrome. In high-energy trauma the displacement of the metatarsal bones depend on the vector of impact. This is often associated with multiple atypical tarsal bone fractures and surrounding soft tissue injuries.\textsuperscript{1–3,14}

Indirect mechanisms can be due to high- or low-energy trauma. High-energy indirect mechanism is usually related to motor vehicle accidents or falls from a height, whereas low-energy trauma typically occurs during sports.\textsuperscript{3,14} Low-energy injuries may be undiagnosed.

This sports injury most commonly occurs with excess loading when the foot is fixed in the plantar flexion and

![Fig. 10](image1.png)

**Fig. 10** Mechanism of injuries. (a) More frequent situation with the plantarflexed forefoot and a longitudinal force applied through the metatarsal bone. Alternatively, in (b) there is a rolling, twisting force over the midtarsal bones in the plantigrade, fixed forefoot.

![Fig. 11](image2.png)

**Fig. 11** Quénu and Küss classification modified by Myerson. Three categories: (a) all tarsometatarsal joints are disrupted with total incongruity lateral or medial, (b) partial incongruity, B1: medial displacement of the M1, and B2: lateral displacement of the lesser MT, and (c) divergent displacement partial or complete.
equinus position, primarily in American football, but ballet dancers and gymnasts are also at risk. A less frequent mechanism occurs when the forefoot is adducted, the hindfoot is fixed, and the weight of the body rotates around the TMT joint (Fig. 10), as in falling from a horse with the foot fixed on the stirrup or rolling the foot when stepping off a step, curb, or jumping, resulting in dorsal displacement of the MT. Cavus deformation causes disruption of the dorsal ligaments, and a superimposed twisting moment leads to failure of the interosseous and plantar ligaments with resultant midfoot instability. Ligamentous injuries usually present in consistent patterns related to the relative strength of the structures. The dorsal ligaments tear first, followed by the plantar and finally the Lisfranc ligament. Although low-energy Lisfranc injuries comprise 0.2% of all fractures, they are important due to their predilection among young athletes and active workers, and because 20 to 35% are initially overlooked. Delayed diagnosis may predispose to instability and secondary OA.

Classification

Classification systems differ depending on the mechanism of high-energy or low-energy impact lesions. High-energy impact lesions or crushing injuries result in fracture dislocations, whereas low-energy indirect forces result in Lisfranc injuries and sprains.

Quénu and Küss in 1909 classified Lisfranc fractures, or fracture dislocation according to the direction of the MT.
displacement as “homolateral” (all five MTs are displaced in the same direction), “isolated” (displacement of only one or two MTs), and “divergent” (metatarsals are displaced in different directions in the sagittal and coronal planes, M1 is displaced medially, and M2–M5 are displaced laterally). Homolateral is the most common.\(^8,15\) The Myerson classification is based on the columnar structure of the feet and the most commonly used.\(^16\) The Myerson classification divides fracture dislocations into three big categories: A (all the TMT joints are disrupted with total incongruity lateral or dorsoplantar), B (partial incongruity, B1, medial displacement of the M1, and B2, lateral displacement of the lesser MT), and C (divergent displacement is partial or complete) (\(\sim\) Figs. 11 and 12). Posttraumatic arthritis is more frequent at the middle cuneiform-second MT base where incongruity is less well tolerated.\(^1,3,9,15–17\) Because of the relatively more common delay in the diagnosis, type B tends toward a worse prognosis.\(^8\) Multidetector computed tomography (MDCT) provides an exquisite map of fracture fragment displacement for treatment planning (\(\sim\) Fig. 13).

Fracture dislocation classifications systems are not useful for low-energy Lisfranc injuries without fractures. These
low-energy Lisfranc injuries or midfoot sprains can be easily undiagnosed because of their subtle clinical and radiologic findings. Curtis et al classified midfoot sprains into first- and second-degree injuries (partial tears without instability on clinical or fluoroscopic examination), and third-degree injuries (complete rupture with diastasis on radiographs).17 Nunley and Vertullo18 combined clinical, radiographic, and bone scintigraphy findings into a classification system with management implications. They described three stages. Stage I is a low-grade sprain of the Lisfranc ligament complex in which the patient complains of pain in the Lisfranc joint, the plain films are normal (no C1–M2 diastasis and normal midfoot arch), but bone scans show uptake. These injuries are treated conservatively. Stage II is due to elongation, partial or complete tear of the Lisfranc ligament with an intact plantar capsular ligament; plain films show < 5-mm diastasis at C1–M2 and M1–M2. Stage III implies disruption of the dorsal, Lisfranc, and plantar ligament, with > 5-mm M1–M2 diastasis on an anteroposterior (AP) weightbearing radiograph and loss of arch height on lateral standing radiography. If displaced injuries are present, other associated fractures should be classified using Myerson’s system. Stage III requires surgical treatment. Treatment of stage II is debatable, although the tendency is toward surgery.3–5,9,18

**Clinical Symptoms**

The diagnosis of Lisfranc fracture dislocation is straightforward when the patient presents with deformity, swelling, widening of the midfoot, and a flat forefoot deformity. Occasionally in the setting of multitrauma, especially automobile injuries or falls from a height, Lisfranc fractures can...
reduce spontaneously. In those cases where there is no gross deformity, clinical diagnosis is more difficult and midfoot instability should be ruled out.

Compartment syndrome is a potential complication, to be considered when severe pain and swelling is present. When clinically suspected, pressure measurements should be performed. Although infrequent, injuries to the dorsal pedis artery and deep peroneal nerve injury should be excluded. Although Lisfranc sprains are much more difficult to diagnose by imaging than fracture or fracture dislocation, subtle clinical signs include midfoot swelling, inability to bear weight, and especially plantar arch hematoma (the plantar ecchymosis sign). The piano key test assesses TMT joint pain: the hind- and midfoot are fixed and plantar force is applied to the metatarsal heads.

### Imaging

#### Plain Film

Radiographic evaluation of the TMT joint is difficult due to osseous overlap. After midtarsal trauma, initial films are non-weightbearing AP, lateral and internal oblique views (30 degrees). It is important to keep in mind that subtle diastasis can be missed in up to 50% of cases on non-weightbearing radiographs. If there is a strong clinical suspicion, weightbearing films of both feet are required for comparison with the uninjured contralateral foot to rule out subtle diastasis or small displaced injuries. The pronation abduction stress view has been advocated to rule out instability of the first ray, but anesthesia is frequently needed to control pain. With the advent of new imaging techniques, however, stress views are rarely necessary. The distance between the cuneiform and the metatarsal bones can be variable; therefore, it is important to compare with the uninjured contralateral foot; asymmetry > 1 to 2 mm should raise suspicion of instability, ligament injuries, or occult fractures.

Alignment should be assessed in the AP view. The lateral margin of the first TMT and medial margins of the second and third TMT should each align almost perfectly, and the medial border of C2 should align with the medial border of the base of the second MT. In the oblique view the lateral margins of C2–M2 and C3–M3 should align, and the fourth and fifth MT should articulate with the cuboid bone. Weightbearing lateral films are used to assess the medial plantar arch and to detect possible dorsal subluxation of the metatarsal bases.

Diagnosis of Lisfranc fractures and Lisfranc injuries is challenging. Subtle fractures of the metatarsal bones and midfoot malalignment must be evaluated. Disruption of the continuous tarsal metatarsal lines of the first and second column and displacement of the base of M5 or dorsal displacement of the metatarsal bones are reliable signs of fracture dislocation. Diastasis ≥ 2 mm between M1 and M2 indicates instability. If complex fractures are suspected on plain film, MDCT should be performed for preoperative evaluation.

Small fractures often occur at the medial base of M2 or of the plantar lateral
base of C1. The fleck sign is a subtle cortical avulsion fracture at the attachment of the Lisfranc ligament (►Fig. 18). We must not confuse a fleck with a normal variant small ossicle (os intermetatarsum), which is located more distally and appears round to ovoid and smoothly corticated (►Fig. 19).

Weightbearing films are useful to better depict small fractures (►Fig. 20), visualize diastasis between the foot columns (especially between the first and second), and compare with the contralateral uninjured foot (►Fig. 21). Widening > 2 mm at C1–M2 or > 1 mm at M1–M2 or C1–C2 are the most important radiographic signs. Lateral films are used to assess dorsal displacement of the MT bases of and to measure the distance between the plantar margin of C1 and M5 (which is abnormal if < 1.5 mm) or asymmetric as compared with the contralateral foot.

Because nuclear bone scintigraphy is sensitive but lacks specificity, it is not frequently used. Some surgeons use it, however, in the setting of secondary OA to determine which joints require fusion.8,21

CT is an important preoperative tool for the evaluation of fracture pattern and surgical planning in patients after high-energy trauma when complex fractures are suspected3,8 (►Figs. 12, 13, and 17). CT permits detection of 50% more metatarsal and tarsal fractures compared with radiographs. CT, especially volume rendering, is also more accurate for the evaluation of osseous malalignment, helping surgical planning1,10,23 (►Figs. 12, 13, 17, and 22).1,10

Unlike CT, MR allows direct visualization of soft tissue structures. Imaging should be performed in the sagittal plane, long axial plane (following the metatarsal bone axis), and oblique coronal plane (perpendicular to C1) to visualize the ligament complex (►Fig. 5). T1-weighted and fluid-sensitive sequences (be it T2-weighted or proton-density fat saturation or short tau inversion recovery) are recommended. Small surface coils might be useful to improve signal. Three-dimensional fast spin-echo images can provide thin slices for multiplanar reformation reconstructions, although technical issues remain before volumetric imaging of the midfoot is extended to routine clinical practice.11 Although occult fractures can be detected by MR and may reveal subtle ligament injuries, it does not change the management of complex bone fractures (►Fig. 23). MR is primarily important for the diagnosis and management of
low-energy Lisfranc injuries, and it is recommended when there is minimal diastasis between the first column and the second cuneiform, or a high clinical suspicion of Lisfranc injury in the context of normal radiographs. MR depicts the distinct dorsal and plantar fascicles of the Lisfranc ligament, allowing diagnosis of partial or complete tears (►Figs. 24–27). Usually complete tears of the ligament are associated with diastasis C1–C2, C1–M2 > 2 mm. The management of partial tears is still to be determined. A small Lisfranc ligament tear may remain occult, and occasionally the ligament can be stretched (elongated) but not torn. The presence of fluid surrounding the ligament should raise suspicion of a Lisfranc injury, especially if there is associated bone marrow edema, contusions, or fractures at the ligament insertion sites. In the chronic phase, diagnosis is more difficult because there may be irregularity and signal heterogeneity of the injured ligament due to the fibrotic healing response1,11 (►Fig. 28).

MR is also useful for assessment of commonly associated injuries, to tendons (anterior tibialis) or vital structures, such as the deep peroneal nerve.

**Clinical Management**

**Nonoperative Treatment**

Stable nondisplaced fractures, stage I, can be managed conservatively in a non-weightbearing cast for 4 to 6 weeks, followed by a weightbearing special shoe. Refractory pain should prompt reimaging to rule out major injuries or instability.

---

**Fig. 22** (a) Plain film and (b) computed tomography with concordant demonstration of small avulsion at the base of M2 (arrow).

**Fig. 23** Small fracture of C2. (a) Coronal fast spin-echo (FSE) T1-weighted image, and (b) the corresponding fat-saturated fast spin-echo (FSE) T2-weighted image show bone marrow edema and small fracture line (arrowheads), and edema in the dorsal ligament (arrow).
Operative Treatment
There is no consensus for the treatment of stage II. Most orthopedists recommend surgery despite minor displacement, whereas others favor conservative management after checking TMT joint stability. If conservative treatment is preferred, careful clinical surveillance for developing signs of instability is essential. Surgical treatment is indicated for stage III Lisfranc injuries and fracture dislocation. Better outcomes have been shown with early anatomical reduction and stable fixation. Surgery should be performed after swelling has decreased, ideally within 1 to 2 weeks of the initial injury. The goal of treatment is to achieve a painless, stable, and plantigrade foot. Care should be taken in the anatomical reduction of the Lisfranc joint. Closed reduction and percutaneous Kirschner wire (K wire) fixation suffices in some cases. If closed anatomical reduction cannot be achieved, open reduction and internal fixation surgery with K wires or cortical screws should be performed (Fig. 28 and 29).10,13,22 When there are comminuted fragments, failure of fixation, or secondary OA, arthrodesis is preferred (Fig. 30). Medial and middle column fixation is typically performed. If reduction is achieved, lateral column fixation is usually unnecessary and is associated with improved outcomes (Fig. 31)8,13

Fig. 24 Lisfranc subtle injury. (a, b) Consecutive transverse fat-saturated fast spin-echo T2-weighted images show elongation and soft tissue edema of the Lisfranc ligament (arrow) and of the plantar ligament in its superficial portion (asterisk) with bone marrow edema and small nondisplaced fracture on the base of M3 (arrowhead).

Fig. 25 Lisfranc subtle injury. (a, b) Consecutive coronal coronal fat-saturated T2-weighted images demonstrate the two fascicles of the Lisfranc ligament, with edema in the dorsal fascicle (arrow), whereas the plantar fascicle is normal. Note bone marrow edema without displaced fracture in M2.

Fig. 26 Transverse fat-saturated fast spin-echo T2-weighted image demonstrates a partial rupture of the Lisfranc ligament (arrow) with edema within the fibers and in the soft tissue.
Midfoot Osteoarthritis

Midfoot OA is more common than previously thought and a challenging problem. Clinical symptoms include pain, swelling, difficulty standing and ambulating, and progressive deformity.12

Posttraumatic OA is most common, followed by idiopathic and inflammatory etiologies. Posttraumatic OA origin usually occurs at ~ 40 years of age, whereas other nontraumatic etiologies typically present in an older population, at ~ 60 years. The etiology of nontraumatic midfoot OA is multifactorial, and risk factors include obesity, female gender, equinus foot morphology, and development elongation of M2.

Primary OA preferentially affects the second and third MT middle column, whereas posttraumatic OA tends to affect the first, second, and fourth TMT joints6,12,20 (Figs. 28 and 32).

Conventional radiographs and CT delineate arthritic changes at the midtarsal and TMT joints including joint space narrowing, osteophytes, subchondral sclerosis, and subchondral cysts. On weightbearing films, especially in atraumatic midfoot arthritis, the foot is pronated with low-lying C2 and a negative talometatarsal angle12. Lateral films show flattening of the longitudinal arch and collapse of the medial column. The correlation between radiologic signs and clinical symptoms is only moderate.

Fig. 27 Fat-saturated fast spin-echo T2-weighted image shows the rupture of both fascicles of the Lisfranc ligament (single arrow, dorsal fascicle; arrowhead, plantar fascicle).

Fig. 28 Transverse fat-saturated fast spin-echo T2-weighted image of a chronic Lisfranc ligament rupture 3 years after trauma. The ligament is thickened with irregularity in the insertions on C1 and M2. Note the degenerative changes on C2–M2, C3–M3.

Fig. 29 Lisfranc fracture dislocation type A (a) with lateral displacement of the lesser metatarsal bones treated with wires and screws (open reduction and interval fixation) (b).
A combination of anti-inflammatory drugs and orthotic treatment, trying to modify the load to the TMT joints are the first line of treatment. Ultrasound- or CT-guided steroid injections might mitigate pain for some time. Pain response to injections has been used to test for selecting the joints to fuse; however, the normal communication between the second TMT joint and other joints can be confounding.

Surgery is indicated in patients with refractory pain and restriction of motion after 6 months of nonoperative treatment. Some authors have advocated single-photon emission tomography that combines CT and bone scan to help in selecting joints for fusion. Surgical options include medial and middle or lateral arthrodesis. Lateral fusion is not recommended unless there is associated collapse because it is a mobile column and motion should be preserved. Lateral column arthrodesis limits walking and increases the risk of pain, nonunion, and stress fracture. Surgical complications include infection, peripheral nerve neuromas, nonunion, malunion, persistent pain, and complex regional syndrome. Postoperative metatarsalgia and stress fractures are not uncommon, due to altered biomechanics.

Fig. 30 Complex Lisfranc fracture dislocation (a) with medial and lateral arthrodesis (b).

Fig. 31 A 30-year-old patient with a late diagnosis of Lisfranc fracture. (a) Secondary degenerative changes were already present at the time of diagnosis. (b) After initial open reduction and internal fixation of C1–M1 and C2–M2–M3, there was continued pain. (c) Middle column arthrodesis was required.
Fig. 32 (a) Axial multidetector computed tomography and (b) axial fat-saturated fast spin-echo T2-weighted image shows posttraumatic intertarsal and tarsometatarsal osteoarthritis due to an undiagnosed Lisfranc fracture.
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