Borderline Intracranial Hypertension Manifesting as Chronic Fatigue Syndrome Treated by Venous Sinus Stenting
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Abstract

Chronic fatigue syndrome and cases of idiopathic intracranial hypertension without signs of raised intracranial pressure can be impossible to distinguish without direct measurement of intracranial pressure. Moreover, lumbar puncture, the usual method of measuring intracranial pressure, can produce a similar respite from symptoms in patients with chronic fatigue as it does in idiopathic intracranial hypertension. This suggests a connection between them, with chronic fatigue syndrome representing a forme fruste variant of idiopathic intracranial hypertension. If this were the case, then treatments available for idiopathic intracranial hypertension might be appropriate for chronic fatigue. We describe a 49-year-old woman with a long and debilitating history of chronic fatigue syndrome who was targeted for investigation of intracranial pressure because of headache, then diagnosed with borderline idiopathic intracranial hypertension after lumbar puncture and cerebrospinal fluid drainage. Further investigation showed narrowings at the anterior ends of the transverse sinuses, typical of those seen in idiopathic intracranial hypertension and associated with pressure gradients. Stenting of both transverse sinuses brought about a life-changing remission of symptoms with no regression in 2 years of follow-up. This result invites study of an alternative approach to the investigation and management of chronic fatigue.

Introduction

The particular nature of chronic fatigue syndrome and the continuing failure to establish a cause has bred scepticism over whether it truly represents an organic disorder.1 Yet it has striking similarities with idiopathic intracranial hypertension (IIH), a condition that is also of unknown cause but one in which the physiologic disturbance can be measured.2,3 Thus headache, which is the cardinal feature of IIH, is common in chronic fatigue. Fatigue, the defining feature of chronic fatigue syndrome, is common in IIH. Depression, dizziness, joint pains, impaired memory, and concentration are found in both4,5 with chronic fatigue syndrome defined by symptoms alone, whereas IIH is recognized by signs of raised intracranial pressure.

These similarities might not be important if one could rely on the clinical signs of raised intracranial pressure (mainly papilledema) to tease out patients with IIH from those who otherwise satisfy the criteria for chronic fatigue syndrome. However, some patients with IIH betray no signs of raised intracranial pressure and demonstrate no other critical features.
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intracranial pressure, and these would be impossible to
differentiate from other patients with chronic fatigue syn-
drome on clinical grounds because, like all patients with
chronic fatigue, they would have no clinical signs. Rather,
they would need lumbar puncture and direct measurement
of intracranial pressure to establish the diagnosis.

Even this would not be particularly important except that
current clinical practice makes it inevitable that cases of IIH
will be routinely missed in patients whose primary complaint
is fatigue. In the first place there is a reluctance to look for IIH
without papilledema because it is thought to be rare. Second
there is the idea that lumbar puncture represents an over-
investigation of patients with a settled diagnosis of chronic
fatigue syndrome.7

The issue at stake, however, may be more than just a failure
to pick up cases of IIH in patients with chronic fatigue. Is it
possible the two conditions are related? Without question,
IIH in its fully developed form is an easily recognizable clinical
condition, readily confirmed by lumbar puncture. Neverthe-
less, the definition of IIH requires only that intracranial
pressure is elevated and that the cause is unknown; there
need be no clinical signs and no symptoms that might
normally be attributed to the condition.3 Yet even this
definition, which allows that IIH may appear in several guises,
is arbitrary because it is based on reference values for
intracranial pressure that assume a clear demarcation be-
tween what is normal and what is abnormal.

These reference values are convenient for defining patient
groups for academic study but provide no guidance on the
diagnosis or management of patients who are suspected of
having IIH but whose intracranial pressures are not high
enough to match them. On this note, the criteria on intracra-
nial pressure that de

Another condition, readily con-
fi

condition in full, suggesting there is a disease spectrum in
patients generally have lower pressures than patients with
the syndrome in full, suggesting there is a disease spectrum
in which the absence of papilledema implies a milder form.9
Could chronic fatigue syndrome therefore represent a variant
of IIH further along this spectrum, effectively indistinguis-
hable from IIH without papilledema except that intracranial
pressures fail to reach the requisite criteria?

We describe a case of chronic fatigue syndrome investigat-
ed and treated according to a protocol being developed at our
institution, as if the patient had a disorder of raised intracra-
nial pressure similar to IIH, with results that should encour-
age a reappraisal of this clinical problem.

Case Report

A 49-year-old woman presented to the clinic with a 20-year
history of fatigue developing after a viral illness. She remem-
bered being unable to keep awake in the first 3 months. This
was followed by a level of fatigue that had fluctuated over the
years, but she had rarely been able to work full time and was
currently signed down by her doctor to 15 hours per week.
She had put on 40 kg during this period taking her from an
ideal body weight into the severely obese range (body mass
index: 37). A recent endocrine assessment had been normal.

There was evidence of previous exposure to Epstein-Barr
virus.

On presentation, she complained of being tired all the
time, near constant headache, fogginess in the head, an
inability to concentrate, muscle and joint aches, shortness
of breath, and a sore throat.

Clinical examination was unremarkable. There was no
papilledema. All further blood tests were normal, and satis-
fying the requisite criteria,1 she was diagnosed with chronic
fatigue syndrome.

She refused cognitive behavioral therapy. However, under
a protocol being developed at our institution for patients with
chronic fatigue and headache,10,11 she was also offered
investigations to exclude raised intracranial pressure that
she accepted.

Computed tomographic (CT) venography showed narrow-
ing at the anterior ends of both transverse venous sinuses
(►Fig. 1) consistent with raised intracranial pressure. Lumbar
puncture revealed an opening pressure of 20 cm H2O. A total
of 15 mL cerebrospinal fluid (CSF) were drained, after which
her head became clear and her headache resolved. She then
felt exceptionally well—headache free, less tired, and reduced
body pains—for 4 days before reverting to her baseline state.

With this response to lumbar puncture she was now
diagnosed with IIH (albeit in a mild form) and was offered
catheter venography to establish whether there was intra-
cranial venous hypertension with a view to venous sinus
stenting. Midsagittal sinus pressure was 23 cm H2O, and there
was a focal 10 cm H2O pressure at the anterior end of the
transverse sinus on the side on which it was measured
(►Fig. 2). She subsequently had both transverse sinuses
stented simultaneously in a separate procedure under gener-
al anesthesia (►Figs. 3a, b).

At 3-month follow-up she described occasional sharp
headaches easily controlled with a small dose of amitripty-
line. Her pressure headaches and fatigue had resolved. Her
aches and pains were improved. She could concentrate
normally. CT venography showed that the stents and venous

Fig. 1 Axial computed tomographic venogram. This shows narrowing at the anterior ends of both transverse sinuses (arrows).
sinuses were all widely patent (Fig. 4). Lumbar puncture revealed an opening pressure of 19 cm H\textsubscript{2}O.

At 12- and 24-month follow-up she was still taking 20 mg amitriptyline at night for minor residual headaches. There had been no recurrence of fatigue or other symptoms. She was working full time and had lost 9 kg in weight.

**Discussion**

Similarities between chronic fatigue syndrome and IIH have prompted us to look specifically for IIH in cases of chronic fatigue syndrome where headache is a prominent symptom.\textsuperscript{10–12} This is on the basis that there are no particular features of a headache that exclude raised intracranial pressure and that IIH may be associated with no clinical signs.\textsuperscript{8} Raised intracranial pressure in these patients therefore can only be ruled out by direct measurement.

Most of the patients we have investigated in this way have had intracranial pressures that fail to make the cut-off for IIH, but a number have been borderline and in some the intracranial hypertension has been unequivocal.\textsuperscript{10} Regardless of the absolute value of the CSF pressure, however, we have found that most respond clinically to CSF withdrawal,\textsuperscript{11,12} an observation suggesting that a disturbance of intracranial pressure is a critical component of their clinical condition.

The patient we describe in this report is a typical example. An opening pressure of 20 cm H\textsubscript{2}O in someone without papilledema might provoke argument about whether or not this was outside the normal range, but the response to

---

**Fig. 2** Catheter venogram, frontal view. Injection of radiographic contrast through a microcatheter into the superior sagittal sinus (SSS) outlines the transverse sinuses (TS), sigmoid sinuses (SS), and jugular veins (JV) on both sides and a right occipital sinus (OS). There are narrowings at the anterior ends of both transverse sinuses (arrows).

**Fig. 3** Stenting procedure. (A) Unsubtracted frontal view shows stents (arrows) in both transverse sinuses just after deployment. (B) The same frontal view, subtracted, comprising a composite of two frames 0.5 seconds apart, following injection of radiographic contrast into the superior sagittal sinus shows the narrowed segments on venous outflow expanded by the stents (arrows).

**Fig. 4** Axial computed tomographic venogram. This shows widely patent stents in both transverse sinuses (arrows).
CSF withdrawal links headache (and other symptoms) to intracranial pressure and supports a diagnosis of IIH.\(^8\)

IIH is still a condition of unknown etiology, but there is no question that it is an organic syndrome with a hierarchy of treatment options that can be applied according to clinical need. Venous sinus stenting was first put forward as an alternative to other invasive procedures in cases of severe refractory IIH, that is, in patients with severe symptoms, sometimes at risk of blindness.\(^13\) Since then, however, its application in IIH has widened as experience has shown that it is no less effective than alternative surgical approaches and may be safer.\(^14\)

By definition, chronic fatigue syndrome cannot present in an equivalent acute form as it is sometimes seen in IIH where a patient can be rendered blind over the course of days without treatment. Yet it still represents a condition that can be chronically and severely disabling, a condition in which the application of the more invasive procedures used to treat resistant IIH might be appropriate.\(^2,7\)

With respect to the treatment that might be offered to patients whose primary symptom is fatigue and specifically with regard to venous sinus stenting, there has been debate over whether the transverse sinus narrowing seen in IIH represents the cause of raised intracranial pressure or whether it is simply an epiphenomenon, that is, the result of compression of the venous sinuses by raised intracranial pressure from a cause still unknown.\(^15\) The debate is unresolved, but in the meantime, stenting the venous narrowing has been shown to bring clear clinical benefit.\(^13,14\)

If this case adds to the debate, it is notable that the relief of symptoms after stenting was profound, yet there was only a minimal reduction in CSF pressure at follow-up. Does this simply mean that very minor changes in intracranial pressure can give rise to significant symptoms? Or does it reinforce the notion that CSF pressure is of secondary importance, inevitably influenced by intracranial venous pressure, but leaving intracranial venous pressure itself as the principal determinant of the clinical picture?\(^16\)

In this latter scenario, with intracranial venous hypertension as the primary pathology, high CSF pressures and a positive response to CSF drainage would be no more than clues to the presence of underlying venous disease but equally clues that may be absent. Thus it might be inappropriate to fix on a threshold value of CSF pressure that would exclude problems with cranial venous outflow or even to require a response to CSF withdrawal in this situation.\(^16\) A positive clinical response to CSF withdrawal, however, would be encouragement to pursue investigation of venous pathology even in patients whose opening CSF pressures fell well within the normal range.

**Conclusion**

The notion that chronic fatigue syndrome might represent a disorder of intracranial pressure similar to IIH is new in the medical literature and challenges preconceptions regarding the boundaries between normal and abnormal intracranial pressure. Although the cause of IIH is equally unknown or debated, the specifics of this case also raise questions regarding the relationship between intracranial venous pressures and CSF pressure and the relative importance of each in the development of symptoms. The unequivocally favorable outcome suggests that this is an area ripe for further study.
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