The concept of spectral imaging, or dual-energy computed tomography (DECT), is an old one, described by Godfrey Hounsfield in one of the first descriptions of CT in 1973.¹ This technique had already been suggested as a tool to differentiate tissue materials by using different X-ray spectra. The first applications of DECT to the musculoskeletal system date back to the late 1970s and early 1980s.²–⁴ However, due to technological limitations, its implementation on clinical scanners was delayed until more recent years, particularly when dual-source CT was introduced in 2006.⁵–⁸

The principle of DECT is based on the fact that the attenuation of tissues reflects their CT attenuation number in Hounsfield units (HUs) depends on their density, but also on their atomic number Z, as well as on the energy of the photon beam. To understand how DECT works, we first review the basics of the interactions of the X-ray beam with tissues. The attenuation of tissues in CT is mainly due to two types of interactions.

In the first part of this review article, we explain how DECT works including the different technical approaches currently available on the market, as well as radiation dose considerations.
between the X-ray beam and tissues: the photoelectric and the Compton effects. The Compton effect strongly depends on the electron density ($\rho_e$) of the material, which is correlated with mass density, but in the small range of photons’ energies used in CT, the Compton effect does not depend on photon energy (Fig. 1). The Compton effect is the main determinant of soft tissue contrast. The photoelectric effect, in contrast, strongly depends on the effective atomic number ($Z_{\text{eff}}$) of the material as well as on the energy of the photons. Lighter atoms, such as most atoms in soft tissues and water, do not present much of a photoelectric effect in the range of energies used in clinical CT scans. Calcium and iodine, however, are

---

Fig. 1 Interactions of the X-ray beam with tissues. Photoelectric and Compton effects of various materials (iodine, calcium, and water) on attenuation level ($\mu$ [cm$^{-1}$/g]), at different energy levels. In the range of photons’ energies used in computed tomography (grayed area), the photoelectric effect, contrary to the Compton effect, strongly depends on the effective atomic number ($Z_{\text{eff}}$) of the material as well as on the energy of the photons.

Fig. 2 Attenuation level (computed tomography number) of various components depending on the X-ray beam energy. The attenuation of higher Z materials such as calcium or iodine depends on beam energy levels, due to the photoelectric effect. This property can be used to differentiate those materials by combining the information obtained with two different energy levels of photons spectra.

Fig. 3 Influence of the effective atomic number $Z_{\text{eff}}$ on the attenuation (HU). The x-axis represents the HU value of a voxel at 140 kVp; the y-axis represents the HU value at 80 kVp. Each component is characterized by its slope, depending on $Z_{\text{eff}}$. The location of the value of a given voxel along this slope depends on the density of its components. The computed tomography (CT) number of water and soft tissues (which have comparable $Z_{\text{eff}}$ values) is not energy dependent. Thus CT numbers of soft tissues will remain almost constant when varying the X-ray beam energy (thin dashed line). The location on this line will only depend on the density of the tissue (compare fat and soft tissue). For components with higher $Z_{\text{eff}}$, the photoelectric effect will come into play, and attenuation will be higher for lower energy levels (the higher the $Z_{\text{eff}}$, the steeper the slope).
susceptible to the photoelectric effect at lower energy levels, which can be exploited to differentiate those materials.

As seen in Fig. 2, the attenuation coefficient of higher Z materials such as calcium or iodine is higher for lower beam energy levels. This is due to the photoelectric effect. In Fig. 2, when using a single-energy level, such as the effective X-ray beam energy obtained at 120 kV, it is not possible to differentiate tissues containing calcium or iodine based on their attenuation level (both show CT numbers of ~ 1,000 HU). However, when combining the information obtained by using two different energy levels of photon spectra (i.e., at effective energies produced when applying 80 and 140 kV), this differentiation can be made (iodine has a higher \( Z_{\text{eff}} \) than calcium, so its CT number is higher at a lower beam energy). To show graphically the influence of the \( Z_{\text{eff}} \) on CT numbers, it is convenient to represent the CT numbers at high and low energies as shown in Fig. 3. Because the photoelectric effect is highly dependent on \( Z_{\text{eff}} \), the higher the \( Z_{\text{eff}} \), the steeper the slope. The slope is a characteristic of the material, and the location of the value of a given pixel along this slope depends on density. Using these properties one can:

- Decompose attenuation coefficients into two basis-material components and generate basis-material density images (Fig. 4)
- Decompose the measured attenuation coefficients into Compton and photoelectric effects
- Measure the \( Z_{\text{eff}} \) and \( \rho_e \) of each voxel (Fig. 5)
- Synthesize virtual monochromatic images at the desired energy level (Fig. 6)

To separate attenuation coefficients into two basis-material components and generate basis-material density images, we must remember that the CT number of water is not energy dependent. Thus CT numbers of soft tissues (with \( Z_{\text{eff}} \) values comparable to that of water) remain almost constant when varying the X-ray beam energy.

An example may illustrate the principle. A voxel contains a fraction \( f_A \) of material A and fraction \( f_B \) of material B. The goal is to assess \( f_A \) and \( f_B \). To answer this, one must solve the simple set of two equations with two unknowns:

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{CT}_{\text{High}} &= f_A \text{CT}(A)_{\text{High}} + f_B \text{CT}(B)_{\text{High}} \\
\text{CT}_{\text{Low}} &= f_A \text{CT}(A)_{\text{Low}} + f_B \text{CT}(B)_{\text{Low}}
\end{align*}
\]

\( \text{CT}_{\text{High}} \) and \( \text{CT}_{\text{Low}} \) are the CT numbers of the voxel measured, respectively, at high and low energies, so CT \( (A)_{\text{High}} \) is the CT number of material A at high energy, and so on.
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**Fig. 4** A 35-year-old man referred by an orthopedic surgeon for acute pain and swelling in his left foot. (a) Conventional polychromatic computed tomography (CT) image shows indeterminate soft tissue masses around the first (arrowheads) and fifth (arrows) metatarsophalangeal joints, as well as the base of the fifth metatarsal bone, with CT numbers ranging from 150 to 200 HU and suggestive of gouty tophi. Basis-material (uric acid [b] versus calcium hydroxyapatite [c]) decomposition of dual-energy computed tomography data confirm the diagnosis of acute gout, with monosodium urate deposits of variable densities (arrows and arrowheads) visible on uric acid maps (b) and little calcium seen on the corresponding calcium hydroxyapatite images (c).
To get the best material characterization, DECT should be performed in these conditions:

- Use two monochromatic (only one X-ray energy) beams with very different energy levels
- Acquire both data sets simultaneously
- Get images with the same quantity of photons on the detectors

However, CT technology is based on the use of conventional X-ray tubes that produce a spectrum of photons. The production of X-rays with this quite old technology remains...
the state of the art, but the use of a spectrum instead of monochromatic photons is associated with several limitations. The first one is beam hardening, which introduces a variation of the effective X-ray energy that depends on the quantity and type of material the beam will have to pass through. The second major limitation is the separation of the effective energies because X-ray spectra will always contain a fraction of low-energy photons. Another limitation of DECT resides in the need for heavy postprocessing, which is being standardized but remains time consuming.\textsuperscript{16,18}

Three main types of algorithms are currently in use to postprocess DECT data sets\textsuperscript{16}: (1) Image optimization algorithms usually provide three sets of images: two sets of monoenenergetic images (typically at 80 or 100 keV and 140 keV), as well as an “optimum contrast” image from nonlinear blending of the low-energy images (providing high contrast) and high-energy images (providing low noise). (2) Differentiation algorithms allow the subtraction of a certain material from the data set, or the differentiation between two materials, through color coding, for example. (3) Quantification

Table 1 Basic principles, advantages, and disadvantages of currently available spectral imaging or dual-energy computed tomography techniques

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Spectral imaging technique (manufacturer)</th>
<th>Main advantages</th>
<th>Main limitations</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sequential scans at low and high kilovolts (typically 80 and 140 kV) (All CT scanners with particular filtering strategies including Toshiba)</td>
<td>- Possible optimization of X-ray spectra to increase effective energy difference - Possible to get similar X-ray numbers at detector level</td>
<td>- Risk of patient motion between scans (projection data not paired)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dual-source CT (two X-ray tubes): low and high kilovolts acquired simultaneously (Siemens)</td>
<td>- Possible optimization of X-ray spectra to increase effective energy difference - Possible to get similar X-ray numbers at detector level - Radiation dose can be optimized through tube current modulation</td>
<td>- Projection data not paired (slight difference in acquisition time of the two data sets) - Space limitation inside the gantry (first-generation dual-source CT)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rapid kilovolt switching (typically 80 and 140 kV) (GE)</td>
<td>- Projection pairs possible by interpolation</td>
<td>- Impossible optimization of X-ray spectra to increase effective energy difference - Complex generator control - Tube current modulation not available - Difficult to get similar X-ray numbers at detector level</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>One kilovolt with energy-discriminating detector (the top layer absorbs low-energy X-rays, whereas the bottom layer absorbs high-energy X-rays) (Philips)</td>
<td>- Perfectly paired projection data</td>
<td>- Imperfect energy discrimination - Difficult to get similar X-ray numbers at detector level</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>One kilovolt with two filters in X-ray beam to achieve spectral separation (gold and tin filters) (Siemens)</td>
<td>- Projection pairs possible by interpolation</td>
<td>- Limited optimization of X-ray spectra to increase effective energy difference - Difficult to get similar X-ray numbers at detector level</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviation: CT, computed tomography.
Radiation Dose Considerations in DECT

The radiation exposure required for DECT depends on the technology used. As discussed by Henzler et al., multiple studies have shown that dual-source DECT does not lead to increased radiation dose compared with conventional single-energy multidetector computed tomography. These data were mainly gathered from cardiac and chest applications. This seems to also hold true for musculoskeletal applications. It has been shown that detection of bone marrow lesions in the knee and the ankle with dual-source DECT, using the virtual noncalcium technique, could be performed with a dose-neutral protocol (compared with conventional CT), taking advantage of the small cross-sectional scan area of the knee, compared with body applications. For peripheral joints, a slight increase in radiation dose might not be a problem because the effective dose to these small anatomical structures, away from any radiosensitive organs, is negligible. With dual-source DECT, radiation dose reductions strategies include tube current modulation and the use of tin filters with the high-energy spectrum (to get rid of lower energy quanta and optimize the separation between the high- and low-energy spectra). The increased contrast of the lower energy spectrum can also be used to compensate for increased noise (keeping contrast-to-noise ratio levels constant).

For single-source DECT with rapid kilovolt switching, the radiation dose is usually higher than conventional monenergetic CT, with ratios up to three times more radiation. When matched for image quality assessed by low-contrast detectability, the radiation dose remains roughly 22% and 14% higher with single-source DECT with rapid kilovolt switching compared with conventional monenergetic CT (evaluated for head and body examinations). This is at least partly due to the nonavailability of tube current modulation with the rapid kilovolt switching technique.

For both dual-source and rapid kilovolt switching techniques, further radiation dose reduction is possible in various musculoskeletal conditions with the association of iterative reconstruction techniques with newer generation scanners (see the dose optimization articles by Omoumi et al in this issue). For other techniques of DECT, there is no or only scarce literature addressing radiation dose issues.

Conclusion

With its unique ability to differentiate basis materials by their atomic number, DECT has opened new perspectives in imaging. Used appropriately, it should not lead to an increase in radiation dose. Several image reconstruction algorithms have been developed to improve image contrast (i.e., by generating monoenergetic images), to characterize or subtract certain materials in the image, as well as to perform automatized volumetric measurements.
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