Duodenal perforation following bile duct endoprosthesis placement

A 66-year-old woman with abdominal pain and jaundice reported to our emergency department. An ultrasound examination revealed hepatic metastases with distension of the common bile duct, indicating a primary malignancy of the pancreas or bile ducts. After an unsuccessful attempt to restore bile outflow via stent- ing, a second endoscopic retrograde cholangiopancreatography (ERCP) was conducted. During this procedure, a stenosis of the left hepatic ducts was observed, raising suspicion of a primary malignancy of the bile ducts. Therefore, a 15-cm-long endoprosthesis was inserted in an attempt to secure the outflow of bile from the left hepatic system. The distal part of the endoprosthesis was locked in place, just external to the papilla (Fig. 1). Initial improvement during the first 2 days was quickly followed by the return of severe abdominal discomfort. Computed tomography revealed intra-abdominal fluid but no signs indicating a duodenal perforation, such as intra- or retroperitoneal air or retroperitoneal fluid collections (Fig. 2). Interestingly, drained abdominal fluid was found to resemble bile closely. A third ERCP showed the endoprosthesis penetrating the duodenal wall and acting as a plug, thus explaining the absence of intra-abdominal air and the bile-like characteristics of the abdominal fluid (Fig. 3). Possibly the high pressure on the endoprosthesis caused by the malignant process pushed it toward the duodenal wall, after which it penetrated the wall. The endoprosthesis was removed and the perforation sealed with endoclips; contrast was then applied adjacent to the duodenum to evaluate the seal (Fig. 4).

In general, sphincterotomy, a major risk factor for perforation, is the most common cause of perforation, which in these cases is often retroperitoneal [1–3]. In contrast, here we report an intra-abdominal perforation that occurred several days following the placement of an endoprosthesis. Finally, the aforementioned perforation was closed with endoclips. It has previously been reported that duodenal wall perforations can be treated with endoscopic clipping if this is deemed feasible [4–8].
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