Systematic Imaging Module in Complete Hindgut Duplication
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Abstract

Complete hind gut and anal canal duplication is a rare entity, usually remaining asymptomatic till the disease comes to light due to associated anomalies or due to cosmetic reasons. Classical imaging consisting of barium enema examination served a limited role, in terms of depicting the length of gut segment involved. Technical advances in magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) with three-dimensional (3D) reformations cannot only solve the above purpose but further evaluate key points needed for surgical planning. The present technical report lays out a systematic module for evaluation of various aspects of complete hindgut duplication, critical for management. The role of 3D MRI is emphasized upon, for evaluation of pelvic floor and anorectum, even in infants with a distorted anatomy.
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Introduction

Duplication of the gut is an uncommon entity, most commonly involving the small bowel (60% of the cases).1 Large bowel duplication is quite rare with only few case reports present in the literature. The cecum is a part involved in most instances, with only 4 to 18% of the cases having a colonic duplication.2 Three-fourth of all the cases of colonic duplication have a duplication cyst at the mesenteric border while one-fourth have a tubular duplicated moiety which may or may not communicate with the adjacent native bowel.3 In either scenario, most cases remain asymptomatic, however a larger subset of cases of tubular duplication present with pain and obstruction,4 as a result of the combined effect of poor propulsive action of bowel muscularis and formation of fecoliths in either moiety.5 In cases of tubular duplication, diagnosis is mostly evident on clinical grounds as both moieties have a perineal communication. The role of imaging was as yet, restricted to deciphering the length of duplicated segments and their intercommunication, depicted on contrast enema radiography.6 Cross-sectional imaging has however added a new dimension to presurgical evaluation of the pathology by providing information about adequacy of mural architecture of bowel and that of pelvic floor musculature.7 In the presented rare case of communicating tubular duplication of hindgut, a systematic imaging algorithm assisted our surgical team to logically plan a corrective surgery and achieve good postoperative colonic functionality with adequate continence.

Case Report

A 9-month-old male child presented to the pediatric surgery emergency with acute abdomen. In addition the parents reported presence of two anal openings, with intermittent passage of fecal material through either opening. A diagnosis of hindgut duplication was quite evident and, in view of the presenting symptom an invertogram was done to assess the duplicated anorectum (►Fig. 1a). The radiograph however could not help much as no air was seen in either moiety. Further, a contrast enema study (►Fig. 1b) was done which
revealed duplication of anorectum until the splenic flexure with fusion of the two moieties thereby to form a single transverse colon. The moieties followed a parallel course and were located at the expected anatomical location. Haustra-tions were noted in both moieties with fecoliths seen in the smaller moiety located medially (which probably was the cause of obstruction). Also fewer peristaltic waves were seen in the smaller moiety (on fluoroscopy), both findings confirmed the diagnosis, hence corrective surgery consisting of resection of the dividing septum was planned. A suggestion was made from the end of our pediatric imaging unit, in favor of a preoperative magnetic resonance imaging (MRI), in view of an alternative approach for correction (i.e., resection of the lesser moiety) documented in the literature. The latter technique is based on presence of adequate mural architecture of the greater (larger) moiety with a single pelvic diaphragm. MRI was done on a 1.5 T superconducting magnet using a body array coil using a “differential contrast technique” (i.e., after instillation of air in lesser and water in greater moiety using a soft balloon catheter). The imaging

---

**Fig. 1** (A) Invertogram done during initial presentation to evaluate the lower gastrointestinal tract shows no air in the anorectum (straight arrow). (B) Contrast enema radiography done with thin barium shows the relatively smaller (solid arrow) and larger (hollow arrow) moiety of duplicated descending colon, uniting at the splenic flexure (curved arrow). (C) Clinical picture showing passage of two catheters per rectum, through two different anal openings.

**Fig. 2** Serial coronal T2-weighted magnetic resonance images (from a three-dimensional stack) from anterior to posterior (A–J) performed with differential contrast technique shows the two moieties of duplicated descending colon, the medial moiety was insufflated with air while the lateral was filled with saline. Note the point of union at the splenic flexure (straight arrow in G). Note the clear separation of the wall of both the moieties with a clear cut plane between the two.
confirmed the findings noted on the contrast enema radiography (►Fig. 2), further both the moieties were found to have equal and well developed mural architecture consisting of circular and longitudinal muscle layers (►Figs. 2 and 3). Focused imaging of the pelvis revealed the anatomy of the pelvic floor to be normal and adequate, the concentric puborectal sling was single with absence of any pelvic floor fibers decussating between the two moieties. The longitudinal muscles in the anorectal region were continuous with no atrophy or fat infiltration within or around the sphincters. No urinary bladder duplication was noted in this case. A posterior sagittal anorectoplasty (PSARP) assisted by laparotomy was performed in view of the high position of the distal end of the proximal loop. Surgical resection of the lesser moiety (►Fig. 4) was done with closure of distal opening. Dissection was done to carefully extract the lower end of lesser moiety from the pelvic sling, such that the greater moiety remained finally encircled by the native muscles of pelvic diaphragm. Strengthening of the sphincter and levator ani was done in addition. The procedure remained uneventful and the postoperative course was satisfactory. Passage of flatus and fecal matter was noted at the 7th hour after initiation of oral intake on the 5th day. Development of continence, appropriate for the age was noted on follow-up visit at 3 years using the Kelly score. 

Discussion

Surgical correction of duplicated hindgut is reserved exclusively for patients presenting with symptoms such as acute abdomen secondary to obstruction, intussusceptions or bleeding per rectum. This consists primarily of excision of the duplicated moiety in most instances. Alternatively division of the intervening septum (for tubular duplication) or cyst marsupialization (for duplication cyst) combined with mucosal stripping of the remaining cyst may be done. Though the latter techniques carry less satisfactory results, in terms of recurrence of symptoms, they are preferred by some in view of the lower incidence of operative complications with them. The major problems described above with the former technique include postoperative hemorrhage due to remaining bowel ischemia secondary to inadvertent removal of common mural components with common vascular connection and, continence issues due to injury to intervening decussating pelvic diaphragm components. These are precisely the questions that we ensured to address by MRI in the present...
The presence of any such redundant intervening tissue, an injury to which could have caused continence issues (Fig. 3). Further detection of an associated malformations such as bladder duplication, spinal dysraphism, anorectal malformation (not seen in this case), by MRI, can enable one to plan concomitant corrections.

Though hindgut duplication has been reported previously, the present reports aims at providing an insight to the imaging expert, as to the crucial role he can play in systematic management of this rare and intriguing clinical entity. Conventional contrast enema radiography remains the mainstay for initial evaluation of the type and length of duplication. Preoperative MRI should be performed in all cases to rule out associated malformations and to more precisely define the anatomy before surgery.
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