Predictors of Peak Troponin Level in Acute Coronary Syndromes: Prior Aspirin Use and SYNTAX Score
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Abstract

The peak troponin level has been associated with cardiovascular (CV) mortality and adverse CV events. The association of peak troponin with CV risk factors and severity and complexity of coronary artery disease remains unknown. We assessed the predictors of peak troponin in patients with acute coronary syndrome (ACS). This study aims to determine the predictors of peak troponin in ACS. Cardiac catheterization (CC) reports and electronic medical records from 2010 to 2013 were retrospectively reviewed. A total of 219 patients were eligible for the study. All major CV risk factors, comorbidities, laboratory data, CC indications, and coronary lesion characteristics were included. Univariate and multivariate regression analyses were done. On multivariate linear regression analysis, ST-elevation myocardial infarction (p = 0.001, β = 65.16) and increasing synergy between percutaneous coronary intervention with Taxus and cardiac surgery (SYNTAX) score (p = 0.002, β = 1.15) were associated with higher peak troponin. The Pearson correlation between SYNTAX score and peak troponin was r = 0.257, p = 0.001. History of daily aspirin use was associated with lower peak troponin (p = 0.002, β = 24.32). Prior statin use (p = 0.321, β = −24.32) and the presence of CV risk factors were not associated with peak troponin. Coronary artery disease severity and complexity, urgency of CC, and prior aspirin use are associated with peak troponin levels in ACS. Our findings may help predict patient population with ACS who would be at a greater risk for short- and long-term CV morbidity and mortality due to elevated peak troponin.
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Acute coronary syndrome (ACS) refers to clinical symptoms consistent with acute myocardial ischemia and covers a spectrum of conditions ranging from unstable angina (UA), non-ST-elevation myocardial infarction (NSTEMI), and STEMI.1 In addition to chest discomfort and electrocardiogram changes, active myocardial ischemia leads to myocardial necrosis, which results in the release of cardiac-specific biomarkers such as troponin.2,3 Loss of functioning myocardium resulting from acute ischemic events leads to ventricular dysfunction and poor outcomes.4 Troponin elevation has both the diagnostic and prognostic utility in ACS. Peak troponin level has been associated with short-term and long-term mortality, all-cause mortality, and adverse cardiovascular (CV) events in patients with ACS.5–9 Peak troponin has been correlated with infarct size in patients with STEMI.10 In patients with UA, higher peak troponin level has been associated with triple vessel disease and lesions with greater degree of stenosis.11,12 However, in the setting of STEMI and...
NSTEMI, the association of CV risk factors and the extent of coronary artery disease (CAD) with peak troponin remains unknown. Synergy between percutaneous coronary intervention with Taxus and cardiac surgery (SYNTAX) is an angiographic measure for grading the CAD complexity and severity. SYNTAX score grades the complexity of CAD based on characteristics such as number of lesions, coronary segments involved, lesion location, presence of calcification and thrombus, lesion length, and coronary dominance. This scoring system is used to determine optimal revascularization approaches, such as coronary bypass surgery or percutaneous coronary intervention (PCI).

Given that the peak troponin levels correlate with infarct size, we predict an association of severity and extent of CAD and peak troponin level in ACS. We, therefore, conducted a study to determine an association between peak troponin level and CV risk factors and severity and complexity of CAD in patients with ACS.

Methods
A retrospective cross-sectional study was conducted at an urban community teaching hospital in Brooklyn, NY. The study protocol was approved by the hospital’s institutional review board, and a waiver of patient informed consent was granted. Electronic medical records, cardiac catheterization (CC) reports, and laboratory data were reviewed for the period of January 2010 to December 2013. CCs were performed by an interventional cardiologist, and only the patients presenting with ACS (STEMI, NSTEMI, and UA) were included in the study. Data on demographics and comorbidities were obtained from the medical records and also based on specific criteria for a given comorbidity. Patients were grouped based on the presence or absence of CV risk factors such as hypertension (use of antihypertensive medications), smoking (previous or current cigarette use), and hypercholesterolemia. Patients were further stratified based on their comorbidities such as congestive heart failure (CHF, presence of systolic or diastolic dysfunction on transthoracic echocardiogram), diabetes mellitus (DM, fasting blood sugar ≥ 126 mg/dL or hemoglobin A1C ≥ 6.5%), peripheral arterial disease (PAD), cerebrovascular accident (CVA), and transient ischemic attack (TIA) at baseline. The presence of CAD was determined based on the history of previously documented myocardial infarction, coronary artery stent placement, or coronary artery bypass surgery. Patients were also stratified based on their renal function and body mass index (BMI). Renal function was determined by calculating estimated glomerular filtration rate (eGFR, mL/min/1.73m²) using the Cockcroft–Gault equation, with grades 1 to 5 assigned to creatinine clearances ≥ 90, 60 to 89, 30 to 59, 16 to 29, and ≤ 15 mL/min/1.73m², respectively. BMI was determined by kg/m², and patients were divided into four groups: < 18.5, 18.5 to 25, 25.1 to 35, > 35 kg/m². The laboratory data such as B-type natriuretic peptide (BNP) and peak troponin levels during the hospitalization were obtained for each patient. The troponin levels were obtained every 8 hours from the presentation of ACS until the peak was reached, including post-CC. The Siemens Immulite 1000 (Erlangen, Germany) troponin I (99th percentile: 0.19 µg/L, CV at 99th percentile: 11, CV at 10th percentile: 0.22, with reference range being 0.01–0.19) and Siemens ADVIA Centaur BNP (reference < 100 ng/L) assays were used. The daily use of aspirin, statin, β-blockers, calcium channel blockers, and angiotensin converting enzyme inhibitors (ACE-I) or angiotensin receptor blockers (ARB) previous to hospitalization was noted for each patient.

The number of vessels with obstructive lesions (≥ 50% stenosis), presence of lesions with < 50%, 50 to 70%, or > 70% stenosis in the major epicardial arteries (i.e., left main, left circumflex, left anterior descending, right main, and right posterior descending) and minor epicardial arteries (i.e., obtuse marginal, diagonal, and ramus) were noted for each patient from the CC reports. In our institution, the calculation of SYNTAX score is performed using an algorithm and is standard in these reports. The mean peak troponin levels were compared in patients with the presence or absence of aforementioned variables.

Statistical analysis was done using IBM SPSS Statistics 20. Univariate analysis was performed using the chi-square test for categorical and ordinal variables, and analysis of variance or Student t-test for continuous variables. Pearson correlation was done to determine correlation between statistically significant continuous variables and peak troponin levels. All risk factors with two-sided p < 0.05 on univariate analysis were put into a multivariable linear regression model to assess combined effects, which calculated unstandardized coefficient.

Results
A total of 219 patients were included in the study. CV risk factors, age, gender, BMI, eGFR, lesion characteristics, and biochemical markers for our patient population are summarized in Table 1.

Table 2 shows the peak troponin levels in patients with major risk factors, comorbidities, and lesion characteristics, and displays the findings of univariate analysis between the groups of patients.

Subsequently, multivariable linear regression analyses were performed to determine the association of peak troponin levels with cardiovascular risk factors, lesion characteristics, SYNTAX score, and laboratory data. Variables included in the regression analysis were history of smoking, CAD, hypertension, hypercholesterolemia, BMI, age, eGFR, DM, gender, aspirin use, statin use, STEMI, NSTEMI, UA, intervention (stent or angioplasty), and all the lesion characteristics that were significant in univariate analysis.

The presence of STEMI (STEMI, p = 0.001, β = 65.16) and increasing SYNTAX score (p = 0.002, β = 1.15) were associated with higher peak troponin levels. The Pearson correlation between SYNTAX score and peak troponin levels was r = 0.257 (p = 0.001). History of prior aspirin use was associated with lower peak troponin levels (p = 0.002, β = −24.32). Prior statin use and the presence of CV risk factors were not associated with peak troponin levels.
Table 1  Characteristics of the patient population

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Risk factors</th>
<th>Number, n (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>219 patients</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypertension</td>
<td>165 (75%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smoking</td>
<td>105 (48%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypercholesterolemia</td>
<td>125 (57%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI (kg/m²)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt; 18.5</td>
<td>5 (2%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18.5–25</td>
<td>60 (27%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25.1–30</td>
<td>75 (35%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30.1–35</td>
<td>44 (20%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt; 35</td>
<td>35 (16%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>eGFR (mL/min/1.73 m²)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≥ 90</td>
<td>86 (40%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60–89</td>
<td>62 (28%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30–59</td>
<td>51 (23%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16–29</td>
<td>11 (5%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≤ 15</td>
<td>9 (4%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diabetes mellitus</td>
<td>86 (39%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gender</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>134 (61%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>85 (39%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age (y)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≤ 50</td>
<td>28 (13%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>51–70</td>
<td>112 (52%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≥ 71</td>
<td>79 (35%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coronary artery disease</td>
<td>64 (29%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Congestive heart failure</td>
<td>79 (36%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peripheral arterial disease</td>
<td>13 (6%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CVA/TIA</td>
<td>9 (4%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>STEMI</td>
<td>60 (27%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NSTEMI</td>
<td>96 (44%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unstable angina</td>
<td>63 (29%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vessels with coronary artery disease</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>42 (19%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>63 (29%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>58 (27%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>56 (25%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lesion characteristics</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lesions with &gt; 70% stenosis in major epicardial arteries</td>
<td>145 (66%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lesions with &gt; 70% stenosis in minor epicardial arteries</td>
<td>87 (40%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lesions with 50–70% stenosis in major epicardial arteries</td>
<td>62 (28%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lesions with 50–70% stenosis in minor epicardial arteries</td>
<td>37 (17%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lesions with &lt; 50% stenosis in epicardial arteries</td>
<td>149 (68%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arteries with diffuse disease</td>
<td>119 (54%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Calcified lesions</td>
<td>40 (18%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Discussion

In this study, presence of STEMI, prior aspirin use, and increasing SYNTAX score were associated with greater peak troponin level. There was a positive correlation between SYNTAX score and peak troponin level in ACS.

In ACS, the disruption of coronary artery atherosclerotic plaque leads to thrombosis which results in acute myocardial ischemia. Troponin leak occurs from myocardial injury and subsequent reperfusion to the ischemic myocardium post-revascularization. In our study, patients with STEMI had significantly greater \( (p = 0.001, \beta = 65.16) \) peak troponin levels compared with those without STEMI. This is likely due to the transmural myocardial injury that occurs in the setting of STEMI. Patients with STEMI have a persistent and complete occlusion of an epicardial artery putting a large myocardial territory at risk of ischemia. In contrast, NSTEMI and UA results from varying degree of coronary obstruction, but without a complete occlusion of the vessel. The greater the area of infarct, the greater the troponin leak occurs from myocardial necrosis. In our study, the smaller degree of peak troponin levels in patients with NSTEMI or UA compared with those without NSTEMI or UA is likely due to STEMI. Our findings suggest that patients with STIM should be monitored very closely in hospital and postdischarge due to higher likelihood of elevated peak troponins and the associated potentially increased risk of CV mortality and adverse events.

In patients with stable CAD, progressive elevation in troponin level has been seen with increasing severity of obstructive and multivessel CAD. The presence of troponin has been associated with increased prevalence of triple vessel disease, left main disease, and greater degree of lesion obstruction in patients with UA. Similarly, higher troponin levels have been associated with the presence of thrombus and persistent occlusions in patients with UA and NSTEMI. But, there is lack of data on association of peak troponin levels and severity of CAD in patients with ACS including STEMI, NSTEMI, and UA collectively. In our study, there was an increase in levels of peak troponins in patients with the presence of obstructive vessels compared with those with no vessel disease. Although, there was a slight increase in peak troponin among patients with triple vessel disease compared with those with a single or double vessel disease, there was no difference in peak troponin among those with single and double vessel disease. Overall, the difference in peak troponin levels was significant on univariate analysis \( (p = 0.021) \), but was not independently associated with the number of obstructive vessels in multivariate analysis. Similarly, we noted greater peak troponin levels in patients with the presence of lesions with > 70% stenosis in major and minor epicardial vessels compared with those without these lesions. The association of these lesions and peak troponin was significant on univariate analysis, but became insignificant on multivariate analysis, which suggests that the degree of peak troponin elevation was driven by factors other than the severity of lesion stenosis. We did not find any association of lesions with < 50% and 50 to 70% stenosis and peak troponin levels in ACS. In contrast to previous studies discussed above, our study also provides more comprehensive assessment of ACS by including STEMI, NSTEMI, and UA and determining peak

### Table 1 (Continued)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Risk factors</th>
<th>Number, n (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Bifurcation lesions</td>
<td>20 (9%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Angioplasty</td>
<td>22 (9%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stent placement</td>
<td>104 (47%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aspirin</td>
<td>107 (49%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Statin</td>
<td>89 (41%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Beta-blocker</td>
<td>105 (48%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ACE-inhibitor</td>
<td>69 (31%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Calcium channel blocker</td>
<td>31 (17%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BNP (pg/mL)</td>
<td>180 patients</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt; 100</td>
<td>88 (40%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≥ 100</td>
<td>92 (40%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SYNTAX score</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt; 7</td>
<td>63 (29%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7–14</td>
<td>67 (30%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt; 14</td>
<td>89 (41%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviations: ACE, angiotensin converting enzyme; BMI, body mass index; BNP, B-type natriuretic peptide; CVA, cerebrovascular event; eGFR, estimated glomerular filtration rate; NSTEMI, non-ST elevation myocardial infarction; STEMI, ST-elevation myocardial infarction; SYNTAX, synergy between percutaneous coronary intervention with Taxus and cardiac surgery; TIA, transient ischemic attack.
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Table 2 Univariate analysis of peak troponin, cardiovascular risk factors, and lesion characteristics

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Risk factors</th>
<th>Peak troponin</th>
<th>SEM</th>
<th>Difference of mean</th>
<th>95% CI of mean or difference of mean</th>
<th>p-Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mean ± SD (ng/mL)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypertension</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Present (n = 165)</td>
<td>23.96 ± 50.22</td>
<td>3.91</td>
<td>12.31</td>
<td>(−3.50) to 28.12</td>
<td>0.126</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Absent (n = 54)</td>
<td>36.27 ± 54.03</td>
<td>7.35</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smoking</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Present (n = 105)</td>
<td>29.05 ± 56.74</td>
<td>5.54</td>
<td>−3.95</td>
<td>(−17.66) to 9.75</td>
<td>0.570</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Absent (n = 114)</td>
<td>25.10 ± 45.97</td>
<td>4.30</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypercholesterolemia</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Present (n = 125)</td>
<td>24.35 ± 44.99</td>
<td>4.02</td>
<td>6.48</td>
<td>(−7.40) to 20.36</td>
<td>0.359</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Absent (n = 94)</td>
<td>30.83 ± 59.03</td>
<td>6.12</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group body mass index (kg/m²)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>&lt; 18.5 (n = 5)</td>
<td>2.85</td>
<td>1.43</td>
<td>(−1.11) to 6.83</td>
<td>0.624</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>18.5–25 (n = 60)</td>
<td>31.72 ± 53.47</td>
<td>6.90</td>
<td>17.91 to 45.54</td>
<td>0.567</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>25.1–30 (n = 75)</td>
<td>24.38 ± 45.86</td>
<td>5.29</td>
<td>13.83 to 34.94</td>
<td>0.567</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>30.1–35 (n = 44)</td>
<td>22.73 ± 43.60</td>
<td>6.57</td>
<td>9.47 to 35.99</td>
<td>0.359</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>&gt; 35 (n = 35)</td>
<td>33.28 ± 68.89</td>
<td>11.64</td>
<td>9.62 to 56.95</td>
<td>0.567</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grade eGFR (mL/min/1.73 m²)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>≥ 90 (n = 86)</td>
<td>22.71 ± 51.65</td>
<td>5.57</td>
<td>11.63 to 33.78</td>
<td>0.489</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>60–89 (n = 62)</td>
<td>30.37 ± 49.30</td>
<td>6.26</td>
<td>17.85 to 42.89</td>
<td>0.489</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>30–59 (n = 51)</td>
<td>33.24 ± 56.42</td>
<td>7.90</td>
<td>17.37 to 49.11</td>
<td>0.489</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>16–29 (n = 11)</td>
<td>31.11 ± 55.63</td>
<td>16.77</td>
<td>(−6.26) to 68.48</td>
<td>0.489</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>≤ 15 (n = 9)</td>
<td>4.32 ± 4.92</td>
<td>1.64</td>
<td>0.54 to 8.11</td>
<td>0.489</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diabetes mellitus</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Present (n = 86)</td>
<td>22.51 ± 52.28</td>
<td>5.64</td>
<td>7.38</td>
<td>(−6.61) to 21.38</td>
<td>0.299</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Absent (n = 133)</td>
<td>29.90 ± 50.70</td>
<td>4.39</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gender</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male (n = 134)</td>
<td>31.89 ± 57.52</td>
<td>4.97</td>
<td>−12.61</td>
<td>(−25.44) to (0.21)</td>
<td>0.054</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female (n = 85)</td>
<td>19.28 ± 38.74</td>
<td>4.20</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age (y)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≤ 50 (n = 28)</td>
<td>20.44 ± 28.80</td>
<td>5.44</td>
<td>9.27 to 31.61</td>
<td>0.394</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>51–70 (n = 112)</td>
<td>30.79 ± 58.61</td>
<td>5.48</td>
<td>19.92 to 41.67</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≥ 71 (n = 79)</td>
<td>21.84 ± 44.83</td>
<td>5.01</td>
<td>11.86 to 31.81</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coronary artery disease</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Present (n = 64)</td>
<td>17.68 ± 38.31</td>
<td>4.79</td>
<td>13.16</td>
<td>0.25 to 26.08</td>
<td>0.046</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Absent (n = 155)</td>
<td>30.84 ± 55.49</td>
<td>4.46</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Congestive heart failure</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Present (n = 140)</td>
<td>22.54 ± 45.66</td>
<td>4.17</td>
<td>−13.89</td>
<td>(−29.82) to 2.03</td>
<td>0.087</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Absent (n = 79)</td>
<td>36.43 ± 61.24</td>
<td>6.89</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peripheral arterial disease</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Present (n = 13)</td>
<td>10.99 ± 13.94</td>
<td>3.87</td>
<td>17.01</td>
<td>6.25 to 27.77</td>
<td>0.003</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Absent (n = 206)</td>
<td>28.00 ± 52.67</td>
<td>3.67</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CVA/TIA</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Present (n = 9)</td>
<td>9.29 ± 7.91</td>
<td>2.64</td>
<td>18.46</td>
<td>9.52 to 27.41</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 2 (Continued)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Risk factors</th>
<th>Peak troponin Mean ± SD (ng/mL)</th>
<th>SEM</th>
<th>Difference of mean</th>
<th>95% CI of mean or difference of mean</th>
<th>p-Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Absent (n = 210)</td>
<td>27.75 ± 52.27</td>
<td>3.60</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>STEMI</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Present (n = 60)</td>
<td>76.22 ± 74.98</td>
<td>10.01</td>
<td>−66.82</td>
<td>(−87.16) to (−46.48)</td>
<td>0.001*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Absent (n = 159)</td>
<td>9.41 ± 21.76</td>
<td>1.68</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NSTEMI</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Present (n = 96)</td>
<td>12.42 ± 24.49</td>
<td>2.28</td>
<td>28.72</td>
<td>15.33 to 42.10</td>
<td>0.001*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Absent (n = 123)</td>
<td>41.14 ± 65.88</td>
<td>6.36</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unstable angina</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Present (n = 63)</td>
<td>10.48 ± 29.46</td>
<td>3.62</td>
<td>22.46</td>
<td>11.04 to 33.89</td>
<td>0.001*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Absent (n = 156)</td>
<td>32.94 ± 56.48</td>
<td>4.52</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of obstructive vessels</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0 vessel (n = 42)</td>
<td>5.50 ± 8.33</td>
<td>1.28</td>
<td>2.91</td>
<td>1.0 to 8.10</td>
<td>0.021*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 vessel (n = 63)</td>
<td>30.36 ± 64.31</td>
<td>8.10</td>
<td>14.17</td>
<td>to 46.56</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 vessels (n = 58)</td>
<td>30.11 ± 42.85</td>
<td>5.62</td>
<td>18.85</td>
<td>to 41.38</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 vessels (n = 56)</td>
<td>36.10 ± 58.03</td>
<td>7.75</td>
<td>20.56</td>
<td>to 51.64</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lesions with &gt; 70% stenosis in the major epicardial arteries</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Present (n = 145)</td>
<td>34.98 ± 54.43</td>
<td>4.52</td>
<td>−23.64</td>
<td>(−36.54) to (−10.74)</td>
<td>0.001*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Absent (n = 74)</td>
<td>11.34 ± 40.63</td>
<td>4.72</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lesions with &gt; 70% stenosis in minor epicardial arteries</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Present (n = 87)</td>
<td>37.00 ± 62.11</td>
<td>6.65</td>
<td>−16.60</td>
<td>(−31.60) to (−1.60)</td>
<td>0.030*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Absent (n = 132)</td>
<td>20.40 ± 41.74</td>
<td>3.63</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lesions with 50–70% stenosis in the major epicardial arteries</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Present (n = 62)</td>
<td>27.28 ± 42.96</td>
<td>5.45</td>
<td>−0.39</td>
<td>(−15.60) to (14.81)</td>
<td>0.959</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Absent (n = 157)</td>
<td>26.88 ± 54.41</td>
<td>4.34</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lesions with 50–70% stenosis in the minor epicardial arteries</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Present (n = 37)</td>
<td>30.78 ± 55.55</td>
<td>9.13</td>
<td>−4.56</td>
<td>(−22.83) to 13.72</td>
<td>0.624</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Absent (n = 182)</td>
<td>26.22 ± 50.56</td>
<td>3.74</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lesions with &lt; 50% stenosis</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Present (n = 149)</td>
<td>30.41 ± 56.76</td>
<td>4.65</td>
<td>−10.68</td>
<td>(−23.26) to (1.9)</td>
<td>0.096</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Absent (n = 70)</td>
<td>19.73 ± 36.55</td>
<td>4.36</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vessels with diffuse disease</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Present (n = 119)</td>
<td>25.37 ± 47.07</td>
<td>4.31</td>
<td>3.56</td>
<td>(−10.19) to (17.30)</td>
<td>0.610</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Absent (n = 100)</td>
<td>28.93 ± 56.17</td>
<td>5.61</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Calcified lesions</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Present (n = 40)</td>
<td>15.23 ± 35.49</td>
<td>5.61</td>
<td>14.04</td>
<td>0.28 to 27.80</td>
<td>0.046*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Absent (n = 179)</td>
<td>29.27 ± 54.01</td>
<td>4.04</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bifurcation lesions</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Present (n = 20)</td>
<td>36.31 ± 51.53</td>
<td>11.52</td>
<td>−19.29</td>
<td>(−34.00) to 13.49</td>
<td>0.396</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Absent (n = 199)</td>
<td>26.06 ± 51.35</td>
<td>3.64</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Angioplasty</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Present (n = 22)</td>
<td>44.41 ± 64.07</td>
<td>13.98</td>
<td>−19.13</td>
<td>(−48.99) to 10.73</td>
<td>0.198</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Absent (n = 197)</td>
<td>25.27 ± 49.73</td>
<td>3.54</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(Continued)
troponin levels, which gives better estimation of myocardial injury compared with one time troponin measurement done in these studies. Presence of noncalciﬁed plaques has been associated with greater troponin levels than calcified plaques in patients with stable CAD. In our study, absence of calcified lesions was associated with greater peak troponin levels on univariate analysis (p = 0.046). But, the absence of association on multivariate analysis suggests that this relationship may be mediated by confounding factors. We did not ﬁnd any association between peak troponins and lesions with diffuse disease and bifurcation lesions. Our ﬁndings suggest that understanding of an association of peak troponin levels and CAD may require looking beyond just the presence of number of obstructive lesions and vessels.

Increasing troponin level has been associated with increase in SYNTAX score in NSTEMI. But, in that study the troponin levels were looked at the baseline and the association between troponin and SYNTAX score was only signiﬁcant on univariate analysis. Both peak troponin level and SYNTAX score have been associated with long-term mortality and adverse cardiovascular events in ACS. SYNTAX score has been associated with troponin level in diabetic patients with stable CAD; however, their association in patients with ACS remains unknown. Our study shows that there was a gradual increase in peak troponin with increasing SYNTAX scores (► Fig. 1). This difference was signiﬁcant on multivariate analysis (p = 0.002, β = 1.15) and was independent of angioplasty or stent placement during CC. There was a signiﬁcant positive correlation between SYNTAX score and

### Table 2 (Continued)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Risk factors</th>
<th>Peak troponin Mean ± SD (ng/mL)</th>
<th>SEM</th>
<th>Difference of mean</th>
<th>95% CI of mean or difference of mean</th>
<th>p-Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Stent placement</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Present (n = 104)</td>
<td>43.76 ± 65.17</td>
<td>6.39</td>
<td>−31.83</td>
<td>(−45.41) to (−18.24)</td>
<td>0.001²</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Absent (n = 114)</td>
<td>11.93 ± 26.80</td>
<td>2.51</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aspirin</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Present (n = 107)</td>
<td>12.02 ± 25.37</td>
<td>2.45</td>
<td>29.62</td>
<td>16.58 to 42.66</td>
<td>0.001²</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Absent (n = 112)</td>
<td>41.64 ± 64.52</td>
<td>6.12</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Statin</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Present (n = 89)</td>
<td>17.52 ± 39.34</td>
<td>4.17</td>
<td>16.20</td>
<td>3.27 to 29.13</td>
<td>0.014²</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Absent (n = 129)</td>
<td>33.72 ± 57.55</td>
<td>5.07</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Beta-blocker</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Present (n = 105)</td>
<td>23.81 ± 52.87</td>
<td>5.16</td>
<td>6.11</td>
<td>(−7.58) to 19.80</td>
<td>0.380</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Absent (n = 114)</td>
<td>29.93 ± 49.93</td>
<td>4.67</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ACE-inhibitor</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Present (n = 69)</td>
<td>28.96 ± 65.01</td>
<td>7.88</td>
<td>−2.69</td>
<td>(−17.54) to 12.50</td>
<td>0.721</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Absent (n = 150)</td>
<td>26.26 ± 44.15</td>
<td>3.60</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Calcium channel blocker</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Present (n = 31)</td>
<td>16.97 ± 37.35</td>
<td>6.82</td>
<td>11.75</td>
<td>(−8.16) to 31.66</td>
<td>0.246</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Absent (n = 188)</td>
<td>28.72 ± 53.23</td>
<td>3.88</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BNP (pg/mL, n = 180)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt; 100 (n = 88)</td>
<td>28.29 ± 48.57</td>
<td>5.18</td>
<td></td>
<td>18.00 to 38.59</td>
<td>0.762</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≥ 100 (n = 92)</td>
<td>30.76 ± 59.56</td>
<td>6.21</td>
<td></td>
<td>18.43 to 43.10</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SYNTAX score</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1–6 (n = 63)</td>
<td>9.62 ± 27.35</td>
<td>4.03</td>
<td>1.49</td>
<td>17.74</td>
<td>0.035²</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7–14 (n = 67)</td>
<td>28.48 ± 52.57</td>
<td>7.43</td>
<td>13.54</td>
<td>43.42</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt; 14 (n = 89)</td>
<td>50.01 ± 67.51</td>
<td>8.01</td>
<td></td>
<td>34.03 to 65.99</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviations: ACE, angiotensin converting enzyme; BMI, body mass index; BNP, B-type natriuretic peptide; CVA, cerebrovascular event; eGFR, estimated glomerular ﬁltration rate; NSTEMI, non ST elevation myocardial infarction; SD, standard deviation; SEM, standard error of mean; STEMI, ST elevation myocardial infarction; SYNTAX, synergy between percutaneous coronary intervention with Taxus and cardiac surgery; TIA, transient ischemic attack.

*²p < 0.05.
peak troponin elevation ($r = 0.257$ ($p = 0.001$). Our study shows a positive association between the two prognostic indicators (i.e., SYNTAX score and peak troponin levels) in ACS. These findings suggest that patients with greater complexity and severity of CAD are at an increased risk for myocardial ischemia, as shown with greater peak troponin levels. We did not find an association of elevated troponin level and angioplasty or stent placement in the setting of ACS. The presence of elevated troponin level in patients with ACS in univariate analysis is likely driven by the complexity of lesion and the resulting intervention. Our results highlight the importance of incorporating the complexity of CAD, beyond the severity of CAD described just based on the number of obstructive vessels, when predicting the peak troponin levels in ACS.

We did not find any association between typical cardiovascular risk factors such as hypertension, smoking, hypercholesterolemia, BMI, renal function, age, DM, gender, and CHF with peak troponin level. Worsening renal function has been associated with troponin elevation in patients without myocardial ischemia.25 Although the pathophysiology remains unclear, the role of uremic myopathy leading to rhabdomyolysis, decreased troponin clearance, and hypertrophic cardiomyopathy have been suggested.25–27 Dessap et al, in a study of 125 patients with acute myocardial infarction found no association between creatinine clearance and peak troponin levels.25 Similarly, we noticed a trend of increase in peak troponin levels until grade 3 renal function, but the trend disappears in grades 4 and 5 renal function. There was no association of renal function and peak troponin levels in our study. However, our study not only had a larger sample size, but we also provided more comprehensive assessment of coronary lesion characteristics and CV risk factor profile of our sample. These findings suggest that although troponin levels are influenced by renal function, but in the setting of ACS the myocardial ischemia may potentially mitigate the association of renal impairment and peak troponin levels.

Although chronic CHF is associated with elevated baseline troponin levels,28 the contribution of baseline troponin levels to peak troponin elevation in the setting of ACS and CHF remains questionable. Similarly, in our study BNP level did not predict peak troponin in the setting of ACS. Although, chronic CHF leads to both elevated BNP29 and cardiomyocyte injury,30 the association of BNP and peak troponin elevation in ACS may be confounded by cardiomyocyte injury resulting from coronary lesion thrombosis. It is also difficult to explain the association of the absence of CVA/TIA and PAD with elevated peak troponin considering the small sample size of 9 and 13, respectively, in univariate analysis. The patients with history of CAD had a lower peak troponin compared with patients without prior CAD on univariate analysis. It is likely due to the reduced proportion of viable myocardium before ACS in patients with prior CAD compared with patients with no history of CAD. Although, nonsignificant on multivariate analysis, this association is interesting and warrants further investigation.

Both aspirin and statin have been recommended for primary and secondary prevention in patients at high risk for CV events.31,32 Aspirin use has been associated with reduced risk of nonfatal myocardial infarction, cardiac and all-cause mortality.33–35 However, the degree to which aspirin use predicts peak troponin in ACS remains unknown. In our study, the patients who were on daily aspirin before presenting with ACS had significantly lower mean peak troponin level compared with those without daily aspirin use and ACS (12.0 vs. 41.6, $p = 0.001$); this association was significant on multivariate analysis ($p = 0.002, \beta = -24.32$). The rupture of fibrous cap of atherosclerotic plaque leads to a sequence of inflammatory reactions that promote platelet aggregation and intraluminal thrombus formation.36,37 The platelets, besides contributing to the acute thrombotic vascular occlusion, also participate in the inflammatory reactions leading to ACS.38–38 Aspirin, by inhibiting platelet aggregation33,35 prevents thrombus formation and would potentially lessen the degree of vascular occlusion in ACS; hence, minimizing the myocardial territory at risk of ischemia. In our study, the protective effect of daily aspirin use was reflected by the reduced level of peak troponin in patients who were on aspirin compared with those without daily aspirin use. We recommend that patients who present with ACS in the absence of daily aspirin use should be monitored more closely in-hospital and thereafter, due to increased mortality and morbidity risk associated with greater peak troponin level.

Statins play an important role in stabilizing the atherosclerotic plaque and preventing vascular inflammation in ACS.39 In vivo and animal models have shown that statins may mitigate thrombotic potential of coronary plaques by decreasing the level of local tissue factor antigen and inflammatory mediators.39 In our study, although troponin level was lower in patients with history of prior use of statin, this association was not independent. Therefore, although statins unquestionably modify and stabilize atherosclerotic plaques, its role in preventing thrombosis remains uncertain. We believe that a finding of lower peak troponin in the patients with statin is likely due to other confounding factors, such as concomitant use of aspirin. In our study, prior use of β-blockers, ACE-I/ARBs or calcium channel blockers was not
associated with peak troponin levels. In a study on NSTEMI patients, Kennon et al found that ACE-I was associated with reduced levels of peak troponin; this association was attributed to the anti-inflammatory and possible antithrombotic properties of ACE-I.\(^{30}\) Besides limiting their sample to NSTEMI, they did not study the severity and complexity of coronary lesions and comprehensive CV risk factor profile of subjects in their study. Based on our findings and lack of adequate literature to support the association of ACE-I and troponins in ACS, we recommend further studies.

Since we looked at the CV risk factors at baseline, the preventive effect of the above medications could have confounded the association of the risk factor profile and peak troponin in our patients. ACE inhibitors are known to slow the progression of chronic kidney disease (CKD) by preserving eGFR\(^ {41}\); whether the use of ACE inhibitors in patients with CKD confounds the association of CKD and peak troponin levels remains unknown. A similar explanation holds true for statins, as they are known to reduce the rate of decline in renal function in patients with CKD and CV disease.\(^ {42}\)

In our study, CV risk factors and comorbidities were only assessed at baseline. This study did not address the association of severity and control of CV risk factors with peak troponin levels. The retrospective nature of the study meant that patient data were solely obtained from chart review. Counteracting this limitation is the consistency with which the CC reports are documented in our institution, which lends credibility to these findings. Angiographic interpretation of coronary arteries (such as lesion characteristics and SYNTAX score) was limited to an experienced interventional cardiologist, which eliminates the interobserver variability. In conclusion, our study demonstrates that peak troponin, an important prognostic indicator in ACS, would less likely be determined by a CV risk profile of an individual patient. The important predictors of peak troponin level are the severity and complexity of CAD, acuity of the event (i.e., STEMI) requiring urgent CC and prior use of antiplatelet agents such as aspirin. Our study will help predict the patient population who are likely to develop higher peak troponin levels, potentially increasing their risk for future adverse cardiac events, ultimately requiring close monitoring in-hospital, and follow-up thereafter. We recommend prospective studies are needed to validate our findings.
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