Can Immune Thrombocytopenia Be Cured with Medical Therapy?

Adam Cuker, MD, MS1,2 Eline T. Luning Prak, MD, PhD2 Douglas B. Cines, MD1,2

1 Department of Medicine, Perelman School of Medicine, University of Pennsylvania, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania
2 Department of Pathology and Laboratory Medicine, Perelman School of Medicine, University of Pennsylvania, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania

Address for correspondence Adam Cuker, MD, MS, Hospital of the University of Pennsylvania, 3400 Spruce Street, Philadelphia, PA 19104 (e-mail: adam.cuker@uphs.upenn.edu).

Abstract

Primary immune thrombocytopenia (ITP) in adults often assumes a chronic course that requires persistent monitoring and treatment. Medical therapy has traditionally been viewed as a means of temporarily raising the platelet count with little or no potential to induce long-term platelet responses off treatment. However, several recent studies have tested the hypothesis that intensive medical therapy administered early in the disease course may ameliorate or even cure ITP. In this review, we propose a biological rationale for medical intervention that simultaneously targets the innate and adaptive immune responses administered early in the course of disease. We also critically examine data on long-term outcomes after single-agent and multi-agent medical therapy. Intensive regimens that target inflammation and adaptive immunity (e.g., combination high-dose dexamethasone and rituximab) appear to improve response rates at 6 to 12 months compared with standard first-line therapy (e.g., prednisone, high-dose dexamethasone alone) in newly diagnosed patients. Controlled trials with extended follow-up are needed to determine whether these intensive regimens induce more cures compared with standard treatment or merely delay relapse at the expense of potentially greater toxicity.
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Immune thrombocytopenia (ITP) is an autoimmune disorder characterized by antibody- and cell-mediated platelet destruction and impairment of platelet production. Patients experience an increased risk of bleeding and reduced quality of life.1 ITP may occur in isolation (primary ITP) or in association with a predisposing etiology (secondary ITP).2 Some presentations of ITP are associated with a favorable prognosis. Childhood ITP frequently follows a viral infection and is self-limited in approximately 80% of cases.3 ITP secondary to human immunodeficiency virus generally resolves with microbial suppression.4

Primary ITP of adulthood, in contrast, follows a chronic course in a majority of cases. A major goal of treatment in this population is attainment of durable improvement in the platelet count without the need for ongoing therapy. Splenectomy has long been the surest means of accomplishing this objective. Two-thirds of patients have normalization of the platelet count after splenectomy and an additional 22% evidence a partial response.5 The benefits of splenectomy must be weighed against potential harms including risks associated with anesthesia and the surgery itself, a life-long increased risk of sepsis, and an elevated incidence of late vascular complications.6

In light of these concerns, patients and clinicians are increasingly inclined toward medical therapy.7 Unlike splenectomy, however, conventional medical therapy (e.g., prednisone, intravenous immunoglobulin [IVIG], thrombopoietin receptor agonists [TPO-RAs]), with the possible exception of rituximab, is generally viewed as a means of achieving a transient platelet count response with little or no potential to induce long-term remission off therapy. Recent studies have tested the hypothesis that intensive medical therapy, particularly early in the disease course, may ameliorate the long-term course or even cure ITP. In
this review, we discuss the biological rationale for early aggres-

sive medical intervention and critically analyze data on long-
term outcomes in adults with primary ITP treated with medical

therapy.

Biological Rationale for Early Intensive
Medical Therapy

Whether or not an ITP patient responds to initial therapy will
depend, in part, on the immunologic perturbations that gave rise
to the disease. We propose that there are two main immunologic
drivers of chronic ITP. One is the adaptive immune response
(B and T cells), and the other is the inflammatory milieu
(exogenous antigens, complement, inflammatory cytokines,
autoantigens, and platelet injury). In patients with combined
adaptive and inflammatory immunologic defects, targeting only
the B cells may be ineffective because the underlying inflamma-
tory environment has not changed. Similarly, targeting only
inflammation may not abrogate pathogenic B or T cells. Hence,
the use of potent combination therapies that target both
inflammation (such as high-dose dexamethasone) and B cells
(such as rituximab) may be more effective in halting an autoim-
une response than either therapy alone.8,9

In addition to the kind (and complexity) of the immunologic
disturbance influencing disease outcome, we propose that the
longevity of the immune response is important. Of course, this
is a circular argument: a good predictor of chronicity in any
autoimmune disease is chronicity itself. In a long-term analysis
of 69 adult ITP cases, 2 out of 19 patients with a history of ITP for
more than 6 months had sustained increases in their platelet
counts following corticosteroid therapy, whereas 28 out of 50
patients with a history of ITP for less than 6 months had
sustained responses.10 Similar findings have been obtained in
other series.11,12 It is worth understanding why.

Fundamentally, the initial disease may be driven by a primary
immune response, whereas chronic disease may reflect a mem-
ory response. There are several potential reasons why it may be
easier to eliminate a primary immune response than a memory
response (Fig. 1). The comments that follow are focused
mainly on B cells, but they can be extended to T cells as well.

Clonal Expansion: It Is a Number Game

By allowing autoimmunity to persist without reduction of
inflammation or other immune-activating signals, autoreactive
B cell clones may increase in abundance and therefore become
more difficult to eliminate.13 For example, suppose that a
hypothetical therapy eliminates 99% of mature B cells and that
a pathogenic clone needs to have at least 100 members to
survive and persist. If the clone is permitted to expand to
more than 10,000 members, it could be more likely to survive
treatment and induce relapse. Conversely, treatment could, in
theory, produce a cure if it is administered early in the course of
disease, while the pathogenic clones are still relatively small.

Affinity Maturation: The Quality of the Immune
Response Matters

With an ongoing autoimmune response, pathogenic B cell
clones undergo diversification of their antigen receptors via
somatic hypermutation. Positive selection for platelet-auto-

 antigen binding likely results in antibodies with higher
affinities for platelets over time. Higher affinity antibodies,
in turn, may be able to exert more potent effects at lower
concentrations. Chronic immune stimulation can also result
in increased titers of autoantibodies. In light of these consid-
erations, it is not entirely surprising that ITP patients who
have antiplatelet antibodies at diagnosis are more likely to
have persistent thrombocytopenia at 1 year.14

Epitope Spreading: The Diversity of the Autoimmune
Response Can Serve as a Feed-Forward Loop

An ongoing immune response can be amplified by epitope
spreading.15,16 Over time, T cell clones having specificities for
different epitopes in platelet autoantigens may be recruited
into long-lived germinal centers or extrafollicular foci. While
the number of distinct antibody heavy and light chain pair-
ings that comprise antiplatelet antibodies in ITP appears to be
limited, somatic hypermutation may broaden the antiplatelet
antibody response.17,18 Members of autoreactive B cell clones
with different somatic mutations may result in varying
specificities. These varied specificities may facilitate the
activation of pathogenic cells (e.g., more diverse B cell clones
may be able to help a larger diversity of T cells and vice versa),
resulting in a feed-forward loop of B–T collaboration that
serves to amplify and sustain the autoimmune response.19

A larger diversity of antibodies that bind to different
epitopes on the same platelet may increase the number or
configuration of antibodies that decorate the platelet and
promote more efficient opsonization, phagocytosis, or inter-
fer with platelet function or generation.20 Antibodies with
different specificities may exert additive pathophysiologic
effects. For example, some antibodies directed against glyco-
protein Iib impair thrombopoiesis.21 Early and aggressive
therapy before the autoimmune response is allowed to
broaden may enhance the likelihood of durable response or
cure.

Functional Diversification of Autoantibody Effector
Functions

Isotype switching of antibodies during the course of an
immune response can result in more potent immunologic
effector functions (which are encoded by the constant regions
of the antibody). This, in turn, can result in antibodies that
differ in their ability to activate complement and in B cells
that have different thresholds of activation22 and FcR inter-
actions with phagocytic cells, enhancing platelet clearance.
Furthermore, altered signaling dynamics can occur with
different antibody constant regions.21,24

Generation of Long-Lived Memory Populations that
Differ from Primary B Cells

Chronic immune responses may generate specialized effector
and memory cell populations, such as long-lived plasma cells,
that may not have been produced as efficiently (or at all) if the
disease had been promptly and definitively treated. Some of
these populations may be challenging to eradicate because
they may reside in protective niches and have increased
resistance to apoptotic death.\textsuperscript{25} For example, short-lived antibody forming cells express low levels of CD20 and are eliminated with anti-CD20 therapy (which also removes the precursor pools, as evidenced by the rapid and robust loss of IgM responses in rituximab-treated patients who receive vaccines\textsuperscript{26}), but long-lived CD20-negative plasma cells could take months or years to turn over, even if CD20+ precursor populations are eliminated.\textsuperscript{27} Memory B cells can also have lower activation thresholds than primary B cells. For example, they can rapidly upregulate the co-stimulatory molecules CD80 and CD86.\textsuperscript{28} Memory cells can rapidly differentiate into antibody-secreting cells after CD40 ligation and costimulation with cytokines, unlike naive B cells.\textsuperscript{29} Memory cells also undergo enhanced proliferation in response to B cell receptor signaling.\textsuperscript{30} Finally, the anatomic localization of memory cells may play an important role in ITP pathogenesis. The spleen, which is an important organ for clearance of platelets, contains a much higher frequency of memory B cells than the peripheral blood, potentially putting immune effectors into more intimate contact with their targets.\textsuperscript{31}

The relevance of the aforementioned changes in the autoimmune response over time, as it progresses from a primary to a memory response, to the prognosis of ITP and its responsiveness to therapy requires further investigation because at present there is no way to monitor pathogenic B or T cell populations in vivo. Nevertheless, there is a biological rationale for clinical trials of intensive medical therapy that targets both innate and adaptive arms of the immune system early in ITP to ameliorate its long-term course.

**Natural History and Standard First-Line Therapy**

Assessment of the potential for therapies to improve long-term outcomes in ITP requires an understanding of the natural history of the disease and rates of spontaneous remission. However, prospective studies of untreated ITP in adults with moderate to severe thrombocytopenia (platelet count < 50 × 10^9/L) have not been performed. In the absence of such data, randomized controlled trials of standard first-line therapy (e.g., standard-dose corticosteroids, IVIG, anti-D) in newly diagnosed adults provide a baseline against which the impact of other treatments on long-term outcomes may be compared (\textsuperscript{4}Table 1).

In a multicenter Italian study, 69 adults were randomized to prednisone 0.5 or 1.5 mg/kg/day for up to 1 month. The rate
of sustained response (platelet count \( \geq 60 \times 10^9/L \)) at 6 months was 65 and 66%, respectively.\(^{32}\) Similar results were obtained in a randomized trial (\( n = 223 \)) comparing two different doses of prednis(ol)one (0.25 vs. 1 mg/kg/day) over 3 weeks followed by a rapid taper. Rate of response (platelet count \( \geq 50 \times 10^9/L \) and no treatment for at least 3 months) at 6 months was similar in the low- and high-dose groups (60 vs. 58%).\(^{33}\) French investigators randomized 122 newly diagnosed adults to methylprednisolone 15 mg/kg/day or IVIG 0.7 g/kg/day on days 1 to 3 followed by a second randomization to placebo or prednisone 1 mg/kg/day on days 4 to 21. Sustained response (platelet count \( \geq 50 \times 10^9/L \)) at 1 year was equivalent in the methylprednisolone and IVIG arms (40 vs. 36%). Prednisone significantly delayed the median time to relapse (152 vs. 22.5 days), but did not significantly increase the rate of sustained response at 1 year compared with placebo.\(^{34}\) Twenty-eight Rh+, non-splenectomized adults with ITP diagnosed within the previous 12 months were randomized to an initial anti-D infusion of 50 or 75 \( \mu g/kg \). Infusions were repeated whenever the platelet count fell below 30 \( \times 10^9/L \). Patients were eligible to crossover to the other dose.\(^{35,36}\) 43% of patients maintained a platelet response for \( \geq 6 \) months off treatment. The response rate represents an aggregate for patients in both treatment arms.

Table 1 Platelet count responses at 6–12 months in randomized controlled trials of standard first-line therapy in adults with recently diagnosed ITP

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>References</th>
<th>Intervention</th>
<th>Definition of platelet response</th>
<th>Response rate</th>
<th>Duration of platelet response</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>32</td>
<td>Prednisone 0.5 mg/kg/d × ≤ 1 mo</td>
<td>( \geq 60 \times 10^9/L )</td>
<td>65%</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Prednisone 1.5 mg/kg/d × ≤ 1 mo</td>
<td>( \geq 60 \times 10^9/L )</td>
<td>66%</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33</td>
<td>Prednisone 0.25 mg/kg/d × 3 wk</td>
<td>( \geq 50 \times 10^9/L )</td>
<td>60%</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Prednisone 1 mg/kg/d × 3 wk</td>
<td>( \geq 50 \times 10^9/L )</td>
<td>58%</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>34</td>
<td>Methylprednisolone 15 mg/kg/d × 3 d(^a)</td>
<td>( \geq 50 \times 10^9/L )</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>40%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>IVIG 0.7 g/kg/d × 3 d(^a)</td>
<td>( \geq 50 \times 10^9/L )</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>36%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35,36</td>
<td>Anti-D 50 ( \mu g/kg ) initial infusion(^b)</td>
<td>( \geq 30 \times 10^9/L )</td>
<td>43(^c)</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Anti-D 75 ( \mu g/kg ) initial infusion(^b)</td>
<td>( \geq 30 \times 10^9/L )</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviations: ITP, immune thrombocytopenia; IVIG, intravenous immunoglobulin.

\(^a\)Followed by a second randomization to prednisone 1 mg/kg/day or placebo on days 4–21.

\(^b\)Infusions were repeated whenever the platelet count fell below 30 \( \times 10^9/L \). Patients were eligible to crossover to the other dose.

\(^c\)43\% of patients maintained a platelet response for \( \geq 6 \) months off treatment. The response rate represents an aggregate for patients in both treatment arms.

Table 2 Platelet count responses to prednis(ol)one beyond 1 year in adults with ITP

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reference</th>
<th>Setting</th>
<th>( n )</th>
<th>Intervention</th>
<th>Definition of platelet response</th>
<th>Response rate (%)</th>
<th>Duration of platelet response</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>37</td>
<td>United States</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>Prednisone ≥ 40 mg/d × ≥ 4 wk</td>
<td>( \geq 50 \times 10^9/L )</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>1–18 y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>38</td>
<td>United States</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>Prednisone(^a)</td>
<td>( \geq 150 \times 10^9/L )</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>37 mo(^b)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Italy</td>
<td>134</td>
<td>Prednisone 1 mg/kg/d × 1 mo</td>
<td>( \geq 120 \times 10^9/L )</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>92 mo(^b)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>39</td>
<td>The Netherlands</td>
<td>124</td>
<td>Prednisone 1 mg/kg/d × 3–6 wk</td>
<td>( \geq 30 \times 10^9/L )</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>2 y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td>China</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>Prednisolone 1 mg/kg/d(^c)</td>
<td>( \geq 100 \times 10^9/L )</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>116 mo(^d)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41</td>
<td>Turkey</td>
<td>129</td>
<td>Prednisone 1 mg/kg/d × 2–4 wk(^c)</td>
<td>( \geq 100 \times 10^9/L )</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>33 mo(^b)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviation: ITP, immune thrombocytopenia.

\(^a\)Dose and duration not specified.

\(^b\)Median.

\(^c\)Duration not specified.

\(^d\)Mean.

\(^e\)14 subjects received high-dose dexamethasone rather than prednisone.
remains free of relapse beyond 1 year. Most patients experience a chronic or relapsing/remitting course. Rarely, patients with chronic disease may experience seemingly spontaneous improvements in platelet count years after diagnosis. The immunologic basis for this phenomenon is unknown. In at least some cases, apparent spontaneous remission may actually represent thrombocytopenia due to other causes. For example, in a series of 343 adults with suspected ITP, the diagnosis was subsequently reclassified as drug-induced immune thrombocytopenia in 22 subjects.43

**Single-Agent Therapy**

**High-Dose Dexamethasone**

Dexamethasone has a longer half-life and considerably less mineralocorticoid activity than prednis(ol)one. High-dose dexamethasone is typically administered at a dose of 40 mg (equivalent to prednisone 267 mg) daily for 4 consecutive days. Repeated cycles may be given every 2 to 4 weeks.

High-dose dexamethasone has been studied in several prospective studies of adults with previously untreated ITP (Table 3). In a single-arm Chinese study involving 125 subjects, a single cycle of high-dose dexamethasone produced a sustained response (platelet count $> 50 \times 10^9/L$) in 50% of patients at 6 months, a result similar to 6-month response rates observed in studies of standard-dose prednisone.32,33 In a multicenter trial from Korea, 151 patients with treatment-naive ITP were randomized to receive a single cycle of high-dose dexamethasone or prednisolone 1 mg/kg/day × 4 weeks. Sustained responses (platelet count $> 30 \times 10^9/L$) at 6 months were observed in 25% of patients in the dexamethasone arm and 36% in the prednisolone arm (p = 0.33). Eight (11%) patients in the dexamethasone arm and 17 (23%) in the prednisolone arm had a platelet count $> 100 \times 10^9/L$ at 1 year.45 These data suggest that a single cycle of high-dose dexamethasone does not improve long-term outcomes compared with standard-dose prednis(ol)one. At variance with these findings, an Iranian randomized controlled trial involving 60 adults with newly diagnosed ITP demonstrated superior rates of response (platelet count $> 30 \times 10^9/L$) with a single cycle of high-dose dexamethasone (followed by prednisolone 1 mg/kg/day tapered over 6 weeks) compared with prednisolone 1 mg/kg/day × 4 weeks at 6 months (90 vs. 53%, p < 0.0001) and 1 year (90 vs. 47%, p < 0.0001). Use of prednisolone following dexamethasone may explain the very high response rates observed in the high-dose dexamethasone arm relative to other studies.46

The effect of multiple cycles of high-dose dexamethasone on long-term response rates in adults with previously untreated ITP has been tested in three single-arm studies (Table 3). In a single-center single-arm study from Italy, 37 previously untreated adults received high-dose dexamethasone every 28 days for up to 6 cycles. Twelve (67%) patients maintained a response (platelet count $> 50 \times 10^9/L$) after a median follow-up of 8.5 months.47 In a single-center single-arm study from Iran, 37 previously untreated adults received high-dose dexamethasone every 28 days for 6 cycles. Of 33 patients with a response (platelet count $> 20 \times 10^9/L$), 8 relapsed after a median of 19.5 months. The remaining 25 patients had a sustained response at the end of the observation period. Among responders, the estimated relapse-free survival was 90% at 15 months and 58% at 50 months.48 Forty-eight previously untreated adults were treated with high-dose dexamethasone every 14 days for 4 cycles in a multicenter Italian study. The rate of freedom from relapse (platelet count $< 30 \times 10^9/L$) was 60% at 15 months.48

### Table 3 Platelet count responses at 6–12 months in prospective studies of high-dose dexamethasone given as a single cycle or multiple cycles in adults with previously untreated ITP

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reference</th>
<th>n</th>
<th>Intervention</th>
<th>Definition of platelet response</th>
<th>Response rate</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>6 mo</td>
<td>12 mo</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Single cycle studies</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>44</td>
<td>125</td>
<td>Dex 40 mg/d on days 1–4 × 1 cycle</td>
<td>$&gt; 50 \times 10^9/L$</td>
<td>50%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45</td>
<td>151</td>
<td>Dex 40 mg/d on days 1–4 × 1 cycle Prednisolone 1 mg/kg/d × 4 wk</td>
<td>$&gt; 30 \times 10^9/L$</td>
<td>25%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>46</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>Dex 40 mg/d on days 1–4 × 1 cycle and Prednisolone 1 mg/kg/d tapered over day 5–46</td>
<td>$&gt; 30 \times 10^9/L$</td>
<td>90%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Prednisolone 1 mg/kg/d × 4 wk</td>
<td></td>
<td>53%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Multiple cycle studies</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>47</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>Dex 40 mg/d on days 1–4 q28 days × ≤ 6 cyclesa</td>
<td>$&gt; 50 \times 10^9/L$</td>
<td>67%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>48</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>Dex 40 mg/d on days 1–4 q28 days × 6 cycles</td>
<td>$&gt; 20 \times 10^9/L$</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>48</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>Dex 40 mg/d on days 1–4 q14 days × 4 cycles</td>
<td>$&gt; 30 \times 10^9/L$</td>
<td>60%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Abbreviations:** Dex, dexamethasone; ITP, immune thrombocytopenia.

*aThe dexamethasone dose was tapered between days 5 and 8 of each cycle.

bAt median 8.5 mo.

cAt median 19.5 mo.

dAt median 15 mo.
These data indicate that multiple cycles of high-dose dexamethasone may produce longer-term responses compared with a single cycle of high-dose dexamethasone or standard-dose prednis(ol)one. However, comparisons are hampered by lack of a control arm in the studies of multiple cycles of dexamethasone (→ Table 3). A randomized controlled trial of prednisone 1 mg/kg/day × 4 weeks versus high-dose dexamethasone every 14 days for 3 cycles is currently recruiting (ClinicalTrials.gov Identifier NCT00657410). We are unaware of a biologic rationale to explain why high-dose corticosteroids administered in short pulses might be more effective in abrogating the autoimmune response in ITP than standard-dose corticosteroids. It may be a simple matter of cumulative glucocorticoid dose. For example, four cycles of high-dose dexamethasone delivers approximately twice the total corticosteroid dose as prednisone 70 mg/day × 1 month. Further investigation is needed to determine whether multicycle high-dose dexamethasone exerts fundamentally different effects on the immune system compared with standard-dose prednis(ol)one or merely facilitates delivery of greater cumulative doses of corticosteroids.

**Rituximab**

Rituximab is a chimeric monoclonal antibody directed against the B cell surface antigen CD20. The usual dose is 375 mg/m² weekly × 4 weeks, though other regimens are also effective.49

Rituximab has been tested in several small prospective and retrospective cohorts of previously treated patients with ITP. The results of these studies have been summarized in two systematic reviews. One included 19 studies, which collectively enrolled 313 patients (50.5% splenectomized). A response (platelet count ≥ 50 × 10⁹/L) was observed in 62.5% of patients. The median duration of response was 10.5 months.50 A second systematic review was restricted to non-splenectomized patients (19 studies, 368 patients). At 1 year, the sustained response (platelet count ≥ 50 × 10⁹/L) rate was 57%.51

Rituximab has been compared with placebo in a single pilot randomized controlled trial. Sixty patients (28 with newly diagnosed ITP) were randomized to receive standard-dose rituximab or placebo infusions. Concomitant therapy (e.g., corticosteroids, IVIG) was permitted for the first 8 weeks. An overall response (platelet count ≥ 30 × 10⁹/L and at least a twofold increase from baseline) at 6 months was achieved in 62.5% of patients in the rituximab arm and 73.1% in the placebo arm.52

Patel and colleagues studied the durability of response to rituximab over an extended observation period in 189 adults with ITP. The response (platelet count ≥ 50 × 10⁹/L) rate at 1, 2, and 5 years after treatment was 38, 31, and 21%, respectively. Strength of initial platelet response and prolonged B cell depletion were predictors of sustained response.53

Controlled trials are needed to determine whether the long-term responses observed by Patel et al are attributable to rituximab or whether they merely identify a subset of patients with self-limited disease. More data are also needed in newly diagnosed patients to determine whether rituximab improves the course of disease in this population.

**Thrombopoietin Receptor Agonists**

Two TPO-RAs are licensed for the treatment of ITP. Romiplostim is a peptibody that binds to the extracellular domain of the thrombopoietin receptor. Eltrombopag is an oral non-peptide small molecule that interacts with the receptor’s transmembrane domain. Both drugs increase thrombopoiesis by stimulating proliferation and differentiation of platelet progenitors.

TPO-RAs are generally thought not to induce remission. Most patients in the long-term extension studies of romiplostim and eltrombopag required persistent treatment to maintain a platelet count response. Discontinuation or interruption of therapy was associated with a fall in platelet count, which was sometimes severe.54,55

However, emerging data suggest that a small percentage of patients may experience a response that persists after discontinuation of TPO-RA (→ Table 4). In the romiplostim extension study, 9 of 292 (3.1%) patients maintained a platelet count of > 50 × 10⁹/L for at least 6 months after discontinuation of romiplostim. These patients had ITP for a variable period before treatment (0.1–5.5 years) and variable exposure to romiplostim (8.5 months to 2.7 years). All had received at least two previous treatments for ITP. One patient required resumption of romiplostim after 3.2 years off treatment.54 No predictors of sustained response off romiplostim were identified.56 At least three additional patients from earlier romiplostim trials were able to discontinue romiplostim and maintain a platelet count > 50 × 10⁹/L off treatment for 9 months to 4.5 years.57 In a single-center Canadian series, 3 of 31 (9.7%) TPO-RA-treated patients experienced durable remission (platelet count > 100 × 10⁹/L) after discontinuation of treatment. All three had chronic disease, had received 3 to 5 previous therapies, and remained in remission for 11 to 28 months at the time of last follow-up.58 Seven of 54 (13.0%) patients treated with TPO-RA in a multicenter French study sustained a complete response (platelet count of > 100 × 10⁹/L) 5 to 27 months after

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reference</th>
<th>n</th>
<th>Definition of durable platelet response</th>
<th>Response rate (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>54</td>
<td>292</td>
<td>&gt; 50 × 10⁹/L off treatment for ≥ 6 mo</td>
<td>3.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>58</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>&gt; 50 × 10⁹/L off treatment for ≥ 9 mo</td>
<td>9.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>59</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>&gt; 100 × 10⁹/L off treatment for ≥ 5 mo</td>
<td>13.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviations: ITP, immune thrombocytopenia; TPO-RAs, thrombopoietin receptor agonists.
discontinuation of treatment. All the patients had chronic ITP and had received a median of five previous therapies. No predictive factors were identified.  

Collectively, these data suggest that a small proportion of patients with chronic ITP may enjoy persistent responses after discontinuing TPO-RA therapy (Table 4). Whether the persistent responses observed in these studies are attributable to the TPO-RA itself or merely represent spontaneous remissions is unknown. It is conceivable that the studies may underestimate the potential proportion of patients who could safely stop treatment because discontinuation of TPO-RA may not be considered or attempted in many responding patients. The potential for TPO-RAs to induce remissions in newly diagnosed patients is unstudied and merits investigation. Mechanisms by which TPO-RAs may induce remission are unknown. Restoration of immune tolerance by increasing exposure to platelet antigens and/or improving T-regulatory cell function has been speculated.  

### Multiagent Therapy

### Dexamethasone and Rituximab

Three prospective studies have tested the hypothesis that combination therapy with high-dose dexamethasone and rituximab may improve long-term outcomes in newly diagnosed patients with ITP (Table 5). In a single-arm Mexican study, 21 patients were treated with one cycle of high-dose dexamethasone and low-dose rituximab (100 mg) weekly × 4 weeks. A second cycle of high-dose dexamethasone was permitted if the platelet count was <20 × 10^9/L before day 30. A complete response (platelet count ≥100 × 10^9/L) at 6 months was attained in 16 (76%) patients. Freedom from relapse (platelet count <30 × 10^9/L) was 84% at 12 months.  

In a multicenter trial from Italy, 103 patients were randomized to high-dose dexamethasone with or without standard-dose rituximab. Patients in both arms were permitted to receive up to five supplemental cycles of high-dose dexamethasone. The response (platelet count ≥50 × 10^9/L) rate was significantly greater in the combination therapy arm at 6 months (58 vs. 37%, p = 0.02) and at 1 year (53 vs. 33%). In both randomized controlled trials, there were more grade 3 and 4 adverse events in the combination therapy arms. 

These data indicate that the combination of rituximab and high-dose dexamethasone augments response rates at 6 and 12 months compared with dexamethasone alone in patients with newly diagnosed ITP (Table 5). It is unknown whether combination therapy also induces superior response rates compared with rituximab alone because of a paucity of data on rituximab monotherapy in newly diagnosed patients. Longer follow-up is required to determine whether combination therapy leads to cure in an additional proportion of patients compared with either agent alone or merely postpones relapse.

### Dexamethasone and Thrombopoietin Receptor Agonists

A combination of dexamethasone and eltrombopag has been tested in a prospective single-arm study. Twelve Mexican adults with newly diagnosed ITP were treated with a single cycle of dexamethasone 40 mg daily on days 1 to 4 followed by eltrombopag 50 mg daily on days 5 to 32. A sustained response (platelet count ≥30 × 10^9/L) was observed in 9 (75%) patients at 6 months and 8 (67%) patients at 12 months. These preliminary findings require confirmation in a larger controlled study.

### Conclusion

Primary ITP in adults assumes a chronic course in the majority of cases, resulting in potentially profound clinical consequences, including an increased risk of bleeding and diminished
Medical therapy has traditionally been viewed as a means of temporarily raising the platelet count with little or no potential to induce protracted remissions off therapy. However, several recent studies have tested the hypothesis that intensive medical therapy administered early in the disease course may improve the long-term course or even cure ITP. This hypothesis is predicated on putative changes in the autoimmune response over time (Fig. 1), including expansion of autoreactive clones, affinity maturation, epitope spreading, functional diversification of the autoantibody repertoire, and production of long-lived memory populations, which may render the autoimmune response more resistant to eradication with immunomodulatory therapy.

Interpretation of published evidence on long-term platelet responses after medical therapy in adults with newly diagnosed ITP is hampered by a paucity of information on the natural history and spontaneous remission rates of untreated adults with ITP, differences in patient population and definition of platelet response between studies, and a dearth of prospective long-term follow-up, particularly beyond 1 year.

Approximately 40 to 60% of patients treated with standard-dose prednis(ol)one maintain a platelet response at 6 months (Tables 1 and 3).32,33,45,46 There is no consensus on the optimal dose or duration of treatment. Although prospective data are lacking, retrospective series suggest that response rates fall to 20 to 30% at 2 to 10 years (Table 2).10,37–41 Single cycles of high-dose dexamethasone alone do not improve response rates at 6 months compared with standard-dose prednis(ol)one (Table 3).45 High-dose dexamethasone, delivered in multiple cycles or in combination with rituximab or prednisolone, improves response rates at 6 and 12 months compared with single cycles of high-dose dexamethasone (Tables 3 and 5).32,47,48,51,62 Extended follow-up is needed to determine whether the superior responses at 1 year with these intensive regimens translate to greater cure rates or merely delayed relapse.

Data on long-term outcomes in newly diagnosed patients treated with TPO-RA or rituximab monotherapy are lacking. Platelet count responses persist in approximately 20% of patients with chronic ITP 5 years after rituximab.53 Approximately 5 to 10% of patients with chronic disease experience prolonged responses after discontinuation of TPO-RA (Table 4).54,58,59 These data are not far off the 20 to 30% of patients who remain in remission years after treatment with standard-dose prednis(ol)one (Table 2).10,37–41 Suggesting that they could represent a subset of patients destined to experience spontaneous improvement in their disease irrespective of therapy rather than bona fide responses to treatment.

In light of the limitations of currently available data, evidence regarding the potential for intensive medical therapy to cure ITP must be considered inconclusive. Appropriately controlled trials that employ consensus definitions of newly diagnosed disease and platelet response as well as extended follow-up are needed to provide definitive evidence and facilitate comparison between studies.

Risks of intensive combination therapy are also unlikely to be fully appreciated. Combination therapy with high-dose dexamethasone and rituximab was associated with greater toxicity than high-dose dexamethasone alone in randomized controlled trials.9,62 The long-term effects of intensive combination therapy on immune surveillance in patients with ITP have not been reported, though there is potential for concern. Rituximab induces protracted impairment in the immune response to polysaccharide vaccines64 and serious infections including progressive multifocal leukoencephalopathy have been associated with its use, particularly when combined with other immunomodulatory agents.65

Given the uncertainty of incremental benefit compared with standard therapy (i.e., increased likelihood of cure) and the potential harms, we do not believe current evidence supports routine use of intensive medical therapy in newly diagnosed patients outside the context of a clinical trial. However, there is sound biologic rationale and clinical justification to support continued pursuit of well-designed trials in this area.
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