Favorable Effects of Vitamin D Supplementation on Pregnancy Outcomes in Gestational Diabetes: A Double Blind Randomized Controlled Clinical Trial

Abstract

Gestational diabetes mellitus (GDM) has been recognized as a significant risk factor for unfavorable pregnancy outcomes. Prevalence of vitamin D deficiency is highly prevalent among women with GDM. This study was designed to assess the effect of vitamin D supplementation on pregnancy outcomes of pregnant women with GDM who were not on oral hypoglycemic agents. This randomized controlled clinical trial was performed among 45 pregnant women diagnosed with GDM at 24–28 weeks’ gestation. Subjects were randomly assigned to consume either vitamin D supplements (cholecalciferol) or placebo. Individuals in the vitamin D group (n=22) received 50 000 IU vitamin D3 pearl 2 times during the study: at study baseline and day 21 of intervention and those in placebo group (n=23) received 2 placebos at the mentioned times. Fasting blood samples were taken at baseline to measure fasting plasma glucose. Participants underwent a 3-h oral glucose tolerance tests (OGTT) and the blood samples were collected at time 60, 120, and 180 min to measure plasma glucose levels. Newborn’s weight, height, head circumference, Apgar score, and hyperbilirubinemia were determined. Taking vitamin D supplements, compared with placebo, resulted in improved pregnancy outcomes; such that those in the vitamin D group had no case of polyhydramnios, while 17.4 % of subjects in placebo group had this condition (p = 0.04). In addition, newborn’s hyperbilirubinemia was significantly lower in vitamin D group than that in placebo group (27.3 % vs. 60.9 %, p = 0.02). In conclusion, vitamin D supplementation for 6 weeks among pregnant women with GDM resulted in decreased maternal polyhydramnios and infant hyperbilirubinemia compared with placebo.


Abbreviations

- 25(OH)D: 25-Hydroxyvitamin D
- CBR: Completed bed rest
- CS: Cesarean section
- FPG: Fasting plasma glucose
- LGI: Low-glycemic index
- GDM: Gestational diabetes mellitus
- IUGR: Intrauterine growth retardation
- LBW: Low birth weight
- OGTT: Oral glucose tolerance test
- PPROM: Premature preterm rupture of membrane

Introduction

Gestational diabetes mellitus (GDM) is defined as glucose intolerance with onset or first recognition during pregnancy [1]. Prevalence of GDM during pregnancy ranges from 1–14 % of all pregnancies depending on the population studied and the diagnostic tests used [2]. The prevalence of this condition is 4.7 % among Iranian pregnant women [3]. GDM is associated with short-term and long-term adverse health outcomes for both mothers and offspring [4]. Infants of diabetic mothers are at risk for physiologic, metabolic, and congenital complications such as preterm birth, macrosomia, asphyxia, respiratory distress, hypoglycemia, hypocalcemia, hyperbilirubinemia, polycythemia and hyperviscosity, hypertrophic cardiomyopathy as well as congenital anomalies, particularly of the central nervous system [5]. Women with GDM have higher rates of Cesarean section (CS) [6], are at increased risk of pregnancy-associated hypertension [7] and increased risk of perinatal morbidity and type 2 diabetes in later life [5, 8].
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Currently, no strong evidence exist regarding the best intervention for the management of GDM [9], however, a low-glycemic index diet (LGI), healthy diet according to recommendations for the general population [10] or an exercise program [11] could be beneficial. Accumulating evidence have reported a link between vitamin D deficiency and abnormal glucose metabolism. Epidemiological data have indicated that pregnant women with GDM were more likely to be vitamin D deficient [12]. In our previous study, we found improved metabolic profiles among pregnant women with GDM following the consumption of 100 000 IU vitamin D supplements for 6 weeks [13]. Others have also reported the beneficial effects of vitamin D supplementation on metabolic status of GDM patients [14]. It is unclear if these improvements in metabolic profiles of GDM patients could be translated in improved pregnancy outcomes. Vitamin D supplementation in healthy nulliparous women did not influence pregnancy outcomes [15]. However, vitamin D supplementation might improve pregnancy outcomes of patients with GDM due to its effect on maternal insulin resistance, metabolic profiles [16], and increased metabolism of bile acids [17]. Therefore, we hypothesized that vitamin D supplementation might affect pregnancy outcomes of pregnant women with GDM. We are aware of no study examining the effect of vitamin D supplementation on pregnancy outcomes among women with GDM. The current study was, therefore, performed to investigate the effects of vitamin D supplementation on pregnancy outcomes in pregnant women with GDM who were not on oral hypoglycemic agents (OHAs).

Subjects and Methods ▼

Participants
This randomized double-blind placebo-controlled clinical trial was conducted at Kashan, Iran, during January 2013 to June 2013. For estimating sample size, we used a randomized clinical study sample size formula where type one (α) and type 2 errors (β) were 0.05 and 0.20 (power = 80%), respectively. Based on a previous study [18], we also considered 0.9 as SD and 0.55 cm as the difference in the mean (d) of newborns’ length at birth as key variables. According to this, we needed 23 subjects in each group to have 80% power of the study. Pregnant women primigravida, aged 18–40 years diagnosed with GDM by a 100 g oral glucose tolerance test at 24–28 weeks’ gestation were recruited in this study. Participants in the study were not on OHAs. Gestational age was assessed from the date of last menstrual period and concurrent clinical assessment [19]. Pregnant women without a previous diagnosis of glucose intolerance were screened for GDM by 2 procedures. First, a 50 g glucose challenge test was used as preliminary screening. Individuals with 1-h plasma glucose concentrations of > 140 mg/dl were then asked to participate in a 100 g oral glucose tolerance test (OGTT). Diagnosis of GDM was based on the criteria as set by the American Diabetes Association [20]: those whose plasma glucose met 2 of the following criteria were considered as having GDM: fasting > 95 mg/dl, 1-h ≥ 180 mg/dl, 2-h ≥ 155 mg/dl, and 3-h ≥ 140 mg/dl. A total of 960 pregnant women attending maternity clinics affiliated to Kashan University of Medical Sciences, Kashan, Iran, were screened for GDM. Finally, 50 pregnant women met the inclusion criteria (900 women were excluded due to not having GDM and 10 women were excluded because of the diagnosis of GDM class A2 that needed insulin therapy: FPG > 105 mg/dl and BS 2-h postprandial > 120 mg/dl). We excluded those with premature preterm rupture of membrane (PPROM), placenta abruption, pre-eclampsia, requiring to commence insulin therapy during intervention, hypothyroidism, urinary tract infection, smoking and kidney or liver diseases as well as those taking estrogen therapy. A total of 50 pregnant women were recruited in the study and after stratification for pre-intervention BMI (< 30 and ≥ 30 kg/m²) and weeks of gestation (< 26 or ≥ 26 weeks), they were randomly assigned to consume vitamin D supplements (n = 25) or the placebo (n = 25) for 6 weeks. Random assignment was done by the use of computer-generated random numbers. A trained midwife at maternity clinic did the randomized allocation sequence and assigned participants to interventions. The study was double blind because patients and the investigators were not aware of the treatment any particular subject was receiving. The study was conducted according to the guidelines laid down in the Declaration of Helsinki. The ethical committee of Kashan University of Medical Sciences approved the study and informed written consent was obtained from all participants. The trial was registered in the Iranian website (www.irct.ir) for registration of clinical trials (IRCT code: IRCT201305115623N7).

Study design
At study baseline and after stratification for pre-intervention BMI and weeks of gestation, subjects were randomly assigned to receive either vitamin D supplements (cholecalciferol) or placebo. Individuals in the vitamin D group received 50 000 IU vitamin D3 pearl (D-Vitin 50 000: Zahraei Pharm Co, Tabriz, Iran) 2 times during the study: at study baseline and day 21 of intervention and those in placebo group received 2 placebos (Barj Essence Co, Kashan, Iran) at the mentioned time. The duration of the study was 6 weeks. The appearance of the placebo capsules, their color, shape, size, and packaging were identical to the vitamin D3 capsules. Quality control of vitamin D supplements was done in the laboratory of Food and Drug Administration in Tehran, Iran by HPLC method. Following quality control, we found that the amount of cholecalciferol in the prescribed tablets was at the range of 47 500–52 500 IU. Participants were asked not to alter their routine physical activity or usual dietary intakes throughout the study and not to consume any supplements other than the one provided to them by the investigators. All subjects were also consuming 400 µg/d folic acid from the beginning of pregnancy and 60 mg/d ferrous sulfate from the second trimester. To assess compliance, patients were asked to bring the medication containers. Compliance was checked through counting unused pearls. To increase the compliance, all patients were receiving short messages on their cell phones to take the supplements each day. All pregnant women provided 3 dietary records (1 weekend day and 2 week days) and 3 physical activity records to make sure that they maintained their usual diet and physical activity during intervention. Both dietary and physical activity records were taken at weeks 2, 4, and 6 of intervention. Dietary intakes of participants throughout intervention were assessed by means of 3-day dietary records. The dietary records were based on estimated values in household measurements. To obtain nutrient intakes of participants based on these 3-day food diaries, we used Nutritionist IV software (First Databank, San Bruno, CA, USA) modified for Iranian foods. After diagnosis of GDM in patients attending the center, they were first instructed about the healthy diet; however, they were not given...
a specific menu and they were just participating in a nutritional
education class that focused on basics of healthy diet.

Assessment of anthropometric measures
Anthropometric measurements were assessed at pre-pregnancy,
baseline and after 6 weeks of intervention. Body weight was
measured in an overnight fasting status without shoes in a mini-
cimal clothing state by the use of a digital scale (Seca, Hamburg,
Germany) to the nearest 0.1 kg. Height was measured using a
nonstretched tape measure (Seca, Hamburg, Germany) to the
nearest 0.1 cm. Maternal weight was assessed at pre-pregnancy,
baseline, and after 6 weeks of intervention in maternity clinics
by trained midwives. BMI was calculated as weight in kg divided
by height in meters squared. Infants’ length and weight were
measured using standard methods (Seca 155 Scale, Hamburg,
Germany) during the first 24 h after birth and were recorded to
the nearest 1 mm and 10 g, respectively. Infants’ head circumfer-
ence was measured to the nearest 1 mm with a Seca girth meas-
taping. We also determined infants’ 1- and 5-min Apgar score as another measure of pregnancy outcome. Macrosomic
babies were defined as those whose birth weight was >4000 g
[21]. The ponderal index (kg/m³) of the infant was calculated.

Biochemical and polyhydramnios assessment
Fasting blood samples (5 ml) were taken at baseline and after 6
weeks intervention at Kashan reference laboratory in an early
morning after an overnight fasting. Blood samples were immedi-
ately centrifuged (Hettich, Tuttingen, Germany) at 3 500 rpm for
10 min to separate serum. Then, the samples were stored
at −70 °C before analysis at the KUMS reference laboratory.
Plasma glucose levels that were measured on the day of blood
sampling were quantified by the use of glucose oxidase/peroxi-
dase (GOD-POD) method with commercially available kits (Pars
Azmun Co, Tehran, Iran). Participants underwent a 3-h oral glu-
dose tolerance tests (OGTT) and blood samples were collected at
time 60, 120, and 180 min to measure plasma glucose levels.
Serum 25-hydroxyvitamin D concentrations were assayed using
a commercial ELISA kit (IDS, Boldon, UK). The inter- and intra-
assay CVs for serum 25-hydroxyvitamin D assays ranged from 5
to 7.5%. Hyperbilirubinemia was considered when the total
serum bilirubin level was at or above 15 mg/dl (257 mol/l) in
infants 25–48 h old, 18 mg/dl (308 mol/l) in infants 49–72 h old,
and 20 mg/dl (342 mol/l) in infants older than 72 h [22]. Polyhy-
dramnios was diagnosed with sonographic estimation method
at post-intervention. On the basis of this measurement, polyhy-
dramnios was defined as an amniotic fluid index (AFI) in excess
of 25 cm [16].

Statistical analysis
To ensure the normal distribution of variables, Histogram and
Kolmogorov-Smirnov test were applied. We used independent
samples Student’s t-test to detect differences in baseline meas-
ures as well as in dietary intakes between the 2 groups. Pearson
Chi-square test was used for comparison of categorical variables.
The effect of supplementation on outcome variables was exam-
ined through the use of repeated measure analysis of variance. In
these analyses, treatment (vitamin D supplements vs. placebo)
was considered as between-subject factor and time (Baseline vs.
week 6 of intervention) as within-subject factor. A p-value
of <0.05 was considered as statistically significant. All statistical
analyses were done using Statistical Package for Social Sciences
version 17 (SPSS Inc., Chicago, Illinois, USA).

Results
Among individuals in the placebo group, 2 women [needed to
commence insulin therapy (n = 1) or pre-eclampsia (n = 1)] were
excluded. The exclusions in the vitamin D group were 3 persons
[IUF D (n = 1), placenta abruption (n = 1), and CBR (n = 1)]. Finally,
45 participants [placebo (n = 23) and vitamin D (n = 22)] com-
pleted the trial (Fig. 1). No side effects were reported following
the consumption of vitamin D supplements in patients with
GDM throughout the study.
Mean age of study participants was 30.9 ± 5.8 years. Gestational
age, age, pre-pregnancy weight and BMI means was not statisti-
cally different between the 2 groups (Table 1). Baseline weight
and BMI as well as post-intervention means of these variables
were not significantly different between vitamin D and placebo
groups. Vitamin D supplementation resulted in a significant rise

![Fig. 1] Summary of patient flow.
in serum 25-hydroxyvitamin D levels (21.5 vs. 0.6 ng/ml, p < 0.001) compared with the placebo. Based on 3-day dietary records obtained throughout the intervention, no statistically significant difference was seen between the 2 groups in terms of dietary intakes of energy, fats, proteins, carbohydrates, saturated fatty acids (SFA), polyunsaturated fatty acids (PUFA), cholesterol, dietary fiber, selenium, magnesium, vitamin C, calcium, and vitamin D (Table 2).

Taking vitamin D supplements, compared with placebo, resulted in improved pregnancy outcomes; such that those in the vitamin D group had no case of polyhydramnios, while 17.4% of subjects in placebo group had this condition (9.5% vs. 20.5%, p = 0.04). In addition, newborn’s hyperbilirubinemia was significantly lower in vitamin D group than that in placebo group (27.3% vs. 45.9%, p = 0.02) (Table 3). We did not find a significant difference in cesarean section rate, needing to insulin therapy after intervention, preterm delivery, newborn’s birth size, ponderal index, and Apgar score comparing the 2 groups.

### Discussion

The present study revealed that vitamin D supplementation for 6 weeks among pregnant women with GDM resulted in decreased maternal polyhydramnios and infant hyperbilirubinemia compared with placebo; however, we did not find any significant affect on cesarean section rate, needing to insulin therapy after intervention, newborn’s birth size, and Apgar score. To the best of our knowledge, this is the first study reporting the effect of vitamin D supplementation on pregnancy outcomes of pregnant women with GDM.

Gestational diabetes is linked with several adverse pregnancy outcomes in maternal, offspring’s, as well as later in their lives [8, 23–25]. The current study showed that taking vitamin D supplements in pregnant women with GDM resulted in decreased polyhydramnios, but did not affect rate of cesarean section, need to commence insulin therapy after intervention, newborn’s birth size, and Apgar score. In agreement with our study, vitamin D supplements in pregnant women with GDM did not affect preterm delivery in healthy pregnant women [26]. Furthermore, vitamin D intake of 15–20 μg/d, compared with less than 5 μg/d, did not result in lower occurrence of pre-eclampsia among healthy nulliparous women [15]. Nonetheless,
data on the effect of vitamin D supplementation on maternal, perinatal, or infant health outcomes in GDM patients are scarce. In a study by Koskinen et al. [27] lower 25(OH)D levels in amniotic fluid were found in diabetic mothers than normal pregnant women. The effect of supplementation on polyhydramnios in the current study might be attributed to its impact on decreased maternal insulin resistance [16]. Maternal hyperglycemia results in fetal hyperglycemia and fetal hyperinsulinemia, which in turn leads to enhanced glycogen synthesis, lipogenesis, increased protein synthesis, and thus fetal organomegaly and fat deposition. Our previous study showed that vitamin D supplementation for 6 weeks in GDM patients resulted in decreased serum insulin levels and insulin resistance [13].

We failed to find any significant effect of vitamin D supplementations on newborn’s birth size, ponderal index and Apgar score. In line with ours, several observational studies did not show a relation between maternal vitamin D status and newborn’s birth size. Mehta et al. [28] found no significant association between maternal vitamin D status among HIV-infected pregnant women and pregnancy outcomes including low birth weight and pre-term birth. The same findings have also been reported in other investigations [29, 30]. Ergocalciferol supplementation (25 mg/d) among pregnant women did not affect mean birth weight in other studies [31, 32]. In opposite, taking either one oral dose of 1 500 μg vitamin D3 or 2 doses of 3 000 μg vitamin D3 in the second and third trimesters resulted in an increased birth weight, length, and head circumference [18]. Discrepancies between our findings and others might be related to different doses of vitamin D used, study designs and period of supplementation as well as subjects’ characteristics.

We found that vitamin D supplementation in pregnant women with GDM resulted in decreased newborn’s hyperbilirubinemia. Improved liver enzyme levels in cord blood (CB) of the infants whose mothers received one dose of 1500μg vitamin D3 in the second trimester or 2 doses of 3000μg vitamin D3 each in the second and third trimesters were seen compared with the controls [18]. In another study by Marya et al. [33] supplementation with 2 large doses of 600000IU each in the 7th and 8th months has led to a significant improvement in serum liver enzyme in maternal as well as cord sera. Several mechanisms can explain the effects of vitamin D supplementation on reduced newborn’s hyperbilirubinemia. Active form of vitamin D, 1α,25-dihydroxyvitamin D3 induces vitamin D receptors (VDR), which in turn act as a receptor for secondary bile acids, such as lithocholic acid and 3-ketocholanic acid, and results in their catabolism via induction of cytochrome (CYP) 3A enzymes [17, 34]. In addition, maternal hyperglycemia and the subsequent induction of fetal hyperinsulinemia as well as reduced oxygenation are hypothesized to result in increased fetal oxygen uptake, fetal erythropoiesis, and subsequent hyperbilirubinemia [35]. Our study has some limitations. First is the duration of this trial. We were unable to continue the supplementation for more than 6 weeks due to the special condition of pregnant women. Second, we did not assess the effects of vitamin D supplementation on other pregnancy outcomes including neonatal respiratory distress syndrome and vitamin D concentrations in amniotic fluid.

In conclusion, vitamin D supplementation for 6 weeks among pregnant women with GDM resulted in decreased maternal polyhydramnios and hyperbilirubinemia compared with the placebo, but did not affect cesarean section rate, needing to insulin therapy after intervention, newborn’s birth size, and Apgar score.
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Placebo group† (n = 23)</th>
<th>Vitamin D group†† (n = 22)</th>
<th>p†††</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cesarean section (%)</td>
<td>15 (65.2)</td>
<td>11 (50.0)</td>
<td>0.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Need to insulin therapy after intervention (%)</td>
<td>2 (8.7)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>0.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pre-eclampsia (%)</td>
<td>1 (4.3)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>0.32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Polyhydramnios (%)</td>
<td>4 (17.4)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maternal hospitalization (%)</td>
<td>3 (13.0)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>0.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Preterm delivery (%)</td>
<td>1 (4.3)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>0.32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Macrosomia &gt; 4 000 g (%)</td>
<td>3 (13.0)</td>
<td>1 (4.5)</td>
<td>0.31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gestational age (weeks)</td>
<td>38.6 ± 1.2</td>
<td>38.2 ± 0.9</td>
<td>0.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Newborns’ weight (g)</td>
<td>3 271.3 ± 487.7</td>
<td>3 391.8 ± 450.2</td>
<td>0.39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Newborns’ length (cm)</td>
<td>50.7 ± 2.1</td>
<td>50.2 ± 2.6</td>
<td>0.46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Newborns’ head circumference (cm)</td>
<td>35.5 ± 1.3</td>
<td>35.3 ± 1.1</td>
<td>0.62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ponderal index (kg/m³)</td>
<td>1.66 ± 0.28</td>
<td>1.71 ± 0.29</td>
<td>0.56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1-min Apgar score</td>
<td>8.9 ± 0.3</td>
<td>9.0 ± 0.0</td>
<td>0.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5-min Apgar score</td>
<td>9.9 ± 0.3</td>
<td>10.0 ± 0.0</td>
<td>0.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Newborns’ hyperbilirubinemia (%)</td>
<td>14 (60.9)</td>
<td>6 (27.3)</td>
<td>0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Newborns’ hospitalization (%)</td>
<td>14 (60.9)</td>
<td>6 (27.3)</td>
<td>0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Newborns’ hypoglycemia (%)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>2 (9.1)</td>
<td>0.13</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3 The effect of vitamin D supplementation on pregnancy outcomes.
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