Cryospray ablation using pressurized CO₂ for ablation of Barrett’s esophagus with early neoplasia: early termination of a prospective series

Background: Cryotherapy is a relatively novel ablation modality for the endoscopic ablation of Barrett’s esophagus (BE). Data on the use of pressurized carbon dioxide (CO₂) gas for cryoablation are scarce.

Study aim: To determine the efficacy and safety of cryospray ablation using pressurized CO₂ gas in the treatment of BE with early neoplasia.

Methods: In this prospective single center case series, we aimed to include 30 patients with BE and early neoplasia. Nodular neoplastic lesions were treated with endoscopic mucosal resection (EMR). Residual BE mucosa was treated with cryospray ablation every 4 weeks until the complete BE segment was eliminated or up to seven treatment sessions. If no reduction of the BE segment was observed after two subsequent treatment sessions, cryoablation was terminated. Patients were contacted at days 1 and 4 post-treatment to evaluate the level of discomfort. Endoscopic and histologic follow-up evaluations were performed up to 24 months post-treatment.

Results: After the inclusion of 10 patients, insufficient effect of cryoablation was observed, resulting in early termination of the study. In total, seven patients with intramucosal carcinoma (IMC) and three with high grade dysplasia (HGD) were included. Prior EMR was performed in nine patients. A median of 2.5 (IQR 2.0 – 4.0) cryoablation sessions were performed. At 6 months of follow-up, complete eradication of intestinal metaplasia was observed in 11% (1/9; one patient died, not treatment or disease related) of the patients and complete eradication of dysplasia in 44% (4/9). In three patients, HGD or IMC was detected during follow-up, and was endoscopically treated. Apart from a gastric perforation as a result of gastric distension caused by CO₂ gas during the first treatment, cryospray treatments were well tolerated.

Conclusion: After a short learning curve, cryospray ablation using CO₂ gas was found to be a safe and well tolerated treatment modality. However, in our experience, the efficacy of CO₂ cryoablation combined with EMR for nodular lesions is disappointing for the treatment of BE associated neoplasia.

Introduction

Barrett’s esophagus (BE), defined as the presence of specialized intestinal metaplasia (IM) in the distal esophagus, is associated with an increased risk of neoplastic progression [1]. In the past decades, endoscopic modalities have been developed to treat early neoplastic lesions in order to prevent progression to invasive esophageal adenocarcinoma [2]. Nodular lesions can be treated successfully with endoscopic mucosal resection (EMR) [3]. Various ablative techniques, including radiofrequency ablation (RFA), photodynamic therapy, and argon plasma coagulation (APC), have been introduced to eradicate the BE mucosa, which is harmful to the original normal squamous epithelium, however, there is still room for improvement [4 – 6].

Cryotherapy is a relatively new modality for the endoscopic ablation of BE. Results based on a device using liquid nitrogen as cryogen have been shown to be promising, with high rates of eradication of high grade dysplasia (HGD) and IM [7, 8]. Data on the use of pressurized carbon dioxide (CO₂) gas for cryoablation are scarce. Promising results have been presented during conferences [9,10]; however, apart from one pilot study including patients with BE with or without low grade dysplasia (LGD) [11], no results have been published. The aim of this study was to determine the efficacy and safety of cryospray ablation using pressurized CO₂ gas in the treatment of BE with early neoplasia.
Patients and methods

In this prospective single center case series, we aimed to include 30 consecutive treatment naive patients with BE and HGD or intramucosal carcinoma (IMC). The sample size of this pilot study was not optimized for hypothesis testing but was based on previous cryoablation studies [7, 11, 12]. Since this is a post-market study of a Food and Drug Administration approved device, which was used in accordance with its “instructions for use” under conditions of standard medical practice, no additional institutional review board approval or trial registration was required according to Dutch regulations.

Exclusion criteria were prior ablative or radiation therapy, and surgery involving the esophagus. Nodular-appearing abnormalities or lesions were first removed by a cap-assisted EMR procedure.

The residual BE mucosa was treated with cryospray ablation using pressurized CO₂ gas as cryogen (Polar Wand, GI Supply, Camp Hill, Pennsylvania, United States; Fig. 1) within 3 months following EMR. The Polar Wand cryoablation device is Food and Drug Administration approved for treatment of esophageal lesions. Informed consent was obtained from each patient. The principle of CO₂ cryoablation is based on repeated cycles of rapid freezing and thawing, resulting in apoptosis and destruction of the BE mucosa. The compressed CO₂ gas is stored at room temperature. A 7-French cryospray catheter is introduced through the working channel and a suction catheter is attached to the tip of the endoscope. The release of the pressurized CO₂ gas at the mucosal surface results in a rapid temperature drop to −78°C (Joule Thompson effect) and subsequent freezing of the mucosa (Fig. 2). Cryospray ablation was performed at monthly intervals until the complete BE segment was eliminated or up to seven treatment sessions (Fig. 3). If no reduction of the BE segment

Video 1

The tip of the cryospray catheter, introduced through the working channel of the endoscope, is shown on the left. The release of the CO₂ gas at the mucosal surface results in freezing of the BE tissue. Cryoablation for 20 seconds is followed by active suctioning of the stomach to avoid extensive gastric distension.
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was observed after two subsequent treatment sessions, cryoa- blation treatments consisted of six applications with a CO₂ cath- eter per 2–3 cm semi-circumferential BE segment during 20 sec- onds, with active suctioning of the stomach between applications [Video 1, Supplementary Material]. Midazolam or propofol were used as sedative agents during the cryospray treatments. All procedures were performed by two experienced endoscopists (PS and FV). During the cryoablation phase, proton pump inhibitors were prescribed in a dose of 40 mg twice daily.

Patients were contacted on day 1 and day 4 post-treatment to evaluate the level of discomfort using a questionnaire, which was based on previous cryoablation studies [7, 12]. Biopsies of BE and neo-squamous epithelium were obtained from four quadrants from 1–2 cm along the whole BE segment, either exist- ent and/or ablated, before the 1st (following EMR), 4th, and 7th treatment and at 3, 6, 12, 18, and 24 months post-treatment using a disposable large capacity forceps. All histopathological sections were evaluated by an expert pathologist (FK). EMR (of nodular lesions) and APC were performed in the case of HGD or IMC.

The primary outcome measure was complete eradication of IM at 6 months of follow-up. Additional outcome measures were complete eradication of dysplasia (including indefinite for dysplasia (IFD), LGD and HGD) at 6 months of follow-up, complete eradica- tion of IM and dysplasia at 12 and 24 months of follow-up, endo- scopic reduction of the BE segment length, progression, and oc- currence of adverse events. Patients with complete eradica- tion of IM also had dysplasia completely eradicated and were included in both end points.

Results

After the inclusion of 10 patients between January 2011 and Sep- tember 2011, insufficient effect of cryoablation was observed, which resulted in the decision to terminate the inclusion of pa- tients early. In total, seven patients with IMC and three with HGD were included with a mean age (± standard deviation (SD)) of 71 (±9) years. Before cryoablation, a single EMR procedure was performed in nine patients. No nodular lesions were observed following EMR, before cryospray treatments. Pre-cryoablation di- agnoses were IM (n=4), LGD (n=5), and HGD (n=1). A median of 2.5 (interquartile range (IQR) 2.0–4.0) cryoablation sessions were performed. Cryoablation treatments were terminated when the BE segment was completely eradicated in two patients, when the maximum of seven treatments was performed in two patients, when no effect was observed after two subsequent treatment sessions in five patients, and when treatment was complicated by a gastric perforation in one patient.

Propofol was used in 9 of the 32 cryospray procedures in a total of three patients. In the remaining cryoablation treatments, patients were sedated with Midazolam. A non-significant reduction of the BE segment length was observed following cryoablation treatments 5.0 (IQR 1.8–7.3) cm pre-cryoablation vs. 2.5 (IQR 0.9–6.3) cm 3 months post-cryoablation, (P=0.08).

Three patients died and were subsequently censored and exclud- ed from data analysis at 6 (n=1) and 24 months (n=2) of follow- up. Their causes of death were not related to esophageal neopla- sia or cryoablation treatment but were the result of pneumonia, primary lung cancer, and necrotizing fasciitis after resection of a skin lesion. Complete eradication of IM was observed in 11% (1/9; one patient died) and complete eradication of dysplasia in 44%
lesions in the treatment of BE associated neoplasia. In our experience, the effect of CO₂ cryotherapy was disappointing, with complete eradication of IM and dysplasia at 6 months of follow-up in only 11% and 44% of the patients, respectively. Additionally, HGD or IMC was detected at 6 months of follow-up in 33% of patients. Based on the time after initial treatment, these are most likely “incident” cancers as a result of progression during and after cryoablation. However, it cannot be excluded that these may have been missed during prior endoscopy despite extensive endoscopic and biopsy protocols; in that case, these lesions should be classified as “prevalent cancers”. The inclusion of patients was terminated prematurely because of insufficient efficacy.

Only one previous study has been published using pressurized CO₂ for the ablation of BE and associated LGD [11]. Xue et al. reported complete eradication of LGD in 100% (6/6) and complete eradication of IM in 85% (17/20) of the cases at 6 months of follow-up in a prospective case series. Possible explanations for the better outcome in the study by Xue et al. may be the inclusion of cases with only BE and LGD with shorter BE segments, which may be, at least theoretically, easier to ablate, the relatively short follow-up period, the less thorough follow-up biopsy protocol, the presence of persistent endoscopic signs of BE in the majority of cases but with eradication of IM at histologic evaluation, and the younger and Asian study population. Additionally, CO₂ dosimetry was not clearly documented by Xue et al.

In contrast, results based on the liquid nitrogen device (CSA Medical Inc, Baltimore, Maryland, United States) are promising. Three studies using this system, both single-center and multi-center, have been published [7, 8, 12]. Dumot et al. included inoperable patients with BE and HGD or IMC and demonstrated downgrading of the histopathology stage in 68% of HGD and 80% of IMC patients after cryoablation treatment during a median follow-up period of 12 months [12]. Others showed complete eradication of HGD in 97–100% of the patients, complete eradication of all dysplasia in 87% and complete eradication of IM in 57–84% during a median follow-up of 10 months to 24 months [7, 8]. The device used in these studies delivers liquid nitrogen at a temperature of ~196°C at the mucosa [7, 8, 12], while mucosal freezing is achieved at ~78°C using the pressurized CO₂ system. It may well be that the relatively “less cold” temperature with CO₂ cryoablation affects the depth and degree of mucosal injury. Studies comparing the two types of cryoablation devices have not been performed.

CO₂ dosimetry in our study was based on previous cryoablation studies and on testing experiments in a pig model resulting in mucosal, submucosal, and transmural necrosis following 15, 30, and 120 seconds, respectively, of cryoablation [7–13]. We assumed that repeated cycles of 20 seconds of cryospray treatment would ablate the complete mucosal layer in BE. A longer period of continuous CO₂ cryospray ablation is not recommended as the gas inflated at a flow of 8 L/min results in considerable gastric distension. The effect of the suction catheter attached to the tip of the endoscope is only minimal.

The first treatment session in our study was complicated by gastric perforation and a gastric and esophageal laceration. We are convinced that this can be attributed to the learning curve, with extra time needed to adequately position the catheter to achieve the ice-effect, which resulted in the accumulation of more CO₂ gas and increased gastroesophageal distension. Perforation may be prevented by accurate positioning of the cryospray catheter.

Discussion

Various endoscopic therapies have been developed for the ablation of BE. Cryotherapy is a relatively new non-contact ablative modality with two different systems based on liquid nitrogen or pressurized CO₂ gas. This is the first report on the use of cryoablation based on pressurized CO₂ combined with EMR for nodular
before activation of the gas flow, and by limiting the duration of CO₂ spraying to 20 seconds per application, which reduces accumulation of CO₂ gas and subsequent distension of the esophagus and stomach. Active suctioning of the stomach between cryospray applications was included in the study protocol to limit the perforation risk. Besides gastric perforation, cryospray treatments were well tolerated, with only mild retrosternal pain, odynophagia, and dysphagia in a minority of the patients, which is comparable to the number of adverse events reported by Xue et al. [11]. Additionally, no strictures were detected in both CO₂ cryotherapy studies. In studies using liquid nitrogen cryoablation, strictures and severe retrosternal pain were reported in a small number of patients [7, 8], again suggesting more severe mucosal injury with liquid nitrogen cryoablation compared to CO₂ cryoablation.

The advantages of CO₂ cryoablation are the lower costs and the ease in controlling the endoscope because of less stiffness and cold when compared to the liquid nitrogen device. Advantages of cryoablation above RFA are the non-contact method facilitating ablation of the irregularly lined mucosa, the adjustable dosimetry, the lower costs and, by no means least, cryoablation is considered less painful [14, 15].

In conclusion, after a short learning curve, cryoablation using CO₂ gas was found to be a safe and well-tolerated treatment modality. However, in our experience, the efficacy of CO₂ cryoablation combined with EMR for nodular lesions is disappointing for the treatment of BE associated neoplasia.
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