Pre-, Intra- and Post-Operative Imaging of Cochlear Implants

Prä-, intra- und postoperative Bildgebung bei Cochlea-Implantat

Introduction

Cochlear implantation is a globally accepted treatment option for patients with severe to profound sensorineural hearing loss, in whom no or little improvement is provided by hearing aids. Cochlear implants enable such patients to perceive sound and understand speech as well as help to monitor their own speech and benefit intellectual development [1, 2]. The main difference between cochlear implants and hearing aids is that the sound waves received by a cochlear implant are processed and converted into electrical impulse, rather than just amplified as with hearing aids [2]. A cochlear implant bypasses the hair cells in the organ of Corti and directly stimulates the cochlear nerve.

Imaging plays a major role in cochlear implantation. Pre-operative imaging by CT, MRI or both is essential for candidate selection, planning of surgical approach and exclusion of contraindications like the complete absence of the cochlea or cochlear nerve, or infection. Relative contraindications are variations of the cochlea and vestibulum. Intraoperative imaging can be performed by fluoroscopy, mobile radiography or DVT. Postoperative imaging is regularly performed by conventional X-ray, DVT, or CT. In summary, radiological imaging has its essential role in the pre- and post-interventional period for patients who are candidates for cochlear implants.

Key Points:
- Intraoperative imaging: radiography, DVT
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Abstract

The purpose of this review is to present essential imaging aspects in patients who are candidates for a possible cochlear implant as well as in post-surgical follow-up. Imaging plays a major role in providing information on preinterventional topography, variations and possible infections. Preoperative imaging using DVT, CT, MRI or CT and MRT together is essential for candidate selection, planning of surgical approach and exclusion of contraindications like the complete absence of the cochlea or cochlear nerve, or infection. Relative contraindications are variations of the cochlea and vestibulum. Intraoperative imaging can be performed by fluoroscopy, mobile radiography or DVT. Postoperative imaging is regularly performed by conventional X-ray, DVT, or CT. In summary, radiological imaging has its essential role in the pre- and post-interventional period for patients who are candidates for cochlear implants.
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fluence the surgical approach. Intra-operative imaging is either routinely performed in many institutions or for difficult cases. Intra-operative imaging usually involves fluoroscopy or mobile radiography. However, advanced modalities such as flat-panel CT are becoming more available. Post-operative imaging by radiography, or more recently by CT, is performed for confirmation of proper electrode placement or evaluation of suspected complications. In addition to its clinical importance, imaging is involved in cochlear implantation research studies and contributes to the development and evolution of cochlear implantation.

Causes of Sensorineural Hearing Loss

Sensorineural hearing loss (SNHL) is defined as retrocochlear causes for hearing loss from the hair cells to the auditory cortex and occurs due to abnormalities in the inner ear, the vestibulocochlear nerve, or the central auditory pathway. The cause of SNHL may be congenital (hearing loss is usually present since birth) or acquired later in life. Congenital SNHL may be further divided into hereditary or non-hereditary cases. About 30% of cases with hereditary SNHL present with another constellation of features that make up a distinctive clinical syndrome and are thus called “syndromic”. On the other hand, most cases (about 70%) with hereditary SNHL are non-syndromic [3]. To date, more than 110 chromosomal loci and 65 genes were identified as causes of non-syndromic SNHL [4]. Non-hereditary causes of congenital SNHL include prenatal infections (for example CMV and rubella), exposure to alcohol or ototoxic drugs (for example aminoglycosides and antineoplastic agents), prematurity and hypoxic-ischemic injury [3].

A less common cause for SNHL is otosclerosis, a progressive ostitrophy of the otic capsule, which can occur independently or together at the stapes footplate, and/or the pericochlear labyrinth, leading regularly to conductive hearing loss versus SNHL or in combination with mixed type hearing loss [5] (Fig. 1). Acquired forms of SNHL present in late childhood or adulthood, and include trauma, inner ear infections and autoimmune diseases, or cerebellopontine angle/internal auditory canal tumors [6]. Other causes include noise-induced SNHL and presbycusis (Fig. 2).

Clinical studies have demonstrated acceptable results of CI in individuals with several forms of hereditary SNHL, especially when implantation is performed at a very young age, provided that the cochlear nerve is present. The presence of multiple disabilities in syndromic patients is no longer a contraindication for CI [7]. Congenital CMV infection and most non-hereditary causes of SNHL do not represent a contraindication for CI. Patients with acquired forms of bilateral SNHL are generally considered candidates for CI.
Cochlear Implants

A cochlear implant (CI) consists of external and internal parts. A microphone is worn behind the ear to collect sound waves, which are processed by an attached speech processor. The encoded waves are sent through the skin into the internally placed receiver or stimulator by a transmitter. The waves are then transmitted to the electrode array that is surgically inserted into the cochlea in close proximity to the auditory nerve [2]. Currently, there are 4 cochlear implant devices worldwide: Clarion provided by Advanced Bionics (USA), Nucleus provided by Cochlear Limited (Australia), Combi 40 + provided by MED-EL (Austria) and Digisonic provided by Neurelec (France). The 4 devices are approved for use in Europe and other regions in the world, while only the first 3 devices are currently approved for use in the United States. There is no universal agreement about the superiority of any of these devices, but there are differences in speech encoding, number of electrodes, materials used, design and other technical innovations. The choice of a certain device will usually depend on the availability and familiarity with the device, cosmetic and durability factors, as well as certain anatomic patient factors.

The standard surgical technique is mastoidectomy and posterior tympanotomy [2, 5]. After mastoidectomy, major landmarks are identified including incus, horizontal semicircular canal, facial nerve, and chorda tympani. A posterior tympanotomy is then performed to gain access into the middle ear via the facial recess, bounded by the facial nerve posteriorly and the chorda tympani anteriorly. The round window (RW) of the cochlea is then identified and the cochlea entered either via the RW or via a separate cochleostomy to allow insertion of the electrode array [8, 9]. An alternative less invasive surgical approach, the suprameatal approach, has been proposed. Instead of mastoidectomy, this approach gains access to the middle ear through a retro-auricular tympanotomy flap. A narrow tunnel is drilled in the suprameatal region in order to insert the electrodes into the cochlea. This technique avoids damage to the facial nerve and chorda tympani [10, 11].

Indications for Cochlear Implantation

As awareness and experience with CI continue to increase, indications for CI continue to expand. Indications have to be differentiated for children and adults. CI is generally indicated for patients who are expected to attain better hearing and speech understanding with CI than with hearing aids. According to the age of onset of hearing loss, 3 terms are used for description. Pre-lingual hearing loss is present at birth or within the first 2 years of life; peri-lingual hearing loss occurs between the age of 2 and 4; and post-lingual hearing loss starts after the age of 4. Pre-lingual children with hearing loss should be treated as soon as possible, preferably within the first year of life or as soon as the diagnosis and indications of CI are verified. Peri-lingual children should also be treated without delay. Post-lingual children or adults do not require treatment urgently, yet the best results are expected [1]. Immediate indications for CI implantation are essential in the case of meningitis and cochlear obliteration. The classic candidates are patients with bilateral severe hearing loss of cochlear origin. Newer indications include patients with unilateral deafness associated with severe tinnitus, and CI may be considered as one of the most successful treatment options for severe disabling tinnitus in selected patients [7]. Another recent indication for CI is hearing preservation in patients with significant low frequency residual hearing [7]. For this purpose, a new hybrid stimulation system was developed that consists of a short CI electrode to restore high frequency loss and a conventional hearing aid to stimulate residual low frequency hearing.

Contraindications for Cochlear Implantation

Absolute contraindications for CI include the absence of the cochlea or the cochlear nerve [1, 2]. The newer literature documents data on improved results documented in patients with cochlear aplasia using CI [12, 13]. Other inner ear malformations complicate surgical implantation but are not regarded as contraindications. Infections of the middle ear must be controlled first before surgery. Other relative contraindications include poor general condition and treatment-resistant epilepsy [1].

Pre-Operative Imaging

Pre-operative imaging with high-resolution computed tomography (CT) and magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) aims at the evaluation of congenital anomalies and other lesions of the cochlea, surgical planning and evaluation of the cochlear nerve [14]. CT is the best modality for the assessment of bone anatomy and bony anomalies. In addition, the width of the cochlear aperture and of the internal auditory canal can serve as an indirect indicator of possible hypoplasia of the cochlear nerve through assessment via CT. Its cost is lower and the scanning time is much shorter compared to MRI, with less need for anesthesia in children. CT also allows the simultaneous delineation of the skull base and middle and inner ear anomalies [15, 16]. MRI, on the other hand, provides better soft tissue contrast and is the modality of choice for imaging of the cochlear nerve. The lack of ionizing radiation is a major advantage especially in children [3, 16]. There is a trend towards performing MRI rather than CT for pre-operative assessment before cochlear implantation, encouraged by the technical advances in MRI. High-resolution MRI can depict the morphology of the fluid-filled inner ear and the nerves in the internal auditory canal, which are the minimum requirements for surgical indication [14]. In 2014 Giesemann et al. also presented results of improved imaging of cochlear nerve hypoplasia especially with respect to the problem of the visualization of an absence of the cochlear nerve [17]. Moreover, the MRI examination may be extended to include the brain together with the temporal bone to examine the central auditory pathway and detect any associated parenchymal abnormalities [16]. CMV is often detected, and sometimes polymicrogyria, hindbrain malformation or rare disorders like Hurler’s disease [18]. Even in institutions where MRI is the preferred modality of choice for the evaluation of SNHL, complementary CT will also be performed for cases with cochlear anomalies or suspected anomalous course of the facial nerve or with concomitant conductive hearing loss [3]. CT as well as MRI provide specific information and may be considered as complementary to one another. The combined use of both modalities for preoperative imaging before cochlear implantation may identify more relevant abnormalities, which would not be detected using only a single modality [15].
Technique of CT Imaging ▼
Modern multi-detector CT scanners are capable of providing high-resolution CT of the temporal bone with sub-millimetric thin sections. Isotropic imaging with section collimation from 0.3 to 0.6 mm is now available with true isotropic spatial resolution. Images may be acquired in the axial plane and then readily reconstructed into coronal images, thus reducing the radiation dose and the examination time compared to direct axial and coronal imaging [19]. Several oblique reformats were studied and proven clinically useful, for example reformats to detect semicircular canal dehiscence with sagittal long-axis and 90° orthogonal short-axis views especially in the superior and posterior semicircular canal [20]. Isotropic imaging using a high-resolution bone algorithm allows reconstruction of three-dimensional images of high quality that may be useful for surgical planning [21].

Measures to reduce the radiation exposure while preserving thin collimation imaging were suggested including lowering the tube current or using the single-shot technique available with the latest generation scanners which allows imaging of the whole temporal bone volume in a single tube rotation [22]. The radiation dose to the lens can be reduced by modifying the plane of acquisition of axial images, then reconstructing images in the routine planes [22].

Flat-Panel CT ▼
Advances in detector technology led to the development of flat-panel detectors, which were originally designed for conventional radiography, but were later implemented in CT technology. Flat-panel detectors enable direct and repeatable conversion of X-ray energy into digital signals with higher spatial resolution and dynamic range than older image intensifiers.
C-arm fluoroscopy systems equipped with flat detectors were recently developed. Those systems enabled projections obtained over an angular range of more than 180°, generating volume data sets, which could be reconstructed into CT-like images [23]. For imaging of the temporal bone, the volume data set could be acquired with isometric voxels of sub-millimetric size (as thin as 0.3 mm). The isometric nature offers the possibility of excellent multi-planar, curved and 3D reformatting such as volume rendering, surface-shaded display and maximum intensity projection techniques.

The main advantage of flat-panel CT in temporal bone imaging is the higher spatial resolution of up to 150 μm, which is higher than that of conventional MSCT. This allows improved overall image quality and reduced partial volume averaging, with marked improvement in delineation of small and thin bony structures, such as the superstructure of the stapes. Other advantages of flat-panel CT include less metallic artifacts and lower radiation exposure than conventional MSCT. The main limitation of flat-panel CT is the lower soft tissue contrast in comparison to MSCT [14, 24]. Digital volume tomography is increasingly used in the intra-operative and post-operative evaluation of CI procedures.

Cone Beam CT ▼
Cone beam CT is another relatively recent innovation in CT technology. It is based on conical rather than fan-beam geometry of the X-ray between the source and detector, and most modern cone beam CT scanners are also equipped with flat-panel detectors. The low cost and compact size of cone beam CT compared to conventional CT scanners have enabled their office-based use in dedicated dental as well as head and neck imaging. Cone beam CT may be used for the intra-operative guidance or post-procedural evaluation of CI. Similar to flat-panel CT, the main disadvantage of cone beam CT scanners is the lower soft tissue resolution compared to conventional MSCT, which limits the ability to detect small changes in the attenuation of soft tissue [25].

Technique of MR Imaging ▼
Technical advances in MRI including higher field strength, stronger gradients and coil technology have stimulated the development of several imaging sequences that can be used for temporal bone imaging. Imaging of the temporal bone is best performed with T2-weighted sequences, which provide optimum contrast between neural tissue and cerebrospinal fluid as well as between fluid within the membranous labyrinth and background [16].
High resolution with dedicated sequences for stationary fluids and using the thinnest possible sections is optimal for temporal bone imaging. This can be achieved by 2D acquisition, but 3D sequences generally offer thinner sections and allow optimum multi-planar reformatting and 3D rendering of the cochlea [26]. Both highly T2-weighted fast-spin echo and fast gradient-recalled echo sequences may be used [27]. One example of spin-echo sequences is the 3D fast recovery fast-spin-echo pulse sequence which makes use of a negative 90° pulse at the end of the echo train to return the transverse magnetization of CSF to the longitudinal axis. This enables heavy T2-weighting with bright fluid signal intensity in a shorter repetition time [27]. On the other hand, the most commonly used gradient-recalled echo sequence is the 3D constructive interference in steady state (CISS) or fast imaging employing steady-state acquisition (FIESTA), where both longitudinal and transverse magnetizations are kept constant (steady-state) by using a repetition time that is shorter than the T2 relaxation time of tissue [28]. Advantages of the CISS sequence include high signal-to-noise ratio because tissues with a long T2 relaxation time acquire an additional signal. There is an excellent contrast between the neural structures and CSF. Oblique sagittal images are obtained in planes perpendicular to the course of the seventh and eighth nerves in the internal auditory canal. Those images delineate the four nerves in the IAC: facial, cochlear, superior and inferior vestibular nerves [29].

The use of intravenous contrast is not mandatory, especially in children with congenital hearing loss. Contrast administration is reserved for children and adults with suspected retrocochlear neoplasm or inner ear infection/inflammation. In addition, most authors recommend additional imaging of the whole brain using routine sequences including spin echo T1- and T2-weighted and FLAIR sequences [3].

Pre-Operative Imaging Findings ▼
Pre-operative CT provides invaluable information about relevant structures. The cochlea should be evaluated for malformations and patency; the width of the internal auditory canal should be noted; the round window evaluated for patency; the cochlear...
and vestibular aqueducts for width; the carotid canal and jugular bulb for course variation or dehiscence; the facial nerve evaluated for its course and bony covering; the mastoid for degree of pneumatization and presence of mastoiditis; and the middle ear for the presence of otitis or cholesteatoma [30].

Pre-operative MRI is the method of choice for the detection of hypoplasia and the absence of the cochlear nerve [16]. MRI is also superior to CT in the detection of fibrous obliteration of the cochlea where the high signal fluid characteristic of a patent cochlear lumen is replaced by the low signal of fibrous tissue. CT, however, is preferable for the differentiation between fibrous obliteration and cochlear ossification which complicates CI insertion [31]. In addition, MRI may reveal an occult retrocochlear neoplasm or an associated cerebral lesion.

Inner Ear Malformations

Congenital malformations of the inner ear that are radiologically visible may be encountered in only about 20% of patients with congenital SNHL [31]. Malformations that involve only the membranous labyrinth are not detected by the current imaging modalities [16]. On the other hand, malformations that involve both the osseous and the membranous labyrinth are detectable by CT and MRI. Recognizable patterns of inner ear malformations were linked to the stage at which embryogenesis was hindered and could be classified into 2 main categories depending on the presence of an abnormal cochlea (category A) or a normal cochlea (category B) [31, 32]. Cochlear malformations include complete labyrinthine (Michel) aplasia, cochlear aplasia, common cavity malformation, cochlear hypoplasia, and incomplete partition type I and II (Mondini) [32, Fig. 3-5] [33]. Other anomalies include lateral semicircular canal and vestibule aplasia or dysplasia, enlarged vestibular aqueduct and cochlear nerve deficiency [31] [Fig. 6]. Newer classifications also include the malformation of X-linked deafness and special aspects of the charge syndrome with a hypoplastic cochlea and absent semicircular causes.

Rarely complex malformations of the inner auditory canal need to be described. Also the risks of gusher in combination with special inner ear malformations, especially X-linked deafness, IPT1 and common cavity as well as otocystic deformities, have to be considered.

In the past, children with CT or MRI evidence of inner ear malformations were considered poor candidates for CI, mainly because of concerns regarding the safety and feasibility of the procedure as well as the outcome. More recently, the advances in CT technology and surgical experience resulted in several reports of successful implantation in a variety of inner ear malformations or even in rare cases or tumors, facial or vestibular schwannomas or endolymphatic sac tumor [Fig. 7]. There are also recommendations for using CT to avoid the expected surgical challenges such as cerebrospinal fluid gusher, facial nerve injury, and incomplete electrode insertion. The functional outcome, however, may vary according to the severity of the malformation. For example, children with milder forms like isolated enlarged vestibular aqueduct or Mondini malformation or partial semicircular canal dysplasia are reported to perform well, while poor outcome was reported in the presence of severe cochlear anomalies such as common cavity, cochlear hypoplasia, stenotic internal auditory canal, cochlear nerve deficiency, and complete aplasia of the semicircular canal [34, 35].

| Table 1 | Classification of congenital inner ear malformations according to Jackler et al. [32]. |
| category A: aplasia or malformations of cochlea |
| 1. Michel aplasia (labyrinthine aplasia) |
| 2. cochlear aplasia, vestibule and semicircular canals present |
| 3. cochlear hypoplasia |
| 4. incomplete cochlea |
| 5. common cavity |
| category B: normal cochlea |
| 1. dysplasia of the vestibule and lateral semicircular canal |
| 2. large vestibular aqueduct |

Table 2 Main groups and configurations of inner ear malformations according to Semnaroglu et al. [28].

| main groups | configuration |
| cochlear malformations | michel aplasia/cochlear aplasia/ common cavity/imcomplete partition type I/cochlear hypoplasia/ incomplete partition type II/ normal |
| vestibular malformations | vestibule: absent/hypoplastic/dilated (including Michel deformity and common cavity) |
| semicircular canal malformations | absent/hypoplastic/enlarged |
| internal auditory canal malformations | absent/narrow/enlarged |
| vestibular and cochlear aqueduct malformations | enlarged/normal |

Fig. 3 Cystic cochleovestibular malformation with incomplete partition type I (IP-I): Axial CT scan shows complete absence of cochlear modiolus and interscalar septum. Vestibular enlargement and dilatation of the horizontal SCC (black arrow, arrowhead). It shows the typical “figure 8” morphology.

Fig. 4 Zystische cochleovestibuläre Malformation mit unkomplettter Par- tition Typ I (IP-I): Axiale CT-Aufnahme zeigt komplettes Fehlen des Modio- lus cochlæe und des interskalaren Septums. Vestibuläre Vergrößerung und Dilatation des horizontalen Bogenganges (schwarzer Pfeil, Pfeilspitze). Daraus resultiert eine typische Morphe, die an die „Zahl 8“ erinnert.

Pre-Operative Prediction of Electrode Insertion Length

Pre-operative measurement of cochlear duct length is performed at some centers and may help in choosing the optimum length of the electrode array. In conventional implantation, the electrode...
array should be placed as deeply as possible into the scala tympani of the cochlea to stimulate the apically located neural structures [36]. On the other hand, in candidates of combined electric-acoustic stimulation, residual hearing should be preserved by minimizing apical cochlear damage through limited insertion of the electrode. In such cases, pre-operative measurement of the cochlear length is an important factor in predicting the desired electrode insertion depth for successfully combined electric-acoustic stimulation [37, 38].

CT is the method of choice for this purpose. One method used multi-planar reconstructions from spiral multi-detector CT acquisition, and the plane was adjusted until the optimal view of the basal turn of the cochlea was obtained. 25 to 30 reference points were set manually inside the cochlea. The software then provided measurement between the first point (round window membrane) and the last 360° point [34]. Another method to predict the required insertion depth used a double coronal reformatte image from CT or MRI to obtain the "cochlear distance", which is the distance between the round window and the opposite wall of the cochlear basal turn. This distance was applied to a spiral function equation to calculate the required electrode length extending between the round window and the 360° point and complete turn, which is the current technique for combined electric-acoustic stimulation [37, 38].

Fig. 4  Incomplete partition type II (IP-II). a Axial CT scan demonstrates plump middle and apical turns of the cochlea with smooth contour between the turns posterolaterally ("baseball cap" cochlea, arrow). b T2w MR MIP image shows Mondini anomaly: IP-II and LVDS = large vestibular duct and sac (black arrow).


Fig. 5  "Common cavity". a 2-year-old boy with common cavity malformation. CT bone scan shows cochlea and vestibule melded into one common cyst (asterisk). b Axial 3D SPACE MR image demonstrates common fluid-filled cavity anomaly representing rudimentary cochlea, vestibule and horizontal semicircular canal (black asterisk).


Fig. 6  Bilateral aplasia of the vestibulocochlear nerve and labyrinthine malformation in a 1-year-old boy. a Coronal CT multiplanar reconstruction shows normal appearance of the right cochlea (white arrows). b In 3D SPACE, the MR image shows an absence of the vestibulocochlear nerve on both sides. The right facial nerve appears normal (black arrow). The left facial nerve seems to be hypoplastic (black asterisk).

Abb. 6  Bilaterale Aplasie des Nervus vestibulocochlearis und Labyrinthmalformation bei einem 1-jährigen Jungen. a Koronale CT multiplanare Rekonstruktion zeigt eine regelrechte Darstellung der rechten Cochlea (weiße Pfeile). b Im 3D-Space-MRT Bild fehlt der Nervus vestibulocochlearis beidseits, der rechte Nervus facialis erscheint regelhaft (schwarzer Pfeil). Der linke Nervus facialis erscheint hypoplastisch (schwarzer Stern).
Post-Operative Imaging

The aim of post-operative imaging is to confirm intra-cochlear positioning of the electrode, detection of electrode kinking and assessment of electrode integrity. Until recently, plain radiography was the most commonly used post-operative imaging method (Fig. 8a) [46]. Radiography is thus the method of choice in children [14]. Advances in electrode design with introduction of “modiolus-hugging” electrodes designed to be in a perimodiolar position rather than lying along the outer wall of the cochlea have initiated an interest in precise localization of the electrode position in relation to cochlear structures for accurately determining its insertion depth into the cochlea [47]. Further research for techniques providing precise post-operative localization focused on the use of multi-detector CT and flat-panel CT [47, 48].

Plain X-ray

Many authors recommend only radiography and reserve CT for post-operative assessment of children with congenital malformations or in the presence of complications or when radiographs are abnormal or inadequate [14]. The most commonly used technique for post-operative radiographic assessment of CI is the Stenver’s view with or without modification [49, 50]. This view is performed with the patient standing facing a vertical bucky, with neck flexed so that the orbito-meatal line is perpendicular to the table and then the head is rotated so that the mid-sagittal plane is approximately 45° to the film plane. The X-ray tube is angled in a way that the beam is approximately 12° cephalad to the orbito-meatal line [46]. Several other radiographic techniques and modifications were suggested as alternatives for the Stenver’s view including the “cochlear view” [51], and direct anteroposterior view [52].

Computed Tomography

However, current multi-detector CT scanners have enough spatial resolution to visualize each individual electrode contact and to avoid partial volume averaging and metallic artifacts [53 – 55].
In addition, software, multi-planar and volume-rendering techniques have significantly improved so that CT now plays a major role in post-operative evaluation of CI and offers unmatched imaging information [56, 57]. Currently CT is used to evaluate the effect of anatomical and surgical factors on results of speech perception after CI, and to assess new technical innovations in electrode designs. In addition to the confirmation of intra-cochlear position, and the detection of mal-positioning and kinking of the electrode, post-operative CT currently aims at determining the exact position of individual electrode contacts, as well as the evaluation of the morphologic details of the cochlea and postoperative complications like infections [55, 58, 59] (Fig. 8b).

Although flat-panel detector CT and cone beam CT scanners improve spatial resolution and decrease the radiation dose [48, 60, 61], their availability is currently lower than that of conventional multi-detector CT.

Electrode Misplacement

Misplacement of the CI electrode is a major complication of CI. CT is currently the method of choice for diagnosis and management planning (Fig. 8c–d). Electrode misplacement can result in poor outcome and carries the risk of injury to important adjacent structures. Although misplacement was reported rarely in the literature, the true incidence is unknown. Reported sites of severe electrode misplacement detected by CT include the superior and horizontal semicircular canals, the vestibule, the Eustachian tube, the internal carotid artery, or the internal auditory canal [62].

Magnetic Resonance Imaging

Cochlear implants have been considered an absolute contraindication for MRI [63]. The sources of incompatibility include excessive heating due to induced currents in the metallic components of the CI, linear and torsional forces, demagnetization with loss of coupling between external and internal components and electronic malfunction [63, 64]. As the number of patients with CI is continuously increasing, research by different research teams and manufacturers was directed towards exploring the MRI compatibility of different CI devices. Studies were performed in vitro first, and on scanners with a weak magnetic field, then on cadavers and volunteers using higher fields [64–66]. In the last decade, several authors have reported that patients with CI were safely imaged by MRI, if strict precautions are followed [64, 67]. Currently, most manufacturers of CI allow up to 1.5 T MRI scanning in patients with CI only under certain conditions. Guidelines include the removal of external components and the bandage covering the internal components, together with precautions about head positioning within the magnet bore [68]. Some manufacturers, however, still recommend removal of the internal magnet before MRI scanning [68]. It should be emphasized that before MRI scanning of a patient with CI, the indication and risks must be discussed between the referring physician, the CI team and the radiology staff. Artifacts will also regularly limit the diagnostic quality of MR imaging whenever a CI is in place even without a magnet. Risks and benefits should be explained to the patient [69]. Currently there is still no evidence of the safety of 3 T MRI scanning in patients with a CI [70, 71].

Summary Statement

Imaging plays a major role in the preinterventional phase in candidates for a cochlear implant. Mostly complementary imaging of contrast-enhanced MRI and unenhanced CT, DVT, or cone beam CT is recommended. Intra-operative imaging is increasingly demanded and needs a well-balanced concept for imaging.
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Fig. 8 Postoperative imaging. a Modified Stenvers view of the right temporal bone in a 5-year-old girl after bilateral CI. The X-ray demonstrates normal configuration of the distal cochlear implant wire spiraling within the cochlea (white arrow). The external CI aggregate is partially shown. b 8 days after CI in the same patient: the contrast-enhanced CT scan demonstrates an abscess adjacent to the right mastoidectomy cavity (white arrow). c Axial CT scan demonstrates dislocation of the right electrode array (white arrow) after bilateral CI.

Abb. 8 Postoperative Bildgebung. a Modifizierte Röntgen-Stenvers Ansicht des rechten Schläfenbeins eines 5jährigen Mädchens nach bilateraler CI. Das Röntgenbild zeigt eine normale Konfiguration der distalen CI-Elektrode innerhalb der Cochlea (weißer Pfeil). Zum Teil mitabgebildet sind externe CI-Aggregateanteile. b 8 Tage nach CI bei der gleichen Patientin: Ein kontrastmittelunterstützter CT-Scan zeigt einen Abszess benachbart zu der Mastoidektomie-Höhle (weißer Pfeil). c Axiales Knochen-CT-Scan zeigt eine CI-Elektrodendislokation rechts (weißer Pfeil) nach bilateraler CI.
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