Efficacy of short period, low dose oral prednisolone for the prevention of stricture after circumferential endoscopic submucosal dissection (ESD) for esophageal cancer
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Background and aims: Endoscopic submucosal dissection (ESD) was developed in Japan and has been performed on many patients with early stage esophageal cancer; however quality of life in patients with postoperative stricture is drastically decreased and repeat, periodic endoscopic balloon dilatation (EBD) is usually required over long periods. In this study, we evaluate the efficacy of short period, low dose oral prednisolone in controlling post-procedural esophageal stricture.

Patients and methods: In total, 33 patients who underwent semicircular or complete circular ESD for esophageal superficial squamous cell carcinoma were included in this study. They were divided into two groups: those who underwent large-circumference ESD with no preventative treatment for stricture (ESD alone group) and those who received systemic steroid treatment for stricture (oral prednisolone group). We compared the two groups in terms of stricture rate and total number of EBD sessions. The ESD alone group underwent no preventative treatment. The oral prednisolone group started with 30mg/day prednisolone on the second day post-ESD, and continued with a gradually tapering prednisolone dose, finally discontinuing systemic steroid administration 3 weeks later.

Results: The stricture rate after ESD was significantly lower in the oral prednisolone group (3 of 17 patients; 17.6%) than in the ESD alone group (11 of 16 patients; 68.7%) (P<0.01). The number of EBD sessions was significantly lower in the oral prednisolone group than in the ESD alone group (median 4.6, range 2–10 vs. median 8.1, range 1–18; P<0.01).

Conclusion: Short period, low dose oral prednisolone showed promising results for the prevention of stricture after ESD for early stage esophageal cancers.

Introduction

Endoscopic submucosal dissection (ESD) was developed in Japan and has been performed on many patients with early stage esophageal cancer and a low risk of lymph node metastasis. It is minimally invasive and offers excellent results [1, 2], permitting en bloc resection of large lesions that could not otherwise be achieved with conventional endoscopic mucosal resection (EMR); however stricture is a major complication after large-circumference ESD. This stricture formation is a wound healing process, which is caused by accumulation of collagen and thickening of the muscle layers. Multivariate analysis has shown that a mucosal defect of more than three-quarters of the circumference of the esophageal lumen is a reliable predictor of stricture formation [3, 4]. Postoperative stricture drastically decreases a patient’s quality of life and requires repeat, periodic endoscopic balloon dilatation (EBD) over long periods [5].

There are many reports concerning the prevention of strictures after ESD for esophageal superficial squamous cell carcinoma (SCC), and preventive EBD is an example of one of the treatments; however even after six sessions of preventive EBD, strictures still frequently occur [6] and preventive EBD sometimes causes perforation [7]. Recently, there have been several reports that steroids are effective at preventing strictures after ESD for esophageal superficial SCC. Steroids can not only inhibit collagen synthesis but also enhance collagen breakdown, thereby inhibiting stricture formation [8]. The efficacy of prophylactic intraluminal steroid injection in the prevention of post ESD stricture has been reported. Hoshimoto et al. [9] reported that intraluminal steroid injection is safe and effective for the prevention of post ESD stricture. Also, Hanaoka et al. [10] reported that a single session of intraluminal...
steroid injection is effective for the prevention of post ESD stricture; however some patients do not respond to local steroid therapy. In addition, potential risks for esophageal perforation and mediastinitis or pleural effusion are associated with local therapy [8]. In such patients with hard strictures, systemic steroid administration can resolve the complication [11, 12]. The efficacy of oral prednisolone for the prevention of stricture after ESD for esophageal cancer has been reported [13]. Although this method reduced the stricture rate and the frequency of EBD, the cumulative dose of prednisolone was approximately 1000 mg and exposure to such a high prednisolone dose raises concerns with regard to adverse effects. Therefore, we examined the efficacy of short period oral prednisolone with a total dose of less than 500 mg in the treatment of benign esophageal strictures after ESD for esophageal superficial SCC. The aim of our study was to investigate the efficacy and safety of administration of short period, low dose oral prednisolone after ESD for esophageal superficial SCC in patients with a mucosal defect involving more than three-quarters of the circumference of the esophageal lumen.

Patients and methods

Between May 2008 and January 2014, 132 superficial squamous cell neoplasms of the esophagus were treated with ESD in 113 patients at the International University of Health and Welfare Mita Hospital and Tokyo Medical University Hospital. Of these, 33 were left with a defect involving semicircular or complete circular ESD and were enrolled in this study. All of the esophageal tumors extended to involve more than three-quarters of the circumference of the esophageal lumen. The location of tumors was divided into the cervical esophagus, upper thoracic esophagus, middle thoracic esophagus, and lower thoracic esophagus [14]. The morphology of endoscopic tumors was classified in accordance with the Paris endoscopic classification [15]. Patients with lesions suspected of involving the muscularis mucosa or deeper were excluded from the study because of the high risk of nodal metastasis and probable need for additional therapy such as surgery and chemotherapy, or radiation therapy. The eligibility criteria were as follows: (i) no lymph node metastasis on computed tomography (CT) scanning; (ii) no organ failure; and (iii) written informed consent had been obtained. Between May 2008 and August 2011, 16 patients among the 33 with superficially extending SCC underwent large-circumferential ESD with no preventative treatment for stricture. This group was classified as the ESD alone group. If the patients complained of dysphagia, EBD was performed for stricture after esophageal ESD. Between September 2011 and January 2014, 17 patients with superficially extending SCC underwent large-circumferential ESD with systemic steroid treatment for stricture. This group was classified as the oral prednisolone group. The oral prednisolone group started with 30 mg/day prednisolone on the second day post-ESD, continued with a gradually tapering prednisolone dose (30, 20, and 10 mg/day in weeks 1, 2, and 3, respectively) and then discontinued systemic steroid administration after 3 weeks. We compared the two groups in terms of stricture rate and total number of EBD sessions. This study was approved by the Institutional Review Board (IRB) of our institution. The patients were informed that treatment in a retrospective IRB study was covered by Japanese national insurance, and the patients consented to all these conditions.

ESD

Written informed consent was obtained from all of the patients before the esophageal ESD procedures. For the ESD alone group, ESD was performed under general anesthesia, and for the oral prednisolone group, it was performed under conscious sedation (topical pharyngeal anesthesia using xylocaine spray, and intravenous sedation with midazolam and pethidine hydrochloride). We used a Q260J endoscope equipped with a water-jet system (Olympus Medical Systems, Tokyo, Japan) with an Endocut mode setting of 80 W and a forced coagulation mode of 50 W in an ICC 200 electrosurgical unit (ERBE Elektrodenzid, Tübingen, Germany), and an Endocut mode setting of effect 2, at 40 W and a swift coagulation mode of effect 3, at 25 W in a VIO300D electrosurgical unit (ERBE Elektrodenzid, Tübingen, Germany). After the tumor outlines had been delineated by iodine staining, marker dots were placed circumferentially outside the tumor margins using a dual knife (KD-650; Olympus Medical Systems, Tokyo, Japan). Mucosal incision and submucosal dissection were performed using a dual knife. A 50:50 mixture of 0.4% sodium hyaluronic acid solution (Mucoup; Johnson and Johnson K.K., Tokyo, Japan) and saline solution was used for submucosal injection. Minor bleeding was stopped using a dual knife in forced coagulation mode. When hemorrhage from a larger vessel was observed, the bleeding point was coagulated with bipolar hemostatic forceps (HemoStat-Y; Pentax, Tokyo, Japan), used with a bipolar mode setting of 25 W in the attached electrosurgical unit (ICC 200 and VIO300D; ERBE Elektrodenzid, Tübingen, Germany).

Follow-up and end points

Esophagogastroduodenoscopy (EGD) was performed on demand whenever patients complained of dysphagia. Among those cases, EBD was performed when patients experienced persistent dysphagia. If the patient had no complaint of dysphagia, EGD was performed 8 weeks after ESD to evaluate any possible stricture. The primary end point in this study was the stricture rate after ESD followed by oral prednisolone. The secondary end point was the number of EBD sessions required to resolve the stricture. A stricture was defined as a difficulty in swallowing solids or an inability to pass an EGD (9.2 mm diameter endoscope). Statistical analysis was performed using Fisher’s exact test. A P-value of less than 0.01 was considered to represent a statistically significant difference.

Results

Table 1 shows the characteristics of the patients in both groups: 17 patients in the oral prednisolone group and 16 in the ESD alone group. No significant differences were seen between the two groups in terms of background parameters including sex, tumor location, endoscopic finding, resection size, and operation time. There were three complete circular ESD cases in the oral prednisolone group, and two complete circular ESD cases in the ESD alone group. All cases were resected en bloc with tumor-free lateral and basal margins. No complications (e.g., postoperative bleeding, perforation, pneumomediastinum or pneumoderma) were seen after this procedure. Minor bleeding occurred during ESD in most cases, but hemostasis was achieved during all procedures. No patients demonstrated local recurrence, or metastatic tumors on follow-up examination. There were no treatment-related mortalities within 30 days after ESD,
and no disease-specific deaths were observed during a median follow-up of 12 months. Operating time was longer in the ESD alone group compared with the oral prednisolone group because ESD was performed under general anesthesia in the ESD alone group.

As shown in Table 2, post-procedural esophageal stricture was significantly lower in the oral prednisolone group (3 of 17 patients; 17.6%) than in the ESD alone group (11 of 16 patients; 68.7%) ($P<0.01$). The number of EBD sessions was significantly lower in the oral prednisolone group than in the ESD alone group (median 4.6, range 2 – 10 vs. median 8.1, range 1 – 18; $P<0.01$). There were no complications related to EBD itself in either group and no adverse events related to oral prednisolone.

A representative non-stricture case in the oral prednisolone group is shown in Fig. 1 and a representative stricture case in the ESD alone group is shown in Fig. 2. The follow-up period varied among the patients from 4 months to 30 months. During this period, no patients in the oral prednisolone group developed delayed stricture after 8 weeks.

**Discussion**

Esophageal ESD is now established as a useful treatment for early stage esophageal cancer and superficial squamous cell neo-

plasms, but there is still a substantial risk of complications [1 – 3]. In particular, now that there is widespread application of ESD for superficial SCC, strictures have become a major concern with regard to clinical outcome [3]. They cause severe dysphagia, and result in a decreased quality of life [16]. Additionally, Ono et al. reported that 90% of patients with lesions occupying more than three-quarters of the circumferential extent experienced postoperative stricture [17]. Therefore, several preventive measures have been adopted to avoid esophageal strictures now that there is widespread application of esophageal ESD. For instance, preventive EBD has been reported [16]. This was repeated weekly until complete healing of the mucosal defect was achieved and it was found that the incidence of stricture was lower with preventive EBD than without [16]; however it was necessary to repeat the procedure many times, so the burden on patients was great. Ezo et al. reported a stricture rate of 59% in preventive EBD [6]. These results were not satisfactory.

Other studies have reported the efficacy of intraluminal steroid injection for prevention of post-ESD strictures. Hashimoto et al. and Hanaoka et al. reported stricture rates of 19% and 10%, respectively, with injected corticosteroids [9,10]; however repeated endoscopic procedures were required and there were some cases with refractory strictures despite intraluminal steroid injection followed by EBD [11,12]. In addition, a risk of esophageal perforation is associated with local steroid injection therapy [8]. On the other hand, oral prednisolone in the treatment of esophageal strictures after ESD has recently been reported. Yamaguchi et al. reported a stricture rate of 5.3% with high dose oral prednisolone [13]. This treatment does not require an endoscopic procedure, therefore the burden on patients is not heavy, and there were no adverse events. Sato et al. reported the number of EBD sessions as 13.8 for prednisolone + EBD and 33.5 for EBD alone after complete circumferential ESD [18]; however the cumulative dose was greater than 1000 mg of prednisolone administered over 8 weeks, so dose and period of steroid use, and the potential risk of prednisolone-related adverse events [19 – 21] should be considered.

So, we studied the efficacy of administration of short period, low dose oral prednisolone. In our study, the administration period was only 3 weeks, and the total steroid dose was only 420 mg. As a result, the stricture rate was 17.6% with a decreased number of EBD sessions, and there were no adverse events in our series. Histological observation of benign strictures has revealed acute esophagitis with fibrosis and abundant infiltration of inflammatory cells [22]. Blockade of initial inflammation by systemic steroid administration at an earlier stage might have an additional impact on stricture prevention. Therefore, oral prednisolone was administered on the second day post-ESD in our study. It is im-

---

**Table 1** Patient characteristics of each group

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>ESD + oral prednisolone group (n = 17)</th>
<th>ESD alone group (n = 16)</th>
<th>$P$-value(^1)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mean age (years)</td>
<td>68.4 ± 6.9 (57 – 80)</td>
<td>70.1 ± 8.5 (57 – 85)</td>
<td>n.s.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex ratio</td>
<td>14:3</td>
<td>12:4</td>
<td>n.s.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tumor location</td>
<td>CE/UTE/MTE/LTE</td>
<td>2/1/9/4</td>
<td>n.s.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Endoscopic finding</td>
<td>0:17</td>
<td>3:13</td>
<td>n.s.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tumor size, mm, mean (range)</td>
<td>46.1 ± 12.2 (35 – 70)</td>
<td>52.3 ± 22.1 (30 – 100)</td>
<td>n.s.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Operation time, min mean (range)</td>
<td>122.5 ± 45.1 (40 – 180)</td>
<td>174.7 ± 90.9 (70 – 450)</td>
<td>&lt;0.01</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(1\) For comparisons between the ESD + oral prednisolone group and the ESD alone group.

---

**Table 2** Comparison of post-procedural strictures after ESD and the number of EBD sessions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>ESD + oral prednisolone group (n = 17)</th>
<th>ESD alone group (n = 16)</th>
<th>$P$-value(^1)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Stricture, no. (%)</td>
<td>3 (17.6)</td>
<td>11 (68.7)</td>
<td>&lt;0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Semicircular ESD</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>n.s.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Complete circular ESD</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>n.s.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total no. of EBD sessions, mean (range)</td>
<td>4.6 (2 – 10)</td>
<td>8.1 (1 – 18)</td>
<td>&lt;0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Semicircular ESD</td>
<td>6 (2 – 10)</td>
<td>7.5 (1 – 18)</td>
<td>n.s.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Complete circular ESD</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>11 (6 – 16)</td>
<td>n.s.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ESD, endoscopic submucosal dissection; EBD, endoscopic balloon dilatation.

---

\(1\) For comparisons between the ESD + oral prednisolone group and the ESD alone group.
Important that oral prednisolone is administered as soon as possible for the best outcome after ESD.

In conclusion, when comparing all of the data obtained, short period, low dose oral prednisolone showed promising results for the prevention of strictures after ESD in patients with early stage esophageal cancer.
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