The Ulnar Nerve After Surgical Transposition: Can Sonography Define the Reason of Persisting Neuropathy?

Der Nervus ulnaris nach chirurgischer Transposition: Kann die Sonografie die Ursache einer anhaltenden Neuropathie definieren?
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Abstract

Purpose: Ulnar nerve neuropathy is mainly caused by compression at the level of the cubital tunnel. Two main approaches are currently known for the surgical treatment of this condition: decompression of the nerve in its usual position or transposition to the ulnar flexor side. This study was performed to define the usefulness of ultrasound in patients with persisting symptoms after ulnar nerve transposition.

Materials and Methods: We present the data of 8 subjects with persisting symptoms after nerve transposition due to compressive neuropathy. The cross-section areas (CSA) and texture changes were recorded. Each ulnar nerve was divided into 6 segments – 3 segments at the proximal pass and 3 segments at the distal pass through the subcutaneous fascia.

Results: Texture changes were recorded in 4.6 (76.7 %) ± 1.2 and outer nerve sheath blurring in mean 4.1 (68.3 %) ± 1.1 of the segments. Caliber changes were found in the course of the nerve based on the 6 segments: A mean CSA of 7.45 mm² ± 2.24 was found proximal to the upper fascial passage (PUF), a mean CSA of 11.96 mm² ± 3.61 at the upper fascial passage (UF), a mean CSA of 11.49 mm² ± 8.16 proximal to the lower fascial passage (PLF), a mean CSA of 12.12 mm² ± 5 at the lower fascial passage (LF), and a mean CSA of 7.89 mm² ± 3.42 distal to the lower fascial passage (DLF). All transposed nerves presented relevant kinks at the UF, 6 nerves presented relevant kinks at the LF.

Conclusion: In cases of secondary ulnar neuropathy after nerve transposition, ultrasound can reliably assess the actual “situation” of the nerve and thus at least ease the decision for secondary surgery.
Introduction

Ulnar Nerve Neuropathy is dominantly based on compression at the level of the cubital tunnel [1] where the nerve suffers directly from mechanical forces and/or impairment of its vascular supply [2]. During the last decades two main surgical approaches have been developed and involve resolving the abovementioned problems by either loosening the nerve in its usual topographic position as in-situ decompression or transposing it – with or without fitting of the bony epicondyle – usually subcutaneously to the flexor side of the elbow [1, 3–6]. However, concerning the surgical transposition of the ulnar nerve, early constriction of the intraneural blood flow by dislocating not only the nerve but also its blood supply and thus perfusion was feared [1, 4, 7–10]. Although in this context recommendations for surgical procedures are available [2], a first-line standard recommendation is not clearly defined, [9, 10] and potential sites of “vascular constriction” and how such a state could be diagnosed have not yet been defined. Within the last decade high-resolution ultrasonography (HRUS) has become the first-line modality for imaging small soft tissue structures and especially for imaging peripheral nerves: with high-frequency broadband transducers and highly sensitive color power Doppler, even tiny peripheral nerve branches and arterial vessels can be visualized. Even subtle forms of peripheral nerve pathology may also be demonstrated by inner texture change [11–15]. However, can HRUS play a relevant role in admittedly rare patient collectives under suspicion of relevant secondary/iatrogenic blood flow compromise of a transposed ulnar nerve?

Materials and Methods

We present the data of 8 subjects who had undergone standard subcutaneous transpositions of the ulnar nerves due to compressive neuropathy of the cubital nerve segments. Persistence or even aggravation of their clinical symptoms (specific palsy, numbness and pain), secondary surgery included mainly following measures:

1. Loosening of the constrictions at the respective nerves at their proximal to upper fascial passage (PUF), upper fascial passage (UF), distal to upper fascial passage (DUF), proximal to lower fascial passage (PLF), lower fascial passage (LF), distal to lower fascial passage (DLF) the cross-section areas of the transposed nerves were recorded and texture changes (loss of the inner fascicular texture and blurring of the outer nerve sheath) were assessed by two investigators in consensus, each with more than 8 years of experience in musculoskeletal and nerve sonography.

Key points

- Sonography can reliably define pathology of the ulnar nerve.
- Blood flow restriction after nerve transposition can be the cause of secondary neuropathy.
- Secondary neuropathy after ulnar nerve transposition can be assessed by sonography.
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Results

All subjects who had undergone standard subcutaneous transposition of the ulnar nerve due to compressive neuropathy of the cubital segment of the ulnar nerve presented a “transposed” nerve to the ulnar flexor side at the cubita with preserved continuity. No neuroma or any sign of major nerve impairment or rupture was found. Beyond the respective entrances and exits through the subcutaneous fasciae, no compressions, e.g. by scars or bony elements, were obvious.

However, the ulnar nerves presented texture and caliber changes at their transposed courses:

1. Inner texture changes were recorded in 4.6 (76.7 %) ± 1.2 (20 %) and outer nerve sheath blurring in mean 4.1 (68.3 %) ± 1.1 (18.3 %) of the segments.

2. Marked caliber changes were found in the course of the transposed nerve based on the 6 segments defined above. We found a mean cross-section area (CSA) of 7.45 mm² ± 2.24 (median 6.05 mm²) proximal to the upper fascial passage (PUF), a mean cross-section area (CSA) of 11.96 mm² ± 3.61 (median 10.04 mm²) at the upper fascial passage (UF), a mean cross-section area (CSA) of 11.49 mm² ± 8.16 (median 9.83 mm²) distal to the upper fascial passage (UF), a mean cross-section area (CSA) of 10.84 mm² ± 4.73 (median 8.3 mm²) proximal to the lower fascial passage (PLF), a mean cross-section area (CSA) of 12.12 mm² ± 5 (median 8.58 mm²) at the lower fascial passage (LF), and a mean cross-section area (CSA) of 7.89 mm² ± 3.42 (median 5.11 mm²; see Fig. 1) distal to lower fascial passage (DLF).

3. All transposed nerves presented relevant kinks at the UF, 6 nerves presented relevant kinks at the LF.

Of the abovementioned 8 subjects, 3 were (as described above) expected to clearly profit from surgery and thus underwent secondary surgical release also as described above by the institutional peripheral neurology board.

The assessments of the corresponding situations during surgery confirmed the US findings in detail. Inner texture changes were clearly beyond the scope as was blurring of the outer nerve sheath. The swelling of the corresponding segments of the ulnar nerve especially at the hourglass-shaped, waisted transits through the fascia and the kinks were recorded (Fig. 2–4).

Fig. 1 Whisper boxplot of the transposed nerves concerning the integrated cross-section areas (CSAs) of the 6 measured segments: The range lines indicate the maxima and the minima of the respective segments (proximal to upper fascial passage [PUF], upper fascial passage [UF], distal to upper fascial passage [DUF], proximal to lower fascial passage [PLF], lower fascial passage [LF], distal to lower fascial passage [DLF]) with the corresponding boxes ranging between the 1st and the 3rd quartiles of the datasets with the corresponding midrange median values given within the boxes.

Abb. 1 Whisper boxplot der verlagerten Nerven hinsichtlich der integrierten Querschnittsfläche (CSA) der 6 gemessenen Segmente: Die Bereich-Linien zeigen die Maxima und Minima der jeweiligen Segmente (proximal des oberen Faszien-Durchtritts [PUF], am oberen Faszien-Durchtritt [UF], distal des oberen Faszien-Durchtritts [DUF], proximal des unteren Faszien-Durchtritts [PLF], am unteren Faszien-Durchtritt [LF], distal des unteren Faszien-Durchtritts [DLF]) mit den korrespondierenden Boxen zwischen den 1. und 3. Quartilen der Datensätze und den angegebenen Median-Werten.

Fig. 2 a, b Axial ultrasound scan at the UF depicting the texture of the transposed ulnar nerve (dotted line) with hypoechoic changes at its passes through the distorted fascia (arrows).

Abb. 2 a, b Ultraschall-Bild in einem Transversalschnitt an der UF mit Darstellung des hypoechojen veränderten, verlagerten Nervs (gepunktete Linie) an seinem Durchgang durch die Faszie (Pfeile).
All 3 subjects who had undergone these secondary surgical revisions showed prompt and marked clinical ameliorations of the according sensory (pain, paresthesia and numbness) and motor qualities of the respective ulnar nerve within 3 months. No corresponding EDX controls are available.

Discussion

As defined by the available literature, transposition of the ulnar nerve – although performed charily – may profoundly hamper the nerve additionally instead of solving the problem of mechanical constriction of the cubital segment of an ulnar nerve. Several secondary reasons are under discussion, but “academic opinions” do not help these harried patients, who hoped for amelioration by “transposition surgery” and then suffered from substantially more pain than before.

However, transpositions of ulnar nerves are presently done rather rarely – due to the probability of “iatrogenic” harming of an ulnar nerve – and much more rarely end up in insufficient situations as described above, which urges for solutions. The surgeon wants to help but does not exactly know what to revise and the neurologist/electrophysiologist often has to delay further action due to unclear data. Since in such situations electrophysiological tests were actually pathologic at/in the cubital segment of an ulnar nerve and are still pathologic after initial surgery, pain is subjective and motor functions need time to recover.

However, the data of this retrospective study present some interesting facts that should at least be discussed:

1. US can depict unexpected constrictions and thus strangulation of a transposed nerve due to clear morphology. Kinks and caliber change is actually nothing new, but helps the clinician in assessing the situation and further prognosis also in this rather special but important field.

2. US can give information when interpretation of EDX data is unclear. Due to chronic neural damage, data lose relevance and thus do not suffice for decisions. US can rather clearly state a “need for action”.

3. Our data based on the CSA measurements show additional facts. If there was exclusive constriction only to the axonal flow, the corresponding nerve should be swollen in a pseudoneuroma-like manner mainly proximal to the point of relevant constriction and show distal to that rather “normal” caliber as known from carpal tunnel syndrome [16, 17]. This is (astonishingly!) not true for our patients at all! At the UF we find more swollen nerves with texture changes than at PUF and at least rather swollen nerves distal to that (i.e., the actually transposed ulnar nerve segment; DUF) – the situation at the LF is a little different but also here an expected CSA reduction is more or less lacking. How these facts should be explained in detail may not be clarified by this study, but there is clearly something beyond mere axonal/fascicular constriction which affects these caliber and texture changes! In our opinion the major candidate for this “something” should be a segmentally changed intraneural blood supply which was already claimed to be “changed” by such surgical procedures but without clear...
diagnostic evidence [18]. Hampered neural blood supply could also explain the severe neural function loss in morphologically unspectacular circumstances due to ischemia.

Based on our data, we propose to focus also on the diagnostic relevance of neural perfusion in – at least special forms of – compression neuropathy beyond direct neural impairment of peripheral nerves to preserve the best further prognosis for a concerned patient. In this context the field should e.g. be opened to contrast-enhanced ultrasound (CEUS), by which assessment of nerve segments under suspicion of “dysperfusion” should be eased and thus quickly define the need for further action.

In this spirit our present study – using admittedly a very confined, retrospective dataset – could demonstrate the use of HRUS for cases of special forms of secondary (highly hampering) neural compromise. HRUS can define “what is going on” and “where” and thus state what the surgeon should do if also all other circumstances “favor” intervention, i.e. at least substantial amelioration is expected. Further data on the construction of perfusion of a peripheral nerve might here become as crucial as it may play a key role for therapeutic options in the future. However, our data (CSA, texture changes) additionally ask questions we can presently not clearly answer but blaze a trail on how “blood flow management” changed diagnosis. Different forms of nerve constriction may play different roles and in this sense further data should be provided.

**Clinical relevance**

1. Ultrasound can define constrictions and caliber changes of the ulnar nerve after subcutaneous transposition.
2. Ultrasound can provide information and state the need for action when interpretation of EDX data is unclear.
3. Ultrasound should be the first-line imaging modality in patients with persisting neuropathy after ulnar nerve transposition.
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