Intravascular Near-Infrared Spectroscopy: A Possible Tool for Optimizing the Management of Carotid Artery Disease
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Abstract

Stroke is the second most common cause of morbidity and mortality in the Western nations. It is estimated that approximately one-fifth of all strokes or transient ischemic attacks are caused by carotid artery disease. Thus, treatment of carotid artery disease as a mean of stroke prevention is extremely important. Since the introduction of carotid endarterectomy, debate has persisted over the treatment strategy for carotid artery disease. Current recommendations have many potential flaws because they are often based on older trials performed before the introduction of modern pharmacotherapy and are mostly based on the angiographic degree of stenosis, without an emphasis on the pathophysiology of the disease. Most carotid events are caused by rupture or distal embolization of the content of an unstable atherosclerotic plaque with a large lipid pool. Thus, it is plausible that the information regarding the composition of the atherosclerotic plaque could play an important role in deciding on a treatment strategy. In this review article, we provide information about near-infrared spectroscopy, a new invasive imaging modality, which seems to be capable of providing such information.
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Ischemic stroke is a major public health issue because it is the most common cause of disability and the third leading cause of death in Western countries.1–3 It is estimated that atherosclerotic plaques in the internal carotid artery cause approximately 15 to 20% of all ischemic strokes.4 Because the disease is extremely debilitating, primary and secondary prevention is a deeply important topic. In the vast majority of cases, stenosis is caused by an atherosclerotic process.5,6 Our options in the management of the disease include a conservative approach, with optimal medication and carotid revascularization by either carotid endarterectomy (CEA) or carotid artery stenting (CAS). The guidelines for the management of carotid artery disease have mostly been based on clinical symptoms and the degree of stenosis.1,2 Many points regarding this issue remain controversial, partly because we currently do not have sufficient information about the composition of the plaque that causes the disease. With the introduction of modern imaging modalities, which are capable of providing such information, we might be able to optimize the management and tailor it more suitably to each patient according to the composition of the atherosclerotic plaque. Although noninvasive imaging methods will likely provide better solutions once they are capable of providing a powerful enough resolution, the new invasive imaging modality near-infrared spectroscopy (NIRS) seems to have great potential. As we can assume from the research conducted on coronary arteries, NIRS could have many useful applications in the management of carotid artery disease. It could help with the optimization of CAS by providing background for decisions about the embolic protection or stent used. It could also aid in the risk stratification of patients to CAS or CEA and with decision making in the treatment of asymptomatic patients. Herein, we provide background regarding this topic.
Current Evidence on Carotid Artery Disease

Invasive treatment of carotid artery disease has been a well-recognized form of stroke prevention for decades. The first CEA, performed in 1953, was followed by the first carotid angioplasty approximately three decades later. Much scientific evidence for the effectiveness of the respective approaches followed these milestones.

In the early 1990s, the benefit of CEA over the contemporary standard medical therapy in symptomatic patients with greater than 50% carotid stenosis was confirmed by the first large, randomized, controlled trials: the North American Symptomatic Carotid Endarterectomy Trial (NASCET) and the European Carotid Surgery Trial (ECST). The Asymptomatic Carotid Atherosclerosis Study (ACAS) and the Asymptomatic Carotid Surgery Trial (ACST) subsequently provided background for the treatment of asymptomatic patients.

The main limitation of all of these studies, despite highly recognized data, was that they were performed before the introduction of modern pharmacotherapy, such as statins or modern antihypertensives.

CAS challenged CEA in the first randomized, controlled trials more than a decade later. At first, the Stenting and Angioplasty with Protection in Patients at High Risk for Endarterectomy (SAPHIRE) trial confirmed the noninferiority of CAS over CEA for symptomatic patients at increased risk for surgical complications.

In the first trials, which included patients with an average surgical risk, CAS fared considerably worse. The Endarterectomy Versus Angioplasty in Patients with Severe Symptomatic Carotid Stenosis (EVA-3S) study had to be terminated prematurely because of a significantly higher incidence of 30-day complications with CAS. In addition, the Stent-protected Percutaneous Angioplasty of the Carotid versus Endarterectomy (SPACE) trial failed to prove noninferiority of CAS compared with CEA for the periprocedural complication rate.

The International Carotid Stenting Study (ICSS), which enrolled a large cohort of patients, documented statistically higher incidences of stroke, death, and periprocedural myocardial infarction (MI) in the CAS group than in the CEA group. However, all of the aforementioned trials were heavily criticized because of their flawed designs (i.e., the trials included physicians with only limited experience with CAS, and no embolic protection devices were required).

The largest trial that compared CAS to CEA in patients at average surgical risk was the Stenting Versus Endarterectomy for Treatment of Carotid Artery Stenosis (CREST) study. This study, which was arguably the best-designed study, also yielded the most promising results with CAS thus far. The study included a total of 2,502 patients who were randomized to either CAS or CEA. At 2.5 years of follow-up, there was no significant difference (7.2% vs. 6.8%, p = 0.51) in the estimated 4-year rates of the primary end point (a composite of stroke, MI or death from any causes during the periprocedural period [30 days] or any ipsilateral stroke). Importantly, although the incidence of the composite outcome was similar between the groups, there was a statistically significant difference between the incidence of minor stroke and MI.

Stroke was more frequent with CAS (4.1 vs. 2.3%, p = 0.01), and MI was more likely to appear after CEA (2.3 vs. 1.1%, p = 0.03).

Despite such a long experience, the treatment of carotid artery disease remains a challenge and the prevention of complications is crucial, given the large population of patients affected. The new diagnostic method NIRS seems to have potential in the risk stratification of patients and in the improvement of complication rates, particularly in the reduction of distal embolization.

Near-Infrared Spectroscopy

Near-infrared spectroscopy is a modern intravascular diagnostic modality that was developed for the detection of the lipid content of atherosclerotic plaques. The technique has been used for decades in science and industry to determine the chemical composition of substances. The principle of the method is the different absorbances of electromagnetic radiation in the near-infrared spectrum (wavelengths from 800 to 2,500 nm) based on the presence of various chemical bonds in substances. When the backscattered signal is analyzed, a characteristic map of absorbance is obtained. The map is typical of every substance, only as a “fingerprint.”

The idea that NIRS could be useful for the detection of cholesterol within atherosclerotic plaques is more than 20 years old, but it took many years before a catheter suitable for intravascular diagnostics was developed. In 2008, Gardner et al published a validation study that proved the efficacy of NIRS compared with histology as the gold standard. A receiver operating characteristic analysis of the results yielded an area under the curve value of 0.89 (95% confidence interval, 0.76–0.85), suggesting that the method was accurate in the detection of lipid cores. One year later, the safety and efficacy of the method were proved in vivo. Subsequently, the method received Food and Drug Administration (FDA) and Conformité Européenne (CE) approval for use in coronary arteries, triggering a boom of research in the field.

Today, a commercially produced catheter is available. The imaging device consists of the following three main parts: a dual modality 3.2 F imaging catheter that incorporates both NIRS and intravascular ultrasound (IVUS), a device for mechanical pullback and rotation of the catheter through a vessel and a console with which one can observe the results of both imaging modalities in real time in the catheterization laboratory.

The IVUS results of the examination are depicted on both a tomographic ultrasound image of the vessel and a longitudinal IVUS cross-section. Together with the NIRS results, the IVUS results provide us with a so-called true vessel characterization. From the IVUS image, we can learn about the anatomical structure of the vessel, including the dimensions of lumen and external elastic membrane, the degree of stenosis, and the plaque burden.

The NIRS data are presented as a chemogram. Every pixel of this rectangular color-coded probability map represents the probability of lipid presence at the given location. Low probabilities of lipids are depicted as red, while the other
The extreme of the scale is represented as yellow. The X-axis of
the chemogram indicates the pullback position in millimeters,
while the Y-axis represents the circumferential position in
degrees, as though the coronary vessel has been
incised along its longitudinal axis (► Fig. 3).25,26 The lipid-
core burden index (LCBI) was established to satisfy the need
for the quantification of the presence of lipids. The LCBI is
deﬁned as the proportion of yellow and red pixels on the
chemogram multiplied by 1000. The maximal LCBI per 4 mm
describes the region with the highest lipid burden.22,25–27

NIRS–IVUS for Optimizing CAS

Despite more than three decades of experience with carotid
interventions, there still seems to be much room for improv-
ing and ﬁne tuning the procedure to prevent adverse
events.9,16,17,20,21 Large prospective trials that compared
CAS and CEA suggested that the higher incidence of stroke
due to distal embolization is an important limitation of CAS,
leading to worse outcomes of patients.17–19,21 It was demon-
strated that new ischemic lesions could be detected by
diffusion-weighted magnetic resonance imaging (MRI)
more often after CAS than after CEA.28 Although the CREST
trial did not ﬁnd a signiﬁcant difference in its primary end
point, the incidence of minor stroke was higher in the CAS
group,21 indicating a great area of improvement in CAS,
which could theoretically drive its results even beyond those
for CEA in a well-selected population of patients. This fact is
why it is crucial to distinguish patients with a higher risk of
periprocedural stroke and either to schedule them for CEA as
a safer option or to tailor the CAS procedure accordingly.
using different types of embolic protection devices and stents. Interestingly, the CREST study taught us that younger patients tended to benefit from CAS, while older patients profited from CEA. A possible explanation of this phenomenon might be the more advanced atherosclerosis in older patients, leading to a higher risk of periprocedural stroke during CAS. Many diagnostic methods have been tested for the detection of such high-risk carotid plaques. Some results were obtained with conventional ultrasound. Promising results were obtained with MRI, where some plaque features such as intraplaque hemorrhage or lipid core were associated with plaque vulnerability. A promising method, given its high spatial resolution and correlation with the histopathology of carotid plaques, is multidetector computed tomography.

Because NIRS is an invasive imaging modality, it can be assumed that it will preferably be used in patients already indicated for carotid angiography and/or stenting. In such cases, the risks associated with the procedure will not be dramatically increased. Current knowledge suggests that NIRS could play an important role in the detection of lipid-rich high-risk plaques. Knowledge about the quantity of lipids could play an important role in decisions about the treatment strategy. We hypothesize that CEA might be the preferable treatment strategy in patients with a high lipid burden. Another possible outcome is a stricter approach to embolic protection. Herein, we provide hypotheses for the use of NIRS in carotid interventions based on the current findings from coronary research. It is important to emphasize that these coronary data are mostly from small pioneering studies, and most of the hypotheses must be verified by larger trials. The later mentioned assumptions must therefore be considered cautiously.

**Embolic Protection**

As aforementioned, distal embolization of atherosclerotic debris is a major limitation of CAS, and different embolic protection devices have been developed to reduce this risk. Currently, either distal protection with a filter or proximal protection devices are routinely used.

As demonstrated in the coronary arteries, NIRS could be very helpful for predicting the distal embolization of the lipid content. Studies have proved that high LCBI is associated with periprocedural MI. The CANARY trial proved that this association could still be observed when a distal protection filter was used. It can be assumed that this knowledge could also be transferred to carotid interventions (CANARY trial, Coronary Assessment by Near-infrared of Atherosclerotic Rupture-prone Yellow, NCT01268319). We recently published the first case report of the use of NIRS in CAS, demonstrating the distal embolization of lipid cores during a filter-protected CAS that was associated with transient neurologic symptoms. Although we currently do not have any supporting evidence, it can be hypothesized that high LCBI is associated with a greater risk of distal embolization and periprocedural stroke. A weak correlation between plaque composition and new ipsilateral MRI lesions was observed in a small IVUS virtual histology study. In such cases, NIRS might be used for the risk stratification of patients, either to decide between the types of protection devices used or, in high-risk patients, to switch the strategy to CEA. Obviously, these hypotheses are only speculation and clinical trials are needed to prove them.
Optimization of Stenting

Spectroscopy might also be used for the optimization of stent length to cover the whole lesion based on a more precise determination of the extent of the plaque or to decide between the types of stent used. Coronary case studies have documented acute in-stent thrombosis following percutaneous coronary interventions (PCIs) in which the lipid core, imaged by NIRS, was not entirely covered by a stent.48,49 A small study by Dixon et al suggested the potential of NIRS–IVUS in the optimization of stent length to cover the whole LCP.50 Furthermore, a small study by Papayannis et al observed an association between the amount of LCBI and the formation of thrombi after PCI, as determined by optical coherence spectroscopy.51

Some data have also suggested that the embolic load during a carotid intervention might vary according to the type of stent used.52 The idea here is that whereas the open cell type of stent is less likely to cause kinking of a tortuous vessel, the closed cell type of stent affords fuller coverage of the lesion and thus more effectively prevents distal embolization of the plaque’s content. Although other studies have disproved this hypothesis,53 closed cell types of stents are indeed used in lesions with suspected high emboligenicity in common practice. If the theory proves to be true, decision making about the type of stent used might be another important use of NIRS.

The Role of NIRS–IVUS in the Risk Stratification of Patients

Although the research into the management of carotid artery disease has already passed several important milestones, the indications for revascularization in asymptomatic patients remain uncertain. The relative benefit of invasive revascularization over modern complex medical therapy, paired with smoking cessation programs, remains unclear.20,54 Most of the evidences on which we base our decisions were obtained before the introduction of modern drugs. It is essential to identify a subgroup of patients at higher risk for stroke that will benefit from revascularization and subsequently to choose the most suitable and safest form of revascularization.

The intention behind the development of NIRS was to identify the so-called vulnerable atherosclerotic plaques. These lesions supposedly bear a greater risk of causing a carotid event. Thus, the identification of such plaques in vivo might be essential for the risk stratification of patients to either medical therapy or one of the types of revascularization.

Current research in the field of NIRS is focused in this direction. From coronary research, we have learned that lesions responsible for acute coronary syndrome more often harbor lipid core plaques55 and that the lesions responsible for ST-elevation MI can be characterized by a certain threshold of LCBI.56 A small, pioneering prospective trial recently found that the amount of LCBI was correlated with the incidence of major cardiovascular events (the composite of all-cause mortality, nonfatal ACS, stroke, and unplanned coronary revascularization).57 Much larger prospective coronary studies are already in the process of patient recruitment, and they are expected to yield results in a few years. Obviously, it might be difficult to transfer the knowledge learned in the coronary studies to the carotid arteries if we consider the different pathophysiolgies of acute events in the different vascular beds. Most carotid events are caused by embolisms, while acute coronary events are usually caused by the rupture of lipid-rich vulnerable plaques. The information might thus be untransferable.

Once we decide that revascularization is the more beneficial option, a decision between CAS and CEA remains to be made. Obviously, patients with many internal comorbidities who are at high risk for surgical complications or who have different attitudes about surgery will probably benefit from the less invasive CAS, as we also can assume from the results of the SAPHIRE trial.16 In contrast, patients with lipid-laden plaques have a higher risk of periprocedural stroke.20,21 These patients will probably benefit from CEA. In such cases, NIRS could provide important insights.

Conclusion

Carotid artery disease is a major cause of morbidity and mortality, and its treatment plays an important role in the prevention of stroke. Current guidelines for the management of the disease contain many possible flaws because they are partly based on outdated evidence and do not emphasize the pathophysiology of the disease. Near-infrared spectroscopy paired with IVUS might provide important information about the anatomy and composition of an atherosclerotic plaque, and this information could play a crucial role in the management of carotid artery disease in the near future. The authors of this review have outlined a few possible applications of NIRS in the coronary arteries, ranging from the more conceivable utilization in the optimization of CAS to prevent periprocedural stroke to a less probable role in the risk stratification of asymptomatic patients. However, it is important to emphasize that all of these speculations are based on the first pieces of information that were obtained from coronary artery research. No evidence is currently available for the utility of NIRS in carotid arteries.

Summary

Carotid artery disease is a major cause of morbidity and mortality, and its treatment serves an important role in the prevention of stroke. Current guidelines on the management of the disease contain many flaws because they are partly based on outdated evidence. Near-infrared spectroscopy is a novel diagnostic method that could be useful for the improvement of the management of carotid atherosclerosis by providing more thorough information about the underlying carotid plaque. Currently, we are only at the beginning of research in this field, and solid evidence is lacking. It seems plausible that better risk stratification of patients based on the information provided by NIRS and IVUS might decrease the incidence of adverse events during the invasive treatment of carotid artery disease.
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