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Abstract

Introduction: Delayed childbearing is increasing, and advanced maternal age has been associated with an increased risk of obstetrical complications. The purpose of this study was to evaluate pregnancy outcomes in women with advanced maternal age (≥40 years).

Methods: Maternal and obstetrical data were collected from the Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology of the University of Wuerzburg for the period from 2006 to 2011. In this retrospective analysis we compared the outcomes for women aged ≥40 years (n = 405) with those of three younger subgroups (I: < 30 y; II: 30–34 y; III: 35–39 y).

Results: Pregnant women older than 40 years had more chronic diseases such as hypertension, needed medical treatment more frequently and had a higher thrombosis risk. Pregnancy-induced diseases such as gestational diabetes, preeclampsia and pregnancy-associated hypertension occurred more often in women ≥40 years of age. Compared to mothers who were younger than 30 years, primiparous women ≥40 years had a more than four times higher overall cesarean section rate and four times higher elective cesarean section rate. Furthermore, they required longer hospital stays, both after cesarean section and after vaginal delivery. The preterm birth rate (≤32 weeks of gestation) was similar across the different age groups.

Conclusions: The outcomes of pregnancy and childbirth and for newborns born to women ≥40 years did not vary significantly from those of younger women if the following conditions were met: a) pre-existing chronic diseases were treated medically and dietetically; b) pregnancy-induced morbidity was monitored regularly and controlled medically; c) women attended regular prenatal check-ups; d) a healthy lifestyle was adhered to during pregnancy, and e) delivery occurred in a perinatal center.

Zusammenfassung


Diskussion: Das Outcome der Schwangerschaft, Geburt und des Neugeborenen einer Frau ≥40 Jahre unterscheidet sich nicht signifikant von dem einer jüngeren Schwangeren, wenn folgende Voraussetzungen erfüllt sind: a) vorbestehende chronische Erkrankungen sind medikamentös und/oder diätetisch eingestellt, b) schwangerschaftsinduzierte Erkrankungen werden regelmäßig überwacht und medikamentös eingestellt,

"Schlüsselwörter" entsprechen "Key words" in English. This is a medical paper discussing pregnancy outcomes in women over 40 years of age, highlighting increased risks associated with advanced maternal age.
Introduction

The last three decades have shown an increasing trend to delay childbearing beyond the age of 40. The reasons for this development could be the increasing use of reproductive techniques [1] but also the enormous changes in work and society, which include higher levels of female employment and educational attainment and higher numbers of women working in higher level jobs. Late financial independence, fixed-term employment contracts for career entrants, the low per capita income of young families, the limited numbers of part-time positions, and the lack of flexible working hours make it harder to reconcile work and family life. The lack of affordable childcare can be a further problem for young working parents [2]. These social trends combined with effective birth control and a greater range of treatments for infertility have resulted in a steadily expanding population of women who become pregnant after the age of 35. Pregnant women aged over 40 are no longer uncommon [3], and the question is now whether advanced maternal age increases the risk of pregnancy and results in poorer obstetrical outcomes. However, scientific publications on this subject are rare. Most studies which classify women’s age as an independent risk factor view older women as homogeneous group and neglect the differences in health and educational and socioeconomic status. A closer look does, however, reveal several prototypical groups of women who decide to become pregnant beyond the age of 40. Some previously focused on their academic career and continue their pre-conception healthy lifestyle during pregnancy [4,5]. Another group of older mothers required infertility treatment to become pregnant. The third group of older mothers are those who would like to have another child to achieve their desired number of children. The last group of women who become pregnant over the age of 40 consists of women living in “patchwork families”, who would like to have another child with their new partner [6,7]. Several studies have tried to examine the relationship between maternal age and pregnancy outcome, but most studies have reported contradictory results with regard to advanced maternal age [8–11]. In view of these different maternal profiles, this work attempts to shed more light on the hypotheses surrounding older mothers, included the postulated higher risks in pregnancy and poorer obstetrical and neonatal outcomes, because the majority of pregnancies of older mothers turn out to be uncomplicated [3]. The aim of this study was therefore to evaluate delivery outcomes in a cohort of women at the extreme of maternal age and to consider the complex impact of medical and obstetrical factors on delivery outcomes.

Material and Methods

Patient cohort

The group sample (group IV) consisted of women who were at least 40 years old at the time of delivery and who gave birth in the University Hospital of Würzburg between 2006 and 2011. For the purpose of comparison, the data were compared with those of 3 control groups stratified by maternal age (group I: aged < 30 years, group II: aged 30–34 years, group III: aged 35–39 years).

Data collection

Data for the study and control groups were obtained from the delivery room logbook and from the hospital’s obstetrical documentation program (SAP). The electronic records were collected and validated by trained midwives.

Criteria used to define clinical parameters

Gestational diabetes was defined as impaired glucose tolerance detected during pregnancy by a screening test (75 g OGGT: >90 mg/dl to ≥180 mg/dl to ≥155 mg/dl) [12]. Hypertension present at <20 weeks of gestation that did not progress to preeclampsia was classified as chronic hypertension. After 20 weeks of gestation, hypertensive disorders in pregnancy were categorized as gestation-induced hypertension. Very preterm birth was defined as ≤32 weeks of gestation. Maternal obesity was defined as body mass index ≥30 kg/m² (early pregnancy). Gestational age at delivery was based on the last menstrual period and, when possible, confirmed by first-trimester ultrasound scans. For patients who underwent in vitro fertilization, gestational age was calculated from the date of embryo transfer. Low birth weight was defined as <2500 g; very low birth weight was defined as <1500 g; macrosomia was defined as birth weight ≥4000 g; small for gestational age was defined as birth weight below the 10th percentile for gestational age based on local, population-based, live-born infant birth weight curves.

Statistical analysis

Analysis was performed using the Statistical Package for the Social Sciences (SPSS version 21) software. Comparisons between age groups were done using the chi-square test for categorical variables and analysis of variance (ANOVA) for parametric variables. A probability value of <0.05 was considered significant. Results are reported as proportions, odds ratios (OR) and 95% confidence intervals (95% CI).

Results

Demographic and obstetric characteristics (Table 1)

During the study period, 8799 women gave birth in our hospital; 405 (4.6%) of these women were ≥40 years old at the time of delivery. The data showing the medical history of these women and of the control groups are presented in Table 1. Rates of gestational diabetes and of pregnancy-induced hypertension were significantly higher in the study group compared with the overall rates in the control groups (12.3 vs. 7.9% and 2.0 vs. 0.8%, p < 0.01 for both). Maternal obesity was similar across all maternal age groups. Compared with the group “maternal age <30 years”, significantly fewer women aged ≥40 years smoked during pregnancy. There was also a stepwise increase in the rates of women who had treatment for infertility. The preeclampsia rate was higher in the study group (3.7 vs. 2.1%).
### Table 1  Demographic and obstetric characteristics of the study and control groups.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Overall n (%)</th>
<th>Group I (&lt;30 y) n (%)</th>
<th>Group II (30–34 y) n (%)</th>
<th>Group III (35–39 y) n (%)</th>
<th>Group IV (≥40 y) n (%)</th>
<th>p-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Number of participants</td>
<td>8799</td>
<td>3433</td>
<td>3157</td>
<td>1804</td>
<td>405</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nulliparity</td>
<td>4515 (51.3)</td>
<td>2252 (65.6)</td>
<td>1494 (47.3)</td>
<td>632 (35.0)</td>
<td>137 (33.8)</td>
<td>&lt; 0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maternal obesity</td>
<td>2860 (38.1)</td>
<td>1173 (40.1)</td>
<td>984 (36.4)</td>
<td>567 (37.1)</td>
<td>136 (39.3)</td>
<td>0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Twin pregnancy</td>
<td>331 (3.8)</td>
<td>120 (3.5)</td>
<td>127 (4.0)</td>
<td>71 (3.9)</td>
<td>13 (3.2)</td>
<td>0.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Previous cesarean delivery</td>
<td>1166 (13.3)</td>
<td>278 (8.1)</td>
<td>450 (14.3)</td>
<td>359 (19.9)</td>
<td>79 (19.5)</td>
<td>&lt; 0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Treatment with assisted reproductive technology in the past</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chronic hypertension</td>
<td>355 (4.0)</td>
<td>122 (3.6)</td>
<td>124 (3.9)</td>
<td>88 (4.9)</td>
<td>21 (5.2)</td>
<td>0.077</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smoking during pregnancy</td>
<td>531 (6.0)</td>
<td>312 (9.1)</td>
<td>121 (3.8)</td>
<td>80 (4.4)</td>
<td>18 (4.4)</td>
<td>&lt; 0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gestational diabetes</td>
<td>696 (7.9)</td>
<td>221 (6.4)</td>
<td>236 (7.5)</td>
<td>189 (10.5)</td>
<td>50 (12.3)</td>
<td>&lt; 0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pregnancy-induced hypertension</td>
<td>70 (0.8)</td>
<td>14 (0.4)</td>
<td>27 (0.9)</td>
<td>21 (1.2)</td>
<td>8 (2.0)</td>
<td>&lt; 0.001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 2  Mode and outcome of delivery according to maternal age.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Overall n (%)</th>
<th>Group I (&lt;30) n (%)</th>
<th>Group II (30–34) n (%)</th>
<th>Group III (35–39) n (%)</th>
<th>Group IV (≥40) n (%)</th>
<th>p-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Nulliparity</td>
<td>4515 (51.3)</td>
<td>2252 (65.6)</td>
<td>1494 (47.3)</td>
<td>632 (35.0)</td>
<td>137 (33.8)</td>
<td>&lt; 0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Delivery mode</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spontaneous vaginal delivery</td>
<td>4630 (52.6)</td>
<td>1902 (55.4)</td>
<td>1688 (53.5)</td>
<td>874 (48.4)</td>
<td>166 (41.0)</td>
<td>&lt; 0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vacuum extraction (nulliparity)</td>
<td>323 (7.2)</td>
<td>143 (6.3)</td>
<td>121 (8.1)</td>
<td>47 (7.4)</td>
<td>12 (8.8)</td>
<td>0.186</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cesarean delivery (all)</td>
<td>2494 (28.3)</td>
<td>848 (24.7)</td>
<td>845 (26.8)</td>
<td>628 (34.8)</td>
<td>173 (42.7)</td>
<td>&lt; 0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>elective cesarean section (all)</td>
<td>1040 (11.8)</td>
<td>342 (10.0)</td>
<td>359 (11.4)</td>
<td>253 (14.0)</td>
<td>86 (21.2)</td>
<td>&lt; 0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cesarean delivery (nulliparity)</td>
<td>1385 (30.7)</td>
<td>595 (26.4)</td>
<td>424 (29.0)</td>
<td>275 (43.5)</td>
<td>81 (59.1)</td>
<td>&lt; 0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>elective cesarean section (nulliparity)</td>
<td>570 (12.6)</td>
<td>229 (10.2)</td>
<td>176 (11.8)</td>
<td>123 (19.5)</td>
<td>42 (30.7)</td>
<td>&lt; 0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indication for cesarean section</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Breech presentation</td>
<td>479 (19.2)</td>
<td>172 (20.3)</td>
<td>179 (21.2)</td>
<td>107 (17.0)</td>
<td>21 (12.1)</td>
<td>0.017</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Multifetal gestation</td>
<td>220 (8.8)</td>
<td>82 (9.7)</td>
<td>75 (8.9)</td>
<td>54 (8.6)</td>
<td>9 (5.2)</td>
<td>0.306</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-reassuring fetal heart rate</td>
<td>609 (24.4)</td>
<td>229 (27.0)</td>
<td>195 (23.1)</td>
<td>147 (23.4)</td>
<td>38 (22.0)</td>
<td>0.186</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Placenta previa</td>
<td>39 (1.6)</td>
<td>10 (1.2)</td>
<td>10 (1.2)</td>
<td>16 (2.5)</td>
<td>3 (1.7)</td>
<td>0.133</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Previous cesarean section</td>
<td>530 (21.3)</td>
<td>118 (13.9)</td>
<td>198 (23.4)</td>
<td>170 (27.1)</td>
<td>44 (25.4)</td>
<td>&lt; 0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Preeclampsia</td>
<td>89 (3.6)</td>
<td>27 (3.2)</td>
<td>24 (2.8)</td>
<td>26 (4.1)</td>
<td>12 (6.9)</td>
<td>0.047</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hospitalization (days)</td>
<td>5.56</td>
<td>5.13</td>
<td>5.62</td>
<td>5.98</td>
<td>6.89</td>
<td>&lt; 0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt; 7 days after cesarean section</td>
<td>1155 (46.3)</td>
<td>371 (43.8)</td>
<td>377 (44.6)</td>
<td>304 (48.4)</td>
<td>103 (59.5)</td>
<td>&lt; 0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt; 4 days after normal vaginal delivery</td>
<td>3866 (61.3)</td>
<td>1482 (57.3)</td>
<td>1485 (64.2)</td>
<td>744 (63.3)</td>
<td>155 (66.8)</td>
<td>&lt; 0.001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 3  Neonatal outcome of singleton pregnancies.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Overall n (%)</th>
<th>Group I (&lt;30) n (%)</th>
<th>Group II (30–34) n (%)</th>
<th>Group III (35–39) n (%)</th>
<th>Group IV (≥40) n (%)</th>
<th>p-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Births</td>
<td>8410</td>
<td>3294</td>
<td>3011</td>
<td>1719</td>
<td>386</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≥4000 g</td>
<td>767 (9.1)</td>
<td>261 (7.9)</td>
<td>303 (10.1)</td>
<td>161 (9.4)</td>
<td>42 (10.9)</td>
<td>0.015</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≤2500 g (low birth weight)</td>
<td>787 (9.4)</td>
<td>322 (9.8)</td>
<td>253 (8.4)</td>
<td>168 (9.8)</td>
<td>44 (11.4)</td>
<td>0.104</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≤1500 g (very low birth weight)</td>
<td>238 (2.8)</td>
<td>86 (2.6)</td>
<td>76 (2.5)</td>
<td>62 (3.6)</td>
<td>14 (3.6)</td>
<td>0.099</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Very preterm birth (≤32 wk)</td>
<td>236 (2.8)</td>
<td>87 (2.6)</td>
<td>79 (2.6)</td>
<td>60 (3.5)</td>
<td>10 (2.6)</td>
<td>0.294</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Small for gestational age (birth weight &lt; 10th percentile)</td>
<td>816 (9.7)</td>
<td>363 (11.0)</td>
<td>265 (8.8)</td>
<td>144 (8.4)</td>
<td>44 (11.4)</td>
<td>0.003</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5-minute Apgar score &lt; 7</td>
<td>300 (3.6)</td>
<td>134 (4.1)</td>
<td>98 (3.3)</td>
<td>62 (3.6)</td>
<td>6 (1.6)</td>
<td>0.050</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hospitalization (days)</td>
<td>2.91</td>
<td>2.69</td>
<td>2.97</td>
<td>3.07</td>
<td>3.53</td>
<td>&lt; 0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transfer to pediatric clinic</td>
<td>2516 (29.9)</td>
<td>1005 (30.5)</td>
<td>866 (28.8)</td>
<td>515 (30.0)</td>
<td>130 (33.7)</td>
<td>0.166</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Major fetal anomalies (born alive)</td>
<td>33 (0.4)</td>
<td>16 (0.5)</td>
<td>11 (0.4)</td>
<td>3 (0.2)</td>
<td>3 (0.8)</td>
<td>0.227</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Early neonatal mortality</td>
<td>35 (0.4)</td>
<td>15 (0.5)</td>
<td>9 (0.3)</td>
<td>10 (0.6)</td>
<td>1 (0.3)</td>
<td>0.477</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Stillbirths were excluded from analysis. Obstetrical data are given in Table 2. Additional data for neonates are shown in Table 3. The indications for cesarean section which showed the most pronounced increases between age groups were “previous cesarean section” and “preeclampsia”.

### Mode and outcome of delivery (Table 2)

Obstetrical data are given in Table 2. Gestational age at delivery was similar across all maternal age groups. Overall, the cesarean section delivery rate for nulliparous women was significantly higher in the study group compared to the control groups (59.1% vs. 50.7%, p < 0.001). The indications for cesarean section which showed the most pronounced increase between the age groups 35–39 years and ≥ 40 years were “previous cesarean section” and “preeclampsia” (25.4% vs. 21.3%, p < 0.001; 6.9% vs. 3.6%, p < 0.05). Furthermore, women aged ≥ 40 years had longer hospital stays, both after cesarean section and after spontaneous vaginal delivery (59.5% vs. 46.3%; 66.8% vs. 57.3%, p ≤ 0.001).

### Neonatal outcome (Table 3)

Fetal outcome is shown in Table 3. 331 twins, 19 triplets and 39 stillbirths were excluded from analysis. The rate of very preterm delivery (≤ 32 weeks of pregnancy) was similar across the different age groups. Birth weight > 4000 g (10.9% vs. 9.1%) and prolonged postpartum hospitalization (3.53 vs. 2.91 days) were more common for newborns of mothers ≥ 40 years old.

### Adverse pregnancy outcomes for women in the study group (Table 4)

The odds ratios for adverse pregnancy outcomes for women in the study group (≥ 40 years) and for one comparison group (35–39 years) are presented in Table 4, with the group of mothers < 30 years old used as reference. Primiparous women ≥ 40 years old had a more than four times higher cesarean section rate and a four times higher primary cesarean section rate than mothers younger than 30 years of age. Additionally, women ≥ 40 years old required medical treatment almost twice as often (OR: 1.96) and had an almost double risk for thrombosis (OR: 1.95) compared to mothers younger than 30 years.

## Discussion

In the present study we focused on pregnant women with advanced maternal age ≥ 40 years. Our main findings for this age group were:

1. Rates for pre-gestational chronic hypertension, gestational diabetes and pregnancy-induced hypertension were higher.
2. Nearly 43% of women ≥ 40 years old were delivered by cesarean section. More than half of them (59.1%) were nulliparous women. The indications for cesarean section which showed the most pronounced increases between age groups were “previous cesarean section” and “preeclampsia.”
3. The study group had a prolonged hospital stay of nearly 7 days.
4. Rates for preterm delivery were similar between the age groups, but birth weight > 4000 g and prolonged postpartum hospitalization were increased for neonates born to women in the advanced maternal age group. No differences were detected across maternal age groups with regard to early neonatal mortality or major fetal anomalies.

Similar to our findings, some studies have reported that the number of mothers with chronic hypertension increased with advanced maternal age (starting from the age of 35 years) [3, 13, 14]. In contrast, Cleary-Goldmann et al. did not find an increased prevalence of chronic hypertension with advanced maternal age [15].

Kanungo et al. reported that preterm neonates born to women with advanced maternal age had better odds of survival without major morbidities and a decreased risk of mortality. The following protective factors are considered to be the reason for this: use of prepartum steroids to help the baby’s lungs to mature, generous indications for cesarean section, and delivery in a perinatal center. These factors could have also minimized the effects of diseases such as diabetes or hypertension [13]. These results show that older mothers tend to deliver electively, regularly attend prenatal check-ups, and protect themselves from possible complications of pregnancy.

Gestational diabetes is the most common metabolic complication of pregnancy [16–18]. In our study the risk for gestational diabetes was 74% higher for mothers ≥ 40 years compared with mothers < 30 years old. Yoge et al. found similar results in their Israeli study: 1.4% of 20–29 year-olds, 4.2% of 30–39 year-olds, 10.2% of 40–44 year-olds and 17% of the women aged ≥ 45 years developed gestational diabetes [19]. Cleary-Goldmann et al. found that maternal age ≥ 40 years is an independent risk factor for the development of gestational diabetes (adjusted OR 2.4 compared to reference group < 35 years old) [15]. In our study, mothers aged ≥ 40 years suffered more often from pregnancy-induced hypertension than mothers in the other age groups (2.0 vs. 0.8%, p < 0.001). Timofeev et al. found similar results in their US study: the risk of pregnancy-induced hypertension was 1.22 times higher for mothers who were 35–39.9 years old, 1.63 times higher for mothers 40–44.9 years old and 1.89 times higher for mothers ≥ 45 years old compared to the reference group (25–29.9 years old) [20]. Even if there is a correlation between advanced maternal age and pregnancy-induced hypertension [21], for most pregnancies this has very little impact on pregnancy outcome, although it does increase the risk of other complications like preeclampsia. Hypertension also affects the...
cardiovascular system. However, such associations are caused more often by other common predisposing risk factors than by this causal connection [21]. According to Taddei et al., endothelial dysfunction plays a significant role in the pathogenesis of atherosclerosis and hypertension [22].

In our study, the variables showing the most marked increase between age groups were “previous cesarean section” and “preeclampsia”. The risk of developing preeclampsia was thus almost twice as high for mothers aged ≥40 years than for mothers < 30 years of age. (OR 1.87). The reason for this could be preexisting diseases such as diabetes or chronic hypertension which facilitate the development of preeclampsia [23–26]. Advances in reproductive techniques pose a challenge for the maternal immune system, which means a higher risk. This applies, above all, to women older than 40 years who were infertile before their first pregnancy and who underwent reproductive treatment in the past.

The use of donated gametes increases the probability of developing preeclampsia [27].

Women with preeclampsia have a higher risk of developing cardiovascular disease [28, 29]. Epigenetic changes of fetal blood vessels during pregnancy can further influence the cardiovascular system. However, such associations are caused by preeclampsia [30, 31].

When we considered the mode of delivery in our cohort, 42.7% of all pregnant women aged ≥40 years but only 24.7% of women aged < 30 years delivered by cesarean section. This tendency was also seen in nulliparous women: nulliparae aged ≥40 years old delivered more often by primary or secondary cesarean section (p < 0.001). Karlström et al. also found a 2 to 4 times higher rate of cesarean sections in older pregnant women compared to the reference group (< 25 years old) (OR 4.42 for age > 35 years and 2.05 for 25–35 years of age) [32]. Similar results were reported for an urban area by Hayles and Parisaei [33]. We found an escalation in the rates of cesarean section as maternal age increased. This phenomenon suggests that management decisions, both electively and in labor, have an important impact on cesarean section rates. They may reflect maternal preferences, obstetrician preference or a lowering of the threshold for risk avoidance [34, 35].

In our study, older women needed a prolonged stay in hospital, irrespective of whether delivery was by cesarean section (>7 days) (OR for women aged 35–39 years: 1.20; OR for women ≥40 years old: 1.89) or by vaginal birth (>4 days) (OR for women aged 35–39 years: 1.28; OR for women ≥40 years old: 1.49). Klemetti et al. reported similar results in their study: women ≥40 years old were more likely to be in hospital two days before the birth (<19 vs. 12% of the 20–34 year-old women) and had a longer (>7 days) stay in hospital (9 vs. 4% of the 20–34 year-old women) [36]. In an Israeli study by Yogev et al., mothers aged 40–44 years (OR: 1.3) and mothers aged ≥45 years (OR: 1.8) also stayed much longer in hospital (>7 days) compared to 20–29 year-old mothers [19].

In our study postpartum hospitalization of neonates born to mothers ≥40 years of age was longer than that of other neonates (3.53 vs. 2.92 days, p < 0.001). The percentage of transfers to the pediatric clinic was similar between the maternal age groups. Zasloff et al. mentioned a higher transfer rate to the pediatric clinic in their Swedish study: neonates of 35–43 year-old mothers had to be transferred to the pediatric clinic more often than neonates born to 26–29 year-old mothers [37]. Asheim et al. reported that women aged ≥32 years had fewer psychological problems than younger mothers in situations which required the transfer of their child to the pediatric clinic [38]. Similar to our results, Yogev et al. calculated that children born to mothers aged ≥40 years had much longer hospital stays than children born to younger mothers [19]. This might be explained by the increased cesarean section rate of ≥40 year-old mothers. The longer maternal hospitalization time prolongs the time spent by the child in hospital, especially as mother and child will usually leave the hospital together.

The preterm birth (≥32 weeks) rate was similar across our study groups, and even early neonatal mortality was not higher in the children born to older mothers. In the Canadian study by Kanungo et al., the perinatal mortality of preterm neonates aged <33 weeks decreased with increasing maternal age [13]. Lisonkova et al. found that twins delivered by older mothers had no higher risk of perinatal mortality (preterm birth <33 weeks or <28 weeks). They even found an inverse relationship between the neonatal outcome of preterm births born at <33 weeks of gestation and maternal age: the older the mother, the less likely the children was to suffer from necrotizing enterocolitis, serious neurological illnesses or nosocomial infections [39].

One explanation might be that younger mothers are more likely to have infections or stress which can cause preterm delivery, whereas older mothers attend prenatal check-ups more regularly [13]. The variables influencing prematurity are complex and multifactorial. Smoking, a major risk factor for preterm birth, plays a very significant role in the preterm births of younger mothers [40]. In our study group, 9.1% of mothers < 30 years but only 4.4% of mothers ≥40 years smoked during pregnancy. Salihu et al. found similar results in their study: 11.4% of 20–29 year-old mothers smoked while pregnant compared to 7.5% of 40–49 year-old mothers [23]. When they compared the years 2007–2011 with the data for 1995–1997, Scholz et al. noted a decrease in the number of pregnant women smoking and an increase in maternal age [41]. These results could be explained by the higher socioeconomic status and education of older mothers, their work in academic professions and their more disciplined behavior during pregnancy, including a healthy lifestyle to ensure optimum nutrition of the fetus: healthy diet, avoidance of smoking and alcohol, enough sleep and physical activity. These older mothers are often better prepared for pregnancy and to raise children [42].

Conclusions

To summarize the results of this retrospective study: there were no significant differences in pregnancy outcomes or obstetrical and neonatal outcomes between older and younger mothers, provided that (especially older) mothers were careful to treat their preexisting chronic disease medically or dietetically, have any pregnancy-induced morbidity monitored regularly or controlled medically, regularly attended prenatal check-ups, continued their healthy lifestyle during pregnancy, and delivered in a perinatal center. In accordance with Khalil et al. [43] we therefore propose an individualized patient- and disease-specific approach to prenatal care offered to pregnant women with advanced maternal age.
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