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Abstract

Behcet disease (BD) is a chronic, multisystem, inflammatory disease characterized by variable clinical manifestations involving systemic vasculitis of both the small and large blood vessels. The majority of BD patients present with recurrent oral ulcers in combination with other manifestations of the disease, including genital ulcers, skin lesions, arthritis, uveitis, thrombophlebitis, gastrointestinal or central nervous system involvement. Gastrointestinal BD occurs in 3 to 25% of the BD patients and shares many clinical characteristics with inflammatory bowel disease (IBD). Consequently, the differentiation between IBD and gastrointestinal manifestation of BD is very difficult. Intestinal BD should be considered in patients who present with abdominal pain, diarrhea, weight loss, and rectal bleeding who are susceptible or at a risk for intestinal BD.

Etiology/Pathogenesis

Genetic and environmental factors are believed to play a role in the development of BD. However, the underlying etiology is still unknown. The pathophysiology of Behcet syndrome includes excessive neutrophil response, vascular injury, and autoimmune responses. Numerous genetic variants have been associated with BD, the most common being HLA-B51.

Clinical Presentation/Intestinal Manifestations

Symptoms of gastrointestinal involvement are similar to those associated with IBD. These include anorexia, vomiting,
dyspepsia, diarrhea, GI bleeding, and abdominal pain. These symptoms are often the consequence of mucosal ulcerations. Mucosal ulceration is most commonly seen in the ileum, followed by the cecum and other parts of the colon. Mucosal ulceration in BD is thought to be secondary to a small vessel vasculitis, particularly of veins and venules, and large vessel involvement may sometimes occur and lead to ischemia and infarction.

**Diagnosis**

Diagnosis of BD is difficult and based primarily on clinical findings. In 1990, the International Study Group (ISG) for BD established a set of diagnostic criteria (Table 1).

Unfortunately, there is no pathognomonic test for BD and findings are typically nonspecific. Patients may have elevated serum markers of inflammation, such as C-reactive protein (CRP) and erythrocyte sedimentation rate (ESR). In patients with active BD, serum immunoglobulin D (IgD), serum immunoglobulin A (IgA), and complement levels may be elevated as well. Antinuclear antibodies and rheumatoid factor autoantibodies are typically absent.

The pathergy test involves insertion of a sterile 20–22 gauge needle into the skin at three places on each forearm. A hypersensitivity reaction as shown by an erythematous papule, pustule or ulcer greater than 2 mm after 48 hours indicates a positive result. Despite being a component of the ISGBD diagnostic criteria, pathergy test results have limited reproducibility and vary by geography. In North America, only 5% of the population has a positive result. A positive result can also be seen in other diseases, such as IBD and pyoderma gangrenosum.

Anti-Saccharomyces cerevisiae antibodies (ASCA) positivity can be found in up to 44% of the patients with intestinal BD, but only 3 to 4% of patients with nonintestinal BD and 9% of healthy control subjects. ASCA positivity is associated with increased rate of operative interventions. Alpha-enolase antibody has also been detected in patients with BD and may be associated with disease activity and severity.

**Imaging**

Several imaging modalities can be used to assist the diagnosis of intestinal BD, including barium swallow, barium enema, or computed tomography/magnetic resonance imaging (CT/MRI). CT and MRI scans are useful for demonstrating colonic wall thickening (Fig. 1) and evaluating extraluminal complications, such as abscesses or perforations. However, the radiographic findings are nonspecific to BD.

**Endoscopy**

In BD, ulcers on colonoscopy are typically irregular, round or oval, punched-out, large (> 1 cm), single to a few in number, deep, and with discrete margins in a focal distribution (Fig. 2). The majority of patients with intestinal BD have lesions in the ileocecal region. Diffuse colonic involvement is rare and rectal and anal involvement is extremely rare. Colonic ulcers have also been described as volcano-type lesions because they are deeply penetrating and have nodular margins caused by fibrosis. These ulcers are less responsive to medical therapy and frequently require surgical resection (Fig. 3). Complications, such as fistula formation, hemorrhage, or perforation, occur in approximately 50% of the cases involving the intestine.

**Differences in Clinical Manifestations between Intestinal Behcet Disease and Inflammatory Bowel Disease**

Intestinal BD and Crohn disease (CD) have common presenting symptoms including diarrhea, abdominal pain, and gastrointestinal bleeding. However, there are subtle clues that favor the diagnosis of BD over CD (Table 2). As mentioned previously, endoscopic findings of ulcers in BD typically show irregular, round or oval, punched-out, large (> 1 cm), deep ulcers with discrete margins in a focal distribution. In contrast, CD typically has segmental, diffuse, longitudinal lesions, with cobblestone appearance.

Transmural enteritis or colitis can occur both in BD or CD. However, the finding of vasculitis on biopsy suggests intestinal BD. Fistula formation, intestinal perforation, and gastrointestinal bleeding can occur both in BD and CD.
Extraintestinal manifestations can be similar in BD and IBD. These include uveitis, arthritis, oral ulcers, pyoderma gangrenosum, and thrombophlebitis. However, there is an increased association of genital lesions, papulopustular lesions, and neurologic involvement in BD.

Despite subtle differences, distinguishing between both, diagnosis may not be possible.

**Management**

Treatment of intestinal BD is similar to that for IBD, but with overall prognosis worse in intestinal BD. Treatment is dependent on clinical manifestation with priority given to ocular, intestinal, and central nervous system symptoms as well as large vessel vasculitis.

**Medical Management**

Medical treatment for gastrointestinal manifestations of BD is often identical to the therapies used for IBD. Sulfasalazine or mesalamine (5-ASA) and corticosteroids are the primary therapies used to treat intestinal BD. Corticosteroids are the first-line therapy during acute episodes of BD or in patients with severe systemic symptoms, recurrent gastrointestinal bleeding, or moderate/severe disease activity. Dosing is dependent on the severity of the lesions. However, many patients become corticosteroid resistant or dependent, and other medications, such as azathioprine and thalidomide, have been used to successfully reduce or stop corticosteroid use.

**Table 2** Comparison of Behcet disease and Crohn disease

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Behcet disease</th>
<th>Crohn disease</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Endoscopic findings</strong></td>
<td>Focal distribution of disease</td>
<td>Segmental disease</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Large ulcers (&gt; 1 cm)</td>
<td>Discontinuous involvement of various portions of the gastrointestinal tract</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Round or oval, punched out lesions</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Deep ulcers</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Ulcers with discrete margins &lt; 6 ulcers</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Biopsy</strong></td>
<td>Vasculitis</td>
<td>Granulomas</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Location</strong></td>
<td>Perianal disease rare</td>
<td>Perianal disease frequent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Extraintestinal manifestations</strong></td>
<td>Genital lesions</td>
<td>Musculoskeletal, dermatologic, hepatopancreatobiliary, ocular, renal, and pulmonary systems</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Papulopustular lesions</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Neurologic involvement</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Infliximab (Remicade, Janssen Biotech Inc, Horsham, PA), a chimeric monoclonal antibody to TNF-α, has been found to be beneficial in patients unresponsive to conventional therapies and is often first-line therapy for sight-threatening uveitis. Infliximab is typically dosed according to the CD protocol as a standard treatment regimen has not been established in BD. Intestinal BD has also been successfully treated with adalimumab (Humira, Abbott, AbbVie, Inc., North Chicago, IL), a humanized IgG1 monoclonal antibody that binds to TNF-α.

**Surgical Management**

Indications for surgical intervention include severe gastrointestinal bleeding, perforation, fistulae, obstructions, abdominal masses, and failure to respond to medical therapy. There is a high rate of intestinal leakage, perforation, and fistula formation at the anastomotic site. Consequently, creation of a stoma is preferred over primary anastomosis. Disease therapy, perforation, or patients require a repeat operation due to failure of medical therapy. Intestinal BD should be considered in patients who have a family history of BD or who present with symptoms associated with BD, such as oral ulcers, genital ulcers, and skin lesions.

**Prognosis**

Remission rates with medical therapy are similar to those achieved in CD. However, recurrence rates are higher in BD and patients more often require surgical intervention. Poor prognostic factors include volcano-shaped ulcers, higher CRP levels, history of postoperative corticosteroid therapy, presence of perforation on pathology, extensive ileal disease, presence of ocular disease, and positive ASCA status.

**Conclusion**

It is often difficult to distinguish BD as they are two diseases which are often based on clinical judgment. The diagnosis of intestinal BD should be considered in patients who have a family history of BD or who present with symptoms associated with BD, such as oral ulcers, genital ulcers, and skin lesions.
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