Partial Hearing Preservation after Translabyrinthine Vestibular Schwannoma Resection: Case Report and Review of the Literature
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Introduction

The translabyrinthine (TL) approach for resection of a vestibular schwannoma (VS) is considered the most reliable method for complete tumor removal. In addition, it is generally associated with better facial nerve function.1,2 However, it is thought to lead to complete hearing loss. The other two common approaches, middle cranial fossa (MCF) and retrosigmoid (RS), are more difficult with a worse angle of dissection and with greater risk to the facial nerve due to its location during the procedure (MCF) and a greater risk of incomplete tumor removal in the lateral internal auditory canal (IAC) for the retrosigmoid approach. These two approaches are considered hearing sparing with better hearing preservation reported in the MCF approach for small < 1 cm tumors that do not extend to the fundus. With larger tumors, the RS approach is advocated when hearing preservation is a possibility. However, in medium to large tumors (Hanover stage T3 [2.0–3.5 cm] and T4 [> 3.5 cm]), the hearing preservation rate using the RS approach is 44% and 18%, respectively.3

In 1991, McElveen et al presented the first case of hearing preservation using a modified TL approach.4 Their modification involved the attempt to preserve the “pars inferior”...
portion of the inner ear and filling the vestibule with saline. Since then, there have been a few reports of hearing preservation after a classical TL acoustic neuroma resection.\textsuperscript{5–8} To our knowledge, our article presents the fifth case of a patient with partial hearing preservation after a classical TL resection of a VS. In addition, we discuss the implication of partial hearing preservation and the possibility of cochlear implantation in selected cases. Institutional review board approval was obtained before proceeding with the article.

**Case Report**

A 42-year-old very obese woman presented for evaluation of right-side tinnitus and hearing loss that had been present for

![Fig. 1](image-url) (A) Preoperative audiogram (right ear: speech reception threshold [SRT]: 40; word recognition score [WRS]: 40%). (B) Two-month postoperative audiogram (right ear: SRT: 95; WRS: 2%).
6 months. She denied any dizziness or vertigo and had not had similar problems before. Audiogram showed a sloping moderate high-frequency sensorineural hearing loss (SNHL). A subsequent magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) of the brain revealed a right-side cerebellopontine angle (CPA) enhancing mass most likely a VS. It measured 10.6 × 9.7 mm. The patient was presented with options of observation, stereotactic radiotherapy, and microsurgical resection. She opted for observation and was closely followed. In the following few months, she developed deep venous thrombosis of her lower extremities and was placed on anticoagulation. Unfortunately, during later follow-up, her hearing worsened. A repeat MRI showed growth of the tumor. It increased in size from 10.6 × 9.7 mm to 16 × 11 mm. After her other medical problems were controlled and she was weaned off the anticoagulant, the patient decided to have the VS surgically removed. Her preoperative hearing test showed significant SNHL in the right ear, the speech reception threshold (SRT) was 40 dB, and word recognition score (WRS) was 40%. Postoperative facial nerve function was House-Brackmann (HB) 4/6 that worsened to a HB 5/6 by the week 4 follow-up. Her postoperative imbalance gradually improved. By the week 5, her facial nerve function started to improve, and the patient stated that she could hear sounds out of her right ear. By postoperative month 3, facial nerve function improved to HB 2/6, and her audiogram at 2 months revealed that she had persistent hearing in the operative ear (►Fig. 1B). The SRT decreased from 40 to 95 dB due to a significant air–bone gap as a result of the removal of the incus. The bone conduction pure tone average (average of BC HL at 500 Hz, 1 kHz, and 2 kHz) was 65 dB, a decrease of 7 dB from a preoperative value of 58 dB. However, the WRS decreased to 2% at 105 dB presentation. For the patient, it was usable in day-to-day routines such as driving and being able to discern the location of sounds. She has subsequently been fitted with a Soundbite device. One year later, her hearing was stable at the lowest frequencies (►Fig. 3). She continues to use the Soundbite device. Her facial function is normal (HB 1/6).

**Discussion**

The TL approach is one of the most common methods used in the removal of a VS or a CPA tumor. In counseling patients, it is common to inform them that the chance of hearing preservation is almost 0%. However, there have been a limited number of case reports demonstrating some preservation of hearing after a classical TL approach to acoustic neuroma resection.5-8 The present report adds the fifth case to the literature of preservation of some hearing along with the facial nerve. The incus was removed, the middle ear and eustachian tube was plugged with muscle, fat, and Tisseel, and the antrum was sealed with bone wax.

Postoperative facial nerve function was House-Brackmann (HB) 4/6 that worsened to a HB 5/6 by the week 4 follow-up. Her postoperative imbalance gradually improved. By the week 5, her facial nerve function started to improve, and the patient stated that she could hear sounds out of her right ear. By postoperative month 3, facial nerve function improved to HB 2/6, and her audiogram at 2 months revealed that she had persistent hearing in the operative ear (►Fig. 1B). The SRT decreased from 40 to 95 dB due to a significant air–bone gap as a result of the removal of the incus. The bone conduction pure tone average (average of BC HL at 500 Hz, 1 kHz, and 2 kHz) was 65 dB, a decrease of 7 dB from a preoperative value of 58 dB. However, the WRS decreased to 2% at 105 dB presentation. For the patient, it was usable in day-to-day routines such as driving and being able to discern the location of sounds. She has subsequently been fitted with a Soundbite device. One year later, her hearing was stable at the lowest frequencies (►Fig. 3). She continues to use the Soundbite device. Her facial function is normal (HB 1/6).
they maintained the vasculature and cochlear nerve integrity. They preserved the saccule and cochlea, and used bone wax to seal the vestibule after opening it. Later they filled the vestibule with Ringer lactate and sealed off the labyrinth with bone wax. One of their two patients lost hearing at 1-month follow-up. The second patient was successfully treated with diuretics for fluctuating hearing loss.

The common belief is that removal of the labyrinth and opening of the vestibule results in deafness. However, our patient’s result as well as that of the previously mentioned reports suggests that, in these patients, deafness is not an absolute certainty. This is in accordance with animal studies demonstrating that hearing can be preserved after ablation of the semicircular canals or partial labyrinthectomy.\textsuperscript{9–11} Several studies have also shown that hearing can be preserved in humans after injury or destruction of the semicircular canals.\textsuperscript{12–14} The challenge is we can routinely preserve some hearing in these patients undergoing “destructive” surgery. Our patient maintained her bone conduction values to an appreciable level with an air–bone gap occurring due to need for incus removal for packing the eustachian tube and middle ear space. However, her speech discrimination decreased significantly. It is however, conceivable that there are preserved spiral ganglion cells. It has been shown that up to 75\% of spiral ganglion cells can be damaged without an increase in pure tone thresholds.\textsuperscript{15} This suggests a mechanism for the success of concurrent cochlear implantation after TL acoustic neuroma resection as first described by Ahsan et al.\textsuperscript{16} The factors that seem to be most important for hearing preservation are preservation of the cochlear nerve, CPA vasculature, maintenance of a fluid-filled vestibule, and preservation of endolymphatic fluids.\textsuperscript{4,7,17} Smith et al suggested that the collapse of the saccule and/or the ductus reuniens may have preserved the cochlear fluids.\textsuperscript{5} Rizvi et al suggested that in their patient, while attempting to seal off the middle ear with bone wax, they may have sealed off the ductus reuniens, which contributed to the stability of the hearing in their patient.\textsuperscript{6} Further study needs to be done to accurately define the critical steps needed in preserving hearing in TL cases.
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