In an aging European population, an increasing number of individuals will suffer from gastric cancer in the coming two decades. Recent research has determined the risk for gastric cancer in patients with different stages of gastric atrophy. Based on these data, it is now recommended that surveillance be offered to individuals with advanced stages of atrophic gastritis. Endoscopic biopsies of the gastric antrum and corpus are recommended in order to assess the severity and extent of gastric atrophy. This enables identification of those at highest risk of progressing to cancer. However, systematic reviews have shown that in recent years many researchers have assessed new endoscopic technologies for their accuracy in determining the severity and extent of gastric atrophy and metaplasia without the use of histology. Simple, reliable and accurate endoscopic features have been identified that can be used to either target biopsies or avoid biopsy sampling in the absence of endoscopic features of atrophy and intestinal metaplasia. This may largely simplify everyday practice. Randomized trials or large observational studies are now needed to demonstrate the accuracy of endoscopic assessment of the entire gastric mucosa and its impact on patient management.

Nearly a quarter of human cancers arise in the gastrointestinal tract [1]. Interestingly, almost with no exception, these cancers are accessible by endoscopy and have identifiable and often treatable precursors. For example, Barrett’s esophagus and colonic adenomas are well-known precursors of adenocarcinoma at their respective sites. Both lesions are widely studied, with a focus on improvement in their detection, natural history, and impact of endoscopic intervention [2, 3]. However, according to Western literature, the stomach seems to be the outlier in this discussion. This is surprising because the number of gastric cancer cases is expected to increase in Europe in the next two decades [1]. The lack of interest is likely due to a variety of reasons, including the prevailing misbelief that gastric cancer is a vanishing disease. Furthermore, endoscopic features of atrophic gastritis have been poorly defined. They also affect larger fields than Barrett’s esophagus or colonic adenoma. However, these perspectives are changing. First, current image-enhanced endoscopy has greatly improved the accuracy of endoscopic detection of atrophy and metaplasia [4]. Second, the risk of cancer in an affected individual appears distinctly higher than the average risk in patients with Barrett’s esophagus or after removal of sporadic colonic adenomas [5–8]. There is therefore a need to reset the clinical and research agendas.

In the stomach, gland loss and intestinal metaplasia have long been recognized to be associated with an increased risk of gastric cancer. The first studies establishing this correlation were conducted as far back as the 1950s, and were originally based on fluoroscopy-guided gastric biopsies without endoscopy. This was in fact prior to the recognition of an association between Barrett’s esophagus and colonic adenoma with adenocarcinoma at these sites. Further research on gastric atrophy was at times slow, but has increased in recent years. This has led to recent guidelines recommending that individuals with extensive, severe atrophy and metaplasia should be identified and kept under surveillance [5, 9]. These recommendations were based on studies that used random gastric biopsies to assess gastric atrophy and metaplasia, which can be multifocal. For this purpose, biopsies should be obtained from both the antrum and corpus. Several studies...
have shown that diagnostic accuracy increases with the number of biopsies, but for practical reasons the numbers are usually limited to at least two from the antrum and two from the corpus. Efforts have been made to translate the resulting histological information into staging rules using either atrophy or intestinal metaplasia, which is a more advanced precancerous lesion and is associated with a higher observer reproducibility. These staging systems cross-tabulate with the severity of atrophy or metaplasia in the antrum and corpus [10, 11]. Patients with moderate to severe lesions at both locations are categorized as OLGA (Operative Link on Gastritis Assessment) or OLGIM (Operative Link on Gastric Intestinal Metaplasia Assessment) stage III to IV. These individuals have a significant risk for progression to dysplastic or neoplastic changes during follow-up [9,12]. This phenotype corresponds to low levels of serum pepsinogens and pepsinogen ratios. This serum-marker categorization is used in Japan for population screening to identify individuals who require surveillance [13].

Random biopsy sampling from the antrum and corpus, subsequent staging, and the recommendation for surveillance of subgroups provide means to identify and manage patients at risk for gastric cancer. However, one should recognize that random biopsy sampling may miss relevant lesions. These changes are multifocal, and without endoscopic targeting focal changes may easily be under- or overdiagnosed. Furthermore, the sampling of biopsies poses a burden to the routine endoscopy setting, both in terms of workload and cost. Thus, the accuracy and impact of image-enhanced endoscopy for assessment of premalignant gastric changes has become relevant. This is fully in line with developments in other areas, such as Barrett’s esophagus and colorectal polyps.

Another perspective on current evidence: image-enhanced endoscopy allows accurate assessment of gastric intestinal metaplasia

Recent studies have focused on the accuracy of diverse technologies versus histopathology. These have been summarized in a recent systematic review [14], but here the following examples by Pimentel-Nunes, Lim, and So and their colleagues are considered. Pimentel-Nunes et al. [4] assessed the interobserver agreement of endoscopic recognition of premalignant gastric lesions with narrow-band imaging (NBI). This was done in derivation and validation cohorts of patients. After reviewing the literature, the same investigators determined both the reliability and accuracy of a simplified classification of mucosal and vascular patterns compatible with premalignant changes of the gastric mucosa. The investigators aimed to establish an optimal, reliable classification for targeting areas with intestinal metaplasia and to recognize neoplastic changes. The features that form the basis for the classification can be easily learned [15]. Based on these features, the authors recognized three patterns that respectively reflected absence of intestinal metaplasia or dysplasia, presence of intestinal metaplasia, and presence of neoplastic changes. The absence of a tubulovillous mucosal pattern (the so-called pattern B) or “light-blue crest” changes virtually allows endoscopists to exclude the presence of intestinal metaplasia. The presence of either of these features is strongly predictive of intestinal metaplasia (likelihood ratio of 4.75 and 5.13, respectively). This is particularly relevant to settings in which the prevalence of intestinal metaplasia is higher than 20%, such as in older patients, those with a family history of gastric cancer, and in regions of intermediate to high incidence of gastric adenocarcinoma [16]. Two limitations of the study were that it was based on videos rather
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than life examinations, and no per-individual assessment was made. In a second study, Lim et al. [17] assessed probe-based confocal endomicroscopy (pCLE) for the identification of intestinal metaplasia. This technology has already been used for the detection of dysplasia in other conditions (e.g. Barrett plasia. This technology has already been used for the detection of endomicroscopy (pCLE) for the identification of intestinal metaplasia. They used the same features as the Pimentel-Nunes study (pattern B and light blue crest). Again, the study showed that trimodal imaging adequately predicted the presence of intestinal metaplasia.

Finally, in a third study, So et al. [18] compared tri-modal imaging (WLE with AFI and magnified NBI) with WLE alone to accurately identify individuals with intestinal metaplasia. They used the same features as the Pimentel-Nunes study (pattern B and light blue crest). Again, the study showed that trimodal imaging adequately predicted the presence of intestinal metaplasia (\textit{Fig. 1}). This allows targeted biopsy sampling, with the advantage of reduced sampling and higher yield. This also addresses the multifocal nature of gastric atrophy and metaplasia. With use of these techniques, random biopsies can be replaced by targeted biopsies.
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\caption{Suggested study designs. \textbf{a} Case–control studies can be used to identify endoscopic features, and to determine their reliability and accuracy for assessment of the OLGIM status of an individual patient. The table provides the number of patients to be included. Taped videos from individuals with known histology and status are assessed. The number of participants depends on the required accuracy estimates. Moreover, the use of likelihood ratios is suggested to overcome the dependency on prevalence of predictive values. Interobserver reliability assessment should be done by using sets of taped videos or images that incorporate the entire spectrum of “reality” (OLGIM 0 to IV). The different measures used include kappa, which may overcome chance agreement, and the proportion of agreement (i.e. that for specific features), which may allow improvement of descriptors. \textbf{b} Cohort studies can be conducted to derive and validate endoscopic features, and to determine accuracy in determining OLGIM status of interest. Retrospective (based on taped videos) or prospective cohorts may be designed where individual status is assessed based on endoscopic features (shown to be reliable) and accuracy measures have been estimated. A validation cohort, preferably in different centers, will ideally follow the derivation cohort. The number of patients to be included will depend on the desired standard error, but researchers should also consider expected prevalence of OLGIM III/IV (i.e. the a priori chance of finding these individuals). The table shows values based on a prevalence of advanced stages of approximately 20%. se, sensitivity; sp, specificity; LR, likelihood ratio.}
\end{figure}
Evidence in perspective

Take home messages

Research should focus on placing endoscopy as the gold standard for the premalignant stomach!

At this stage, it is important that further studies confirm and expand these findings. We hereby propose steps towards the generation of evidence to support or refute the use of currently available technologies in this setting. Briefly, the steps aim to move away from using histological examination of a specific site for a multifocal process, and to use staging systems as the gold standard to validate the use of endoscopy, and finally to adopt endoscopy as the new gold standard.

Studies should first focus on strengthening the evidence for the use of the new image-enhanced endoscopy techniques for the assessment of intestinal changes in the gastric mucosa. These studies should also focus on intra- and interobserver consistency, and accuracy of severity grading. These aspects can first be addressed by use of video images of case studies. Histopathological assessment and classification of results using OLGIM can be used as the gold standard. Case–control studies (Fig. 2a) or (preferably) validation cohorts (Fig. 2b) can further improve evidence. Second, patients can be enrolled in studies with various designs: randomization to compare different technologies (different modalities of endoscopic assessment) (Fig. 3a,b), with histology assessment as the outcome; or randomization to endoscopy vs. histology. In this case, other risk factors for individuals to harbor precancerous conditions should be recognized and assessed, as predictive values are affected by these.

Third, the evidence on the natural history of gastric precancerous lesions must be revisited in order to provide an accurate incidence rate for gastric cancer. This will help to optimize targeted surveillance, and also allow to refrain from surveillance in patients with a low risk phenotype. It will also help to schedule surveillance and further measures for those with premalignant stomachs.

Future studies should aim to identify individuals who are at risk, with sensitivities of 90% or above. In this assessment, a direct comparison should be made between endoscopic and histologic assessment using staging systems. Thus, both the presence and the distribution of premalignant lesions should be taken into account because both components translate into the risk for progression of disease. Endoscopic technologies should be considered in a continuum. Systems may well integrate non- and moderate-magnification techniques, with or without electronic chromoendoscopy (e.g. WLE, light-NBI) and high-magnification methods (e.g. pCLE). The final application of an endoscopic method to estimate an individual’s risk of cancer will also depend on the epidemiological context such as the prevalence of Helicobacter pylori infection.

Take home messages

a) Current clinical guidelines suggest that random biopsies are required to assess the presence of multifocal gastric intestinal metaplasia – the phenotype carrying the highest risk for gastric adenocarcinoma.

b) Various studies and a systematic review suggest that image-enhanced endoscopy reliably and accurately determines the presence of intestinal metaplasia.

c) Further studies should confirm that targeted biopsies based on image-enhanced endoscopy can replace the current practice of routine random sampling.

d) Steps to build evidence for the use of gastric staging systems (i.e. OLGA, OLGIM) have been proposed.
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