Delayed Occurrence of *Escherichia coli* Subdural Empyema Following Head Injury in an Elderly Patient: A Case Report and Literature Review
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Introduction

Subdural empyema is a rare intracranial infection associated with high morbidity and mortality.¹,² Outcome depends on patient age, general condition and comorbidities, causative organism, primary source, and prompt diagnosis and treatment.³ Cases of subdural empyema following head trauma or surgical procedures in adults have been reported; however, very few were caused by *Escherichia coli*.⁴–⁶ Furthermore, a delayed occurrence of *E. coli* subdural empyema following head injury is rarely reported. We present a patient who was treated with craniectomy and pus evacuation followed by intravenous antibiotic therapy.

Case Report

A 77-year-old man with a history of degenerative spine disease sustained a 2-mm thin right temporoparietal acute subdural hematoma (SDH) following a fall. There were no associated skull fractures or cerebrospinal fluid leakage. He was managed conservatively and discharged. One month later, he was readmitted with headache and dysphasia. On admission, he was afebrile with a Glasgow Coma Score (GCS) of 15. Plain computed tomography (CT) imaging was equivocal for subdural empyema. The patient underwent surgery and was treated with intravenous antibiotic therapy. Although initial improvement in the patient’s clinical condition was observed, he eventually succumbed to nosocomial pneumonia. In this article, we discuss the presentation, diagnostic tools, and treatment options for subdural empyema with an emphasis on the challenges. The management conundrum that follows prompted us subsequently to review the literature.
to postpone surgery and start empirical intravenous co-amoxiclav. Serum investigation revealed elevated C-reactive protein and procalcitonin levels. Urine and blood cultures were negative, and chest radiograph did not show any lung abnormality. Despite intravenous co-amoxiclav, the patient continued to be febrile and became increasingly confused and lethargic with GCS E3V4M6. Repeat blood investigations revealed an increasing trend of inflammatory markers. Subsequently the patient had a drop in limb power on the right side. A contrast-enhanced CT of the head and sinuses was obtained that showed stable left frontoparietal convexity SDH without abnormal enhancement (►Fig. 1B). Nevertheless, antibiotic therapy was converted to piperacillin and tazobactam. In view of potential functional compromise, the decision was made to proceed with surgical evacuation of the left SDH. Because the contrast CT was not conclusive for subdural empyema, the preoperative diagnosis was left as chronic SDH and the patient was prepared for the burr hole procedure.

A double burr hole trephination was performed that revealed thick greenish purulent material. Because pus was refractory to Ringer lactate irrigation, surgery was converted to a craniectomy. Specimens were sent for microbiological investigation. The brain was noted to be swollen after pus evacuation; thus the bone flap was left out and an intracranial pressure (ICP) monitor probe was inserted. Postoperatively the patient was kept intubated in the intensive care unit. An urgent infectious disease consult was made, and antibiotic therapy was escalated to intravenous ceftriaxone. Initial improvement in fever and inflammatory markers was seen, but unfortunately the patient deteriorated and succumbed to nosocomial pneumonia on postoperative day 19.

**Discussion**

Subdural empyema is a neurosurgical emergency associated with high mortality. Infection of the paranasal or mastoid sinuses and otitis media are the most common causes. Other causes include direct spread from meningitis, hematogenous spread from distant sites, and postcranial surgery or head trauma, particularly in cases where there is a compound depressed fracture. Spontaneous subdural empyema is extremely rare, and the few cases reported were associated with minor head trauma. Interestingly, delayed presentations of subdural empyema were reported to occur even 20 years after head trauma. This could well be a result of secondary infection of a chronic subdural hematoma or hygroma.

The causative organisms varied according to the primary source of infection. In subdural empyema secondary to mastoiditis or paranasal sinusitis, aerobic and microaerophilic streptococci are the usual culprits. In infants and young children, subdural empyema was commonly caused by direct spread from *Haemophilus influenzae* and *Streptococcus pneumoniae* meningitis. *Staphylococcus aureus* was seen in postoperative and posttraumatic subdural empyema, whereas in the immunocompromised it is not uncommon to isolate *Klebsiella pneumoniae*. Other causative organisms for subdural empyema that have been reported were *E. coli* and *Salmonella* species. In general, the success rate for culturing bacteria from surgically evacuated subdural pus ranged between 54% and 81%. The use of broad-spectrum antibiotics for treating meningitis and sinusitis could explain the low culture positivity in some studies. In our case, despite the use of intravenous broad-spectrum antibiotics in the first 2 days of admission, pus culture grew significant *E. coli*. Infants and the elderly are at the highest risk for *E. coli* infection. Hematogenous spread of *E. coli* is usually a complication of urinary or gastrointestinal tract infection; however, occasionally no reliable primary source is
identified. The limited understanding of E. coli infection is apparent in bacterial meningitis in infants where the predisposing factors were not identified in 70% of patients. In our case, no extracranial infective focus was identified.

On plain CT imaging, subdural empyema typically resembles subdural hematoma in their crescent shape and relationship to sutures. With contrast media, an enhancing rim is typically identified. However, contrast-enhanced CT may appear normal or show subtle characteristics. Furthermore, it has been reported that in chronic cases, a well-organized abscess may fail to generate peripheral enhancement. We encountered this in our case where contrast-enhanced CT head did not manifest any significant enhancement, and we noted that the evacuated subdural pus was viscous and organized. In retrospect, it may have been valuable to perform magnetic resonance (MR) imaging because it has been shown to be superior to CT in demonstrating fluid collection with enhancement. In fact, diffusion-weighted MR imaging may reliably distinguish subdural empyema from subdural hematoma or reactive subdural effusion that may also occur after trauma, surgery, or meningitis.

The mainstay of treatment for subdural empyema consists of surgical evacuation of pus, eradication of the primary foci of infection, and adequate antibiotic therapy. Local administration of antibiotics is usually not necessary, and occasionally medical management alone may suffice. Surgical procedures vary among burr hole, craniotomy, and craniectomy, and controversy exists over the surgical procedures of choice. Many authors have shown that results of all three approaches are comparable. Burr hole drainage alone has been shown to be sufficient for the complete drainage of subdural empyema. However, some found that the success rate with craniotomy was superior over burr holes and associated with a lower mortality rate. Furthermore, in one study burr hole evacuation of pus resulted in a 40% recurrence.

In general, if the pus consistency is thin and there are no loculated collections, evacuation via burr holes is adequate and could avoid potential surgical complications. However, if pus is thick or well organized, a craniotomy is recommended. In some cases, the underlying brain may be swollen; hence bone flap must be left out. Interestingly, one study reported that in patients with no or a slight impairment of consciousness, craniotomy might be preferred, whereas in stuporous or comatose patients, burr holes were advised instead. In our case, a craniectomy was performed that provided a direct route for sufficient pus evacuation and allowed expansion of the swollen brain without any compromise in ICP.

Conclusions
Subdural empyema should be suspected in posttraumatic elderly patients with unexplained fever and abnormal CT imaging suggestive of a subdural collection. MR imaging may provide an additional advantage if contrast-enhanced CT imaging is equivocal for empyema. Treatment of subdural empyemas comprises early surgical intervention, identification of the causative organism, and administration of appropriate antibiotic agents.
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