The Potential of Cesarean Section as a Causative Factor of Chronic Pelvic Pain

O potencial da cesárea como elemento causador de dor pélvica crônica
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Chronic pelvic pain (CPP) is a prevalent condition among women, particularly those of reproductive age, estimated at around 4%.1 In Brazil, the prevalence data are lacking, but it may be higher than 10%.2,3 The initial diagnosis is eminently clinical and, according to the International Association for the Study of Pain (IASP), it consists of chronic or persistent pain perceived in pelvis-related structures, often associated with emotional, sexual, behavioral, and negative cognitive consequences, as well as symptoms suggestive of disorders in these systems. It includes both cyclic pain, such as dysmenorrhea, and the acyclic type. Although six months is the most widely used time criterion, it is arbitrary and, if the pain is not typically acute and central sensitization mechanisms are well documented (especially hyperalgesia), it can be considered chronic, regardless of time of symptom onset.

Among the several risk factors attributed to CPP,4 we highlight the history of prior abdominal surgery, particularly low transverse incision of the abdominal wall (Pfannenstiel), commonly used in cesarean sections (CS) and other gynecological surgeries.5 Considering that CS is the most frequently performed abdominal surgery in the world6 and the fact that CS rates in Brazil are very high,7 it is known that many CPP cases can be attributed to CS.8

In clinical practice, many professionals, especially gynecologists and obstetricians, attribute the development of postoperative adhesions as the cause of pain. Moreover, they underestimate the diagnosis of pain originating in the abdominal wall,9 which is a confirmed cause of CPP, of simple treatment and a good response rate, preventing the need for surgical approach to clarify the diagnosis.

CPP Originating in the Abdominal Wall

Regarding abdominal wall pain, neuropathy and myofascial syndrome are some of the most important. The most common neuropathies affect the iliohypogastric, ilioinguinal, and genitofemoral nerves. They are caused by nerve section (with neuroma formation or poor adaptive neuroplasticiy), inadvertent nerve ligation or fibrous scarring of the surrounding tissue with nerve entrapment.10 The myofascial syndrome has a more obscure pathophysiology and is attributed to tissue ischemia due to prolonged muscle contraction and consequent accumulation of inflammatory substances such as serotonin, histamine, and prostaglandin. These substances have the ability to induce muscle contraction, which would generate a vicious reverberation cycle, even after cessation of the triggering event.11 Due to the similarities between them and the lack of consensus in the differential diagnosis,12 we will treat it as a single condition in this editorial.

It is primarily characterized by the presence of trigger points (TP) in the abdominal wall, although this does not necessarily exclude a visceral origin for the persistent pain.13,14 Such TP are defined as small areas of intense hyperalgesia located in a region of skeletal muscle or fascia (rectus abdominis and external oblique muscles, most commonly) which, when compressed, cause the abovementioned pain (in the corresponding dermatome or in a distant one), with or without perceived contracture of the local muscles and/or autonomic changes in the underlying skin, such as piloerection or sweating.15 Although it is not part of the routine physical examination by the gynecologist/obstetrician, the TP can be easily identified by trained observers.16 Alterations in sensitivity (hypoesthesia, anesthesia, dysesthesia) on the skin adjacent to the TP is frequently observed.

TP confirmation is basically attained with the injection of a small amount of local anesthetic without a vasoconstrictor agent, which should result in immediate pain relief. Treatment consists in maintaining local injections at different intervals. Significant symptom relief is ~80% and persists for...
Complementary laboratory tests are not essential, but a transvaginal pelvic and abdominal wall ultrasound is recommended mainly to exclude an eventual diagnosis of hernia and/or endometrioma. When symptomatic relief is transitory, and especially if there are signs of neuropathic pain, the concomitant use of drugs such as antiepileptic (carbamazepine, pregabalin, gabapentin) is recommended. It is important to remember that, if the pain is typically neuropathic, there is no indication for the use of nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs.20

For the group of women who do not improve with the abovementioned treatment, a laparoscopy is recommended. This is due to the fact that, at least in our experience, some of them have dense adhesion of viscera to the abdominal wall. We will further discuss the association between CPP and adhesions below, but evidence suggests that this particular type of adhesion is more consistently associated with CPP.21

**CPP Originating in Peritoneal Adhesions**

Regarding adhesions, the data are less clear. On the one hand, we know that any abdominopelvic surgery is capable of triggering a series of molecular and biochemical events in the peritoneal cavity that can usually culminate in the development of adhesions and,4 and, unfortunately, to date there is no effective method to prevent adhesion formation. Regarding the CS,4 it is presumed that the occurrence of adhesions ranges from 12 to 46% and 26 to 75%, respectively, after two or three procedures.25 The exact proportion of the cases that will progress to CPP remains unknown. What is known is that, among patients with persistent abdominal and/or pelvic pain, the laparoscopy identifies adhesions in 15 to 40% of cases.26,27 The fact is that in spite of these findings, there is not enough data in the literature to attribute a causal association between the presence of adhesions and CPP onset.28

Is it reasonable to justify the pain as a symptom secondary to adhesions? Yes, but probably not too simplistically. Studies have shown the presence of sensory nerve fibers in peritoneal adhesions, both myelinated and non-myelinated fibers, associated with the presence of blood vessels, but distributed in a manner that is irrespective of the site, morphological characteristics, or even the presence of pain.29

Taking such probability into account, most services recommend adhesiolysis when adhesions are identified. However, a recent literature review does not convincingly support that adhesiolysis is enough to improve abdominal and/or pelvic pain.30 Based on many controversial and inconclusive data, we can only deduce that there is a complexity not yet disclosed on the role of peritoneal adhesion in the genesis of CPP.

**Final Considerations**

CS, especially if repeated, is a surgical procedure with potential to cause chronic pelvic pain, which is another reason to avoid its indiscriminate use. When indicated, the use of the best possible surgical technique is the only known method that can prevent damage to the nerves or myofascial elements of the abdominal wall and minimize the risk of peritoneal adhesion formation. These are the potential causes of symptoms.

A detailed clinical history and physical examination is able to direct the diagnosis and support the initial therapeutic approach. Abdominal wall involvement is the most common condition and, therefore, the indication for laparoscopy is justifiable only when the presence of trigger points has been ruled out or when the initial therapy does not have the desired effect. Additionally, there is no recommendation for nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drug prescription for women whose pain is typically neuropathic.
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