Endovascular Management of Spinal Dural Arteriovenous Fistulas
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Abstract

Spinal vascular malformations, although rare, cause devastating disease. These malformations are commonly categorized as follows: spinal arteriovenous malformations (AVMs), dural arteriovenous fistulas (DAVFs), spinal hemangiomas, cavernous angiomias, and aneurysms. Spinal DAVFs (SDAVFs), or type 1 spinal AVMs, occur most frequently, representing ~60 to 80% of vascular malformations of the spinal cord. While previously microsurgical treatment was considered the gold standard in the treatment of SDAVFs, recent advancements in technology—advancements of magnetic resonance imaging as a screening examination, contrast-enhanced magnetic resonance angiography, multidetector computed tomography as preangiographic evaluations, digital subtraction angiography, diagnostic catheters, and embolization materials—have made endovascular treatment a possible option. We review the treatment of SDAVFs, primarily discussing the endovascular management of these lesions.
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Introduction

Spinal vascular malformations, albeit rare, cause devastating disease. These malformations are commonly categorized as follows: spinal arteriovenous malformations (AVMs), dural arteriovenous fistulas (DAVFs), spinal hemangiomas, cavernous angiomias, and aneurysms. Spinal dural arteriovenous fistulas, or type 1 spinal AVMs, occur most frequently, representing ~60 to 80% of vascular malformations of the spinal cord.1–4 One retrospective series estimated between 5 and 10 million per year in the general population.5 Male patients and those patients in their sixth or seventh decade of life appear to be at greater risk for developing a spinal dural arteriovenous fistula (SDAVF).6–9 Reportedly, <1% of patients with DAVFs are <30 years of age.9 Conventionally, open surgical ligation of spinal DAVFs was the primary intervention.1,4,10,11 However, more recently, health care advances have opened the door for endovascular treatment modalities. As such, we review the treatment of SDAVFs, primarily discussing the endovascular management of these lesions.

Indications and Contradictions

Advancements in neuroimaging have improved the detection of SDAVFs, with a reported delay of 12 to 44 months between the
onset of symptoms and diagnosis.\textsuperscript{12,13} Despite the advancement of magnetic resonance (MR) imaging from a 0.5 T to a 1.5/3.0-T magnet, it may not lead to an early diagnosis of the lesions. Even today, it takes a year or more to make a diagnosis of DAVFs. Moreover, whether or not earlier detection enhances the efficacy of surgical and endovascular management options remains controversial.\textsuperscript{14–16} Patients often present with motor weakness, gait disturbances, sensory disturbances, and bowel, bladder, or sexual dysfunction.\textsuperscript{2,11,14,17,18} Although some reports have cited a punctuated stepwise course of disease, typically SDAVFs demonstrate a gradual progression in the severity of symptoms.\textsuperscript{12,19} Following the recognition of clinical symptoms, imaging is utilized to confirm a positive diagnosis of SDAVFs. Selective angiography is considered the gold standard method of diagnosis. The advancements of digital subtraction angiography (DSA) including three-dimensional images and diagnostic catheters enable a more detailed visualization of the fistulas. Still magnetic resonance (MR) imaging, magnetic resonance angiography (MRA), or computed tomography (CT) myelography may also be used.\textsuperscript{1}

Indications for spinal DAVFs on MR imaging include increased signal intensity along the center of the spinal cord on T2-weighted scans.\textsuperscript{3,20,21} Spinal dural arteriovenous fistulas are typically observed in the thoracolumbar regions, whereby hyperintensity commonly spans five to seven vertebral levels on imaging.\textsuperscript{7} MR imaging has also demonstrated that flow voids, thought to be representing blood flow within dilated medullary veins, are suggestive of SDAVFs in 35% of patients.\textsuperscript{3,6,20,22} In a study utilizing MRA to diagnose SDAVFs, 100% of patients demonstrated abnormal intradural vessels.\textsuperscript{21} The introduction of contrast-enhanced MRA and multidetector CT may lead to successful localization of the fistulas before DSA.

Contraindications to the endovascular management of SDAVFs depend in part on the associated vasculature. In particular, feeder vessels for DAVFs should be assessed including the ascending cervical, deep cervical, intercostal, lumbar, sacral, and internal iliac arteries.\textsuperscript{12,23} Embolization of arterial feeders is contraindicated due to the elevated risk of spinal cord ischemia and infarction; this caveat is especially true for involved arteries that supply the anterior spinal artery, such as the anterior segmental medullary artery.\textsuperscript{1,16,24}

**Surgical Technique**

Patients scheduled for endovascular management are placed in a position consistent with that for conventional angiography. In the supine position, patients are intubated and placed under general anesthesia. An incision is made in the skin to expose the femoral artery in the right groin. Standing on the right side of the patient, the surgeon threads a catheter through the small incision up the femoral artery to the site of the SDAVF (\textsuperscript{\textbullet} Fig. 1A, B). Embolic agents are injected via the catheter, thereby reducing blood flow to abnormal vessels.

Whereas microsurgical treatment was previously considered the gold standard in the treatment of SDAVFs, recent advancements in technology have made endovascular treatment a possible option. However, regardless of treatment modality, positive postoperative function is highly related to preoperative presentation and the timing of endovascular or microsurgical treatment.\textsuperscript{25} The less invasive endovascular treatment can be performed following spinal angiography and provides significant benefits to patients.

Endovascular treatments frequently use liquid embolization materials as well as particle embolization. Particle embolization

\* Fig. 1 * Type I spinal arterial venous malformation. (A) The right L3 spinal segmental artery bears a fistulous connection supplying a dural arteriovenous fistula with retrograde flow along the route to the pial venous plexus. The venous drainage is reversed in that vein. (B) A large dural arterial venous fistula at the right L1 pedicle, with an obvious fistulous site at the dural sleeve of the nerve root. Drainage was predominantly into the spinal canal and superiorly with delay in transit time, consistent with venous hypertension.
materials, like polyvinyl alcohol, Gelfoam, and Embosphere, are used less commonly because of frequent recanalization with particles usually lodging in the arterial side of the arteriovenous shunt, thereby never reaching the venous side. Liquid embolization includes materials such as N-butylcyanoacrylate (NBCA) and Onyx (EV3, Irvine, California, United States). NBCA is an acrylic polymer that polymerizes upon interaction with an ionic medium like blood. It is known as glue because it adheres to biological surfaces. The advancement of embolization materials (particles to glue) decreases the recurrence rate of the fistulas. To improve visualization during endovascular treatment, NBCA is mixed with ethiodized oil to make it radiopaque. A newer liquid polymer, Onyx, is composed of ethylene-vinyl alcohol suspended in dimethyl sulfoxide. A key advantage of Onyx is its ability to be delivered slowly and has proven extremely effective with success rates ~ 98% (Fig. 2A, B). Excellent obliteration rates with low morbidity have been reported for surgical division, while very variable, and at times, inferior results have been reported on long-term follow-up after endovascular therapy. Furthermore, the use of intraoperative microvascular Doppler monitoring and indocyanine green videoangiography enable immediate assessment of treatment efficacy. As such, alternative approaches should be considered when endovascular treatment is contraindicated in patients, such as those who have a DAVF that supplies both the segmental artery and the anterior or posterior spinal artery because of an increased risk of spinal cord ischemia with endovascular treatment. Additionally, when embolization fails, surgical treatment may be required. For example, in patients who have recanalization of a SDAVF after embolization, surgical intervention may be necessary. Treatment of SPDVs, particularly for children, previously showed promise using stereotactic radiosurgery that uses a Gamma Knife.

Potential Alternative Approaches

Although endovascular treatment can be desirable, it can be contraindicated in specific situations. In fact, surgical intervention is often performed and has proven extremely effective with success rates ~ 98% (Fig. 2A, B). Excellent obliteration rates with low morbidity have been reported for surgical division, while very variable, and at times, inferior results have been reported on long-term follow-up after endovascular therapy. Furthermore, the use of intraoperative microvascular Doppler monitoring and indocyanine green videoangiography enable immediate assessment of treatment efficacy. As such, alternative approaches should be considered when endovascular treatment is contraindicated in patients, such as those who have a DAVF that supplies both the segmental artery and the anterior or posterior spinal artery because of an increased risk of spinal cord ischemia with endovascular treatment. Additionally, when embolization fails, surgical treatment may be required. For example, in patients who have recanalization of a SDAVF after embolization, surgical intervention may be necessary. Treatment of SPDVs, particularly for children, previously showed promise using stereotactic radiosurgery that uses a Gamma Knife.

Pitfalls of the Current and Alternative Approaches

Despite continued technological advances and vigorous clinical training, both endovascular and surgical treatments have limitations. Endovascular treatment has reported success rates from 25 to 90% in the literature. Limitations with the liquid polymer NBCA include the unintentional embolization of the wrong arteries, perhaps due to limitations in microcatheter technology. Likewise, the liquid polymer Onyx also has limitations. For instance, recanalization through collateral circulations does occur, possibly because of insufficient delivery of Onyx. However, too much Onyx is also problematic and may inhibit venous circulation and cause venous hypertension. Both endovascular and surgical treatment have limited recovery of motor deficits, sensory dysfunction, and bladder dysfunction. However, the most substantial issue is that the initial occlusion rate of DAVFs with endovascular treatment has not yet reached that with open surgery (72.2% vs 96.6%). Even more concerning, the recurrence rate of DAVFs after endovascular treatment is still higher than that after open surgery. Further studies are required to assess the efficacy of endovascular treatment compared with open surgical intervention.
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