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Positions- und Sicherheitshinweise der Deutschen Röntgengesellschaft (DRG) zu MR-Untersuchungen bei Patienten mit Herzschrittmachern

Abstract

The aim of this paper is to inform physicians, especially radiologists and cardiologists, about the technical and electrophysiological background of MR imaging of patients with implanted cardiac pacemakers (PM) and to provide dedicated clinical practice guidelines how to perform MR exams in this patient group. The presence of a conventional PM system is not any more considered an absolute contraindication for MR imaging. The prerequisites for MR imaging on pacemaker patients include the assessment of the individual risk/benefit ratio as well as to obtain full informed consent about the off label character of the procedure and all associated risks. Furthermore, the use of special PM-related (e.g. re-programming of the PM) and MRI-related (e.g. limitation of whole body SAR to 2 W/kg) precautions is required and needs to be combined with adequate monitoring during MR imaging using continuous pulsoximetry. MR conditional PM devices are tested and approved for the use in the MR environment under certain conditions, including the field strength and gradient slew rate of the MR system, the maximum whole body SAR value and the presence of MR imaging exclusion zones. Safe MR imaging of patients with MR conditional PM requires the knowledge of the specific conditions of each PM system. If MR imaging within these specific conditions cannot be guaranteed in a given patient, the procedure guidelines for conventional PM should be used. The complexity of MR imaging of PM patients requires close cooperation of radiologists and cardiologists.

Key Points:

- Conventional pacemaker systems are no longer an absolute but rather a relative contraindication for performing an MR examination.
- The procedural management of conventional pacemaker includes the assessment of the individual risk/benefit ratio, comprehensive patient informed consent about specific related risks and "off label" use, extensive PM- and MRI-related safety precautions as well as adequate monitoring techniques during the MR exam.
- Decisive for patient safety are precise understanding of, and compliance with, the terms of use for the specific MR-conditionable pacemaker system.
- If the electrophysiological and MRI-specific conditions for use of MR-conditionable pacemakers are not met or compliance with these conditions for use cannot be guaranteed, the device must be treated like a conventional pacemaker.
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Zusammenfassung

Ziel dieses Positions- und Sicherheitshinweises der AG Herz- und Gefäßdiagnostik der Deutschen Röntgengesellschaft ist es, Ärzte aller Fachbereiche – insbesondere die primär involvierten Fachgruppen der Radiologen und Kardiologen – über die Möglichkeiten, Grenzen und Risiken von MRT-Untersuchungen bei Patienten mit konventionellen und bedingt MRT-sichereren Herzschrittmachersystemen (SM) zu informieren und dezidierte Handlungsempfehlun-
gen zur Durchführung von MRT-Untersuchungen bei diesen Pa-
tienten auszusprechen. Konventionelle Herzschrittmachersys-
teme sind nicht mehr als eine absolute, sondern als eine relative
Kontraindikation für die Durchführung einer MRT-Untersuchung
anzusehen. Entscheidend bei Indikationsstellung und Untersu-
chungsdurchführung sind die Abschätzung des individuellen Nut-
zen/Risiko-Verhältnisses sowie eine umfassende Aufklärung über
die zulassungsumschreitende Anwendung („off label use“) als
individuelle Einzelfallentscheidung und über die mit der MRT-
Untersuchung assoziierten spezifischen Risiken. Des Weiteren
sind umfangreiche SM-bezogene (Umprogrammierung des SM)
und MRT-bezogene Sicherheitsmaßnahmen (u. a. Limitation der
Ganzkörper-SAR-Werte auf 2 W/kg) zur weitestgehenden Redu-
zierung dieser Risiken sowie adäquate Monitortechniken (insbe-
sondere kontinuierliche pulsoxytmatische Überwachung) wäh-
der der MRT-Untersuchung erforderlich. Bedingt MRT-sichere
(„MR conditional“) Herzschrittmachersysteme sind für eine MR-
Untersuchung unter dezidierten Rahmenbedingungen getestet
und zugelassen („in label use“). Die Hersteller gewährleisten die
Sicherheit bei korrekter Anwendung im Rahmen der spezifischen
Nutzungsbedingungen, die u. a. Vorgaben bezüglich der Feld-
stärke des MRT-Systems, der maximalen Anstiegssteilheit („slew
rate“) des Gradientesystems, dem maximal erlaubten Ganzkör-
per-SAR u. dem MR-tomografisch untersuchbaren Bereich (Ganz-
vs. Teilkörperzulassung des SM-Systems) behalten sowie die
Überprüfung diverser elektrophysiologischer Parameter (u. a. elek-
trisch intakte Elektroden, keine gekappten „abandoned“ Elektro-
den, keine anderweitigen zusätzlichen Elektroden) verlangen.
Entscheidend für die Patientensicherheit sind die genaue Kennt-
nis und die Einhaltung der für das jeweilige Schrittmachersystem
spezifischen Nutzungsbedingungen. Sollte dies nicht gewährleis-
tet werden können, wird ein Vorgehen wie bei Patienten mit kon-
ventionellen Herzschrittmachern empfohlen. Grundsätzlich er-
fordert das vorgestellte prozedurale Management von MRT-
Untersuchungen bei Patienten mit konventionellen und bedingt
MRT-sicheren Herzschrittmachern eine enge Kooperation zwi-
schen Radiologie und Kardiologie.

**Introduction**

Due to excellent soft tissue contrast and absence of radia-
tion exposure, magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) is cur-
rently recommended by European and American guidelines
as the imaging method of choice in many clinical scenarios
[1–4]. Parallel to this, the number of patients with implan-
ted cardiac pacemakers (PM) continues to increase; in Ger-
many alone, the new implantation rate is about 75 000 an-
nually, with approximately 600 000 patients currently
living with the device [5]. It is estimated that due to existing
comorbidities, approximately 70% of these typically older
patients will exhibit a clinical indication for an MR exami-
nation at least once in the course of their lives [6].

However, there are numerous possible interactions be-
tween the static and pulsed electromagnetic fields of a mag-
netic resonance imaging system and a pacemaker system
[6–19]. Essentially these interactions pose three main risks
– each potentially life-threatening – for a pacemaker patient
during an MR examination:

1. **False perception of pulsed MRI gradient fields as intrinsic
cardiac activity with inhibition of the pacemaker stimu-
lation function and consecutive asystole in pacemaker-
dependent patients.**

2. **Voltage induction into the pacemaker leads through cou-
ping of the magnetic pulsating fields in a metallic con-
ductor with asynchronous myocardial stimulation and
the potential risk of induction of ventricular tachycardia/
ventricular fibrillation, as well as**

3. **Strong local concentration of the energy of the high fre-
cquency field due to the antenna effect of the pacemaker
leads with potential thermal damage in the myocard-
dium/endocardium at the lead tips and consecutive inef-
fective PM stimulation due to increase of the pacing cap-
ture threshold.**

With this in mind, two developments should be mentioned
which have high clinical relevance for the management of
patients with pacemakers scheduled for MR imaging:

1. **Based on scientific studies and clinical experience over
the past 15 years [7–14, 20–28], the presence of a con-
ventional pacemaker system – according to the over-
whelming majority of experts and relevant national and
international professional associations [9, 29] – no longer
represents an absolute, but rather a relative contraindica-
tion for an MR exam. MRI can be performed taking into
consideration the individual risk/benefit profile as a sin-
gle-case decision and as “off-label” use applying dedica-
ted safety measures.**

2. **In 2008 a new technology, so-called “MR conditional”
pacemaker systems were introduced, initially by Medtronic,
and are now provided by all pacemaker manufacturers.
These PM systems – defined as a functional unit com-
posed of pacemaker pulse generator and leads – are test-
ed and approved for MRI under certain conditions (“in-
label” use) [24]. The problem with these conditions is
that they can vary significantly depending on the manu-
facturer and specific pacemaker model. Decisive for pa-
tient safety during an MR examination is precise under-
standing of, and compliance with, these conditions and
the terms of use for the specific pacemaker system.**

Recent experience in Germany has shown that there are
currently a few specialized centers that perform MRI on pa-
tients with MR conditional pacemaker systems. Neverthe-
less, an MR exam is still withheld from many patients with
pacemakers, even when the pacemaker is conditionally
MRI-safe. One reason for this is a significant lack of informa-
tion and legal uncertainty on the part of both radiology and
cardiology with respect to dealing concretely in the clinical
setting with these new developments and the technically
complex and interdisciplinary issue.

The aim of these clinical practice guidelines of the German
Radiology Society (DRG), Working Group on Cardiovascular
Imaging is to present, from the radiological viewpoint, nec-
essary background knowledge and to express specific rec-
ommendations for performing MR imaging on patients
with conventional and MR conditional cardiac pacemaker
systems.

In the medium term, development of a sufficient number of
centers with relevant expertise should be encouraged in or-
der to guarantee enough clinical MRI facilities for the large
patient population with pacemakers in Germany.

Further, these clinical practice guidelines should substanci-
te and supplement from the radiological perspective the
abbreviated description of this complex topic in the current
guidelines of the European Society of Cardiology [29], and provide corrections of some points.

MRI of patients with conventional pacemakers

The recommended procedural management of patients with conventional pacemakers is shown in Algorithm 1 (Fig. 1). The individual steps are explained below.

Critical review of indications for MR imaging

The clinical urgency and therapeutic consequences of a requested MR examination should be documented by the attending radiologist. An interdisciplinary decision regarding the performance or withholding of the examination should be made on a case-by-case basis by the referring physician, cardiologist and radiologist.

Critical for this decision is the estimation of the individual risk/benefit ratio taking into account the electrophysiological risk profile (Table 1), the specific risk of the respective region to be examined (Table 2), as well as local circumstances (expertise and collaboration among attending radiological and cardiological personnel, guarantee of qualified patient monitoring during the MR examination, as well as adequate management of any possible emergency situation).

Due to its primary significance in determining the indication for examination and review in the case of a patient with a conventional pacemaker, this risk/benefit analysis is illustrated using a few examples.

The expected benefit of an MRI scan of the brain when planning surgery of an intracerebral tumor in a patient with a pacemaker should usually be rated as high. The relatively low risk profile of an MR examination of the brain (Table 2) – particularly in the absence of additional risk-elevating electrophysiological parameters (Table 1) – would essentially support performing an MRI scan, based on the risk/benefit ratio.

On the other hand, an MR examination of the thoracic spine of a patient with a conventional pacemaker should be undertaken with extreme caution due to the significantly increased risk of HF-induced heating effects of the leads in this anatomical region (Table 2). However, in a case of urgent clinical indication such as incipient paraesthesias related to decompensated spondylosis or spondylodiscitis, the anticipated clinical benefit may be so great that this increased risk can be considered acceptable, particularly if the patient is not pacemaker-dependent, so that the primary risk of ineffective pacemaker stimulation due to heating-induced increased pacing capture thresholds is of lower clinical importance.

Of the electrophysiological risk parameters illustrated in Table 1, the three first items – 1. pacemaker dependency, 2. vulnerable myocardium/increased disposition for high-grade arrhythmias, as well as 3. abandoned pacemaker leads – should be emphasized as main risks during an MR examination of a patient with a conventional pacemaker. However, none of these three points necessarily imply withholding an MR examination if – taking into account the urgent clinical need for MR imaging – the specific risk/benefit ratio is considered positive for the patient on the whole.

Table 1 High risk electrophysiological parameters for MRI of PM patients.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>electrophysiological parameters</th>
<th>potential hazards</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>pacemaker-dependence of patient</td>
<td>ineffective stimulation due to RF-induced tissue heating at the tips of the leads with increase of the pacing capture thresholds inhibition of stimulation due to gradient fields (false sensing)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>vulnerable myocardium (acute/subacute myocardial infarction, acute myocarditis), arrhythmogenic substrate/structural cardiac disease</td>
<td>increased risk for induction of ventricular fibrillation/ventricular tachycardia via voltage induction in the leads due to gradient fields or rectified RF fields</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>abandoned pacemaker leads</td>
<td>elevated risk of RF-induced tissue heating on the tips of abandoned leads compared to leads connected to the pacemaker system</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>additional leads (e.g. coronary sinus/ecardial leads)</td>
<td>elevated risk of RF-induced heating effects at the lead tips due to addition of the individual antenna effects and/or elongation of the antenna route of the leads</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>lead extensions, adapters</td>
<td>elevated risk of RF-induced heating effects at the lead tips</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>metallic cardiac or extra-cardiac implants (length &gt; 5 cm) directly adjacent (&lt; 4 cm) to the leads</td>
<td>elevated risk of RF-induced heating effects at the lead tips due to addition of the individual antenna effects</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>primarily increased pacing capture thresholds</td>
<td>insufficient stimulation due to RF-induced tissue heating at the tips of the leads with further increase of the pacing capture thresholds</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>low battery voltage (ERI, EOL criteria)</td>
<td>elevated risk of switching into emergency mode (electrical reset), which is usually VVI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>implantation time &lt; 6 weeks</td>
<td>unstable pacing capture thresholds in the healing phase after lead implantation</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2 Risk assessment of the MR examination region of patients with pacemakers.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>MR examination region: higher risk</th>
<th>potential hazards</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>thoracic spine, heart, chest, breast, shoulder</td>
<td>leads located completely in RF transmitter coil with increased coupling of RF energy and potentially increased heating effects at the lead tips</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

MR examination region: lower risk potential hazards

| brain, pelvis, hip joint, knee, foot | leads outside/largely outside RF transmitter coil with limited RF energy coupling and only minimal heating effects at the lead tips |

Patient information / informed consent

During an appropriate time frame prior to the MR examination, the patient should be specifically informed regarding the following circumstances and risks.

1. MRI on a patient with a conventional pacemaker is a non-approved (“off-label”) procedure based on a case-by-case decision on the part of the attending physicians. The relevant authorities have not issued certification for the MR examination, and neither the pacemaker manufacturer
nor the manufacturer of the MRI equipment will be liable for any damage or complications.

2. An MR examination of a patient with a conventional pacemaker system poses the following risks and complications:

- damage to the pacemaker generator with the necessity of replacement
- malfunction of the pacemaker leads, e.g. due to heating-related increase of pacing capture thresholds, necessitating revision/new implantation of leads
- heating of the pacemaker leads resulting in thermal damage to the cardiac muscle (acute or chronic) and ineffective pacemaker stimulation as a potentially life-threatening complication in the case of absolute PM dependency of the patient
- induction of potentially life-threatening tachycardia arrhythmia
- inhibition of pacemaker therapy of spontaneous bradyarrhythmic episodes occurring during the MRI scan with potentially life-threatening consequences in patients with absolute PM dependency

Occurrence of the risks described above can be minimized by employing specific safety precautions; however these risks cannot be absolutely eliminated and are not quantifiable in individual cases.

Monitoring during MRI

A decisive element of safety in the procedural management of patients with conventional pacemakers is adequate monitoring of vital functions in order to detect and treat potentially life-threatening complications early – in particular the occurrence of high-grade ventricular arrhythmias, ventricular fibrillation or spontaneous episodes of bradycardia. Continuous pulse oximetry monitoring is mandatory, displaying the peripheral pulse wave and oxygen saturation using a pulse oximeter certified for patient monitoring in the MR environment. It should be noted that the pulse wave display integrated into most MRI systems is not sufficient on its own. The advantage of pulse oximetry monitoring is that it is not affected by either the static magnetic field or the pulsed radio frequency (RF) and gradient fields, thus allowing accurate assessment of the heart rate as well as oxygen saturation of the patient in the MR environment. Accurate rhythm analysis (differentiation between atrial and ventricular tachycardia) is not possible, but also not mandatory during an MR examination. In the event of a hemodynamically significant bradycardiac or tachycardiac arrhythmia indicated by a decrease of oxygen saturation, the examination must be immediately discontinued and the patient brought out of the MRI room into an area in which standard emergency equipment can be employed. In this context it should be noted that defibrillation in the static magnetic field or within the 5-Gauss line is absolutely contraindicated due to magnetic attraction forces acting on the defibrillator. Furthermore, in a strong static magnetic field – such as in the direct vicinity of a 1.5 T MRI system – charging the capacitor of a defibrillator and thus generating a therapeutic shock, is technically not possible with currently available equipment.

EGG monitoring as the sole control modality during MRI of patients with conventional pacemakers, is definitely insufficient due to artifacts induced by pulsed RF and gradient fields. However, it is recommended as a monitoring modality supplementary to pulse oximetry, particularly when the examination has a high risk profile. In this instance as well, an MRI-compatible ECG unit certified for patient monitoring in the MR environment should be used. The monitoring phase starts immediately upon entry into the MRI room. It is recommended to attach the pulse oximeter as well as to establish i.v. access outside the MRI room. During the MR examination, continuous verbal and visual contact with the patient should be guaranteed, and the patient should be instructed to immediately report any symptoms such as palpitations, dizziness, heat sensation or movement in the pacemaker pocket.

In order to respond immediately to high-grade ventricular arrhythmias as well as episodes of spontaneous bradycardia, on-site availability of a defibrillator as well as a programmer compatible with the respective pacemaker system is absolutely mandatory.

For safety reasons, the presence of a cardiologist during MRI should be requested for patients with conventional pacemaker systems and higher risk profile (Table 1) – particularly for patients with absolute PM dependency, for patients with abandoned pacemaker leads, as well as for patients with vulnerable myocardium.

For practical reasons, it would be reasonable for conventional pacemaker patients with a low risk profile (Table 1, 2) to have a cardiologist available on an emergency standby basis rather than being continuously present during MRI. In this case, adequate patient monitoring during the MR examination should be guaranteed by the presence of a physician who is capable to treat the patient properly until the arrival of a cardiologist.

MRI-related safety precautions

By far, the most numerous clinical experiences are with closed/cylindrical MRI units with a field strength of 1.5T; thus these MRI systems are preferable for scheduled MR examinations of patients with conventional pacemakers [6, 8, 10, 11, 22–25]. There are studies demonstrating the safety of MRI of the brain using a field strength of 3T [20]. Due to the lack of sufficient data, open MRI systems should not be employed.

The built-in body RF coil, a large volume coil integrated permanently in the MR scanner, should be used as the RF transmitter coil. Local transmit/receive coils are absolutely contraindicated in the thoracic region. The use of local receiver coils is non-critical and possible in all regions of the body – including the chest – if the integrated body RF coil is used as the transmitter coil.

RF-induced heating of the PM leads cannot be predicted in individual cases, as it is dependent on numerous influencing parameters, including position and configuration of the PM leads in the patient as well as position relative to the RF transmitter coil, relation of the wave length of the RF excitation pulse to the effective lead length, SAR value (specific absorption rate) of the MRI sequence used. The SAR value (unit W/kg) is a measure of the absorption of electromagnetic field energy in biological tissue. To limit consecutive tissue heating during an MRI scan, the radio frequency power irradiated into the body is monitored on the equipment and the corresponding SAR value is displayed. In keeping with legally-mandated IEC threshold val-
ues. [30] the SAR value during an MR examination must not exceed 4 W/kg in the whole body or 3.2 W/kg in the head independent of the presence or absence of a pacemaker or an otherwise active or inactive implant.

If all other influential parameters remain constant, there is a linear relationship between the SAR value of the related MRI sequence and tissue heating at the tip of the pacemaker lead. This RF-induced tissue heating can thus be simply and effectively reduced by limiting the SAR value. It is therefore strongly recommended that during MR examinations of patients with conventional pacemakers, the SAR value of all MRI sequences should be limited to <2 W/kg in the whole body (upper threshold of normal operating mode) and <3.2 W/kg in the head.

Compliance with these SAR thresholds during a standard sequencing protocol without relevant decrease of image quality is usually possible in routine clinical situations. MRI systems made by all manufacturers include standard simple software options that, by selecting the normal operating mode, ensure that the MRI parameters of the selected sequence are automatically adapted such that the SAR threshold of 2 W/kg in the whole body and 3.2 W/kg in the head is not exceeded.

Basically it is also possible to reduce the SAR value manually by modifying individual sequence parameters in order to remain in the normal operating mode:
- reduction of number of slices
- reduction of turbo factor
- replacement of spin echo or turbo spin echo sequences with gradient echo sequences
- reduction of excitation angle during gradient echo sequences
- replacement of steady-state free precession sequences with gradient echo sequences, particularly in cardiac imaging.

A limitation of the active examination time (cumulative examination time with active gradient and RF fields) to a maximum of 30 minutes is recommended in order to minimize the risk of thermal endo-/myocardial damage due to RF-induced lead heating which is dependent not only on the level but also on the duration of the heating.

Pacemaker-related safety precautions

The pacemaker system should be reprogrammed immediately prior to the MRI scan, and then reprogrammed again to its original mode immediately after the MR examination. The attending cardiologist determines and takes responsibility for the pacemaker mode during MRI. Specific current reprogramming and monitoring guidelines, taking into account safety as well as practical aspects are currently being developed in collaboration with the German Cardiac Society. Until then, the following procedure is recommended: the cardiologist should view it as a framework which can be modified on an individual basis, depending on the specific electrophysiological situation of the patient.

a) In the case of a pacemaker-dependent patient: reprogram the pacemaker into an asynchronous mode (D00, V00) with deactivation of the sensing function. This will prevent inhibition of the pacemaker by pulsed magnetic fields while ensuring continuous cardiac stimulation of the patient.

b) In the case of a non-pacemaker-dependent patient (representing approx. 80% of pacemaker patients): reprogram the pacemaker into a SENSE-only mode (e.g. 0D0) with deactivation of the stimulation function or, if the PM system allows, complete deactivation of the pacemaker (000) in order to prevent improperly triggered atrial and/or ventricular stimulation or asynchronous stimulation after reed switch actuation or during interference mode.

c) Increase the stimulation pulse (e.g. to 5.0 V/1.0 ms), in order to compensate for a possible increase of the pacing capture threshold caused by heating of the pacemaker leads.

d) Reprogram the sensing and stimulation polarity of the pacemaker leads to bipolar (if technically possible).

e) Deactivate all supplemental stimulation functions (i.e. frequency-adapted stimulation, anti-tachycardia stimulation).

A complete PM interrogation should be performed both immediately before as well as after the MR examination as part of the reprogramming procedure, as well as 3 months afterward. The 3 months follow-up is done to detect any delayed damage/malfunctions induced during the MRI (e.g. chronic increased pacing capture thresholds resulting from scar tissue formation).

MRI of patients with MR conditional pacemaker systems

MR conditional pacemakers have been tested for an MR examination under specific conditions, and approved for use by the European Medical Device Directive with CE certification (“in-label” use). The manufacturer guarantees its safety when properly used following specific conditions for use. The Medtronic company has published prospective randomized multi-center studies demonstrating the safety of the Enrhythm and Advisa models [31 – 33].

Technical modifications of MR conditional pacemaker systems include replacement of the reed switch with a Hall sensor (unlike a standard reed switch, its behavior is predictable in a strong static magnetic field), improved protection of the internal circuits (thereby avoiding electromagnetic interference and voltage drop-induced electrical resets); modification of input capacities and protective diodes in the pacemaker system (to reduce voltage induction into the leads), as well as a software-based MRI protective mode (see below).

Some manufacturers (Medtronic, St. Jude, Boston Scientific) have developed new leads in which RF-induced heating is significantly reduced. In addition, all manufacturers have tested their conventional leads and have identified some lead models, which under certain conditions could be approved as MR conditional (back labeling). This implies that a lead primarily labeled “conventional” and not “MR-safe” on the pacemaker ID card during implantation could be retrospectively tested and approved as “MR conditional”. This emphasizes that data regarding MR safety of the leads in the pacemaker ID card may not be reliable, and should be currently reviewed with the manufacturer (manual, hotline, web site, Table 3).

The recommended procedural management of patients with MR conditional pacemakers is shown in Algorithm 2 (Fig. 2). The individual steps are explained below.
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It should be noted that all information is only a snapshot of the current situation. Changes to the conditions for use are possible, and it is necessary to review each case individually and in a timely manner. Therefore, the telephone hotline numbers as well as the related Internet addresses of the individual manufacturers are provided.

### Indications and review of the conditions for use

In think the cardiac/pacemaker outpatient clinic PM interrogation, and if necessary a review of the medical record of the center performing the implantation, is performed to determine whether the pacemaker-specific conditions of use are fulfilled for MR imaging.

In cases of doubt an X-ray of the chest may be performed, especially to exclude points 7 and 8 (see below).

1. Verification of a complete and approved MR conditional pacemaker system consisting of a pacemaker generator and pacemaker leads
2. Left or right pectoral implantation site of the pacemaker system
3. Implantation time > 6 weeks
4. Electrically intact pacemaker leads
5. Pacing capture thresholds within the normal range
6. Sufficient battery capacity based on the manufacturer’s specification
7. No additional cardiac leads (particularly no abandoned pacemaker leads), no additional components such as lead adapters or extensions
8. Exclusion of other cardiac implants, depending on the manufacturer’s specification (e.g. coronary stents, prosthetic heart valves).

### Radiology

performs verification of the MR-related conditions for use:

1. Design and field strength of the MRI system: The MR conditional pacemaker systems of all manufacturers are approved for an MRI scan in closed/cylindrical MRI systems with a field strength of 1.5 T. For some of their pacemaker systems, Biotronik and Boston Scientific also have additional approval for MRI systems with a field strength of 3 T. Currently no MR conditional pacemaker system has approval for MRI examinations with a field strength 1 T or lower nor is there approval for open MRI systems.

Based on manufacturers’ information, there are no plans for such in the future.

2. Gradient system: Most MR conditional pacemaker systems permit MRI sequences up to a maximum gradient field slew rate of 200 T/m/s per axis. Clinical MRI gradient systems currently in use do not achieve or exceed this value. An important exception are some pacemaker systems made by Biotronik, for which the maximum slew rate of the gradient fields is limited to only 125 T/m/s per axis.

Unlike the SAR value, the slew rate of the gradient fields of specific MRI sequences cannot be quantified and controlled or is difficult to quantify and control in clinical applications. Therefore, for the examination of a patient with a pacemaker, it is recommended to identify an MRI scanner with known technical specifications and maximum output of the gradient system lying below the approved limit range of the relevant pacemaker system. It should be mentioned that some manufacturers’ brochures occasionally indicate higher slew rates of the gradient fields up to 350 T/m/s which then correspond to cumulative slew rates of the x, y, z gradients. However, the safety-related reference parameter is the slew rate of the gradient fields per axis.

3. Whole-body vs. partial-body approval: The manufacturers Medtronic and Boston Scientific have whole-body approval for all their MR conditional pacemaker systems, i.e. all regions of the body can in principle be examined using MRI. Biotronik and St. Jude, in addition to pacemaker systems with whole-body approval, also have systems with only partial-body approval. All Sorin Group pacemaker systems currently have only partial-body approval. For systems with only partial-body approval MRI examinations are limited to scans outside of the chest to reduce RF-induced heating of the pacemaker leads. For pacemaker systems with partial-body approval made by Biotronik and Sorin Group, MRI scans are specifically limited to those body regions in which the isocenter of the scan field (field of view) is above the orbital cavities or below the greater trochanter. Pacemaker systems made by St. Jude with partial-body approval also include model series with MRI scans limited to examinations of body regions with an isocenter of the scan field above cervical vertebra 1 and below lumbar vertebra 4, as well as model series more stringently limited to an upper isocenter position 10 cm above cervical vertebra 1 with an identical lower isocenter position below lumbar vertebra 4.

4. Exclusion of other extracardiac implants, depending on the manufacturer’s specification (e.g. spondylodesis material in the thoracic spine).

5. Written documentation (check list) by the attending cardiologist indicating that the electrophysiological conditions for use of the pacemaker have been fulfilled.

### Patient information

Unlike patients with conventional pacemaker systems, performance of MRI scans on patients with MR conditional pacemaker systems are an approved application ("in-la-
Algorithm 1: MR examinations of patients with conventional pacemakers

- Critical review of indication
  - Referring physician: written documentation of the urgency of the clinical indication and therapeutic consequences
  - Cardiology: Estimation of the cardiological/electrophysiological risk profile (0 Table 1)
  - Radiology: Confirmation of the absence of imaging alternatives and risk assessment of the specific MR examination and examination region (0 Table 2)
  - Interdisciplinary decision among the referring physician, cardiologist and radiologist regarding performing or withholding the examination, taking into account the individual risk/benefit profile and local conditions (technical equipment, experience of clinical staff)

- Patient information/informed consent about
  - Non-approved (off-label) use
  - Potential risk of:
    - Damage / malfunction of pacemaker generator or leads with the necessity of PM or lead replacement
    - Occurrence of potentially life-threatening arrhythmia induced by MRI
    - Insufficient PM therapy of potentially life-threatening bradyarrhythmic episodes occurring spontaneously during the MR examination
    - Death

- PM interrogation and reprogramming immediately pre-MRI
  (for details, see “Pacemaker-related safety precautions”)

- Performing the MR examination
  - Limitation of active MR examination time to 30 minutes
  - Limitation of SAR values of the individual MRI sequences to normal operating mode < 2 W/kg (whole body) or < 3.2 W/kg (head)
  - Adequate patient monitoring using pulse oximeter and ECG
  - Cardiologist present on-site or available on standby in the event of an emergency
  - Pacemaker programming device, defibrillator and emergency equipment available on-site
  - Emergency management possible according to guidelines by qualified attending personnel

- PM interrogation and reprogramming immediately post-MRI

- Follow-up of pacemaker function after 3 months

Fig. 1 Algorithm 1.

Algorithm 2: MR examinations of patients with MR conditional pacemaker systems

- Review of indication and conditions for use
  - Cardiology:
    - Pacemaker interrogation with verification of a complete and intact MR conditional pacemaker system (generator and leads), as well as required model-specific electrophysiological parameters (e.g. pacing capture thresholds, lead impedance, battery capacity)
    - Exclusion of abandoned pacemaker leads or other electrodes, exclusion of additional lead adapters/extensions or other metallic cardiac implants (review of patient’s medical file, chest X-ray as needed)
  - Radiology:
    - Verification of MR-related conditions for use (e.g. field strength, gradient system, approval for whole- or partial-body scan, presence of other extracardiac metallic implants)
    - Written documentation (check list) that the electrophysiological (cardiology) and MR-related conditions for use (radiology) have been fulfilled
    - In a non-approved situation, proceed analogously to the algorithm “MRI with conventional pacemakers”

- Patient information/informed consent about
  - MRI conditional PM are approved for MRI (“in label use”) and risks have been widely minimized.
  - However, patients must be principally informed about the same risks as those with conventional PM systems (see algorithm 1).

- PM interrogation and programming into MR protection mode pre-MRI

- Performing the MR examination
  - Compliance with the MRI-specific conditions for use (e.g. field intensity, cylindrical MRI, gradient system, choice of receive coil, positioning, whole-vs. partial-body approval)
  - Manufacturer/model-specific limitation of SAR values of the MRI sequences
  - Patient monitoring using only pulse oximetry sufficient, additional ECG not obligatory
  - Monitoring of examination by medical staff, standard emergency equipment on-site, presence of cardiologist not obligatory, emergency team available

- PM interrogation and reprogramming into original mode post-MRI

Fig. 2 Algorithm 2.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>medtronic</th>
<th>biotronik</th>
<th>Boston Scientific</th>
<th>St. Jude Medical</th>
<th>Sorin Group</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>field strength</td>
<td>1.5 T</td>
<td>1.5 T</td>
<td>1.5 T</td>
<td>1.5 T</td>
<td>1.5 T</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>some pacemaker systems additionally 3 T</td>
<td>some pacemaker systems additionally 3 T</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>maximum gradient slew rate</td>
<td>&lt; 200 T/m/s</td>
<td>&lt; 200 T/m/s</td>
<td>&lt; 200 T/m/s</td>
<td>&lt; 200 T/m/s</td>
<td>&lt; 200 T/m/s</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>maximum whole-body SAR (specific absorption rate)</td>
<td>for all pacemaker systems &lt; 2 W/kg (head SAR &lt; 3.2 W/kg) some pacemaker systems &lt; 4 W/kg (head SAR &lt; 3.2 W/kg)</td>
<td>&lt; 2 W/kg (head SAR &lt; 3.2 W/kg) some pacemaker systems &lt; 4 W/kg (head SAR &lt; 3.2 W/kg)</td>
<td>both &lt; 4 W/kg (head SAR &lt; 3.2 W/kg) as well as some pacemaker systems &lt; 2 W/kg (head SAR &lt; 3.2 W/kg)</td>
<td>for all pacemaker systems &lt; 2 W/kg (head SAR &lt; 3.2 W/kg)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>whole-/partial-body approval</td>
<td>whole-body approval for all pacemaker systems</td>
<td>Pacemaker system-dependent whole-body approval or partial-body approval (isocenter of FOV above the orbit or below the major trochanter)</td>
<td>whole-body approval for all pacemaker systems</td>
<td>Pacemaker system-dependent whole-body approval or partial-body approval (model-dependent, upper isocenter limit above thoracic vertebra 1 or 10 cm above thoracic vertebra 1, lower isocenter limit below lumbar vertebra 4)</td>
<td>partial-body approval for all pacemaker systems (isocenter of FOV above the orbit or below the major trochanter)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>maximum MRI examination time</td>
<td>no limitations</td>
<td>&lt; 30 min per MRI examination 10 hour maximum cumulative MRI examination time per life time of pacemaker system</td>
<td>no limitations</td>
<td>Some pacemaker systems no limitation, some systems &lt; 30 min per MRI examination</td>
<td>&lt; 40 min per MRI examination</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>maximum body size</td>
<td>no limitations</td>
<td>1.40 m</td>
<td>no limitations</td>
<td>no limitations</td>
<td>1.47 m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>body temperature</td>
<td>no limitations</td>
<td>&lt; 38.0 °C</td>
<td>&lt; 38.0 °C</td>
<td>pacemaker systems with whole-body approval: no limitation partial-body approval: &lt; 38.0 °C</td>
<td>&lt; 38.0 °C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>positional requirements</td>
<td>supine and prone position</td>
<td>only supine position</td>
<td>supine and prone position</td>
<td>supine and prone position</td>
<td>supine and prone position</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>additional cardiac leads or lead components</td>
<td>no additional leads or lead components</td>
<td>additional coronary sinus leads approved for some CRT systems, otherwise no additional leads or lead components</td>
<td>no additional leads or lead components</td>
<td>no additional leads or lead components</td>
<td>no additional cardiac leads or lead components</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>other cardiac and extracardiac implants</td>
<td>other implants approved as “MR conditional” are permitted within their conditions for use</td>
<td>1. other implants approved as “MR conditional” are permitted within their conditions for use 2. implants directly adjacent to leads (&lt; 4 cm) may not be longer than 5 cm</td>
<td>warning notice in manuals: &quot;Other implants can impair the safety of MR conditional pacemaker systems&quot;</td>
<td>no clear data in the manuals, According to manual “Implanted non MR-safe medical products are contraindicated” authors’ comment: therefore all metallic implants which by definition cannot be classified as MR-safe are de facto contraindicated</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>contact address</td>
<td>Medtronic GmbH Earl-Bakken-Platz 1 40 670 Meerbusch, Germany + 49 2159-8149-0</td>
<td>BIOTRONIK SE &amp; Co. KG Woermannkehre 1 12 359 Berlin, Germany + 49 30 68 905-0</td>
<td>Boston Scientific Daniel-Goldbach-Strasse 17 – 27 40 880 Ratingen, Germany + 49 2102 489 750</td>
<td>St. Jude Medical GmbH Helfmannpark 1, D65 760 Eschborn, Germany + 49 6196 77 110 + 49 6196 77 117</td>
<td>Sorin Group Deutschland GmbH Lindbergstr. 25, 80 939 Munich, Germany + 49 893 230 10</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1 other implants approved as “MR conditional” are permitted within their conditions for use
bel" use). In principle, however, patients must be informed of the same risks as those with conventional systems (see Algorithm 2). With respect to MR conditional systems, however, these risks have been widely minimized, so that the remaining theoretical risk according to the criteria of the approval authorities is considered reasonably low, taking into account the anticipated benefit of the MR examination. In individual cases, these risks cannot be ruled out with absolute certainty.

Monitoring during the MR examination
In principle, the presence of a cardiologist during the MRI scan of a patient with an MR conditional pacemaker system is not required as long as complications which may occur independent of the presence of a pacemaker can be detected and treated by attending medical staff until the arrival of an emergency team. The situation is comparable to the management of contrast agent-induced events during radiological examinations.

All manufacturers of MR conditional pacemakers require patient monitoring using the following methods during an MRI scan: pulse oximetry, ECG or blood pressure measurement. The user may select the monitoring modality. The authors of this position paper strongly recommend monitoring using an MRI-compatible pulse oximeter. The validity of ECG registrations (even with newer MRI-compatible ECG systems) is still frequently impaired by overlaying artifacts. Blood pressure measurement does not provide continuous monitoring of the patient. Pulse oximetry alone is considered sufficient for monitoring patients with MR conditional pacemaker systems.

MRI-related conditions for use / safety precautions
SAR value: To reduce RF-induced lead heating, the whole-body SAR value is limited for most MR conditional systems to the normal operating mode at an upper threshold of 2 W/kg (local head SAR value 3.2 W/kg); see also the comment “SAR value” in the section “Conventional pacemakers”. Some pacemaker systems made by Biotronik, Boston Scientific and St. Jude are also approved for MR examinations with a maximum SAR value of 4 W/kg (local head SAR value 3.2 W/kg).

MRI coils: All currently commercially available receiver coils, including local surface receiver coils, can be used in all body regions for all pacemaker manufacturers. The integrated body-RF coil is approved for all pacemaker manufacturers as transmit coil. Some pacemaker manufacturers (Medtronic, Biotronik and Boston Scientific) also allow the use of combined transmit/receive coils outside the thoracic region which in relatively rare cases are available for some MRI systems as knee or head coils, for example.

Positioning: With the exception of the Biotronik company, MR conditional pacemaker systems of all manufacturers are approved for MR examinations in supine and prone position. All Biotronik models are tested and approved only for MRI scans in supine position; consequently an MR examination of the breasts, which is performed in prone position, for example, cannot be accomplished as “in label” use on a patient with an MR conditional Biotronik pacemaker system as the specific MR-related conditions for use are not met. Table 3 contains a summary of additional manufacturer specifications for MR conditional pacemaker systems, such
as MRI examination time, cumulative examination time over the service life time of the pacemaker pulse generator, minimum patient body size as well as exclusion of fever or impaired patient body temperature regulation.

**Pacemaker-related conditions for use/safety precautions**

Analogously to the procedure with conventional pacemakers, prior to an examination, MR conditional systems should be programmed into an MRI-protection mode which implies: asynchronous mode or deactivation of the pacing function, bipolar lead configuration, increased stimulation output, deactivation of supplementary stimulation functions such as frequency-adapted stimulation or anti-tachycardia overstimulation. This MRI-protection mode is stored in the software options of the pacemaker model. This implies that before and after an MR examination, patients must always be seen by a cardiologist. Although the manufacturers do not pose explicit requirements, the authors of this paper suggest that reprogramming be performed as close in place and time to the MR examination as possible, ideally in the same or adjacent building, and within a time window of < 2 h. This procedure, in the estimation of the attending cardiologist, can be modified in individual cases. This applies, for example, to patients for whom no pacemaker activity has been documented for an extended period.

Unlike conventional pacemaker systems, follow-up after 3 months to rule out long-term damage is optional and not obligatory.

**Summary: MRI and cardiac pacemakers**

1. Conventional pacemaker systems are no longer an absolute but rather a relative contraindication for performing an MR examination. The procedural management includes the assessment of the individual risk/benefit ratio, comprehensive patient informed consent about specific related risks and “off label” use, extensive PM- and MRI-related safety precautions as well as adequate monitoring techniques during the MRI exam

2. MR conditional pacemakers have been tested and approved under specific conditions (“in-label” use). Decisive for patient safety are precise understanding of, and compliance with, the terms of use for the specific pacemaker system. If the electrophysiological and MRI-specific conditions for use are not met or compliance with these conditions for use cannot be guaranteed, the device must be treated like a conventional pacemaker.
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