Xenotransplantation of Porcine Islet Cells as a Potential Option for the Treatment of Type 1 Diabetes in the Future

Abstract
Solid organ and cell transplantation, including pancreatic islets constitute the treatment of choice for chronic terminal diseases. However, the clinical use of allogeneic transplantation is limited by the growing shortage of human organs. This has prompted us to initiate a unique multi-center and multi-team effort to promote translational research in xenotransplantation to bring xenotransplantation to the clinical setting. Supported by the German Research Foundation, an interdisciplinary group of surgeons, internal medicine doctors, diabetologists, material scientists, experts, immunologists, cell biologists, virologists, veterinarians, and geneticists have established a collaborative research center (CRC) focusing on the biology of xenogeneic cell, tissue, and organ transplantation. A major strength of this consortium is the inclusion of members of the regulatory bodies, including the Paul-Ehrlich Institute (PEI), infection specialists from the Robert Koch Institute and PEI, veterinarians from the German Primate Center, and representatives of influential ethical and religious institutions. A major goal of this consortium is to promote islet xenotransplantation, based on the extensive expertise and experience of the existing clinical islet transplantation program. Besides comprehensive approaches to understand and prevent inflammation-mediated islet xenotransplant dysfunction [immediate blood-mediated inflammatory reaction (IBMIR)], we also take advantage of the availability of and experience with islet macroencapsulation, with the goal to improve graft survival and function. This consortium harbors a unique group of scientists with complementary expertise under a cohesive program aiming at developing new therapeutic approaches for islet replacement and solid organ xenotransplantation.

Introduction
Type 1 diabetes and novel therapeutic strategies
The prevalence of type 1 diabetes in Western Europe and North America is about 0.5% of the population, with an increasing trend, and now affecting approximately 2 million subjects [1]. Type 1 diabetes pathogenesis involves a complex autoimmune reaction leading to complete destruction of the insulin-producing beta-cells of the pancreas. Consequently, the disease requires lifelong substitution with insulin [2]. Despite insulin therapy, the serious and potentially life-threatening complications of the disease make it imperative to develop a curative therapeutic approach for the treatment of type 1 diabetes. In this context, preventive strategies aiming at the protection of islets, for example, by blocking inflammation, as well as approaches to induce the regeneration of islet cell mass and function have been proposed [3]. Moreover, beta cell replacement therapies have been developed, including the transplantation of either the complete pancreas or isolated islets. Restoration of the islet cell mass by allogeneic islet transplantation represents a therapeutic option especially in type 1 diabetes patients with a very labile metabolic situation [4, 5]. This technique has been successfully established in routine clinical practice at few specialized centers. Although allotransplantation of whole pancreas or islets appears to be promising for the treatment of type 1 diabetes, there are still major limitations, mainly resulting from shortage of donor supply and the relatively high number of islet cells required for a single patient, as well as complications associated with islet transplantation, such as infections [6, 7].
To combat the disease, autologous stem-cell based therapies, for example, by reprogramming differentiated somatic cells into pluripotent cells [8] or by controlled differentiation of pluripotent cells [9, 10] have been proposed. This would not only help overcome the limited resources of differentiated cells but also avoid the potential complications associated with the otherwise required immunosuppression for allogeneic transplants. However, such approaches may bear the risk of the tumorigenic potential associated with pluripotent stem cells [11, 12]. Therefore, stem-cell based attempts may not compensate for the prevalent shortage of donor organs in the near future.

**Xenotransplantation**

As an alternative therapeutic approach, xenogeneic islet transplantation has been experimentally performed in preclinical diabetic animal models with promising results. It may therefore represent a promising approach to overcome donor organ shortage [13–17]. First clinical trials have evaluated the efficacy and microbiological safety [18–23]. In one trial, live encapsulated porcine islet cells were found 9.5 years after transplantation [24].

The unique advantage of xenotransplantation is the potentially unlimited availability of donor organs on demand. The ideal donor xenotransplant should have a similar size and physiology as well as a similar anatomic location compared to the human organ. These criteria are largely fulfilled by the pig (*Sus scrofa*) and in addition, this species has a relatively short generation time of about 4 months, and is highly fertile. Furthermore, genetic engineering is well-established in the pig, thus providing an opportunity to genetically modify and optimize the donor xenotransplant for better matching with the human host. Considerable progress has been made to produce genetically modified pig organs for nonhuman primate xenotransplantation [25–28].

However, the social and ethical acceptance of animals as donors of xenotransplants has to be clarified [29, 30]. Additionally, serious safety concerns have been raised by the possibility of transmitting infectious pathogens from the donor species to the human patient, although preventive actions like housing and breeding of donor animals under specific pathogen-free (SPF) conditions and screening of the donor prior to transplantation are expected to minimize infectious risks [31–33]. Wynyard et al. [23] reported the case of 14 patients from New Zealand with severe unaware hypoglycemia, who were treated with microencapsulated porcine islets. Up to 52 post-transplant weeks, no transmission of either PERV or other porcine microorganisms was detected by sensitive PCR and immunological methods. However, in addition to the risk posed by PERV [34], other viruses also need to be considered, which are difficult to eliminate even under SPF production of pigs, for example, hepatitis E virus and herpes viruses [31]. In order to implement the highest possible safety standards, the International Xenotransplantation Association (IXA) has established guidelines for xenotransplantation [35, 36].

**Strategies to accomplish immunological tolerance of pig xenotransplants**

Rejection of porcine xenotransplants by the human immune system is mediated via a series of humoral and cellular mechanisms. The hyperacute rejection (HAR) results from pre-formed antibodies directed against the α1,3-galactosyl-galactose (αGal) epitopes on porcine endothelial cells. The generation of immune complexes induces a fulminant activation of the host comple-
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The requirement of chronic immunosuppression to prevent graft rejection bearing several risks for the host is a major limitation for beta cell replacement. In order to overcome these obstacles, micro- and macro-encapsulation appear to be reasonable strategies to separate the graft from the host immune system and prevent rejection [58]. For example, Dufrane et al. [14] described the successful xenotransplantation of alginate-encapsulated porcine islets into a streptozotocin-induced diabetic animal model. In this setting, glycemic control could be accomplished over several months in animals transplanted with encapsulated islets without immunosuppression, while nonencapsulated islets were rejected within a week. Furthermore, Ludwig et al. [59,60] designed a subcutaneously implantable macrochamber system containing alginate-immobilized islets that are protected from the host immune system by a permeable Teflon membrane. In addition, this device contains a refillable oxygen chamber system to provide the islets with oxygen. This system has been optimized and subcutaneous implantation of the macro-chamber system containing immobilized rat islets in diabetic mini-pigs resulted in persistent glycemic control over 3 months without immunosuppression, demonstrating a sustained xenotransplantation function [61,62]. In addition, this macro-chamber system has been successfully used for the transplantation of human islets without immunosuppression in a pilot-study conducted in a patient with type-1 diabetes [63].

These data indicate that macropreservation of islets may be a promising approach to prevent graft rejection in allo- and xenogeneic beta cell replacement therapies.

Conflict of Interest ▼

The authors declare that they have no conflicts of interest in the authorship or publication of this contribution.

References

5 Collaborative Islet Transplant Registry (CITR), www.citr.org
6 Zimmerhackl LB, Jungreithmayr TC, Tibell A. Marginal donors in pediatric solid organ transplantation. Pediatric transplantation 2010; 14: 154–155
8 Takahashi K, Yamanaka S. Induction of pluripotent stem cells from mouse embryonic and adult fibroblast cultures by defined factors. Cell 2006; 126: 663–676
10 Weissman IL, Shizuru JA. The origins of the identification and isolation of hematopoietic stem cells, and their capability to induce donor-specific transplantation tolerance and treat autoimmune diseases. Blood 2008; 112: 3543–3553
14 Dufrane D, Goebbels RM, Giannelli P. Alginic macroencapsulation of pig islets allows correction of streptozotocin-induced diabetes in primates up to 6 months without immunosuppression. Transplantation 2010; 90: 1054–1062

Affiliations

1 Institute for Surgical Research at the Walter-Bren德尔-Centre for Experimental Medicine, Ludwig-Maximilians-University, Munich, Germany
2 Friedrich-Loeffler-Institute Mariensee, Federal Research Institute for Animal Health, Neustadt, Germany
3 Department of Medicine III, University Hospital Carl Gustav Carus, Dresden, Germany
4 Centre for Diabetes Research, Paul Langerhans Institute Dresden, Dresden, Germany
5 Department of Clinical Pathobiotechnology, Technische Universität Dresden, Dresden, Germany
6 Institute for Clinical Chemistry and Laboratory Medicine, Technische Universität Dresden, Dresden, Germany
7 Robert-Koch-Institute, Berlin, Germany
8 Medical School of Hannover, Department Gastroenterology, Hepatology, Endocrinology, Diabetology, Hannover, Germany
9 Center for Regenerative Therapies Dresden, Technische Universität Dresden, Dresden, Germany
10 Institute for Ethics, History and Theory of Medicine, Ludwig-Maximilians-University, Munich, Germany
11 Chair of Livestock Biotechnology, Technical University of Munich, Freising, Germany
12 Transplant Laboratory, Clinic for General-,Visceral-, and Transplantation Surgery, Hannover Medical School, Hannover, Germany
13 Medizinische Klinik und Poliklinik IV, Diabetes Zentrum, Ludwig-Maximilians-University, Munich, Germany
14 Paul-Ehrlich-Institute, Federal Institute for Vaccines and Biomedicines, Langen, Germany
15 Gene Center, Molecular Animal Breeding and Biotechnology, Ludwig-Maximilians-University, Munich, Germany
16 Department of Endocrinology and Diabetes, King’s College, London, England

In conclusion, experimental xenograft transplantation from genetically modified pigs or pharmacologic modification of HAR, AHHX, CXR, and IBMIR appear to be promising strategies to improve graft survival.
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