Eriocitrin and Apigenin as New Carbonic Anhydrase VA Inhibitors from a Virtual Screening of Calabrian Natural Products
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Abstract

In this work, we performed a structure-based virtual screening against five carbonic anhydrase isoforms using, as a ligand library, natural components of Citrus bergamia (Bergamot) and Allium cepa var. Tropea (red onion) sources, which are some typical Calabrian products. The most relevant Bergamot and red onion components, identified as potentially new hits by means of the computational work, were submitted to in vitro tests in order to confirm the ability to exert the predicted biological activity. Apigenin and eriocitrin were identified as new potent inhibitors of human carbonic anhydrase VA isozyme.

Introduction

Drug discovery is the result of an expensive and time-consuming process; about 800 million to 1 billion dollars for each new compound. In this context, to speed the drug-discovery process, the identification of novel bioactive compounds can be adequately supported by using computational techniques. The advantages of these approaches can be in terms of time and/or resource savings in the drug development flowchart. Healing properties of natural products have been known since ancient times and nowadays represent an important resource for the development of new drugs [1]. Computational methods, like VS experiments, reduce the overall cost and time associated with the discovery of new drugs from nature, by identifying in silico the most promising candidates to focus the experimental efforts on [2].

Data modeling approaches are used in both lead identification and lead optimization, and the “rational drug design” concept is based on the principle that biological properties of active compounds are related to their structural features [3, 4]. Today, this method is particularly useful in the field of nutraceutics, and, in our research group, the VS approach was recently applied against the DNA G-quadruplex human telomeric repeated sequence, combining ligand- and structure-based approaches used for the identification of the psoralen moiety as a privileged scaffold for the development of novel binders [5], and to search for new hits from commercially natural compound databases [6].

Our project, named DATATIPICA, was focused on chemical components belonging to selected typical agricultural foods from Calabria (Italy), including Bergamot (Citrus bergamia Risso, Rutaceae) and Tropea red onion (Allium cepa L. var. Tropea,
Liliaceae), with the purpose to discover new bioactive compounds as potential hCAs. In 2001, the Calabrian Bergamot source gained Italian designation PDO by the Bergamot Consortium of Reggio Calabria with a unique profile of free flavonoids and glycoside derivatives [7]. Moreover, Tropea red onion was recently certified as a PGI product from the European Union [8] and also represents a significant source of flavonoids [9], with a health benefit profile also shared by Bergamot, as confirmed by several pharmacological studies [10–14].

In the literature, there are many clinical trials regarding the biological effects of Bergamot and red onion, but there is no evidence of the possible mechanisms of action of its components. Conversely, our study was focused on a specific interaction between these contents and the CA family, a target involved in some pathophysiological processes. hCAs are zinc-containing metalloenzymes that catalyze the reversible hydration of carbon dioxide according to the following reaction:

\[ \text{CO}_2 + \text{H}_2\text{O} \leftrightarrow \text{HCO}_3^- + \text{H}^+ \]

Sixteen hCA isozymes have been described up to now in mammals with variable kinetic parameters, expression levels, tissues/ organs, and subcellular localization [15–18]. Some of these iso- zymes (e.g., hCA II, VA, IX, XII) constitute targets for the development of antiglaucoma, diuretic, antiobesity, anticonvulsant, or anticancer drugs [19–22]. Supuran’s group recently investigated the interactions of hCA I, II, VI, and bCA III isozymes with several types of flavonoids [23,24] that may be used as leads for generating more potent hCAs and, with this perspective, we carried out this study enlarging the possibility to discover new flavonoids as inhibitors of these metalloenzymes.

Results

The SBVS was performed as a hierarchical procedure, combining different computational tools in order to reduce the false positive, sometimes predicted in silico (Fig. 1).

Briefly, our procedure can be summarized in three steps: i) prediction, for each ligand, of binding poses using the Glide docking program; ii) energy minimization and rescoring of the best docked poses using the Embrace method; and iii) finally, selection of the best scored complexes to analyze ligand-target interac- tions.

All PDB [25] X-ray targets were downloaded with a co-crystal- lized inhibitor: AZA (11) in the hCA I, IX, and mCA VA X-ray structures (PDB codes: 1AZM, 1DMY, and 4HT2); 6-aminosaccharin (12) in the X-ray isoform of hCA II (PDB code: 4CQ0), and 4-[(4,6-dimethylpyrimidin-2-yl)thio]-2,3,5,6-tetrafluorobenzene- sulfonamide compound (13) in the X-ray isoform of hCA XII (PDB code: 4HT2), as shown in Fig. 2.

In order to perform a re-docking simulation, our protocol was applied to reproduce bioactive poses of the hCAs (11–13) content in the crystallographic structures. XGS values of the best docked molecules, expressed in Kcal/mol, were used to select the new natural hCAs from our library. In fact, in a modeling study after the optimal binding poses have been predicted, the next step is to rank or score the generated structures to determine their relative binding affinities. AZA (11) should be the common reference ligand for all targets, but, unfortunately, there are no hCA II and XII PDB models co-crystallized with it. Therefore, X-ray structures with 4CQ0 and 4HT2 PDB codes, respectively, co-crystallized with compounds 12 and 13, were used for the re-docking purpose (Table 1). Moreover, in order to consider the best-known inhibitor in our reference analysis, AZA complexes were generated with hCA isoforms II and XII also.

Analyzing the first step results reported in Table 1, we con- cluded that ten of the 280 natural compounds from our database with LGS values, expressed in Kcal/mol, better than XGS for hCA I, XII, and mCA VA isoforms could act as hCAs. The best ten compounds, 1–10, are reported in Table 2. Respectively, compounds 1–5 are polyphenols prevalent in the Bergamot source, while 6–10 are from Tropea red onion. Interestingly, docking results of compounds 1–10 carried out with the hCA XII isoform displayed some LGS performances better than the AZA (11) G-score value that is equal to –5.47 Kcal/mol and not very dissimilar to the XGS = 5.80 Kcal/mol obtained with compound 13.

It has been noted that the use of multiple scoring functions in concert significantly improve hit rates compared to the use of a single scoring function [26]. For this reason, the targets with a better LGS of compounds 1–10 (hCA I, XII, and mCA VA) were sub-
Table 1  G-score values in Kcal/mol: 1) ligand G-score of selected polyphenols (1–10) obtained by the best poses of docking simulations against human carbonic anhydrase I, II, IX, and XII, and murine carbonic anhydrase VA isoforms; 2) ligand G-score of acetazolamide (11), a co-crystallized inhibitor of human carbonic anhydrase I and IX, and murine carbonic anhydrase VA isoforms and a positive control for the in vitro assays; 3) 6-aminosaccharin (12), a human carbonic anhydrase II isozyme co-crystallized inhibitor; 4) 4-[(4,6-dimethylpyrimidin-2-yl)thio]-2,3,5,6-tetrafluorobenzenesulfonamide (13), a human carbonic anhydrase XII isozyme co-crystallized inhibitor.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Compound</th>
<th>G-score (Kcal/mol)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>hCA I</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>-5.85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>-6.86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>-6.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>-6.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>-5.93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>-6.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>-6.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>-5.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>-5.68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>-5.81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>-5.99*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>/</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>/</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
mitted to a further computational step based on the complex free energy estimation, after a full energy minimization, by the Embrace method as implemented in the Schrödinger Software MacroModel [27]. This second step suggested a potential inhibition of eriocitrin (3) against the mCA VA isozyme. Actually, the result of its EFE, equal to $-35.02$ Kcal/mol, was better than the AZA (11) value of $-32.99$ Kcal/mol (Table 2).

Finally, polyphenols 1–10 were submitted to in vitro tests against all targets, using AZA (11) as a positive control in order to confirm the ability of our SBVS method to predict the biological activity against CA isoforms.

Eriocitrin (3) proved to be the best hCA VA inhibitor with a $K_i$ value of 0.15 µM against AZA (11), resulting in a $K_i$ of 0.38 µM, as shown in Table 3. Conversely, the computational prediction failed for apigenin (1) with respect to the reference compound. In fact, despite its EFE value of $-18.73$ Kcal/mol (Table 2), it showed an inhibition performance slightly better than reference 11 (Table 3).

With the aim to explain the possible mechanism of action of ten selected polyphenols, we analyzed in silico ligand-target interactions of the most active natural ligands 3 and 1 against mCA VA isozyme (PDB code 1DMY). As reported above, eriocitrin (3) fits into the binding site of mCA VA with an acceptable G-score value of $-6.40$ Kcal/mol (Table 2) and the best EFE value of $-35.02$ Kcal/mol (Table 2). The best docked pose, after Embrace minimization, is reported in Fig. 3, where the molecule and its contact with amino acid residues of the target were visualized using PyMol version 1.7.0.0 [28].

### Table 2

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Compound</th>
<th>EFE (Kcal/mol)</th>
<th>hCA I</th>
<th>mCA VA</th>
<th>hCA XII</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>$-13.90$</td>
<td></td>
<td>$-18.73$</td>
<td>$-18.45$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>$-7.48$</td>
<td>$-21.61$</td>
<td></td>
<td>$-15.04$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>$-26.22$</td>
<td>$-35.02$</td>
<td></td>
<td>$-29.20$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>$-16.54$</td>
<td>$-22.28$</td>
<td></td>
<td>$-28.87$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>$-21.68$</td>
<td>$-22.99$</td>
<td></td>
<td>$-18.48$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>$-16.96$</td>
<td>$-18.77$</td>
<td></td>
<td>$-13.32$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>$-6.97$</td>
<td>$-18.60$</td>
<td></td>
<td>$-18.94$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>$-3.07$</td>
<td>$-20.82$</td>
<td></td>
<td>$-15.26$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>$-8.80$</td>
<td>$-18.36$</td>
<td></td>
<td>$-2.11$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>$-37.19$</td>
<td>$-32.99$</td>
<td></td>
<td>$-48.16$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 3

| Inhibition constant $K_i$ values (µM) of human carbonic anhydrase I, II, VA, IX, and XII isoforms for polyphenols 1–10 and acetazolamide (11). |
|-----------------------------------|-----------------|--------|--------|--------|
| Compound                          | Inhibition constants $K_i$ (µM) | hCA I  | hCA II | hCA VA | hCA IX | hCA XII |
| 1                                 | 4.10*a          | 2.70*a | 0.30   | 0.46   | 1.00   |
| 2                                 | 2.95            | 0.41   | 2.82   | 2.52   | 0.38   |
| 3                                 | 3.13            | 0.31   | 0.15   | 3.57   | 2.18   |
| 4                                 | $>10$           | 0.42   | 1.92   | 0.41   | 0.24   |
| 5                                 | $>10$           | 2.10   | 3.99   | 0.22   |
| 6                                 | 3.66            | 0.34   | 4.24   | 0.38   | 0.19   |
| 7                                 | 3.60*a          | 2.40*a | 2.65   | 2.22   | 0.45   |
| 8                                 | $>10$           | 0.41   | 4.08   | 3.59   | 0.17   |
| 9                                 | 2.53            | 0.26   | 3.93   | 0.45   | 0.17   |
| 10                                | $>10$           | 0.44   | $>10$  | 2.79   | 0.22   |
| 11                                | 0.10            | 0.008  | 0.38   | 0.041  | 0.038  |

*a Values from literature data [23]
The molecular modeling study suggests that the best pose of apigenin (1) is also located in the binding pocket of the mCA VA isoform, with an acceptable G-score of ~6.39 Kcal/mol (Table 1), but with an EFE value equal to ~18.73 Kcal/mol higher than 11 (Table 2), probably due to a consistent lack in ligand-target interactions for the absence of a glycoside portion (Fig. 4; Fig. 15, Supporting Information).

A superimposed model of the most stable docked poses of flavonoids 1–10 is reported in Fig. 25, Supporting Information.

**Discussion**

The DATATIPICA project was born with the purpose to use computational methods, such as VS, to identify new bioactive compounds early from an in-house ligand library, implemented in our laboratory, with the chemical entities typical of Bergamot and Tropea red onion Calabrian products. Our interest was not only in the flavonoids present in the nonvolatile fraction of these natural products, but the low-molecular weight compounds in the volatile fraction were also considered (Table 15, Supporting Information).

The SBVS study was focused on a family of CA targets involved in several diseases, considering some human isoforms: ubiquitous hCA I and II, antiobesity target hCA VA, and cancer-related isoforms hCA IX and XII. The criteria for the selection of X-ray targets were: a) *Homo sapiens* as an organism, considering the next in *vitro* assays with human enzymes; b) the presence of a co-crystallized ligand (the most active when it was possible) not covalently bound to the target; c) a resolution < 2.50 Å; and d) the PDB depository year of the X-ray model.

The crystallographic model of mCA VA (PDB code 1DMY) does not respect the first requirement, because only two murine, truncated mitochondrial X-ray models are in the PDB. On the other hand, the comparison of the CA VA domain sequence between mice and humans shows a 78% identity [29], so this model has been adopted as a structural model of the mCA VA isoform.

Against this isoform, we observed that glycoside polyphenols with a disaccharide portion (3, 4, and 5) generally reached better EFE values, in the range of ~22.28/-35.02 Kcal/mol, than the monosaccharide derivative 8 (~20.82 Kcal/mol) and the non-glycosylated polyphenols (1, 2, 6, 7, 9, and 10), whose evaluations were around ~18.36/-21.61 Kcal/mol.

Eriocitrin (3), with the best EFE value, was identified in the in *vitro* assays as a new hCA VA inhibitor, and disaccharide derivatives 4 and 5 displayed better *Ki* values than other flavonoids, confirming that the disaccharide portion is involved in the inhibitory effect on hCA VA isoform (Table 3). Apigenin (1) in *vitro* displays an inhibition performance not revealed by theoretical data, but among compounds 1–10, this compound showed the highest cLogP value (2.71), which is likely responsible for the unexpected biological result (Table 3; Table 25, Supporting Information).

About the mechanism of action of hCAIs, recently, a work by Supuran’s group reported four different types; but in regards to the flavonoids incorporating phenol moieties, they inhibit hCAs through a diverse, not yet determined, inhibition mechanism [23]. We hypothesized for this class of compounds the possibility to displace the water molecule coordinated to ZN.

Therefore, when not considering all water molecules in the crystallographic model during docking simulations, it may be observed that the C2 catechol group of eriocitrin (3), not present in the other glycoside flavonoids (4, 5 and 8), could directly coordinate the ZN of the mCA VA isoform, suggesting that displacement of a water molecule can occur in the recognition process (Fig. 3).

The best 3-mCA VA pose is mostly attributed to good contacts established mainly by the catechol and rutinoside portions. The former produces additional H-bond interactions with the enzymatic residue THR199 located in the catalytic site. The latter is characterized by an extended H-bond network with several external mCA VA amino acid residues (GLN67, GLU69, GLN92, ASP171) at the entrance of the binding pocket. Details about the intermolecular H-bond distances are reported in Table 35, Supporting Information.

The bottom of the active site cavity is very much conserved in the 16 hCA isoforms, whereas the regions with the highest variation in amino acid sequence, consequently with the highest degree of structural diversity, are close to the entrance of the active site [23]. This is the reason of the nonspecific AZA inhibition toward the hCA isoforms. Conversely, it explains eriocitrin (3) selectivity, supported by the recognition properties of its glycoside portion interacting well with the hCA VA isozyme external residues (Fig. 3).

The docking simulations carried out with apigenin (1) show that only its C2 phenolic group is involved in the zinc coordination into the mCA VA active site (Fig. 4). The best pose stabilization is attributed, in this case, at the catalytic and entrance areas, respectively, to the intermolecular H-bonds with THR199, SER135, and GLN67 CA residues (Fig. 15 and Table 45, Supporting Information).

In *vitro* results and hCA I, II, VA, IX, and XII inhibition by compounds 1–10 with respect to AZA (11) are reported in Table 3. In regards to the carbonic anhydrases (hCA VA and VB) exerting biological activities at the mitochondria level [30–32], only hCA VA was used, since no X-ray model of the other isoform, human or murine, is available.

The results of our study suggest that some Bergamot flavonoids may inhibit a mitochondrial hCA VA isoform with a competitive mechanism of action, coordinating the enzymatic ZN and establishing other non-covalent interactions, mainly via H-bonds.
Eriocitrin (3) and apigenin (1), due to their inhibition profile against the hCA VA isoform, could be potential hit candidates for antiobesity treatment and prevention.

Pharmacological results reported for flavonoids such as apigenin (1), luteolin, and eriocitrin (3) already confirmed the beneficial effects against adipogenesis [33] and dyslipidemia, decreasing lipid droplets in the liver and inducing gene expression related to mitochondrial function in HepG2 cells [34]. These observations are in agreement with the mitochondrial involvement, and our study provides an enzymatic explanation of the molecular mechanism of action for some flavonoids.

In summary, in this work, theoretical and experimental approaches were integrated to identify natural bioactive compounds consistently present in some peculiar Calabrian cultivars, such as Bergamot and Tropea red onions, endowed with inhibitory properties against the hCA VA target. In particular, the powerful potentiality of the virtual screening techniques seems to confirm its important role with the aim to speed up the identification of bioactive compounds useful for both nutraceutical and drug discovery purposes.

Materials and Methods

Chemicals

All natural compounds and protein assay reagents were obtained from Sigma-Aldrich Co.

Ligand library preparation

Considering C. bergamia and A. cepa L. var. Tropea as resources of natural compounds with potential therapeutic effects, for this work, a molecular database of their typical contents was generated using free access to the PUBCHEM [35] internet site. A 3D SDF file of 128 compounds (Table S1, Supporting Information) was generated and imported into the graphic interface Maestro Schrödinger 9.7 [36]. The database was not only implemented with the glycoside compounds, but the aglycone structure for each of them was considered as well. In this way, the possible metabolites of the natural ligands after a hydrolytic reaction were also taken into account. All compounds were prepared as protonated forms of each molecule were calculated by means of the “LigPrep” [37] module, using additional protonated and tautomeric forms in the range of pH 5–9 with the default setting for the remaining parameters, ending up with a library of 280 compounds. Considering that for an in silico filtering experiment, a 3D structure database requires an efficient generation of reasonable, energetically minimized conformations [38], all ligands were also energy minimized in the absence of the receptor with the OPLS_2005 force field as implemented in the Schrödinger suite, and the lowest energy conformations were used as starting points for the docking simulations.

Target: carbonic anhydrase isoform preparation

An inevitable prerequisite for generating a structure-based model is the knowledge about the ligand-target interaction [39], including the availability of the 3D structure of the target. Docking experiments were performed using the X-ray crystallographic structures of five CA isoforms downloaded from the PDB [13]: hCA I, hCA II, mA CA VA, hCA IX, and hCA XII with the respective PDB codes 1AZM [40], 4CQ0 [41], 1DMY [42], 3IAI [43], and 4HT2 [44].

For each X-ray model, the preparation procedure started with the “Protein Preparation Wizard” [45] function in Maestro 9.7 [36]. To study all interactions of each target with the ligands dataset, water molecules and nonprotein residues were removed. Subsequently, a refined procedure to fill in the missing side chains using the “Prime” module and the energy minimization of all hydrogen atoms completed the protocol. Hydrogen atoms were added, and finally, the energy minimization was performed using OPLS_2005 force field until the RMSD of all heavy atoms was within 0.3 Å of the X-ray PDB model.

Docking simulation

Docking studies of the natural library were performed with the software Glide 6.2 [46] on the CA crystal structures. The purpose of this method was to develop an exhaustive search in the space of the various positions, orientations, and conformations of each ligand compared to the targets.

A semiflexible approach was considered; all flexible 3D ligands from the chemical database were sequentially docked into the binding pocket of the rigid receptors. For each target, a cube of 35 Å length was defined as the binding region using the Receptor Grid Generation panel with the default options, centered on the former positions of the removed co-crystallized ligand with the protein into the catalytic site. Each docking run recorded a maximum of 10 poses per ligand. The Glide SP protocol was used, and the best docked poses for the novel hCAIs were selected, taking the most negative G-score value. In order to check the precision of Glide SP, re-docking simulations were performed, and we observed a good ability of this docking program to reproduce the binding modes of the original PDB entries, with very low RMSD values between the experimental X-ray structure and the best pose (1AZM PDB entry: 0.3751 Å; 4CQ0 PDB entry: 1.3362 Å; 1DMY PDB entry: 0.3583 Å; 3IAI PDB entry: 0.5019 Å; 4HT2 PDB entry: 0.7485 Å). All of the best docked poses of the dataset were subjected to the Embrace minimization utility, available in the Schrödinger Macromodel program [27], which was performed using the energy difference mode, with the specific water treatment. The Pymol 1.7.0.0 [28] molecular graphics system was used to visualize the molecules, the results of the docking experiments, and to generate all figures.

In vitro hCA inhibition assay

All hCA isoforms (I, II, VA, IX, and XII) used for the in vitro assays were purified and obtained in-house as previously reported in other works [30–32]. Hit compounds were tested in order to evaluate their biological activity against the hCA isoforms (Table 3). An Applied Photophysics stopped-flow instrument has been used for assaying the CA-catalyzed CO2 hydration activity [47]. Compounds 1–11 were tested in triplicate at each concentration used. Four inhibitor concentrations were used, starting from 10⁻³ M to 10⁻⁶ M. IC₅₀ values were obtained from dose-response curves working at seven different concentrations of the test compound by fitting the curves using PRISM (www.graphpad.com) and nonlinear least squares methods; values represent the mean of at least three different determinations as previously described by us [48]. The inhibition constants (Ki) were then derived by using the Cheng-Prusoff equation [49] as follows:

\[ K_i = IC_{50}/(1 + [S]/K_m) \]
where $|S|$ represents the CO$_2$ concentration at which the measurement was carried out, and $K_m$ is the concentration of substrate at which the enzyme activity is at half-maximal. The concentrations of enzymes used in the assay were as follows: hCA I: 13.2 nM, hCA II: 8.0 nM, hCA VA: 14.8 nM, hCA IX: 6.7 nM, and hCA XII: 10.9 nM.

cLogP Prediction

The lipophilicity was calculated for compounds 1–11 according to the ChemAxon software in the free http://www.chemicalize.org site.

Supporting information

Fig. 1S and 2S show, respectively, the best pose of 1 with the surface receptor and superimposed 1–10 flavonoids into the binding pocket of mCA VA; Table 1S lists the chemical contents of Bergamot and Tropea red onion used to create the ligand database; Table 2S reports the cLogP of compounds 1–11; Table 3S and 4S show the geometrical details of the mCA VA best poses for eriocitrin (3) and apigenin (1), respectively. These are available as Supporting Information.
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