Burkitt’s Lymphoma Mimicking a Subacute Subdural Hematoma: a Case Report

Linfoma de Burkitt simulando um hematoma subdural subagudo: relato de caso
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Introduction

BL is a B cell non-Hodgkin lymphoma that may affect multiple organs. It presents predominantly in children and is one of the most rapidly growing tumors in humans requiring immediate diagnosis and treatment.¹

Dural metastases mimicking a SDH are rare.² We describe a case of central nervous system involvement characterized by subdural effusion radiologically similar to subacute SDH. We also review the relevant literature on this topic.

Case Report

A 21-year-old male with Down syndrome presented to the emergency department with a seven-day headache associated with nausea and a significant deterioration in the last 48 hours. There was no report of trauma. Besides these symptoms, there was a recent urological investigation of orchiepididimitis due to pain and swelling in the scrotum. On neurological examination the patient was alert, confused, and agitated, without motor deficit or meningeal signs.
Computed tomography (CT) imaging of the brain revealed a right-side large heterogenous subdural fronto-temporo-parietal collection with mass effect, midline shift, and a right parietal subgaleal effusion (Fig. 1). The platelet counts, prothrombin, and activated partial thromboplastin time were normal.

Due to the clinical presentation and mass effect evident on the CT scan, the patient underwent an urgent surgical procedure (right frontal and parietal bur hole trephination) with the presumptive diagnosis of subacute subdural hematoma. The drained fluid was hypertensive and xanthochromic. The patient had remission of symptoms. A brain CT performed on the second postoperative day showed improvement of the midline shift.

After ten days, he became symptomatic again (headache). A repeated CT scan of the brain revealed recurrence of the right-sided subdural collection with severe mass effect and midline shift. Empyema was hypothesized and we conducted a frontotemporoparietal craniectomy extended from the bur holes with drainage collection and gathering material for microbiological studies. A dural biopsy was also performed. Broad-spectrum antibiotic therapy (Meropenem and Vancomycin) was initiated, with partial improvement of symptoms. By this time, his urologic workup revealed the presence of atrophic orchiepididimitis on the left with testicular nodules. The alphafetoprotein and β-HCG were normal. LDH found was 1292 U/L (normal range: 338-610 U/L).

There was no bacterial growth on culture. Histological and immunohistochemical examination revealed typical pattern of Burkitt’s lymphoma (Fig. 2). On the 19th day, the patient developed progressive worsening and gingival bleeding, decreased level of consciousness, and left hemiparesis. CT scan showed severe cerebral edema and expanded decompressive craniectomy was performed.

Oncology staging was performed with chest, abdomen, and pelvis computed tomography demonstrating infiltration of abdominal organs (pancreas, liver, and spleen) as well as cervical lymph node involvement. The bone marrow workup revealed the presence of blasts in “starry sky” appearance. HIV sorology was negative.

The patient received systemic chemotherapy with dexamethasone, vincristine, and cyclophosphamide. He developed sepsis and died on the 32nd day of hospitalization.

Discussion

Classically, lymphomas are divided into Hodgkin’s lymphoma and non-Hodgkin’s lymphoma (NHL). In 5–9% of systemic NHL, CNS involvement usually occurs in the form of leptomeningeal infiltrates. Parenchymal lesions, when present, typically result from secondary involvement via infiltration of the perivascular spaces from the leptomeninges. BL is a highly aggressive NHL and it is characterized by C-MYC gen translocation. It is probably the fastest growth malignancy that affects humans. It can double in size in 24 hours with 80% of its cells in mitosis at any point. Histologically, BL is characterized by diffuse infiltration of monomorphic medium-sized neoplastic cells with basophilic cytoplasm and numerous mitotic figures. Nuclear contours are round or oval without cleaves or folds. Nucleoli are typically multiple, small-to-intermediate in size, and the nuclear chromatin is relatively immature, being finely granular. Also high is the rate of cell death or apoptosis, with the dead cells being taken up by pale histiocytic cells within the tumor, which punctuate the low-power view giving a “starry sky” appearance.
Three clinical variants of BL are recognized: Sporadic BL, Endemic BL, and Immunodeficiency-associated BL. Sporadic BL is seen throughout the world, mainly in children and adolescents and manifests itself especially with abdominal masses. The endemic form usually appears with a large mandibular or facial mass, and result in a localized mass or a plaque-like thickening of the dura that radiologically resembles other diseases that may respond to surgical treatment, such as meningioma or SDH.

Dural metastases have been found in ~8–9% of patients who died of cancer, in most autopsy series. The pathophysiology of the spread of extracranial malignancies to the dura can be related to the direct extension of calvarial metastases to the dura, or a combination of both arterial and venous spread. Non-traumatic SDH secondary to dural metastases is a rare but well-documented event. Several hypotheses have been proposed. First, the bleeding could be due to the rupture of fragile tumor neo-vessels. Second, expanding skull metastases could cause mechanical obstruction of external dural vessels, leading to the dilatation and eventually the rupture of the capillaries of the inner dural layer. Finally, chronic subdural hematoma could be the mediator rather than a consequence of subdural invasion.

Large cohort studies have concluded that there were two main groups of SDH in these patients: (1) SDH related to predisposing factors (such as previous head trauma, alcoholism, or anticoagulation) and (2) spontaneous nontraumatic SDH. The two groups were found both in solid tumors and in hematological malignancies, but the first group was more frequently associated with solid tumors, while spontaneous hematoma was mainly associated with hematological malignancies.

A variety of coagulation disorders in cancer patients arise from tumor-specific growth characteristics, neo-angiogenesis with impaired endothelial lining, defective myelopoiesis, hypoproteinemia, or metastatic lesion growth with organ dysfunction. In our case, the subgaleal swelling was misdiagnosed as a traumatic etiology of the SDH.

Computed tomography (CT) or magnetic resonance (MR) imaging can be confusing, as the underlying etiology may be masked by the SDH, or the appearance can simulate meningiomas. In our case, we interpreted the presence of subdural and subgaleal hyperdense lesions as acute bleeding, although they were probably were solid infiltration of dura mater and galea. The signal intensity of a lymphoma on MR images is nonspecific. We did not perform preoperative MR imaging in our case due to rapid neurological deterioration.

Patients with SDH and a history of malignancy should be investigated for metastatic disease and coagulation disorders. If both are found, the prognosis is likely to be dismal. If surgery is performed, the subdural membrane and SDH should be sent for histopathology. This is essential for diagnostic and prognostic purposes. If there is recurrence of the SDH, revision surgery should be undertaken only with the knowledge that the prognosis is very poor without it. As the surgical approach and prognosis of SDH and metastatic tumors are completely different, the differential diagnosis is very important.

In summary, the case presented in this paper was misdiagnosed as a subdural hematoma, and shows the importance of including lymphoma in the differential diagnosis of subdural effusions. A high index of suspicion for malignancy should be maintained in approaching cases with non-traumatic SDH, especially if it is recurrent, even in the absence of obvious primary malignancy or radiological evidence of dural metastases.
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