Subserosal recurrence without mucosal involvement diagnosed 5 years after endoscopic submucosal dissection for early rectal cancer

A 61-year-old woman underwent successful en bloc endoscopic submucosal dissection (ESD) for the management of a 4-cm, nodular, mixed-type, laterally spreading tumor located 11 cm from the anal verge (Fig. 1). Although the depth of submucosal invasion was 3000 μm, the patient refused additional surgical treatment. Three weeks after ESD, the patient developed an extraperitoneal pelvic abscess, which was caused by delayed rectal perforation and required percutaneous drainage.

During 5 years of post-ESD follow-up, surveillance colonoscopy showed no recurrence at the ESD scar (Fig. 2). However, surveillance positron emission tomography-computed tomography conducted 5 years after the initial endoscopic treatment revealed a newly formed, intensely hypermetabolic mass in the right wall of the rectum, with perirectal invasion (Fig. 2a). Laparoscopic low anterior resection with lymph node dissection was performed. Examination of the specimen revealed a 4.5 × 3.5 cm subserosal mass, located 2 cm distal to the previous endoscopic resection scar, with no evidence of mucosal changes (Fig. 3). Pathological examination of the specimen showed a moderately differentiated T3N0 adenocarcinoma with lymphatic and perineural invasion. The recurrent rectal cancer had invaded the subserosal layer, but the mucosal layer was intact and not involved (Fig. 4).

The recurrence pattern after endoscopic resection of colorectal tumors is usually restricted to intramural tissues, with a mucosal lesion at the previous endoscopic mucosal resection or ESD site [1–3]. The exact mechanism of local recurrence in this patient is unknown, although we suspect that recurrence was caused by implantation of residual tumor cells in the subserosal area after ESD as a result of delayed rectal perforation and abscess formation. We suggest that this case represents an unusual pattern of local recurrence after ESD, as opposed to a new lesion. Thorough long-term follow-up with multimodal evaluations is therefore necessary after ESD, in order to detect any local recurrence.
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Fig. 3 Examination of the specimen showed a 4.5 × 3.5 cm subserosal mass (empty arrow) located 2 cm distal to the previous endoscopic resection scar (filled arrow), with no evidence of mucosal changes.

Fig. 4 a Microscopic examination revealed a moderately differentiated adenocarcinoma with lymphatic and perineural invasion. b The tumor had invaded the subserosal layer, but the mucosal layer was intact.