Colistin: How should It Be Dosed for the Critically Ill?
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Abstract

Colistin, an “old” polymyxin antibiotic, is increasingly being used as last-line treatment against infections caused by multidrug-resistant gram-negative bacteria. It is administered in patients, parenterally or by inhalation, as its inactive prodrug colistin methanesulfonate (CMS). Scientifically based recommendations on how to optimally dose colistin in critically ill patients have become available over the last decade and are extremely important as colistin has a narrow therapeutic window. A dosing algorithm has been developed to achieve desired plasma colistin concentrations in critically ill patients. This includes the necessary dose adjustments for patients with impaired kidney function and those on renal replacement therapy. Due to the slow conversion of CMS to colistin, a loading dose is needed to generate effective concentrations within a reasonable time period. Therapeutic drug monitoring is warranted, where available; because of the observed high interpatient variability in plasma colistin concentrations. Combination therapy should be considered when the infecting pathogen has a colistin minimum inhibitory concentration above 1 mg/L, as increasing the dose may not be feasible due to the risk for nephrotoxicity. Inhalation of CMS achieves considerably higher colistin concentrations in lung fluids than is possible with intravenous administration, with negligible plasma exposure. Similarly, for central nervous system infections, dosing CMS directly into the cerebrospinal fluid generates significantly higher colistin concentrations at the infection site compared with what can be achieved with systemic administration. While questions remain to be addressed via ongoing research, this article reviews the significant advances that have been made toward optimizing the clinical use of colistin.
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Colistin, together with polymyxin B, belongs to the group of polymyxin antibiotics which were discovered in the 1940s and introduced into patient care in 1959, but their clinical use was largely abandoned in the 1970s mainly due to concerns about their potential to cause nephrotoxicity. Over the last two decades, the emergence of gram-negative “superbugs” that are resistant to essentially all contemporary antibiotics and the lack of newly developed antibacterials have led to a resurgence in the use of the polymyxins. Parenteral products of both polymyxins exist; of the two polymyxins, colistin is more widely available around the world. For successful clinical use of any antibiotic, dosage regimens need to be optimized to maximize bacterial killing and minimize emergence of resistance and potential toxicity. This is important for any patient group but particularly so for the critically ill as they are most at risk for high morbidity and mortality. In addition, the above statement is especially true for colistin because, as reviewed below, it is an antibiotic with a narrow therapeutic window such that plasma concentrations that increase the risk for nephrotoxicity are not far above those required for the desired antibacterial effect.
Colistin was never subjected to modern drug development and regulatory approval procedures which means that much of the information required to ensure its optimal use in patients has been unavailable. The recent researcher-led redevelopment of colistin has resulted in an improved understanding of its chemistry, parenteral formulations, pharmacokinetics (PK), pharmacodynamics (PD), and toxicodynamics (TD). A good understanding of all of these key characteristics is required to optimize the clinical use of colistin and therefore these topics are briefly summarized below, followed by a review of the current knowledge on how to optimally dose colistin in critically ill patients.

**Chemistry, Units of Dosage, and Formulations**

Colistin is a cyclic polypeptide which is a cation at physiological pH. Being a fermentation product it consists of several components, the major ones being colistin A and colistin B. It is administered parenterally (most often intravenously) and by inhalation as its inactive prodrug colistin methanesulfonate (CMS, also known as colistimethate) which has a lower potential for acute toxicity than colistin. Conversion of CMS to colistin occurs in aqueous solutions both in vitro (e.g., water, bacterial growth media) and in vivo (e.g., plasma, urine). Indeed, the conversion is a prerequisite for antibacterial activity to be unmasked.

CMS products for parenteral and inhalational use are standardized to the in vitro microbiological activity of colistin, but unfortunately labeling differs between geographic regions. Most notably in Europe, the United Kingdom, and India, CMS content and doses are expressed as the number of international units (IU). In North and South America, South-East Asia, and Australia the amount in milligrams of colistin base activity (CBA) is used. A dose of one million IU corresponds to approximately 30 mg of CBA (and approximately 80 mg of the chemical CMS). These different conventions (especially expression of milligram amounts of two distinct entities) used for labeling and dosing have a great potential to cause confusion in clinical practice resulting in medication errors and serious consequences for patients.

Awareness of the different terminology is required in clinical practice, especially when following recommendations in journal reports from different geographic regions. Articles for publication should use the recently recommended standardized terminology in expressing CMS doses.

**Colistin Antibacterial Activity and Pharmacodynamics**

CMS is an inactive prodrug and therefore it is essential that colistin is used in in vitro studies, including measurement of minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC), that investigate activity against bacterial strains. Colistin is active against a range of gram-negative bacteria with most strains of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*, *Klebsiella pneumonia*, and *Acinetobacter baumannii* being susceptible, even strains that are multiresistant to other antibiotics. The current susceptibility breakpoints for colistin are ≤ 2 mg/L for *A. baumannii* and Enterobacteriaceae, and ≤ 2 mg/L or ≤ 4 mg/L for *P. aeruginosa*.

Although the ultimate mechanism of bacterial killing is still not known, the initial bacterial target of colistin is the lipopolysaccharide (LPS) in the outer leaflet of the outer membrane of gram-negative bacteria. A key element in the interaction is electrostatic attraction of the positively charged amine groups of colistin with negatively charged phosphate and carboxylate groups on the lipid A and core-oligosaccharide of LPS. This electrostatic interaction enables interaction of the fatty acyl tail and other hydrophobic regions of the colistin molecule with hydrophobic domains of LPS. These electrostatic and hydrophobic interactions are believed to weaken the packing of adjacent lipid A fatty acyl chains causing substantial disruption and permeabilization of the outer membrane, including to colistin, a process termed “self-promoted uptake”. Subsequent steps in the killing action are not well defined and are subject to ongoing investigation. Clearly, the initial interaction between colistin and LPS is analogous to a “lock and key” arrangement and explains why colistin has very limited activity against gram-positive bacteria. Not unexpectedly, most of the known mechanisms whereby gram-negative bacteria develop resistance to colistin involve either chemical modification of the phosphate groups of lipid A or elaboration of an outer membrane that lacks LPS, both being changes that attenuate the initial electrostatic interaction between colistin and the outer membrane.

In vitro static and dynamic (the latter conducted in PK/PD models to mimic clinically relevant fluctuating concentrations in patients) concentration time-kill studies have demonstrated very rapid, concentration-dependent killing by colistin of multidrug-resistant *P. aeruginosa*, *K. pneumonia*, and *A. baumannii*. A common feature of such time-kill profiles is the regrowth of bacteria with enhanced resistance to colistin. This regrowth is often related to the phenomenon of colistin heteroresistance, which is the presence of a subpopulation of colistin-resistant bacteria in an isolate that would be considered susceptible on the basis of MIC. Following eradication of the predominant susceptible population, the colistin-resistant subpopulation undergoes unopposed amplification. The rate and extent of killing of *P. aeruginosa* in in vitro studies are considerably decreased at a high initial inoculum of 10⁸ or 10⁹ colony-forming units (CFU)/mL compared with a low initial inoculum of 10⁶ CFU/mL. At inocula of 10⁶ and 10⁷ CFU/mL, killing of susceptible bacterial populations was approximately 6-fold and 23-fold slower, respectively, compared with an inoculum of 10⁶ CFU/mL. Clearly, the impact of the inoculum on the bactericidal activity of colistin requires further examination. However, the results of the study imply that high-inoculum infections in patients may require more aggressive dosing. Colistin combination therapy should be considered for such infections because the risk of colistin-associated nephrotoxicity increases with plasma colistin concentrations above ~2.5–3 mg/L as revealed by recent PK/TD analyses. However, as discussed in the next section, it is important to
be aware of uncertainties that surround the role of colistin combination therapy.

Recent studies in an in vitro PK/PD infection model against *P. aeruginosa* and in the “gold standard” mouse thigh infection model against *P. aeruginosa* and *A. baumannii* have demonstrated that the PK/PD index that best correlates with the antibacterial activity of colistin is the ratio of the area under the concentration versus time curve to the MIC. These studies suggest that it is important to achieve an average steady-state plasma colistin concentration of approximately 2 mg/L for isolates with MICs ≤ 1 mg/L. This finding together with the relationship between plasma colistin concentration and risk of nephrotoxicity as discussed above, indicates that colistin is an antibiotic with a narrow therapeutic window.

**Activity of Colistin in Combination with Other Antibiotics**

Studies conducted in in vitro static and dynamic infection models using clinically relevant concentrations of colistin and various second antibiotics have provided evidence for increased bacterial killing and decreased emergence of resistance with the use of certain colistin combinations against *P. aeruginosa, A. baumannii*, and *K. pneumoniae*. Not unexpectedly, the relative value of a combination may vary from bacterial strain to strain. Arguably, the most commonly tested second antibiotic has been a member of the carbapenem class. A recent systematic review and meta-analysis of in vitro studies explored the relative activity of colistin versus colistin plus carbapenem combinations. In general, across several carbapenems and bacterial species, bactericidal effect was enhanced and resistance emergence suppressed by the combination relative to the use of colistin alone. Across all bacterial species, the carbapenems examined doripenem most consistently achieved synergy with colistin.

Notwithstanding the growing evidence from in vitro studies for a beneficial effect of colistin combinations, the situation remains unclear in regard to the role of colistin combinations in patients. Very recently, an analysis was conducted of all clinical studies (12 retrospective cohort studies or case series, 2 prospective observational studies, and 2 randomized controlled trials [RCTs]) which compared colistin monotherapy versus colistin-based combination therapy for the treatment of infections caused by carbapenemase-producing or carbapenem-resistant gram-negative bacteria. A requirement for inclusion in the analysis was that the original studies reported quantitatively on the association between the treatment regimen and all-cause mortality. The analysis revealed that there was no difference in mortality between colistin alone and colistin/carbapenem combination therapy in any of the individual studies or when they were pooled. Pooling the only two RCTs showed similar mortality for colistin monotherapy versus colistin/rifampicin combination therapy. However, the authors of the analysis indicated that numerous sources of bias in the original studies existed, including the following: the retrospective nature of most of the studies; differences between the monotherapy and combination groups in regard to the nature and severity of infection; small sample sizes; appropriateness of the initial empirical antibiotic treatment; and the inclusion in some studies of multiple noncolistin antibiotics in the combination group. Additional limitations include the following: the use of dosage regimens of colistin and/or the second antibiotic that were not optimized based upon PK/PD principles; lack of measurement of plasma concentrations of colistin in both groups to gauge the equivalence of exposure to colistin; failure to stratify outcomes based on the site and/or severity of illness; and, the administration of antibiotics other than the index second antibiotic to patients in both the so-called colistin “monotherapy” group and the combination group. Clearly, given ethical and practical considerations, it is much more difficult to study colistin combinations in patients in the absence of potentially confounding effects than it is in a preclinical model where much tighter control over the experimental conditions is possible. Well designed and adequately powered RCTs are needed to define the role of colistin combination therapy. Two such RCTs (see NCT01732250 and NCT01597973 at ClinicalTrials.gov) are currently underway to compare colistin/carbapenem combination therapy versus colistin monotherapy for invasive infections caused by carbapenem-resistant gram-negative bacteria.

**Pharmacokinetics of CMS and Formed Colistin: General Considerations**

To optimize dosing of CMS/colistin, a good understanding of the PK of CMS and colistin is essential. Considerable progress has been made in this field since the beginning of the 21st century. Based on CMS/colistin concentrations determined by microbiological assays are invalid due to the ongoing conversion of CMS to colistin during the incubation period of the assay. Despite this, PK data based on these outdated and erroneous findings are still included in product information and package inserts. This review will only consider PK data generated by high-performance liquid chromatography or liquid chromatography-tandem mass spectrometry methods that are capable of separately quantifying CMS and formed colistin in biological fluids.

The inactive prodrug (CMS) and formed colistin (the active antibacterial) have very different PK (Fig. 1). CMS is eliminated mainly via the kidneys, by glomerular filtration and there may also be a component of tubular secretion. Because in a renally healthy individual the renal clearance of CMS is much greater than its conversion clearance to colistin, only approximately 20% (or less) of a CMS dose is converted in vivo to the active entity colistin. Not only is the extent of conversion very low, but also the rate of conversion is slow. Thus, CMS is a highly inefficient prodrug, and the clinical consequences of these characteristics for therapeutic use in critically ill patients will be discussed in the following section. In contrast, renal excretion plays a minor role in the
overall elimination of formed colistin because following glomerular filtration colistin is subject to very extensive tubular reabsorption (►Fig. 1). The reabsorptive trafficking of colistin through renal tubular cells is almost certainly linked to its propensity to cause nephrotoxicity.

**Pharmacokinetics of CMS and Formed Colistin in Critically Ill Patients: Implications for Dosing**

Initially, PK following intravenous administration of CMS will be considered. Subsequently, consideration will be given to administration of CMS directly to sites such as the lungs and the central nervous system. There has been only one brief report relating to three pediatric patients who ranged in age from 1.5 months to 14 years, and therefore the studies reviewed below relate to critically ill adult patients.

**Intravenous Administration of CMS**

The first report on the PK of intravenous CMS and the colistin formed from it in a critically ill patient, with plasma concentrations measured using specific chromatographic methods, was by Li et al. The patient was receiving continuous venovenous hemodiafiltration as part of management of multiorgan failure. Because the product information for CMS provided no information to guide dosage selection for such a patient, the patient was administered intravenously 2.5 mg CBA per kg every 48 hour. This was a regimen that had been proposed in a review on antibiotic dosing in patients receiving continuous renal replacement therapy, although there was no supporting data for the suggested dosage regimen. The report of Li et al demonstrated that both CMS and colistin were cleared by the renal replacement modality. As a consequence of the extracorporeal clearance and the inappropriately low daily dose of CMS, plasma concentrations of colistin were substantially lower than 1 mg/L, the MIC of the infecting organism, for almost 90% of the 48-hour dosage interval. Unfortunately, the patient did not survive. This case report sent a strong signal of the need for PK information to assist clinicians when selecting dosage regimens of CMS for various categories of critically ill patients.

Two subsequent small studies reported the steady-state plasma concentrations of formed colistin, but not CMS, following intravenous administration of CMS to critically ill patients, all of whom had creatinine clearance greater than about 50 mL/min. Patients were administered either 3 million IU (approximately 90 mg CBA) every 8 hours or 2 million IU (approximately 60 mg CBA) every 8 hours. Concern was expressed by the authors of both reports about the relatively low plasma colistin concentrations achieved in the patients. In these two studies, it was not possible to identify any patient factors that influenced the steady-state plasma colistin concentrations achieved. This was most likely due to the small number of patients (n = 14 and 13) included in the respective studies and the fact that all patients had creatinine clearance values greater than 46 and 96 mL/min.

The PK of both CMS and formed colistin were investigated in two clinical studies involving a total of 28 critically ill patients who received intravenously 1 to 3 million IU (approximately 30–90 mg CBA) every 8 hours and most of whom had moderate-to-good renal function (creatinine clearance range 24–214 mL/min). These and other studies identified a significant problem that may arise if CMS regimens are not initiated with a loading dose. Because of the slow conversion of CMS to colistin mentioned above and the long half-life of formed colistin, in the absence of a loading dose of CMS plasma concentrations of colistin (the active antibacterial) rise slowly over the first 2 to 3 days of therapy. In the study of Plachouras et al, a loading dose was not administered and plasma colistin concentrations were generally below 1 mg/L after the first dose (►Fig. 2, panel B). The long delay in achieving plasma colistin concentrations that are likely to be effective is of concern given the link between timely initiation of appropriate antibiotic therapy and clinical outcome in critically ill patients. Thus, a loading dose of CMS at the initiation of therapy is advised.

In the two studies mentioned above, plasma concentrations of CMS and colistin were also measured across a dosage interval at steady state. While accumulation had occurred relative to concentrations after the first dose, the plasma colistin concentrations across the dosage interval at steady state in several patients were less than 2 mg/L (►Fig. 2, panel D). The authors expressed concern that the steady-state plasma concentrations of colistin were low in relation to current MIC breakpoints. The steady-state data along with those collected after the initial dose of CMS were pooled across the two studies and subjected to population PK analysis. The clearance of the prodrug CMS was 13.1 L/h, its renal clearance was similar to creatinine clearance and the terminal half-life was 2.2 hours. The half-life of formed CMS increased with increasing creatinine clearance and product information, after the loading dose, was expressed by the authors of both reports about the relatively low plasma colistin concentrations achieved in the patients. In these two studies, it was not possible to identify any patient factors that influenced the steady-state plasma colistin concentrations achieved. This was most likely due to the small number of patients (n = 14 and 13) included in the respective studies and the fact that all patients had creatinine clearance values greater than 46 and 96 mL/min.

The PK of both CMS and formed colistin were investigated in two clinical studies involving a total of 28 critically ill patients who received intravenously 1 to 3 million IU (approximately 30–90 mg CBA) every 8 hours and most of whom had moderate-to-good renal function (creatinine clearance range 24–214 mL/min). These and other studies identified a significant problem that may arise if CMS regimens are not initiated with a loading dose. Because of the slow conversion of CMS to colistin mentioned above and the long half-life of formed colistin, in the absence of a loading dose of CMS plasma concentrations of colistin (the active antibacterial) rise slowly over the first 2 to 3 days of therapy. In the study of Plachouras et al, a loading dose was not administered and plasma colistin concentrations were generally below 1 mg/L after the first dose (►Fig. 2, panel B). The long delay in achieving plasma colistin concentrations that are likely to be effective is of concern given the link between timely initiation of appropriate antibiotic therapy and clinical outcome in critically ill patients. Thus, a loading dose of CMS at the initiation of therapy is advised.

In the two studies mentioned above, plasma concentrations of CMS and colistin were also measured across a dosage interval at steady state. While accumulation had occurred relative to concentrations after the first dose, the plasma colistin concentrations across the dosage interval at steady state in several patients were less than 2 mg/L (►Fig. 2, panel D). The authors expressed concern that the steady-state plasma concentrations of colistin were low in relation to current MIC breakpoints. The steady-state data along with those collected after the initial dose of CMS were pooled across the two studies and subjected to population PK analysis. The clearance of the prodrug CMS was 13.1 L/h, its renal clearance was similar to creatinine clearance and the terminal half-life was 2.2 hours. The half-life of formed CMS increased with increasing creatinine clearance and product information, after the loading dose, was expressed by the authors of both reports about the relatively low plasma colistin concentrations achieved in the patients. In these two studies, it was not possible to identify any patient factors that influenced the steady-state plasma colistin concentrations achieved. This was most likely due to the small number of patients (n = 14 and 13) included in the respective studies and the fact that all patients had creatinine clearance values greater than 46 and 96 mL/min.

The PK of both CMS and formed colistin were investigated in two clinical studies involving a total of 28 critically ill patients who received intravenously 1 to 3 million IU (approximately 30–90 mg CBA) every 8 hours and most of whom had moderate-to-good renal function (creatinine clearance range 24–214 mL/min). These and other studies identified a significant problem that may arise if CMS regimens are not initiated with a loading dose. Because of the slow conversion of CMS to colistin mentioned above and the long half-life of formed colistin, in the absence of a loading dose of CMS plasma concentrations of colistin (the active antibacterial) rise slowly over the first 2 to 3 days of therapy. In the study of Plachouras et al, a loading dose was not administered and plasma colistin concentrations were generally below 1 mg/L after the first dose (►Fig. 2, panel B). The long delay in achieving plasma colistin concentrations that are likely to be effective is of concern given the link between timely initiation of appropriate antibiotic therapy and clinical outcome in critically ill patients. Thus, a loading dose of CMS at the initiation of therapy is advised.

In the two studies mentioned above, plasma concentrations of CMS and colistin were also measured across a dosage interval at steady state. While accumulation had occurred relative to concentrations after the first dose, the plasma colistin concentrations across the dosage interval at steady state in several patients were less than 2 mg/L (►Fig. 2, panel D). The authors expressed concern that the steady-state plasma concentrations of colistin were low in relation to current MIC breakpoints. The steady-state data along with those collected after the initial dose of CMS were pooled across the two studies and subjected to population PK analysis. The clearance of the prodrug CMS was 13.1 L/h, its renal clearance was similar to creatinine clearance and the terminal half-life was 2.2 hours. The half-life of formed CMS increased with increasing creatinine clearance and product information, after the loading dose, was expressed by the authors of both reports about the relatively low plasma colistin concentrations achieved in the patients. In these two studies, it was not possible to identify any patient factors that influenced the steady-state plasma colistin concentrations achieved. This was most likely due to the small number of patients (n = 14 and 13) included in the respective studies and the fact that all patients had creatinine clearance values greater than 46 and 96 mL/min.

The PK of both CMS and formed colistin were investigated in two clinical studies involving a total of 28 critically ill patients who received intravenously 1 to 3 million IU (approximately 30–90 mg CBA) every 8 hours and most of whom had moderate-to-good renal function (creatinine clearance range 24–214 mL/min). These and other studies identified a significant problem that may arise if CMS regimens are not initiated with a loading dose. Because of the slow conversion of CMS to colistin mentioned above and the long half-life of formed colistin, in the absence of a loading dose of CMS plasma concentrations of colistin (the active antibacterial) rise slowly over the first 2 to 3 days of therapy. In the study of Plachouras et al, a loading dose was not administered and plasma colistin concentrations were generally below 1 mg/L after the first dose (►Fig. 2, panel B). The long delay in achieving plasma colistin concentrations that are likely to be effective is of concern given the link between timely initiation of appropriate antibiotic therapy and clinical outcome in critically ill patients. Thus, a loading dose of CMS at the initiation of therapy is advised.

In the two studies mentioned above, plasma concentrations of CMS and colistin were also measured across a dosage interval at steady state. While accumulation had occurred relative to concentrations after the first dose, the plasma colistin concentrations across the dosage interval at steady state in several patients were less than 2 mg/L (►Fig. 2, panel D). The authors expressed concern that the steady-state plasma concentrations of colistin were low in relation to current MIC breakpoints. The steady-state data along with those collected after the initial dose of CMS were pooled across the two studies and subjected to population PK analysis. The clearance of the prodrug CMS was 13.1 L/h, its renal clearance was similar to creatinine clearance and the terminal half-life was 2.2 hours. The half-life of formed CMS increased with increasing creatinine clearance and product information, after the loading dose, was expressed by the authors of both reports about the relatively low plasma colistin concentrations achieved in the patients. In these two studies, it was not possible to identify any patient factors that influenced the steady-state plasma colistin concentrations achieved. This was most likely due to the small number of patients (n = 14 and 13) included in the respective studies and the fact that all patients had creatinine clearance values greater than 46 and 96 mL/min.
Colistin was considerably longer at 18.5 hours. A comprehensive search for patient covariates (e.g., body weight, renal function) that may influence the disposition of CMS and/or colistin was conducted by the authors. However, no covariates were identified, most likely because of the small sample size (total of 28 patients across the two studies) and only 3 of these patients had a creatinine clearance less than 50 mL/min.

Garonzik et al reported the results of the largest study thus far on the PK of CMS and colistin in critically ill patients. The study population was 105 patients, including 89 not on renal replacement who had a large range of renal function (creatinine clearance 3–169 mL/min·1.73 m²), 12 on intermittent hemodialysis and 4 on continuous renal replacement therapy. The daily dose of intravenous CMS was at the discretion of the treating medical team. Across all patients the daily dose ranged from 75 to 410 mg CBA (approximately 2.5–13.7 million IU) with a median of 200 mg CBA (approximately 6.67 million IU), and achieved an average plasma colistin concentration at steady state (C_ss,avg) of 0.48 to 9.38 mg/L (median 2.36 mg/L). That is, the approximately 5.5-fold range in the daily dose of CMS resulted in approximately 20-fold range in the C_ss,avg of colistin in plasma. Initial graphical analysis of the data suggested the likelihood that renal function, along with daily dose of CMS, was an important contributor to the wide range of plasma colistin concentrations observed.

**Fig. 2** Plasma concentrations of colistin methanesulfonate (CMS) (panels A and C) and formed colistin (panels B and D) in individual critically ill patients after the administration of the first dose of CMS (left panels) and the fourth dose of CMS (right panels). The dose of CMS was 3 million IU (~90 mg colistin base activity [CBA]) every 8 hours in all except one patient who received 2 million IU (~60 mg CBA) every 8 hours. Adapted with permission from Plachouras et al.

**Fig. 3** Plasma concentration versus time profiles of the prodrug colistin methanesulfonate (CMS) (panel A) and formed colistin (panel B) across a dosage interval at steady state in 105 critically ill patients (89 not on renal replacement, 12 on intermittent hemodialysis, and 4 on continuous renal replacement therapy). Physician-selected CMS dosage intervals ranged from 8 to 24 hour and hence the interdosing blood sampling interval spanned the same range. Reproduced with permission from Garonzik et al.

**Fig. 4**
Fig. 4 Relationship of physician-selected daily dose of CMS (expressed as colistin base activity [CBA]) (panel A) and the resultant steady-state plasma colistin concentration (panel B) with creatinine clearance in 105 critically ill patients. Reproduced with permission from Garonzik et al.50

...evident from these graphs was that administration of a daily dose of CMS at the upper limit of the currently approved dosage range (300 mg CBA/d) was unable to reliably achieve a C_{ss,avg} of colistin in plasma of 2 mg/L. As noted above, this concentration may be considered as a reasonable target based upon translation of current evidence from PK/PD studies in animal infection models18,39 and given that PK/TD analyses indicate that the risk of nephrotoxicity in critically ill patients increases substantially as plasma colistin concentrations exceed approximately 2.5 to 3 mg/L.36,37 Thus, in patients with relatively good renal function (>80 mL/min), combination therapy should be considered, particularly if the MIC for the infecting organism is toward the upper end of the current breakpoint range.50

As the study of Garonzik et al50 comprised a large number of patients, including those with very low renal function, population PK analysis was able to identify creatinine clearance as a patient covariate that in population PK analysis was able to identify creatinine clearance. Not unexpectedly, creatinine clearance was the patient factor included in the algorithm developed by the authors to calculate the CMS daily maintenance dose needed to generate a desired target steady-state plasma concentration of formed colistin in a patient not receiving renal replacement therapy.50

Reflected by the data in Fig. 4, at a given creatinine clearance there was a very large degree of interpatient variability (up to ~10-fold) in the apparent clearance of colistin and consequently in the CMS daily dose to achieve a desired steady-state plasma colistin concentration. The interpatient variability in the plasma colistin concentration achieved at a certain creatinine clearance and daily dose of CMS serves to complicate the clinical use of CMS, particularly since colistin has a narrow therapeutic window. Because of this wide interpatient variability in PK, clinicians are encouraged to use therapeutic drug monitoring (TDM) when available to assist in titration of the daily maintenance dose of CMS to achieve the desired steady-state plasma concentration of colistin.52

Of the 105 critically ill patients in the report of Garonzik et al,16 were receiving renal replacement therapy at the time of initiating the CMS regimen (12 intermittent hemodialysis and 4 continuous renal replacement). These renal replacement modalities were shown to have a substantial impact on the plasma colistin concentration achieved from a given daily dose of CMS; this was in agreement with reports from case studies and case series.54,61–66 There are two reasons why renal replacement therapy has such a substantial impact on dosage requirements of CMS. First, the circulating plasma concentrations of CMS are considerably higher than those of formed colistin (Figs. 2 and 3) and therefore a significant proportion of the material dialyzed out of the patient is in the form of CMS, before there has been an opportunity for conversion to colistin in the body. Second, as noted above, colistin is subject to very extensive carrier-mediated tubular reabsorption in the kidney44 but a renal replacement cartridge has no corresponding mechanism to return to the circulation compounds, such as CMS and colistin, which have passively diffused into dialysate. As a result of the efficient extracorporeal clearance of CMS/colistin, dosage regimens of CMS for such patients must be carefully chosen. Garonzik et al50 by way of population PK modeling were able to propose a daily maintenance dose of CMS to achieve a desired steady-state plasma concentration of formed colistin in patients receiving intermittent hemodialysis. The algorithm that was developed for designing dosage regimens for patients on intermittent hemodialysis included...
administration of a supplemental dose of CMS after the dialysis session to replace CMS and colistin that had been cleared by dialysis. These authors also developed a CMS dosage algorithm to achieve a desired plasma concentration of formed colistin in patients receiving continuous renal replacement therapy.50

The study of Garonzik et al50 also developed an algorithm for calculating a loading dose of CMS to be administered to patients whether they are, or are not, receiving renal replacement therapy at the initiation of therapy. The loading dose algorithm was based upon body weight being a covariate on the volume of distribution of CMS. Alternatively, a nonweight-based loading dose may be used.67 The loading and maintenance doses proposed by Garonzik et al are the first scientifically based regimens for CMS/colistin.50 The study went on to recruit a total of 230 critically ill patients and therefore the interim dosing suggestions50 are not reproduced here as they will be updated based upon the final population PK/PD analysis of the data.

There is very little information on the extent to which colistin distributes into important extravascular infection sites (e.g., cerebrospinal fluid [CSF], lungs) following intravenous administration of CMS. Concentrations of formed colistin in CSF are very low compared with those in plasma.53,68,69 In a similar way, following intravenously administered CMS the concentrations of formed colistin in sputum of patients with cystic fibrosis70 and in bronchoalveolar lavage (BAL) fluid from critically ill patients37 are very low relative to concomitant plasma concentrations. In relation to the latter study, it should be noted that BAL is an approximate 100-fold dilution of epithelial lining fluid (ELF) and given the limit of quantification of the assay for colistin in BAL the result of that study requires cautious interpretation. However, the current data overall suggest limited penetration of formed colistin into CSF and lung fluids following intravenous administration of CMS.

Administration of CMS Directly to the Central Nervous System and Lungs

It is axiomatic that bacterial killing by an antibiotic at an extravascular infection site requires achievement of adequate concentrations of the antibiotic at that site. CMS is commonly administered to critically ill patients for the treatment of ventilator-associated pneumonia and less commonly for the treatment of infections within the central nervous system. However, as reviewed briefly in the last paragraph of the section above, the emerging data suggest that following intravenous administration of CMS the concentrations of formed colistin achieved in CSF and lung fluids are very low.

Two recent studies, the first in patients with cystic fibrosis70 and the second in mechanically ventilated critically ill patients,71 have demonstrated the substantially higher colistin concentrations that can be achieved in sputum and ELF, respectively, following inhalational delivery of CMS, compared with intravenous administration. Following pulmonary administration of CMS the extent of absorption into the systemic circulation was minimal and the plasma concentrations of formed colistin were very low.70,71 It was possible in the study in cystic fibrosis patients to calculate the pulmonary targeting advantage of inhalational administration (i.e., the relative values for inhalational versus intravenous administration of CMS of the ratio of colistin concentration in sputum to that in plasma).70 There was a massive targeting advantage with inhalational administration, indicating the potential to achieve more effective bacterial killing in the lungs while sparing the kidneys. The role of inhalational administration of CMS, possibly combined with a suitable intravenous regimen, in critically ill patients warrants further investigation.

Intrathecal or intraventricular administration of CMS appears to be a generally effective and safe treatment for ventriculitis/meningitis caused by gram-negative bacteria.72–75 A much lower dose is administered by these routes than is typically administered intravenously. Because of the relatively small volume into which the intrathecal or intraventricular dose is delivered and the relatively slow turnover of CSF, it is possible to achieve CSF colistin concentrations very much higher than is possible with intravenous administration of a far larger dose.50,68,69 One would expect plasma colistin concentrations following intrathecal or intraventricular administration of CMS to be very low, although there appear to be no data to substantiate this. It can be noted, however, that colistin-associated nephrotoxicity appears to occur rarely following these routes of delivery to the CNS.72,74

There may be benefit in concomitant administration of intravenous CMS.

Take-Home Messages

The following are some key points for those using colistin in critically ill patients to keep in mind:

• How is colistin administered? Colistin is administered intravenously and by inhalation as its inactive prodrug CMS (also known as colistimethate). CMS must be converted to colistin in the body. Care is needed to avoid confusion arising from the different conventions used to label vials and specify doses.

• What plasma concentration is appropriate for intravenous administration? Based upon current evidence, a plasma colistin concentration of 2 mg/L is a reasonable target value for isolates with MICs ≤ 1 mg/L, and minimizes the risk of nephrotoxicity.

• Should I consider colistin combination therapy? It is prudent to consider combination therapy for infections where the causative organism has an MIC > 1 mg/L or when there is a high-inoculum or deep-seated infection (e.g., in lungs), especially in patients with moderate-to-good renal function, although the clinical benefit of colistin combinations remains unproven.

• Do I need to administer an intravenous loading dose? Yes, because CMS is relatively slowly converted to colistin in the body and it may take many hours to achieve steady-state plasma concentrations without a loading dose.

• Do I need to adjust the daily maintenance dose if the patient has renal impairment? The plasma concentrations of
colistin achieved from a given intravenous daily dose are
influenced by kidney function. The recently developed
dosing algorithm provides a means to tailor the daily dose.
• Does renal replacement therapy have implications for selec-
tion of intravenous dosage regimens? Yes, CMS and colistin
are efficiently removed from the body by both intermittent
hemodialysis and continuous renal replacement therapy.
The recently developed dosage algorithms for such pa-
tients allow calculation of dosage regimens and of the size
of a supplemental dose to be administered after each
intermittent hemodialysis session.
• Is there a potential benefit of using TDM to assist optimiz-
ing therapy? Yes, colistin has a narrow therapeutic window
and plasma concentrations are subject to marked inter-
patient variability, even at a given creatinine clearance and
daily dose of intravenous CMS. TDM is recommended if it
is available.

In summary, over the last decade or so, considerable
progress has been made in understanding how to optimize
the clinical use of colistin in critically ill patients. However, as
identified in this article, answers are still required for several
important questions.
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