Adverse Effects of Fillers and Their Histopathology
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Injectable fillers nowadays represent a pillar in facial rejuvenation and make a significant contribution to the success of the treatment. Despite their obvious benefits, a wide range of possible complications such as immediate, late, delayed, temporary, or irreversible adverse effects have to be respected. Differentiating the various filler materials, these effects are assigned to histopathology findings and currently available treatment options.

Filler injections belong to the most frequently performed beautifying procedures in cosmetic medicine. Untoward adverse effects are a catastrophe for the affected person and everything possible must be done to avoid them. This starts with a careful and thorough patient history concerning previous injections, potential symptoms of body dysmorphic syndrome, allergies, immune reactions and diseases, drug treatments, particularly those with an immunomodulatory potential, and chronic infections as well as a family history as to serious diseases, so-called collagenoses, immune defects, genetic disorders, and others. It is well known that some fillers are not well tolerated when injected next to another one.

Filler adverse effects can be classified according to their time course and because of user dependent, filler and host factors.1,2 Technical errors concern too much or too little volume, incorrect depth of filler placement, wrong location, and inappropriate product choice.3

The physician injecting the filler must, of course, be experienced to avoid gross mistakes concerning the site of injection, the volume, the speed, the depth, etc., as well as the postinjection treatment. The physician should be available after the injection and never dismiss a patient’s concerns. The nature of complication is checked and can be classified into light and disappearing by itself, moderate and requiring treatment, or severe necessitating immediate intervention. Treating a patient with empathy has avoided many law suits.

Concerning the fillers themselves, it is the substance and its chemistry, its purity, homogeneity, particle size, shape and roughness,4 its electrical charge, its ability to biointegration and to react with other substances that matter. It has also to be kept in mind that a filler result that is desirable at the age of 20 years might look odd at age 50 or 60 years. And finally, the host and his or her immune system are of paramount importance. This can change during the life of a filler and have a great impact on its tolerability.

Time Course of Filler Effects

Fillers are divided into reversible (early or temporary: collagens and hyaluronic acid [HA]; late or long-term: HA with dextranomer beads, poly-L–lactic acid [PLLA] and calcium hydroxyapatite), and irreversible (delayed or permanent ones: paraffin, silicon preparations, polymethyl methacrylate microspheres, hydroxyethyl methacrylate fragments, polyacrylamide hydrogel, polyalkylimide gel, polyvinyl hydroxide microspheres in polyacrylamide gel, and many more). In general, their adverse effects last as long as their intended ones.5 Although it is often thought that temporary fillers are better tolerated than permanent ones, this is apparently not true as the frequency of short-term adverse reactions is very similar. In fact, whatever substance is injected into the tissue, it is perceived as a foreign substance with an initial challenge to the host’s immune system. The famous injections of the patients’ own blood had an nonspecific immunostimulatory effect as even this was a short-term challenge to the immune system.

Early complications develop within less than 2 weeks and include erythema, edema, and allergy. Bumps and lumps following superficial injection are usually visible immediately
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after the injection or shortly thereafter. Necrosis because of
intra-arterial injection becomes obvious within a day.

Late complications are chronic inflammation, late allergic
reactions, nodules (granulomas) and filler migration, hyper-
trophic scars, and telangiectasia.5

Delayed complications are considered to be largely be-
cause of biofilm formation although this is still disputed.7

Often, adverse effects develop weeks, months, or even years
after the injection, and the patients frequently do not
remember which filler they had gotten. The problem may
even be confounded by the fact that different fillers may have
been injected at various times by different physicians and
even sometimes by nonphysicians.

Immediate reactions such as adequate pain after proce-
dure, mild bruising, redness, and some edema are normal and
are not complications. They can often be avoided by cooling
the injected area, and this is also the most common treat-
mant. Pain can be reduced by local anesthesia, slow injection,
and low volume. Aloe vera, arnica, or vitamin K creams are
recommended to reduce or avoid bruising. Bleeding and
eccymoses are rare when blunt cannulas are used. Swelling
depends on the substance used, the localization of the inject-
tion, the amount, and the individual tolerance. Again, cooling
usually reduces the swelling.

Vascular compromise may be the consequence of arterial
or venous obstruction, which in turn may be because of
intravascular injection of the filler, direct pressure of the
filler on the vessel wall, or indirect trauma to the vessel wall.
Particular attention has to be paid during glabella and nasal
ala injections where inadvertent intravascular filler injection
may result in necrosis and even blindness when the retinal
artery is occluded.8,9 Whenever blanching is seen during the
injection this must immediately be stopped.10 In case of HA,
hyaluronidase is injected as close to the site of intravascular
injection as possible. Whether aggressive massage, warm
compresses, and nitroglycerin application are really helpful
for other fillers remains to be seen.11–13 Intravascular injec-
tion is virtually impossible when using a blunt cannula.14

Late complications are infection, granuloma formation,
scarring, and loss of function. Infection may be because of
insufficient disinfection of the treatment area, injection into
or through an oily skin or makeup or into an infected region.15
Infection can develop into a major problem when particulate
permanent fillers are used that may develop a bacterial
biofilm on the surface of the particles. Although cellulitis
promptly responds to systemic antibiotics biofilms are usu-
ally resistant to antibiotics and require complete removal of
the filler.16 Granulomas are another serious problem. There
are some general rules as to their development: nonparticu-
late substances rarely produce granulomas with the excep-
tion of silicon, but all the particles may induce granulomas.
The frequency of granulomas is the higher the bigger the
surface is in relation to the volume, the sharper the edges of
the particles are, that is, crystalloid particles are much more
likely to induce granulomas independent of the longevity of
the particles. PLL acid is a slowly dissolving crystalloid
compound that caused several granulomas, particularly,
at the time when the recommendation to reconstitute it with a
small volume of saline was followed by the users.17 Although
some permanent fillers are advertised as being gels they may
consist of small polygonal particles, such as polycrylamide
gel (PAAG) or hydroxyethyl methacrylate (DermaLive and
DermaDeep; Dermatech, Paris, France).

Delayed reactions are thought to be because of bacterial
biofilms.18 They may induce granulomas as well as so-called
cold abscesses. This is the reason why granulomas should not
be injected with corticosteroids as the first therapy but rather
be treated with antibiotics for at least 14 days.

Classes of Soft Tissue Fillers

General Remarks

Many different agents are available. Some have the same or
very similar names and are chemically different whereas
some popular substances are sold under many different brand
names.

The same agents may display different behavior, due to
variations in the production process, different molecular size,
and variable protein moieties. This is particularly the case of
the innumerable HA products.

The clinical appearance of adverse effects of the various
products does not usually allow for identifying the filler used,
as they are in most cases not filler specific.

Soft tissue fillers are subdivided into the following:

- Human substances
- Biologic agents
- Synthetic products

Be aware that “biological” does not automatically mean
degradable and that “biodegradable” is not a guarantee that
the human body has the necessary enzymes to digest this
filler.

Autologous Fat

Autologous fat is the prototype of a human substance and is
often thought of as being without adverse effects. However,
fat injections also have potential adverse effects. They are
because of collecting the fat, fat storage or injection and reach
from rapid disappearance to infection and even death due to
inappropriate injection technique.19

Collagen

A great number of biologic substances are on collagen basis:
human collagen, bovine collagen, and porcine collagen with
bovine collagen having been the first commercially available
product, for example, Zyderm (McGhan Medical Corp., Fre-
mont, CA). The degree of cross-linking and the chemical used
for it also influence the tolerability of collagen fillers.20

It was thought that human collagen would have an advan-
tage as it does not require preinjection tests as bovine
collagen. However, it turned out that apart from the allergic
reactions, the human collagen has virtually the same life span
and may be associated with as strong inflammatory reactions
as bovine collagen.21 In contrast, morselled autologous der-
mis appeared to be very well tolerated with only transient
inflammatory reaction during the wound-healing phase and

---
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effective revascularization. Collagen is also synthesized by cultured fibroblasts (Cosmoderm [Advanced Tissue Sciences, San Diego, CA] with 35 mg/mL, Cosmoderm with 65 mg/mL, and Cosmoplast with 35 mg of glutaraldehyde-stabilized human collagen/mL). Human collagen from cadaveric dermis (Dermalogen [Collagenesis, Inc., Beverly, MA] and Cymetra [Life Cell Corp, Branchburg, NJ]) is available, too. Human collagen is also produced by injected fibroblasts (Isologen [Fibrocell Science Inc., Exton, PA]). They are cultured and expanded from a small biopsy of the patient and reinjected. Although said to give good results the procedure of taking a biopsy, sending it to a specialized laboratory, waiting 6 to 8 weeks until getting the expanded cells and the high cost have prevented it from becoming a popular method.

Porcine collagen was found to last longer and be better tolerated than bovine collagen and not require pretesting. However, lip injection is discouraged.

**Hyaluronic Acid**

HA is a linear, unbranched, high-molecular-weight glycosaminoglycan, consisting of alternating α-glucuronic acid and β-N-acetyl-D-glucosamine. As a biologic substance without species specificity, it should, in principle, be tolerated by all the living organisms. However, the natural glycosaminoglycan moiety is linked to species-specific proteins and also the production process is critical. HA is not only a biologic and naturally occurring filler, but it also has a variety of different biological effects that depend on its molecular size. Small fragments are proinflammatory whereas long chains inhibit inflammation. For its use as a filler, it has to be stabilized and the way and degree of stabilization are also important for the tolerability of HA. The more HA is cross-linked and thus the more its tolerability is reduced.

**Perhydrosqualene and Collagen-Polyvinylpyrrolidone**

This has recently been proposed as a filler for deep nasolabial folds with a lifetime of 12 to 18 months. It was claimed that no significant adverse effects were seen.

**Polycaprolactone**

Polycaprolactone-1 (PCL-1) dermal filler (Ellansé, AQTIS Medical, Utrecht, the Netherlands) is a soft tissue dermal filler based on PCL microspheres. The totally smooth spherically-shaped PCL microspheres (range, 25–50 µm) are homogenously suspended in a tailor-made aqueous carboxy methylcellulose (CMC) gel carrier. PCL in CMC has been widely used in many medical devices. It is totally biodegradable, nontoxic, and completely excreted from the human organism. The CMC gel carrier is gradually resorbed by macrophages over a period of several weeks, during which the PCL microspheres trigger a natural response of the skin and stimulate a natural wound-healing process with neo-collagenesis. The new collagen replaces the volume of the resorbed carrier. The microspheres are not phagocytosed because of their size and surface characteristics. The PCL dermal filler is indicated for deep dermal and subdermal implantation including hand rejuvenation. Adverse effects were not (yet) reported.

**Biologic, but Not Enzymatically Degradable by Humans**

Alginate-derived mannans were thought to offer advantages over other short-lived biological fillers because of their ease of injection and lower tendency to cause swelling as compared with HA, but they soon turned out to have a high rate of adverse effects, particularly granuloma formation. Less than half a year after its launching the product was withdrawn from the market.

**Long-Lasting Fillers**

The currently used fillers with a long-lasting effect are PLL and calcium hydroxyapatite (CHA). The former has been used for more than half a century as suture and other material in surgery and proven to be well tolerated. CHA has been used as bone cement with good effect. However, both substances are different in particle size and shape when injected as fillers for soft tissue augmentation. Granulomas were observed after PLL injections and rarely after CHA.

**Permanent (Irreversible) Fillers**

There is a huge number of different substances that had been or are still in use for soft tissue augmentation. The main classes comprise polymethyl methacrylate (Arteplast [Suneva Medical, San Diego, CA], Artecoll [Artes Medical Inc., San Diego, CA], Artefill [Suneva Medical], Metacril [Nutricell, Rio de Janeiro, Brazil], and Mentrex [Nutricell]), methacrylate fibers (Procell [ProCell Therapies, Clearwater, FL], acrylic hydrogel [DermaLive, DermaDeep], PAAG [Amazing gel [Nan-Feng Medical Science and Technology Development Co., Ltd., Shijiazhuang, China], Aquamide [Contura International, Söborg, Denmark], Argiform [Bioform Corp., Moscow, Russia], Bioformacryl [Progen, Ancona, Italy], Evolution [ProCyttech SA, Bordeaux, France], Formacryl [Progen], and Outline [ProCyttech SA]), polyethylene beads (Profil [Laboratoires Filorga, Paris, France]), polyalkylamide (Bio-Alcamid [B&B Dental SRL, Polymekon, Italy]), solid silicone particles in polyvinylpyrrolidone (Bioplastique [Netherlands]), polydimethylsiloxane (Biopolimero [Spain] and Biopolymere [Biocell Laboratories, Lichtenstein, Germany]), silicone oil (medical grade silicone oil), polymeric compound of natural silica and oxygen (Dermagel [Dermagel Inc., Fullerton, CA]), polyoxymethylene fatty acid and elastin copolymer gel (Kopolymer 4E [Switzerland]), methacrylate and copolymer 4-G (Rhegecoll [Switzerland]). Some are illegal in the United States and the European Union, others have been withdrawn from the market for several years, but they continue to induce granulomas and other severe adverse effects. Chemically similar or even identical substances are marketed as different particle size and shape and exhibit very different adverse effect profiles.

**Adverse Effects**

Many adverse effects are not specific for a particular filler but may be ascribed to the volume augmentation or to technical faults such as wrong indication, placement site, wrong injection needle, and infection due to contaminated ice or water. Infections can best be differentiated from other nodules and granulomas by radio-labeled leukocyte scintigraphy. Late-onset adverse effects are often inflammatory...
and immune mediated. Edema, granulomas, sarcoid-like reactions, and panniculitis are the findings most commonly seen. Systemic granulomatous and autoimmune diseases, and even less frequently, acute hypersensitivity reactions are rarely seen.

**Autologous Fat**

Adherence to key principles, including sterile technique and low-volume injection throughout layers of tissue, is critical in obtaining excellent results. Adverse outcomes are infrequent. However, early adoption of surgical procedures by those without a sound understanding of the underlying principles and techniques can have disastrous consequences. Furthermore, physicians operating on any patient must understand the potential for complications and be able to manage these appropriately when they occur. Fat longevity is dependent on handling and preparation of the fat. Poor fat viability produces an inadequate result and has thus to be considered as a complication. On the contrary, deterioration of the esthetic results after a significant weight gain because of corticosteroids, oral contraception, and a change of lifestyle was seen in a patient with Romberg syndrome.

Lipomodeling of the breast was performed in 880 cases, approximately 140 mL had to be injected for a desired volume of 100 mL, which remained stable for 3 to 4 months. No radiological problems at mammography were observed after the procedure. Fat necrosis occurred in only 3%, but serious complications included one case of infection at the harvest site, six cases of infection at the injection site, and one case of intraoperative pneumothorax. Furthermore, there are case reports on an abscess formation, life-threatening sepsis, and residual deformity. Neurological complications were repeatedly reported by two patients developing unilateral loss of vision after fat injection into the glabella, two patients with loss of vision, aphasia, and hemiparesis, and one patient developing sensorimotor hemiparesis after infarction of the middle cerebral artery.

Death after autologous fat grafting occurred in a 20-year-old woman with a decade of lupus profundus and hereditary C4 complement deficiency who had already got three fat injections from 1997 to 1999 with approximately 50% resorption. A flare of her lupus profundus in 2007 resulted in loss of most of the injected fat despite treatment with thalidomide 25 mg and prednisolone 7.5 mg daily. After donor site tumescent anesthesia and bilateral infraorbital blocks for recipient sites, 35 mL fat were injected with minimal pressure using an 18-gauge sharp needle because of scarring of the recipient site. There was dizziness immediately after injection of the left cheek and a vasovagal syncope suspected and the patient placed in supine position. Another 35 mL of fat was subsequently injected. The patient became increasingly unwell over the next 2 hours, eventually developed progressive refractory hypoxic respiratory failure and cardiovascular decompensation. Despite emergency treatment in a critical care unit, she developed fulminant pulmonary edema, right ventricle dilatation, and died because of cardiac arrest 4 hours after fat transfer. Whether or not using a blunt cannula would have prevented the death of this patient is not clear. Apparently, these adverse effects were technique dependent and not due to the substance.

Liposuction is often used to collect fat and is a very safe procedure when performed under tumescent anesthesia. Infection, bruising, hematoma, and seroma are rare. Fat embolism is very rare when not too much is aspirated and liposuction is not associated with other cosmetic procedures. Most of the serious complications were associated with general anesthesia.

**Human Collagen**

Autologous human collagen is well tolerated, both when derived from cultured fibroblasts as well as autologous...
Injectable dermis. Human allogeneic collagen was observed to elicit acute to subacute inflammatory reactions but no serious long-term adverse effects were reported. The cosmetic effect lasts between 4 and 7 months.

**Nonhuman Collagens**

They are foreign proteins with a propensity to induce allergies and granulomas, particularly bovine collagen whereas human and porcine collagens are better tolerated. The adverse effects are usually temporary until all collagen has been resorbed, but one case was observed with stone-hard granulomas not disappearing with any treatment over more than a decade (A. De Coninck MD, personal oral communication, 2008). Usually, the granulomas are palisaded around amorphous eosinophilic material representing bovine collagen (Fig. 1). This is characterized by very thick bundles, pale gray–violet staining with Masson trichrome stain, and lack of birefringence. Whether the injection of collagenase would be successful has not yet been tried. The most common adverse effects were temporary granulomas at the site of injection in approximately 4% of the patients. Testing and double testing before treatment were recommended, but nevertheless, granulomas did occur.

Collagen fillers are now used less and less frequently and adverse effects are expected to be seen rarely.

**Hyaluronic Acid**

HA is universally present in all animal species. It is said to be non–species specific; however, hyaluronans are linked to proteins that are species specific. Good preparations are (almost) free from foreign proteins. They have a low propensity to induce granulomas, but they show a variety of transitory adverse effects, including rare granulomas and infection. At present, there are probably almost 200 preparations on the market. To prevent untoward adverse effects well-known brands with high quality should be preferred as their complication rate has been shown to be much lower.

Un-tested cheap products should never be used.

HA preparations are currently the most widely used fillers with a longevity of approximately 6 months, but this is highly variable depending on molecular size and cross-linking. There are differences among them concerning the size of the molecule, the protein content, the chemical bonding, the fluidity, whether they are monophasic or biphasic, injection pain, and longevity. A good preparation must not clump as this may give rise to granulomas (Fig. 2). Although granulomas were not rare at the beginning of the non–animal-derived synthetic HAs, they are now exceptional, except for a new product marketed roughly 3 years ago. This induces foreign body giant cell granulomas with a high content of eosinophils, and HA can be seen in giant cells (Fig. 3).

Also in the early period of HA fillers, reactions interpreted to be hypersensitivity were observed. Very rare adverse effects are a multiform rash and systemic anaphylactoid reactions after intra-articular injection of HA, with native HA having a lower sensitizing potential.

Adverse effects because of the substance are usually short-lived and can be repaired by injecting hyaluronidase. The dose depends on the specific drug and may also vary according to the HA used and its degree of cross-linking. This enzyme cleaves both natural as well as cross-linked HA. Three sources available are as follows: bovine, ovine, and recombinant. As they are proteins, they have the potential of causing an anaphylactic shock in sensitized individuals. It is therefore necessary to question the patient about possible allergies. Hyaluronidase has also been used to treat HA granulomas.

Technique-dependent adverse effects may occur as with other fillers. When HA is injected too superficially, it may shine through with a bluish-grayish color, giving rise to the
so-called Tyndall effect. It has to be used with care in the eyelids as it may cause swelling due to its ability to attract water. Accidental intracapillary injection may cause livedo reticularis.

Histopathology of grayish-glassy nodules after superficial injection just show HA deposits without any further tissue changes (► Fig. 2). Granulomas may show a very dense lymphocytic infiltrate with abundant eosinophils and many foreign body giant cells often containing basophilic amorphous material that corresponds to HA (► Fig. 3).

A middle-aged woman was seen with widespread swelling in the glabella, central ocular, and nasal regions after she had injected herself with a diluted HA-containing cream for topical use; histopathology showed a “Swiss cheese like” picture similar to that after vaseline injection; this adverse effect was most probably not due to the HA component in the abused topical preparation (unpublished personal observation).

**Alginate**

An alginate derived filler (Novabel CellMed AG, Merz Pharmaceuticals, Frankfurt am Main, Germany) was marketed as a new biologic filler with prolonged augmentation effect; however, very shortly after its marketing, granulomas were observed and it was withdrawn from the market. The granulomas start with erythema and swelling until hard nodules form 2 to 5 months after injection. Ultrasound shows hypoechoic structures surrounded by a hyperechoic rim. Histopathology demonstrates spherical basophil structures of 100 to 120 µm in diameter enveloped by a prominent rim of giant cells. The granulomas are surrounded by a distinct hyaline collagen capsule.

**Hyaluronic Acid Plus Dextranomer Microspheres**

The dextranomer beads are added to the HA to improve the longevity of the filler. They consist of cross-linked dextran molecules with a positive surface charge and a diameter of 80 to 120 µm. They attract macrophages releasing tumor growth factor β and interleukins, which stimulates collagenesis around the dextranomer beads, maintaining the volume correction effect after the resorption of HA. The material is apparently well tolerated with only a few reports of granulomas (► Fig. 4), one of which was suppurative and the other ones were foreign body giant cell rich. In histopathology, the dextranomer beads stain dark bluish or reddish.
purplish, or may even look like empty spaces giving a “Swiss cheese” aspect. Incision of the nodules and treatment with cephalexin and methylprednisolone aceponate led to complete resolution in one case.

**Poly-ε-lactic Acid**
This substance has been used for decades in medicine and surgery and was well tolerated. In contrast, PLL as a filler comes as a powder of crystalloid particles that has to be reconstituted before injection. Subcutaneous nodules are either fibrotic or granulomas. They can form because of insufficient time during reconstitution of the material, inadequate dilution, overcorrection, superficial injection techniques, or inappropriate concentration of PLLA molecules secondary to muscle movement, and granulomas are thought to be because of allergic or inflammatory host responses. In the early years, the recommendation was to use 3 mL: this turned out to cause granulomas and also often clogged the injection needle. Now, most physicians use 10 mL or more of physiologic saline, often with some lidocaine added. After injection, the water is resorbed and the PLL particles induce a fibroblastic reaction lasting for 24 months or longer. This may cause fibrotic nodules, which may be visible in thin skin such as around the eyes and in the hands, and the substance may clump in the lips; these are the adverse effects of faulty technique. PLL granulomas are classical giant cell granulomas with many epithelioid cells and relatively few lymphocytes. The PLL particles are oval, fusiform, or spiky and seen in epithelioid and giant cells as well as in between. The granulomas last at least 18 months.

**Calcium Hydroxyl Apatite**
CHA is an inorganic material and has long been used successfully as bone cement. The currently available preparation is Radiesse (Bioform Medical Inc., San Mateo, CA), which consists of microspheres (30%) of 25 to 45 μm suspended in a gel made of water, glycerol, and sodium carboxy methylcellulose (70%). It is inert and nonantigenic, but it stimulates collagen production. It is very well tolerated when injected as a suspension for soft tissue augmentation. The duration of the correction is between 9 and 12 months, but it may also be longer. Most adverse effects are because of technical faults. Particularly when injected into the lips, it tends to clump and produces palpable nodules. In one study, postinjection cellulitis was observed at a frequency of 1.7%. However, granulomas also occur with a higher frequency in elderly women. They consist of tightly packed, dark bluish nodules, which may be visible in thin skin such as around the eyes and in the hands, and the substance may clump in the lips; these are the adverse effects of faulty technique. PLL granulomas are classical giant cell granulomas with many epithelioid cells and relatively few lymphocytes. The PLL particles are oval, fusiform, or spiky and seen in epithelioid and giant cells as well as in between. The granulomas last at least 18 months.

**Fig. 5** Poly-ε-lactic acid (Newfill [Dermik Laboratories, Berwyn, PA]) was injected too superficially and in a thin skin giving rise to a palpable and visible nodule (Courtesy: P. André, France).

**Fig. 6** Granulomas and nodules due to poly-ε-lactic acid (NewFill) (Courtesy: F. Bruyns, the Netherlands).

**Fig. 7** (A) Granuloma formation due to poly-ε-lactic acid (PLL) (NewFill). There are mainly epithelioid and foreign body giant cells. The latter often engulf crystalloid PLL particles. Hematoxylin–eosin (HE), ×200 (Courtesy: F. Bruyns, the Netherlands). (B) High magnification of a PLL granuloma. HE, ×400. (Courtesy: F. Bruyns, the Netherlands).
microspheres with a diameter of 25 to 40 µm and giant cells. The nodules were shown to rapidly decrease after fractional CO₂ laser treatment. Recently, a grade 3 systemic reaction was observed 30 minutes after injection of CHA vocal cord filler prompting the authors to recommend a 30-minute postprocedure observation period.

Polyacrylamide Gel
PAAG is a suspension of 2.5% to 5% PAAG in sterile water. It is marketed under many different names: PAAG (Sinocos Eastcos, Hong Kong, China), Amazing gel, Aqualift, Aquamid (Contura International, Søborg, Denmark), Argiform, Bioformacryl, Formacryl, and Outline, which are slightly different in minute additional components. The material is widely resistant to enzymatic degradation and phagocytosis. The particles can harbor bacteria on their surface and give rise to late infections, biofilms, and abscesses. It is claimed not to induce allergic reactions or to interfere with the hemodynamic system. It can hold 300 to 400 times its weight in water. It was widely used for breast augmentation in Eastern countries. The results are immediate and overcorrection is necessary. Its major advantage is that it remains soft and pliable after injection. However, the products should not be injected over other ones. PAAG is generally well tolerated, but severe adverse effects have also been described, for example, swelling, lumps, abscesses, facial disfigurement, gel dislocation, and respiratory distress. Breast deformity, lumpiness, intermittent swelling, pain, and gel extrusion were observed in other series. The gel is exceedingly biocompatible and thus an excellent medium for bacteria. The main risk is infection frequently developing after 8 to 12 months or even later, but cultures often remain negative and only polymerase chain reactions could identify the bacteria that are normally not pathogenic, such as Propionibacterium acnes, Streptococcus oralis and mirabilis, Staphylococcus aureus, and some atypical mycobacteria. Histopathology shows foci of neutrophils and karyorrhectic material, numerous macrophages, and foreign body giant cells around a gel that appears somewhat similar to HA. Often giant cells contain vesicles full of PAAG and the material frequently shows small empty blebs both in the giant cells as well as when present in large lakes (Fig. 8). PAAG is positive with Alcian blue and not birefringent.

Polyalkylimide Gel
Polyalkylimide gel 4% in water (Bio-Alcamid, Polymekon, Milan, Italy) is another large volume filler to be injected into the deep dermis or under the dermis. A thin collagen capsule forms after injection preventing migration and keeping it apart from the surrounding tissue. Aspiration or punching a small hole over it permits its removal. Adverse effects were edema, bruising, nodules, infections, severe inflammatory reactions, migration despite the capsule-like fibrosis around it, unsatisfactory appearance and late-appearing abscesses. Migration is a rare event. Histopathology shows basophilic amorphous material surrounded by neutrophils and erythrocytes. Gram stain may reveal bacteria. The infections are very difficult to treat and require high-dose long-term antibiosis, incision, drainage, and irrigation.

Fig. 8 (A) Granulomatous reaction to polyacrylamide gel in the deep dermis and adjacent cutaneous fat. Hematoxylin–eosin (HE), original magnification ×4. (B) Granulomatous reaction to polyacrylamide gel. HE, original magnification ×10. (C) Granulomatous reaction to polyacrylamide gel. The polyacrylamide gel (PAAG) is seen as a faintly basophilic amorphous substance, but there are also empty spaces resembling fat cells. HE, original magnification ×20. (D) Granulomatous reaction to polyacrylamide gel. The giant cells contain PAAG with small blebs in it. HE, original magnification ×40.
Polyvinyl Hydroxide Microspheres in Polyacrylamide Gel

This filler is a suspension of 6% polyvinyl hydroxide microspheres in 25% PAAG hydrogel (Evolution). It is apparently well tolerated though it is not often used.

Acrylic Hydrogel

A suspension of ethyl methacrylate and hydroxyethyl methacrylate particles in HA was marketed under the brand names DermaLive and DermaDeep. Initially reported as being well tolerated, it soon turned out that this biphase filler caused late granulomas in a very high percentage of cases so that it had to be withdrawn from the market. However, granulomas still occur. They usually present as nodules that are first palpable and then often become visible (Fig. 9). Fistulation may develop, and even a keratoacanthoma-like appearance was seen. The granulomas are well delimited and relatively easy to remove surgically; however, new granulomas often develop. Other treatments are intralesional corticosteroids, allopurinol, and 5-fluorouracil. Antibiotics have to be given before an infection is suspected. Histopathology shows a dense granuloma with a fibrous pseudocapsule containing masses of crystalloid acrylate particles. The granulomas are made up of epithelioid and foreign body giant cells that try to engulf the particles. Some areas become necrotic and contain cholesterol clefts. Epidermal ridges may grow down and try to surround the foreign material giving rise to fistulae formation. Some granulomas may become sclerotic with time (Figs. 10 and 11).

Polymethyl Methacrylate

Artecoll, Artefill, and Artesense are PMMA beads suspended in bovine collagen. Testing of bovine collagen is necessary before use to avoid an immune reaction. Individuals with a history of keloids should not be treated. Approximately 3 weeks after injection, the body starts depositing own collagen around the microspheres, which get virtually encapsulated by own collagen. Overcorrection is not performed. Artefill has polished microbeads being thought to attract fewer impurities and thus being less prone to induce granuloma formation. Metacrill and Metrex are also PMMA particles though not round and polished. Although granulomas are rare with 0.01% reported, they do occur and are difficult to treat. Lumps often form, particularly in the lips, but most are just palpable and not visible. Granulomas may develop several years after the injection. Granuloma precipitation occurred many years after injection when a patient was treated with interferon because of hepatitis C or laser skin resurfacing was performed over the area of injection (D. Vochelle, MD, personal oral communication). The granulomas appeared suddenly with induration, swelling, tenderness, and erythema. Histopathology shows a typical granuloma with round empty-appearing clear spaces in a fibrotic tissue. Treatment was performed with intralesional corticosteroids and 5-fluorouracil as well as allopurinol and surgery. Metacrill granulomas were melted with high-frequency “endo-coagulation” leaving a residue of burnt plastic with a characteristic smell. Intralesional laser treatment is another option. Profil may cause considerable lipodystrophy.

Paraffin and Other Mineral Oil and Lipid Derivatives

Crude substances such as vaseline, paraffin, lanolin, cod liver oil, or beeswax were used in the late 19th and early 20th century. Despite initial satisfying results, long-term results were usually appalling because of skin hardening, swelling, granuloma formation, ulceration and fistulation, infections, abscesses, and even cancer development. Paraffin is irreversible and no longer legally used as a filler although highly inflammatory granulomas after fraudulent use of paraffin or other oils containing vitamin E, sometimes also vitamins D and A, are still seen. Injection of paraffin into the penis caused sclerosing lipogranuloma characterized by fibrosis and deformation. Histopathologically, the deep reticular dermis and subcutaneous fat are involved with a predominantly lobular panniculitis with a Swiss cheese appearance. The cystic spaces are surrounded by foamy histiocytes and giant cells. The collagen bundles in between are sclerotic.

Vaseline and other mineral oils cause a very similar reaction. Whether ultrasound liquefaction of the fat where the inappropriate substance had been injected, and subsequent
extraction by a suction cannula helps to eliminate this material remains to be seen.\textsuperscript{108,109} Silicone
Silicone is another irreversible filler. It is a highly polymerized hydrophobic oil (Silikon 1000 [Alcon Labs, San Diego, CA], Adatosil 5000 [Bausch & Lomb Surgical Inc., San Dimas, CA], Biopolimero), gel (MDX 4–4011), or solid rubber consisting of dimethysiloxane units. Silicone is generally well tolerated, but the occasional adverse effects may be dramatic and irreversible; this is the reason why it is banned for cosmetic use both in the European Union as well as the United States. Those still using silicone off-label claim that pure silicone\textsuperscript{110} and proper microdroplet technique prevent adverse effects, but this is not generally accepted. Medical grade silicone oil is pure and sterile (\textsc{\textcopyright} Fig. 16). The secret of good long-term results appears to be the injection of truly minute amounts.\textsuperscript{111–113} A mixture of silicone with HA was recently described as “the optimal filler.”\textsuperscript{114}

Adverse effects are local and systemic. Minor complications are small nodules seen within a year after injection and are mainly due to too much substance. However, indurations and erythema with swelling are silicone granulomas that only appear 2 to 12 years after injection. The differentiation between siliconoma, which consists almost exclusively of macrophages containing small droplets of silicone oil and contains virtually no inflammatory cells, and silicone granuloma with silicone containing macrophages, lymphocytes, and giant cells is somewhat artificial. Both respond to intralesional corticosteroids in most cases. Major complications are systemic with pneumonitis, acute respiratory distress syndrome, sudden death after intravascular injection, migration of large volumes of low-viscosity silicone oil, erysipelas-like reactions, blindness, loss of neurologic functions, and death after silicone oil had been inadvertently injected into the ophthalmic or meningeal vessels.

Silicone Elastomer Particles (Bioplastique)
A silicone elastomer suspension in polyvinylpyrrolidone Plasdone hydrogel was mainly used in urology and for vocal cord augmentation. It was shown to produce both lumps and granulomas.\textsuperscript{115,116}

General Features of the Histopathology of Adverse Filler Effects
Many fillers have a specific morphology and/or staining pattern in the skin.\textsuperscript{50} This is both true for acute reactions when the filler is still visible as well as for late reactions such as granulomas and infections with abscesses.

Bovine collagen is seen as a dense eosinophilic mass in the skin. It is not birefringent in contrast to human collagen fibers. Early “allergic” reactions usually show a lymphocytic infiltrate, which may turn into a granuloma with many epithelioid cells and some intermingled giant cells.

HA may sometimes be seen in the skin as a more or less structureless basophilic substance, this may correspond to the Tyndall effect when localized very superficially. Granulomas were relatively frequently seen in the early times of manufacturing of streptococcal HA, most probably due to the content of protein. This is nowadays very rare with this product. Another new brand caused many granulomas and abscesses. They consisted of a dense lymphocytic infiltrate with many giant cells, often of excessive size, as well as many eosinophils around basophilic HA. In case of abscesses and fistulation, foci of neutrophils are seen.

Matridex (biopolymer, Siershahn, Germany) shows both HA as well as dextranomer beads in a cell-rich granuloma. The microspheres are perfectly round and darkly basophilic or purple allowing the product to be identified.
PLL acid is seen as crystalloid material in epithelioid cell granulomas with giant cells often surrounded by fibrosis. The material is birefringent permitting its exact identification.

Acrylic hydrogel mainly causes late granulomas and no HA is seen any more. The acrylic particles are polyhedral and seen in a dense granuloma with giant cells, many of which try to engulf the foreign bodies. Necrotic areas are frequent and often contain cholesterol clefts. Clinically visible fistulae correspond histopathologically to epidermal ingrowths also trying to engulf and transepidermally eliminate filler material.

Polymethyl methacrylate (Artecoll and Artefill) is seen as round empty-appearing spaces in a fibrotic tissue. Although appearing to be of relatively uniform size this depends on the section plane. In case of granuloma formation, epithelioid and giant cells are seen in addition.

Fig. 11  
(A, B) HEMA granulomas 2 years after injection. (C) Some granulomas extirpated from the perioral region. (D) Histopathology of HEMA nodules shows well-delimited granulomas surrounded by a fibrous pseudocapsule. Hematoxylin–eosin (HE) stain, scanning magnification, ×4. (E) HEMA granuloma. There is a dense granulomatous infiltrate with epithelioid cell, some giant cells, many HEMA particles, which stand out by their polygonal appearance, and sinus and fistulae tracks, the latter also containing HEMA particles. HE, ×100. (F) Higher magnification of the vicinity of a sinus with many HEMA particles, monstrous giant cells, and neutrophils. HE, ×200. (G) HEMA granuloma with particles and many slit like, so-called cholesterol clefts. HE, x 200. (H) HEMA granuloma during treatment with intralesional triamcinolone acetonide plus 5-fluorouracil. There is little infiltrate and the connective tissue appears hyalinized. HE, ×200.

Fig. 12  
Granuloma due to polymethyl methacrylate microspheres in collagen (Artecoll) (Courtesy: F. Bruyns, the Netherlands).

Fig. 13  
Late complication of Profil demonstrated serious fat atrophy after initial inflammation. (Courtesy: P. André, France).
PAAG is very well tolerated biologically. The main risk is infection that may cause abscesses and necroses. Granulomas show epithelioid and giant cells. The material is basophilic and does not exhibit a wavy structure often seen with HA.

Silicone oil causes granulomas with droplets of varying size, some of which are seen in epithelioid cells. Giant cells are rare as there are no particles. Often dense lymphocytic infiltrates are seen in perivascular localization.

Sclerosing lipogranuloma is a characteristic feature of paraffin injection, mainly in the penis to increase its girth. It is characterized by a Swiss cheese like aspect in a fibrotic tissue with lymphocytes, epithelioid, and giant cells. The empty spaces are of variable size.

The injection of vitamin E in different oils gives a similar histopathological picture, but as these injections are now mainly made in the face, particularly in the lips, by nonmedical persons the changes are much more acute and the inflammatory component is more obvious in these cases.

**Imaging Techniques**

Several imaging techniques were applied to aid in the diagnosis of filler complications, particularly in the diagnosis of suspected abscesses. Further indications are overfilling, migration, foreign-body granulomas, and scarring. Using high-frequency ultrasound complemented with magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) and white blood cell scintigraphy, allowed the distinction between infections, fibrosis, granulomatous inflammation, and product migration. Calcium hydroxyapatite is radio-opaque and can be seen in normal radiographs; however, its injection may cause local hypermetabolism and thus be a source of false-positive findings in positron emission tomography scans. Using conventional X-ray films, computed tomography, and MRI techniques, a distinction of different materials frequently may be feasible.

**General Treatment Remarks**

Prevention is always better and easier than treatment—this rationale is also true for filler adverse effects. After identifying the exact nature of an adverse effect, the appropriate therapy has to be chosen. Early adverse effects such as injection pain, immediate swelling, and edema usually do not require specific treatment. Cooling is often sufficient to alleviate the immediate postinjection pain; however, this is rarely seen anymore as more and more preparations contain a local anesthetic. Swelling may respond to acetylsalicylic acid (Aspirin [Bayer, Leverkusen, Germany]) or another nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drug. Placement of too much material or in the wrong area requires immediate massage or removal, if possible. Lump formation after calcium hydroxyl apatite injection in the lip is a technical fault as well as too superficial an injection. Proper training before starting to inject is mandatory.

Blanching extending beyond the immediate area of the injection volume may be a sign of vascular occlusion. Nitroglycerin cream and warming may be sufficient in mild cases.

HA can de-dissolved with hyaluronidase. Most preparations are of animal origin and there is the theoretical possibility of a sensitization. It is wise to use one preparation to get experience with it as the dosage may vary among the different drugs. The effect is usually seen within hours, and...
reinjection is possible after 24 hours, so small doses are recommended in the beginning.

The problem is the treatment of late and delayed adverse effects. First, the responsible substance has to be identified. This is often impossible as the patients do not know, or are reluctant to disclose, which filler had been injected. Once a granuloma has developed it is to be assumed that granulomas will continue to develop as long as the foreign material is in the skin. Whether attenuated total reflectance/Fourier transform infrared analysis spectroscopy really allows fillers to be reliably identified remains to be seen. Another validated method is the histological examination of sections, which yield quite specific changes with most different fillers.

The differentiation of infection from noninfectious granulomas is possible with radioactive labeled leukocytes. In case of infection, antibiotics have to be given long enough and in doses capable of containing the infection. Staphylococcus fast antibiotics such as cephalosporins are given intravenously. 
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Conclusion
Fillers belong to the most frequently used substances in esthetic medicine. The “consumers” are not sick patients, but they are healthy persons expecting to look better after the procedure. Any adverse effect, whether immediate, late or delayed, temporary or irreversible, is a catastrophe for them and potentially for the treating physician. All measures have to be taken to avoid them: The physician must be well trained, use the best product, respect indications, contraindications, proper aseptic injection techniques, and adequate localization for each specific filler. The patient has to follow the physician’s recommendations after treatment. The best would be to give a “filler pass” to the patient that notes which filler was when and where injected. Despite all precautions, adverse effects may occur. Take them seriously and never dismiss a patient’s concerns. Treatment should be instituted as soon as possible.
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