Bony Erosion with Mucoid Degeneration of the Anterior Cruciate Ligament
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Abstract

Mucoid degeneration of the anterior cruciate ligament (MDACL) is a comparatively rare pathological finding in clinical practice. Its pathophysiology is still unclear. MDACL can be suspected in patients with knee pain associated with a thickened ACL. Treatment involving arthroscopic resection of the yellow mucoid substance is efficient for relieving the symptoms. Only few reports had mentioned about the association with the erosion of adjacent bone. Here, we describe the cases of our two MDACL patients with osseous erosion. Both the patients had suffered from posterior knee pain for several months. Magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) indicated a thickened ACL with increased signal with bone erosion on T2-weighted images. On arthroscopy, the ACLs were found to be grossly hypertrophied, and the region of the posterolateral bundle was impinged in both the patients. No bony pathological findings were observed by arthroscopy. The yellowish degenerated portion of the ACL was resected to resolve the pathological situation in each case. Postoperatively, both the patients had a full range of painless motion with no impediments to the quick resumption of daily living. Follow-up MRIs showed reduced volumes of bone erosion. Thus, bone erosion was not the main cause of the knee pain, but rather was a lesion associated with MDACL.
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The first reported case of mucoid degeneration of the anterior cruciate ligament (MDACL) was provided by Kumar et al in 1999.1 The pathogenesis is still unclear, but this condition is thought to be secondary to ACL degeneration. A 2004 study reported the prevalence of MDACL as 0.043%,2 but a 2008 study found a prevalence of 5.3%.3 Although MDACL is not as rare as previously thought, it is not still well known by physicians and is probably underdiagnosed or misdiagnosed as an ACL tear. More accurate data on MDACL are needed. MDACL with erosion of adjacent bone is extremely rare; only a few cases have been reported to date.1,4,5 We treated two patients with MDACL, one with an eroded lesion in the lateral femoral condyle and one with tibial insertion of the ACL. We report these two cases and discuss their clinical features with reference to previous MDACL reports, focusing on bone erosion.

Patients and Methods

The two patients were male, aged 38 and 34 years. Their presenting complaints were knee pain, inability to flex beyond 125 and 140 degrees, respectively, and difficulty climbing up and down stairs or walking short distances (less than 500 m). The knee pain was not limited to specific points, spreading out to the whole knee in both the cases. Both patients’ preoperative
request was just pain relief for activities of daily living, not returning to hard sports. One patient’s job was working on a lathe; the other patient worked in mill management. We evaluated the preoperative and postoperative functional level of each patient using the Tegner Lysholm Knee Scoring Scale. Their preoperative scores were 85 (grading; good: 5–5–25–15–10–6–4) for patients 1 and 72 (grading; fair: 3–2–25–15–2–6–4) for patient 2 (∗Table 1). In both the cases, there was no contralateral knee pain. The symptoms were progressive and not preceded by any significant trauma. No significant swelling or painful points were noted in either patient. A manual knee laxity test (the Lachman test) was performed pre-, intra-, and postoperatively for both the cases, and we also evaluated the anterior laxity at manual maximum stress using a KT-1000 arthrometer (MEDmetric Corp., San Diego, CA) pre- and postoperatively for patient 1 and only postoperatively for patient 2. Contralateral knee exams showed normal data.

MRI was performed before the patients’ arthroscopies. The magnetic resonance imaging (MRIs) showed thickened ACLs with bony erosion of the lateral femoral condyle in patient 1 and tibial insertion of the ACL in patient 2 (∗Figs. 1 and 2).

Both ACLs had low and homogeneous signal intensity on T1-weighted images and homogeneous high signal intensity on T2-weighted images. In patient 2, not only the peripheral part of the hypertrophic ACL but also the bony eroded lesion was enhanced by gadolinium administration on T1-weighted images (∗Fig. 2). The menisci and posterior cruciate ligament (PCL) were normal. We suspected MDACL-induced bony erosion at the site of ACL insertion. Both patients then underwent arthroscopic surgery.

Under arthroscopy, there were no meniscal pathological findings medially or laterally. The ACL appeared homogenous, bulbous, and hypertrophied in the region of the posterolateral bundle (PLB) of the ACL in patient 1 and in the region of both the PLB and anteromedial bundle (AMB) in patient 2. The PCL was intact in each patient. The ACL fibers were interspersed with white or yellowish tissue. The arthroscopy revealed the impingement of the PLB on the posterolateral part of the knee in both the patients. The hypertrophied tissues were debrided to avoid impingement of the PLB into the posterior joint space, and nearly half of the native ACL was removed in each patient.

We did not reconstruct the ACL in either patient, because their intraoperative Lachman tests did not show any instability derived from ACL insufficiency. Care was taken to confirm that each remaining ACL had an intact attachment to the femoral condyle and did not impinge on the roof or lateral wall of the notch. In addition, the arthroscopic findings of both the patients did not demonstrate anterior tibial insertion osteophytes, posterior capsular retraction, or notch stenosis. Thus, we decided not to perform notchplasty for both the patients (∗Fig. 3). No particular signs were detected on the wall of the condyle. No other significant pathology was seen in the knees.

Table 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Preoperative patient 1</th>
<th>Postoperative patient 1</th>
<th>Preoperative patient 2</th>
<th>Postoperative patient 2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Limp</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Support</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pain</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Instability</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Locking</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Swelling</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stair-climbing</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Squatting</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The Lachman test for both patients did not demonstrate severe joint laxity. The postoperative X-ray examinations for both patients did not show bony deformity. Thus, we expected the patients to be able to return to their jobs depending on their pain levels, with monthly X-ray examinations and a computed tomography (CT) or MRI every 6 months. Both the patients returned to their jobs 1 month after their operations. The knee pain and knee instability have not returned in either patient as of 2 years and 8 months and 2 years and 1 month after their respective operations. For both patients, the postoperative Tegner Lysholm Knee Scoring Scale values were 100 (grading: excellent; 5–25–25–15–10–5) (►Table 1).

In patient 1, 1 year after the operation, the follow-up MRI showed reduced volume and a reduced number of eroded bone lesions (►Fig. 1). For patient 2, 1 year and 4 months after the operation, the follow-up CT and MRI demonstrated the regeneration of the defective cortical bone adjacent to the eroded lesion (►Fig. 5).

**Discussion**

A series of patients with MDACL was recently described in detail, including the MRI findings and differential diagnoses. Here, we present an unusual finding of mucoid degeneration involving the adjacent femoral condyle. Although MDACL had been considered rare, recent publications have shown that it is more frequent than previously thought. The data reported by Bergin et al were derived from a retrospective study of 4,221 consecutive knees referred for MRI. They found that only 18 patients (0.043%) had mucoid degeneration. However, Salvati et al reported that their analysis of 1,215 knee MRI examinations identified 64 patients (5.3%) with ACL mucoid metaplastic degeneration.

Most commonly, patients present with deep posterior knee pain and limited knee flexion. However, our two patients presented with knee pain the exact location of which could not be identified. In addition, posterior knee pain and the limitation of knee flexion associated with a diffuse thickened ACL are not sufficient to establish an accurate diagnosis of mucoid degeneration.

Lintz et al reported an MDACL population of 19 men and 8 women with a mean age of 49.3 years. The largest study of MDACL involved a population consisting of 36 men and 38 women with a mean age of 42 years. The MDACL patients were predominantly middle-aged, and the population showed a slight male predominance. The diagnosis of MDACL was initiated with MRI findings of a hypertrophic ACL demonstrating a high-intensity intermingled mucoid component.
with uninterrupted ligament bundles in T2-weighted images.

Histologically, mucoid lesions contain a brown or yellow basophilic substance that has a high density of glycosaminoglycans such as hyaluronic acids. The pathological cause of MDACL is still unclear. In some patients, an initiating knee injury was reported. In these patients, mucoid lesions are thought to arise from an injury or chronic impingement of the ACL. However, several reports including ours described MDACL patients without any known injury.

MDACL is frequently found in osteoarthritic knees. In osteoarthritic knees, continuous impingement between the ACL and an osteoarthritic intercondylar notch tightened by a rim of prominent osteophytes triggers the formation of MDACL. Narvekar and Gajjar resolved the impingement of the ligament on the roof and the lateral wall of the notch via a notchplasty. In another study, MRI demonstrated that knees with MDACL possessed a narrower notch, a steeper notch angle, and a smaller notch area compared with controls. These reports suggest that continuous impingement of tighter notches on the ACL results in repeated microtrauma and the formation of MDACL.

The treatment of MDACL is usually arthroscopic resection of part or all of the ACL, aiming for the debridement of the afflicted portion of the ACL, sometimes with notchplasty.

Fig. 2 Patient 2: Magnetic resonance imaging T2-weighted fat suppression images (a, c, e) and T1-weighted gadolinium-enhanced images (b, d, f). Arrowheads indicate bony erosion. Only the peripheral area of the degenerated anterior cruciate ligament and bony erosion were enhanced on T1-weighted gadolinium-enhanced images.
In our patients, we debrided only the PLB in patient 1 and both the complete PLB and a part of the AMB in patient 2 to avoid impingement at the posterolateral part of the knee joint. The knee pain was relieved quickly and continuously at least for 2 years without any symptoms. Thus, the resected part and volume must be determined by intraoperative findings.

Erosion of adjacent bone associated with MDACL is extremely rare. To the best of our knowledge, only a single case of this pathological finding has been reported to date. Canine
progressive ACL degeneration was induced by cathepsin K and tartrate-resistant acid phosphatase (TRAP). Similar changes in human ACLs implicating the same enzymes have been demonstrated. Cathepsin K and TRAP are osteoclast-specific enzymes. These reports suggest that the development of MDACL is related to osteoclast induction, directly or indirectly. Osteoclasts are multinucleated giant cells primarily responsible for bone resorption. A careful reading of the existing literature on MDACL reveals several figures that show or suggest possible collateral osseous lesions. Intraosseous lesions may not be uncommon collateral lesions in MDACL.

We treated our two patients with bony erosion by debriding only the ACL lesion, not the bony lesion. In each patient, the intractable knee pain had vanished at 2 weeks after this ACL debridement. In both the patients, the bony erosion lesions were reduced or regenerated after the ACL debridement. These outcomes suggest that the level of pain relief—not healing the bony erosion lesion or joint laxity—is the optimum indicator of the success of treatment.

In addition, our patients’ bony lesions did not affect their weight-bearing level or rehabilitation. Thus, the bony lesion is likely not the cause of the knee pain, which is possibly triggered by MDACL-induced osteoclasts. Although the pathological cause remains unclear, our new findings are clues that may help reveal the pathogenesis of MDACL in further clinical research.
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