Pseudo-Cerebrospinal Fluid Rhinorrhea Resulting from Aberrant Cross-Innervation of Trigeminal and Facial Nerves following Skull Base Surgery
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Introduction

Cerebrospinal fluid (CSF) leak is a major complication of skull base surgery, occurring after ~ 10% of transcranial skull base procedures, with ranges from 1% to 30%.1–4 Given the associated increased risk of central nervous system infection, prompt intervention often ensues following suspicion of a CSF leak. During management of CSF leak following skull base surgery, one should be aware of a rare and underappreciated entity known as pseudo-CSF rhinorrhea.

Pseudo-CSF rhinorrhea is a state of nasal mucosa hypersecretion resulting from autonomic dysregulation of the paranasal sinuses following skull base surgery or head trauma.5–7 This phenomenon was first described by Cusimano and Sekhar, who noted clear fluid hypersecretion without evidence of a dural CSF leak following skull base procedures involving exposure of the petrous or cavernous internal carotid artery and transection of the greater superficial petrosal nerve.5 They hypothesized that the effect was due to injury to the periarterial sympathetic and parasympathetic fibers traveling to the nasal mucosa followed by aberrant reinnervation leading to a relative parasympathetic state. They also noted that the nasal hypersecretion tended to be exacerbated by physical activity, elevated ambient or body temperature, and intense emotional experience. Additionally, bilateral nasal hypersecretion, interpreted as pseudo-CSF
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This phenomenon was first described by Cusimano and Sekhar, who noted clear fluid hypersecretion without evidence of a dural CSF leak following skull base procedures involving exposure of the petrous or cavernous internal carotid artery and transection of the greater superficial petrosal nerve.5 They hypothesized that the effect was due to injury to the periarterial sympathetic and parasympathetic fibers traveling to the nasal mucosa followed by aberrant reinnervation leading to a relative parasympathetic state. They also noted that the nasal hypersecretion tended to be exacerbated by physical activity, elevated ambient or body temperature, and intense emotional experience. Additionally, bilateral nasal hypersecretion, interpreted as pseudo-CSF
rhinorrhea, has also been described in the setting of traumatic skull fractures, possibly due to injury to parasympathetic nerves synapsing at the sphenopalatine ganglion, an area prone to trauma.\(^7\)

We present a case of pseudo-CSF fluid rhinorrhea in a patient who underwent a transtemporal craniotomy for resection of a cerebellopontine angle mass. The surgical intervention did not involve exposure of the petrous or cavernous segment of the internal carotid artery or injury to the greater superficial petrosal nerve, but it did involve dissection of a malignant invasive tumor from the facial and trigeminal nerves. Furthermore, the patient's nasal fluid hypersecretion was exacerbated by mastication rather than physical activity, elevated ambient or body temperature, or intense emotions. Given these distinct differences from previously described cases, we hypothesize a novel mechanism of trigeminal facial cross-innervation to underlie pseudo-CSF rhinorrhea in this patient.

**Case Presentation**

A 46-year-old man presented with 1 month of right-sided suboccipital headache and right facial numbness. Past medical history was negative for any malignancies or immunosuppressive conditions. Neurologic examination revealed decreased facial sensation, greatest in the ophthalmic distribution, partial in the maxillary distribution, and spared in the mandibular region of the trigeminal nerve, and mild right-sided facial weakness. Magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) revealed a \(2.7 \times 1.9 \times 2.5\) cm enhancing mass in the right cerebellopontine angle with significant surrounding edema and suggestion of dural enhancement entering the porous acusticus and Meckel cave (\(→\) Fig. 1). Radiologic differential diagnosis included meningioma, vestibular schwannoma, extradural metastasis, or, less likely, a malignant glioma. A transtemporal craniotomy with partial mastoidectomy was performed, with resection of an intra-axial mass that coated the trigeminal and VII/VIII complex. Lymphoma was noted on the frozen diagnosis and confirmed on final pathology, with a decision to continue resection due to the significant amount of cerebellar edema. A watertight primary dural closure supplemented with fibrin glue and dural substitute was performed after buttressing the mastoid air cells with fat. The internal carotid artery and cavernous sinus were not exposed. Sensation in the maxillary distribution of the right trigeminal nerve and facial nerve function was noted to be symmetrical. Sinusitis or any rhinologic infection was excluded, and there was no evidence of meningitis.

Fig. 1 (A) Axial T1-weighted gadolinium-enhanced magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) demonstrating a right cerebellopontine angle lesion at initial presentation, extending from the mid-pons to lower pontomedullary junction, with enhancement noted to extend to the internal auditory meatus. (B) Axial T2-weighted fluid-attenuated inversion recovery sequence demonstrating significant perilesional edema with partial effacement of the fourth ventricle. (C) Postoperative gadolinium-enhanced MRI with gross total resection of the tumor. (D) Computed tomography cisternogram for work-up of right-sided clear rhinorrhea failed to demonstrate any contrast opacification of the right mastoid air cells, right middle ear, or adjacent sinuses.

**Discussion**

Pseudo-CSF rhinorrhea, as originally described, is diagnosed based on the following criteria: recent skull base surgery involving petrous or cavernous internal carotid artery, rhinorrhea ipsilateral to procedure, diminished or absent ipsilateral lacrimation, no signs of meningitis, and negative β-2 transferrin analysis of the nasal discharge was negative. The patient’s facial nerve function was noted to be symmetrical without evidence of significant right facial palsy on follow-up evaluations. There was also no increased ipsilateral lacrimation. Multiple CT and MRI scans were negative for fluid collection in mastoid air cell, middle ear, eustachian tube, or parasinus sinuses. Sinusitis or any rhinologic infection was excluded, and there was no evidence of meningitis.
paranasal sinus mucosa. Parasympathetic fibers travel from the superior salivatory nucleus to the nervus intermedius and facial nerve to the geniculate ganglion and greater superficial petrosal nerve before synapsing at the sphenopalatine ganglion to reach the paranasal mucosa. Interestingly, removal of either sympathetic input by stellate ganglion extirpation or parasympathetic input by sacrifice of the greater superficial petrosal nerve can result in nasal mucosa hypersecretion. Although abolition of sympathetic input logically leads to a relative parasympathetic state, it is not entirely clear why sectioning the greater superficial petrosal nerve would do the same. Hypotheses range from aberrant reinnervation leading to increased parasympathetic input, overcompensation of ancillary parasympathetic input, or hypersensitivity of post-ganglionic fibers arising from the sphenopalatine ganglion.\(^5\,6\)

Nasal fluid secretion in the setting of pseudo-CSF rhinorrhea is classically exacerbated by physical activity during which relative hyperemia of the nasal mucosa is not properly countered by the vasoconstrictive effects of sympathetic input. Increased ambient or body temperature along with intense emotional experiences have also been associated with nasal hypersecretion. In our case, the patient noted that his nasal secretion became most noticeable during mastication and would promptly resolve after he stopped chewing. He also presented with a right facial nerve paralysis and jaw deviation. The connection between trigeminal activation of muscles of mastication and nasal fluid secretion suggests a trigeminal-facial cross-innervation, a phenomenon not previously reported in the scientific literature.

Such aberrant cross-innervation is often seen in the setting of synkinesis, or the involuntary motor innervation of a muscle upon activation of a nearby muscle. This most commonly presents after regeneration of the facial nerve following injury. Synkinesis involving two cranial nerves, although less common, has also been reported between the trigeminal and oculomotor or abducens nerves.\(^10\,\,12\) Rubin et al reported facial-trigeminal synkinesis following resection of a trigeminal schwannoma through a transzygomatic temporal craniotomy, with resultant facial weakness and jaw deviation.\(^13\) Electrophysiologic stimulation of the facial nerve resulted in activation of the masseter and temporalis muscles, suggesting facial nerve rewiring to control muscles typically innervated by the trigeminal nerve. In contrast, the findings in our patient suggest that aberrant regeneration of the trigeminal and facial nerves may lead to trigeminal input on the autonomic nerve fibers of the facial nerve as demonstrated by the patient’s increased vasomotor fluid secretion in response to chewing.

Our case suggests a novel presentation of facial-trigeminal cross-innervation leading to pseudo-CSF rhinorrhea in the absence of injury to sympathetic or parasympathetic nerve fibers, which are most commonly injured during operation involving petrous bone removal, sacrifice of the greater superficial petrosal nerve, exposure of the petrosal segment of the internal carotid artery, or invasion of the cavernous sinus. It is difficult to conclude whether this patient’s trigeminal-facial cross-innervation is the result of ephaptic transmission between damaged peripheral fibers or through central reorganization at the superior salivatory nucleus. Although synkinesis tends to be considered a result of peripheral regeneration, it has been shown to result from central lesions.\(^14\) Electrophysiology studies may help distinguish between the two for cases recalcitrant to repeat diagnostic work-up. Empirical treatments, such as atropine, may also be efficacious from a physiologic standpoint.

### Conclusion

Pseudo-CSF rhinorrhea is a rare but clinically important differential diagnosis for unilateral nasal secretion following skull base surgery. Work-up and treatment of presumed CSF leaks presents significant morbidity for the skull base tumor patient population. The limited literature on this entity proposes an underlying dysautonomia due to a constant association with violation of the cavernous sinus and greater superficial petrosal nerve. The absence of these findings in our case in combination with the physiologic trigger of mastication prior to rhinorrhea suggests an alternative and novel mechanism of trigeminal and facial nerve cross-innervation. Early recognition and diagnosis of this important entity may spare patients from unnecessary invasive procedures.
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